

# Supplementary Figure 1. The initial food-induced activation phase in PVN NE/E levels is sensory mediated and the food responsiveness is abolished in free feeding mice.

a Schematic of viral injection and fiber photometry-based NE/E measurement from PVN. **b,c** Average fiber photometry trace (b) and individual heat maps (c) showing GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h signal in response to inaccessible chow food or inedible object. **d** Quantification of mean GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h fluorescence in (b), n=8 mice, two-tailed, paired t-test, \*\*p=0.0063. **e** Schematic of GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h measurement from PVN. **f,g** Average line graph (f) and heat map of individual mouse (g) depicting change in GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h signal over time in response to chow food or inedible object presentation. **h** Average bar graph of change in GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h signal compared in response to object and chow presentation (n=6 mice, two-tailed paired t-tests, n.s.: p>0.08). **i-I** Same as in (e-h) except that NTS<sup>TH</sup>→PVN projection activity is measured using axon-GCaMP expression in NTS<sup>TH</sup> neurons, (n=5 mice, two-way RM-ANOVA with Tukey's multiple corrections, n.s.: p>0.58. Data are presented as mean values +/- SEM.



#### Supplementary Figure 2. Prolonged fasting elevates nor/adrenergic input to PVN.

**a** Schematic of GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h measurement from PVN. **b,c** Average line graph of chronic changes in NE/E signal in the presence and absence of food (n=5 mice, \*p=0.034, two-tailed paired t-test). **d-f** Same as in a-c except that NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN projection activity is measured using axon-GCaMP expression in NTS<sup>TH</sup> neurons (n=5 mice, \*p=0.027, two-tailed paired t-test). **g** Schematic of viral injections and fiber photometry-based NE/E measurement from PVN during chemogenetic AgRP stimulation. **h,i** Average fiber photometry trace (h) and individual heat maps (i) showing GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h response with and without concurrent AgRP neuron stimulation. **j,k** Quantification of GRAB<sub>NE</sub>2h fluorescence in AgRP:hM3D expressing (j) and control (k, no DREADD) mice after saline or DCZ ip injection. (n=5 mice/group, \*p=0.019 (AgRP:hM3D) and n.s.: p=0.37 (control), two-tailed paired t-test). Data are presented as mean values +/- SEM.



Supplementary Figure 3. PVN projection profiles of catecholaminergic hindbrain neurons.

**a** Schematic for assessing LC<sup>TH</sup> projections using anterograde labeling with cre-dependent tdTomato. Representative images showing tdTomato (red) expression in tyrosine hydroxylase (green) positive LC neurons. Representative photomicrographs showing sparse PVN innervation by LC<sup>TH</sup> neurons (scale bars: 2 mm, 100 μm and 200 μm). **b** Image showing robust NTS<sup>TH</sup> projections (red) distributed throughout the rosto-caudal PVN (scale bar: 200 μm).



Supplementary Figure 4. NTS<sup>TH</sup>→PVN activation evokes feeding and corticosterone release.

**a,b** Effect of NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN optogenetic activation during light cycle on meal size (a) and number of meals (b) in control tdTomato (n=5) and ChR2 (n=5) expressing mice (2-way RM-ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison, tdTom stim vs ChR2 stim \*\*p=0.0055, ChR2 stim vs ChR2 no stim \*\*p=0.0024). **c** Effect of NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN activation on food intake at dark onset in control tdTomato (n=5 mice) and ChR2 (n=5 mice) animals (2-way RM ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison, \*\*p=0.0071). **d** Effect of Mifepristone (5mg/kg) during NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN photostimulation on feeding response (two-tailed paired t-test, n.s.: p=0.069) **e** Experimental schematic for assessing effects of NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN photoactivation on circulating corticosterone and glucose levels. **f,g** Effect of 1h NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN stimulation on systemic (f) corticosterone and (g) glucose levels in control tdTomato (n=6) and ChR2 (n=12) transduced mice (2-way RM ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison, \*p=0.0126, \*\*p=0.0013). Data are presented as mean values +/- SEM.



Supplementary Figure 5. Photostimulating caudal NTS<sup>TH</sup> →PVN projection does not affect food intake.

