	Section & Topic	No	STARD Checklist
--	-----------------	----	-----------------

Reported on page

TITLE OR ABSTRACT			
	1	Identification as a study of diagnostic accuracy using at least one measure of accuracy	6
		(such as sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, or AUC)	
ABSTRACT			
	2	Structured summary of study design, methods, results, and conclusions	6
		(for specific guidance, see STARD for Abstracts)	
NTRODUCTION			
	3	Scientific and clinical background, including the intended use and clinical role of the	5
		index test	
	4	Study objectives and hypotheses	6
METHODS			
Study design	5	Whether data collection was planned before the index test and reference standard	6
		were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)	
Participants	6	Eligibility criteria	6
	7	On what basis potentially eligible participants were identified	6
		(such as symptoms, results from previous tests, inclusion in registry)	
	8	Where and when potentially eligible participants were identified (setting, location and	6
		dates)	
	9	Whether participants formed a consecutive, random or convenience series	6
Test methods	10a	Index test, in sufficient detail to allow replication	7
	10	Reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication	7
	b		
	11	Rationale for choosing the reference standard (if alternatives exist)	7
	12a	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories	7
		of the index test, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	
	12	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories	7
	b	of the reference standard, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	
	13a	Whether clinical information and reference standard results were available	7
		to the performers/readers of the index test	
	13	Whether clinical information and index test results were available	7
	b	to the assessors of the reference standard	·
Analysis	14	Methods for estimating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy	8
-indiy 515	15	How indeterminate index test or reference standard results were handled	7
	16	How missing data on the index test and reference standard were handled	7
	17	Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from	8
	10	exploratory	0
DECLUTC	18	Intended sample size and how it was determined	8
RESULTS		Flavor for anticipants or size and in second	0
Participants	19	Flow of participants, using a diagram	9
	20	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants	9
	21a	Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition	N/A
	21 b	Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition	N/A
	22	Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard	N/A
Test results	23	Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution)	9
		by the results of the reference standard	
	24	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals)	9
	25	Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard	10
DISCUSSION	_		
	26	Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and	11
	20	generalisability	

	27	Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	11
OTHER			
INFORMATION			
	28	Registration number and name of registry	13
	29	Where the full study protocol can be accessed	6
	30	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders	6