Integrated information theory (IIT) 4.0: Formulating the properties of phenomenal existence in physical terms

Larissa Albantakis^{1¶}, Leonardo Barbosa^{1,2¶}, Graham Findlay^{1,3¶}, Matteo Grasso^{1¶}, Andrew M Haun^{1¶}, William Marshall^{1,4¶}, William GP Mayner^{1,3¶}, Alireza Zaeemzadeh^{1¶}, Melanie Boly^{1,5}, Bjørn E Juel^{1,6}, Shuntaro Sasai^{1,7}, Keiko Fujii¹, Isaac David¹, Jeremiah Hendren^{1,8}, Jonathan P Lang¹, Giulio Tononi^{1*}

 Department of Psychiatry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
 Fralin Biomedical Research Institute at VTC, Virginia Tech, Roanoke, Virginia, USA
 Neuroscience Training Program, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Brock University, St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada

5 Department of Neurology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

6 Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

7 Araya Inc., Tokyo, Japan

8 Graduate School Language & Literature, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Munich, Germany

¶These authors contributed equally to this work.

* gtononi@wisc.edu

S3 - Analytical solution for $\sum \varphi_r$ and the number of causal relations

Here, we show how the sum of the relation integrated information over all the causal relations $(\sum \varphi_r)$ and the number of relations can be computed without assessing the relations individually. We only need the set of causal distinctions:

$$D(\mathcal{T}_{e}, \mathcal{T}_{c}, s) = \{ d(m) : m \subseteq s, \varphi_{d}(m) > 0, z_{c}^{*}(m) \subseteq s_{c}', z_{e}^{*}(m) \subseteq s_{e}' \},\$$

where $d(m) = (m, z^*(m), \varphi_d(m))$ and $z^*(m) = \{z_c^*(m), z_e^*(m)\}.$

Analytical computation of $\sum \varphi_r$

Given a subset of distinctions $d \subseteq D(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$ with $|d| \ge 2$, any subset z of purviews that contains either the cause, or effect, or both the cause and effect of each distinction $d \in d$ and overlap congruently defines a relation face f with face overlap $o_f^* = \bigcap_{z \in z} z$. The relation overlap is further defined as the union of the face overlaps $\bigcup_{f \in f(d)} o_f^*$, where f(d) represents the set of all the faces over the distinction set d. Here, intersection and union take into account both the units and their states.

First, we can show:

$$\bigcup_{f \in \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{d})} o_f^* = \bigcap_{d \in \boldsymbol{d}} \left(z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d) \right),$$

by proving any unit n in $\bigcup_{f \in f(d)} o_f^*$ is in $\bigcap_{d \in d} (z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d))$ and vice versa:

$$n \in \bigcup_{f \in \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{d})} o_f^* \iff \exists f \in \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{d}), n \in o_f^* \iff \forall d \in \boldsymbol{d}, n \in z_c^*(d) \text{ or } n \in z_e^*(d)$$
$$\iff \forall d \in \boldsymbol{d}, n \in z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d) \iff n \in \bigcap_{d \in \boldsymbol{d}} \left(z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d) \right)$$

This helps us to rewrite the relation integrated information of a set of distinctions $d \subseteq D(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$ with $|d| \ge 2$ as:

$$\left| \bigcap_{d \in \boldsymbol{d}} \left(z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d) \right) \right| \min_{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{d}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d)|}$$

We further define the set of $z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d)$ of all distinctions in D and their corresponding distinction integrated information as:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s) = \{ \left(z_c^*(m) \cup z_e^*(m), \varphi(m) \right) : (m, z^*(m), \varphi_d(m)) \in D(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s) \}.$$

Now, given a single node n in a specific state, we can find all the distinctions that contain n in that state in their cause, or effect, or both purviews as:

$$\mathcal{Z}(n) = \{ (z, \varphi) : (z, \varphi) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s), n \in z \}.$$
(1)

Any subset of $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ of size 2 or larger defines a relation whose overlap contains at least n. Formally, for $\mathbf{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n)$, $|\mathbf{r}| \ge 2$, there exists a relation with relation purview $\bigcap_{(z_d,\varphi_d)\in\mathbf{r}} z_d$ and integrated information value of:

$$\left|\bigcap_{(z_d,\varphi_d)\in\boldsymbol{r}} z_d \right| \min_{(z_d,\varphi_d)\in\boldsymbol{r}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_d|}$$

Note that, by definition of $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$, z_d is the union of cause and effect purviews. Using the definition of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(n)$, we can write the sum of the integrated information of relations, except self-relations, as

