

Supplemental Table 2: Comparison of CoMiSS-BSFS and CoMiSS-BITSS sets according to CoMiSS-BSFS cut-off values

CoMiSS value	N° CoMiSS-BSFS	Pearson Correlation Coefficient	95% CI	CoMiSS-BSFS vs CoMiSS-BITSS*	Cohen's <i>d</i> **
≤1	63	0.47	0.25; 0.64	<i>p</i> =0.011	0.43
≤2	231	-0.14	-0.25; 0.012	<i>p</i> <0.001	1.39
≤3	290	0.18	0.07; 0.29	<i>p</i> <0.001	1.29
≤4	438	0.71	0.66; 0.76	<i>p</i> <0.001	0.59
≤5	540	0.81	0.79; 0.84	<i>p</i> <0.001	0.43
≥6	304	0.98	0.97; 0.98	<i>p</i> =0.81	0.004
≥7	202	0.97	0.96; 0.97	<i>p</i> =0.44	0.01
≥8	142	0.96	0.94; 0.97	<i>p</i> =0.59	0.01
≥9	95	0.94	0.92; 0.96	<i>p</i> =0.23	0.04
≥10	57	0.94	0.89; 0.96	<i>p</i> =0.48	0.04
≥11	37	0.90	0.82; 0.95	<i>p</i> =0.77	0.04
≥12	23	0.85	0.68; 0.94	<i>p</i> =0.84	0.00
All scores	844	0.96	0.95; 0.96	<i>p</i> <0.001	0.15

Legend:* Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test for the CoMiSS-BSFS value and pairwise CoMiSS-BITSS; N° – number; vs-versus; CI – confidence interval; Cohen's convention: small effect size $d \leq 0.2$; medium effect size $d = (0.2; 0.8)$; large effect size $d \geq 0.8$