**a** Schematic depiction for activation of caudal NTS<sup>TH</sup>  $\rightarrow$  PVN projection. **b,c** Cumulative food intake (b, n=7, two-tailed paired t-test) and average total food intake before, during and after photostimulation of cNTS<sup>TH</sup>  $\rightarrow$  PVN projection (2 hours each) in free feeding mice in light phase (n=7, two-way RM-ANOVA). **d** Summary bar graph of 2-hours dark onset food intake with and without concurrent caudal cNTS<sup>TH</sup>  $\rightarrow$  PVN projection stimulation (n=7 mice, two-tailed paired t-test, n.s.: p=0.057). Data are presented as mean values +/- SEM.



## Supplementary Figure 6. Distribution of hM4Di expression in rostral and caudal NTS<sup>TH</sup> neurons.

**a** Schematic and representative images of hM4Di targeted to rostral NTS<sup>TH</sup> neurons. **b** Distribution of rostral NTS targeted hM4Di expression throughout the rostral-caudal axis of the NTS (mCherry signal was amplified with immunolabeling using a 488 secondary Ab). **c** Schematic for hM4Di injections into the caudal NTS (scale bars: 500  $\mu$ m). **d** Distribution profile of hM4Di targeted to caudal NTS. Relative expression is indicated by color: dark red – high expression, light red – low expression, white – no expression.



Supplementary Figure 7

### Supplementary Figure 7. NTS<sup>TH</sup>→PVN connection rely on nor/adrenergic signaling.

a Schematic for evaluating functional NTS<sup>TH</sup>→PVN connectivity using ChR2-assisted stimulation combined with loose seal recordings. **b-e** NTS<sup>TH</sup> axon stimulation excited a subset of PVN neurons (Two-way RM-ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons). Effect of NTS<sup>TH</sup> photostimulation on PVN firing in control conditions (b, n=14 neurons, \*\*p<0.0023), in the presence of prazosin (c, n=4 neurons), CNQX and AP5 (d, n=6 neurons), and prazosin, CNQX, and AP5 (e, n=7 neurons, \*p<0.031). f-h Subset of PVN neurons inhibited by NTS<sup>TH</sup> projections (Two-way RM-ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons). Effects of NTS<sup>TH</sup>→PVN inhibition in control conditions (f, n=22 neurons, \*\*p=0.0087, \*\*\*p<0.0001), with bath application of yohimbine (g, n=11 neurons, \*p=0.025, \*\*p=0.0021, \*\*\*p<0.0001) and yohimbine and prazosin (h, n=8 neurons, \*p<0.0192). i Schematic for evaluating functional NTS<sup>TH</sup> $\rightarrow$ PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> connectivity using chrimson-assisted stimulation combined with loose seal recordings. j-I Subset of PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> neurons activated by NTS<sup>DBH</sup> axonal photostimulation (two-tailed paired t-tests). Response profile under control (j, n=7 neurons, \*p<0.039), glutamatergic blockers CNQX and AP5 (k, n=7 neurons \*p<0.040), and combination of glutamatergic and adrenergic blockers (I). m Summary of firing rate change as blockers added progressively (n=7 neurons, \*p=0.027). n,o PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> neurons inhibited by NTS<sup>DBH</sup> axonal photostimulation (twotailed paired t-tests). Response profile under conditions of control (n, n=5 neurons, \*p=0.015, \*\*p=0.0084) and αadrenergic blockers yohimbine and prazosin (o, n=5 neurons, n=2-4 mice/group). Data are presented as mean values +/- SEM. For specific p-values, data and statistics, please see associated Source Data file.



# Supplementary Figure 8. Norepinephrine can directly and indirectly modulate PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> neuron activity.

**a** Schematic for loose seal patch clamp from tdTomato labelled PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> neurons. **b,c** Effect of NE on PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> firing with (b, n=9 neurons, 3 mice) and without (c, n=10 neurons, 4 mice) synaptic blockade. **d** Pie chart summarizing effect of NE on PVN<sup>MC4R</sup> neuron activity with and without synaptic blockade. Data are presented as mean values +/- SEM.



Supplementary Figure 9. A schematic model describing possible mechanism for nor/adrenergic modulation of melanocortin pathway.