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s) \\ \boldsymbol{r} \ge 2}} \left| \bigcap_{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{r}} z_d \right| \min_{\substack{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{r}}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_d|} = \sum_{\substack{n \in s_c' \cup s_e' \\ |\boldsymbol{r}| \ge 2}} \sum_{\substack{r \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n) \\ |\boldsymbol{r}| \ge 2}} \min_{\substack{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{r}}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_d|}$$

By factoring the sum over $\mathbf{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$ into two sums over the nodes n and the relations whose purview contains at least $n, \mathbf{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n), |\mathbf{r}| \ge 2$, we are overcounting each relation by a factor of its joint purview size $\left|\bigcap_{(z_d,\varphi_d)\in\mathbf{r}} z_d\right|$. For example, if a set of distinctions make up a relation \mathbf{r} over two units n_1 and n_2 , they all are members of both $\mathcal{Z}(n_1)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(n_2)$. Therefore, $\mathbf{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n_1)$ and $\mathbf{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n_2)$. This simplifies the summand to just $\min_{(z_d,\varphi_d)\in\mathbf{r}}\frac{\varphi_d}{|z|}$. To compute the inner sum, we can sort the distinctions in $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ by their $\frac{\varphi}{|z|}$ value in a non-decreasing order, such that $(z_{(1)},\varphi_{(1)})$ has the summary est $\frac{\varphi}{|z|}$ ratio, $(z_{(2)},\varphi_{(2)})$ has the second smallest $\frac{\varphi}{|z|}$ ratio, and so on. Then, we can compute the sum as:

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n) \\ |\boldsymbol{r}| \ge 2}} \min_{\substack{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{r}}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_d|} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Z}(n)|} \frac{\varphi_{(j)}}{|z_{(j)}|} (2^{|\mathcal{Z}(n)|-j} - 1).$$

In words, any subset $\mathbf{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(n), |\mathbf{r}| \geq 2$, that contains $(z_{(1)}, \varphi_{(1)})$ will have $\min_{(z_d,\varphi_d)\in\mathbf{r}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_d|} = \frac{\varphi_{(1)}}{|z_{(1)}|}$. There are $2^{|\mathcal{Z}(n)|-1} - 1$ of such subsets. Similarly, there are $2^{|\mathcal{Z}(n)|-2} - 1$ subsets that contain $(z_{(2)}, \varphi_{(2)})$, but not $(z_{(1)}, \varphi_{(1)})$, etc. This helps us arrive at our final results:

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{r} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s) \\ |\boldsymbol{r}| \ge 2}} \left| \bigcap_{\substack{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{r}}} z_d \right| \min_{\substack{(z_d, \varphi_d) \in \boldsymbol{r}}} \frac{\varphi_d}{|z_d|} = \sum_{\substack{n \in s'_c \cup s'_e}} \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Z}(n)|} \frac{\varphi_{(j)}}{|z_{(j)}|} (2^{|\mathcal{Z}(n)|-j} - 1).$$

This gives us the sum of the relation integrated information of all the relations, except the self-relations, i.e. $|\mathbf{r}| = 1$. The self-relations can be assessed individually without combinatorial explosion.

Analytical count of the number of relations

We can also count all the causal relations among all the distinctions in $D(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$ by generalizing the definition of $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ in (1) to all the subsets $o \subseteq s'_c \cup s'_e$:

$$\mathcal{Z}(o) = \{ (z, \varphi) : (z, \varphi) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s), z \supseteq o \}.$$

For each distinction $d \in D(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_e, s)$, there is a corresponding element $((z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d), \varphi(d)) \text{ in } \mathcal{Z}(o) \text{ if } o \subseteq z_c^*(d) \cup z_e^*(d).$ Any subset of $\mathcal{Z}(o)$ of size 2 or larger defines a relation whose overlap contains at least o. The number of such subsets is:

$$2^{|\mathcal{Z}(o)|} - |\mathcal{Z}(o)| - 1.$$

We can count all the relations by applying the inclusion-exclusion principle (from combinatorics) as:

$$\sum_{o \subseteq s'_c \cup s'_e} (-1)^{|o|-1} \left(2^{|\mathcal{Z}(o)|} - |\mathcal{Z}(o)| - 1 \right)$$

This is the number of all the causal relations among the causal distinctions in $D(\mathcal{T}_e, \mathcal{T}_c, s)$, except the self-relations. Again, the self-relations can be counted individually without combinatorial explosion.