ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Development and validation of the OSASH score to predict overall survival of hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection: A dual-institutional study

Table of Contents
Supplementary Material 1 MRI technique1
Table S1 MRI sequences and parameters 2
Table S2 Characteristics of study patients before PSM and SMDs before andafter PSM5
Table S3 Interobserver agreement for the OSASH score6
Table S4 Median OS, 3- and 5-year OS rates, and hazard ratios for BCLC stagesubgroups and OSASH score risk subclasses in patients with BCLC stage 0-Aand B-C HCC in the training and internal validation cohorts
Figure S2 Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrating differences in OS between the OSASH-low and OSASH-high risk patients with HCC in six subgroups in the training cohort
Figure S3 Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrating differences in OS between the OSASH-low and OSASH-high risk patients with HCC in six subgroups in the internal validation cohort
Figure S4 A OSASH-low risk patient with BCLC stage C HCC in the internal validation cohort

Supplementary Material 1

MRI technique

At institution 1, gadoxetate disodium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (EOB-MRI) was performed with four 3.0-T systems (GE SIGNA™ Architect; GE SIGNA™ Premier; GE Discovery MR 750; Siemens MAGNETOM Skyra) and a 1.5-T system (uMR588), and extracellular contrast agent-enhanced MRI was performed with five 3.0-T systems (Siemens MAGNETOM Skyra; Siemens TrioTim; GE SIGNA™ Architect; GE Discovery MR 750; Philips Ingenia Elition X) and two 1.5-T systems (Siemens Avanto; uMR588). At institution 2, EOB-MRI was performed with a 3.0-T system (GE Discovery MR 750). Liver MRI protocols involved T2-weighted imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging (b values: 0-1200 s/mm² at institution 1; 0-3000 s/mm² at institution 2) with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps, T1-weighted in- and opposed-phase imaging, and dynamic T1- weighted imaging before and after injection of contrast agent in the late arterial phase, portal venous phase (60 s), delayed phase (ECA-MRI; 180s) or transitional phase (EOB-MRI; 180 s), and hepatobiliary phase (EOB-MRI; 20 minutes). At both institution 1 and 2, the arterial phase images were obtained either by the acquisition triggered 7 s after arrival of the contrast bolus in the celiac trunk or a multiple arterial phase (MAP) imaging technique. In specific, the MAP images were acquired with an 18 s breath hold 20 s after the contrast media injection, and further reconstructed with a temporal resolution of 3 s. For EOB-MRI, gadoxetate disodium (Primovist®; Bayer Schering Pharma AG) was administered intravenously at 1.0-2.0 ml/s (0.025 mmol/kg of body weight), with an immediately followed 20-30 ml saline flush. For ECA-MRI, gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist®; Bayer Schering Pharma AG) or gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem®; Guerbet) or gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance®; Bracco) was administered intravenously at 2.5 ml/s (0.1 mmol/kg of body weight). MRI sequences and parameters are detailed in Table S1.

-	· · · · ·								
Sequence	11-weighted IP and	Dynamic 11-weighted	12-weighted	Diffusion-weighted					
		Imaging							
GE Discovery MR 750 3.0 Tesla (16-channel phased-array torsor coil) (institution 1)									
Repetition time (ms)	150	4.1	6315	9230					
Echo time (ms)	2.5/1.3	1.9	78	Minimum					
Flip angle (°)	70	15	111	90					
Section thickness (mm)	6	2	6	6					
Spacing (mm)	2	-	2	2					
Matrix size	288×192	512×512	288×244	128×128					
Field of view (mm ²)	420×420	380×300	360×280	360×380					
Acquisition time (s)	31	15	RG	RG					
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes					
GE Discovery MR 750 3.0	0 Tesla (8-channel boo	dy array coil) (institution	2)						
Repetition time (ms)	NA	4.1	6315	9230					
Echo time (ms)	NA	1.9	78	Minimum					
Flip angle (°)	NA	15	111	90					
Section thickness (mm)	NA	2	6	6					
Spacing (mm)	NA	-	2	2					
Matrix size	NA	512×512	288×244	128×128					
Field of view (mm ²)	NA	380×300	360×280	360×380					
Acquisition time (s)	NA	NA RG		RG					
Fat suppression	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes					
GE SIGNA™ Architect 3.	0 Tesla (30-channel bo	ody anterior coil) (institu	ition 1)						
Repetition time (ms)	233.8	3.9	2400	5000					
Echo time (ms)	2.3/1.1	1.7	85	Minimum					
Flip angle (°)	55	15	111	90					
Section thickness (mm)	7	3	7	7					
Spacing (mm)	2	-	2	2					
Matrix size		320×240		_ 160×128					
Field of view (mm ²)	380×323	380×380	380×304	380×342					
Acquisition time (s)	18	15 34		RG					
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes					
GF SIGNA™ Premier 3.0	Tesla (30-channel bo	dy anterior coil) (institut	ion 1)						
Repetition time (ms)	146.8	32	2200	5000					
Echo time (ms)	2 3/1 1	1 4	85	Minimum					
Elin angle (°)	55	15	111	90					
Section thickness (mm)	7	24	7	7					
Snacing (mm)	' 2	∠ .⊤	, 2	' 2					
Matrix sizo	∽ 320×102	- 320x2/0	∽ 320x221	<u>∽</u> 120×240					
Field of view (mm ²)	3122380	380×380	3012224	1202240 380x380					
	16	15	17						
	No	Voc	τι Voc	Voc					
rai suppression	INU	162	165	162					

Table S1 MRI sequences and parameters

Siemens MAGNETOM S	kyra 3.0 Tesla (18-char	nnel body array coil) (in	stitution 1)				
Repetition time (ms)	81	3.95	2160	5600			
Echo time (ms)	2.72/1.4	1.92	100	68			
Flip angle (°)	70	9	160	90			
Section thickness (mm)	6	2.5	6	6			
Spacing (mm)	1.8	-	1.8	1.8			
Matrix size	352×286	352×256	320×288	100×76			
Field of view (mm ²)	400×325	400×296	433×433	380×289			
Acquisition time (s)	24	14	36	233			
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Siemens TrioTim 3.0 Tes	ala (8-channel body an	terior coil) (institution 1)				
Repetition time (ms)	181	3.47	2700	5900			
Echo time (ms)	2.2/3.67	1.25	95	76			
Flip angle (°)	65	9	140	90			
Section thickness (mm)	6	2.4	6	6			
Spacing (mm)	7.8	-	7.8	7.8			
Matrix size	256×131	320×133	320×147	192×154			
Field of view (mm ²)	410×269	434×257	442×254	393×393			
Acquisition time (s)	18	17	RG	245			
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Siemens Avanto 1.5 Tes	la (30-channel body ar	nterior coil) (institution '	1)				
Repetition time (ms)	72	5.41	2530	3600			
Echo time (ms)	4.92/2.22	2.39	84	88			
Flip angle (°)	70	10	150	90			
Section thickness (mm)	6	2.5	6	6			
Spacing (mm)	7.8	-	7.8	7.8			
Matrix size	256×158	320×138	256×187	192×115			
Field of view (mm ²)	328×225	382×238	293×251	310×232			
Acquisition time (s)	16	15	47	92			
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Siemens Avanto 1.5 Tes	la (8-channel body ant	terior coil) (institution 1)					
Repetition time (ms)	87	54	2710	2000			
Echo time (ms)	4 92/2 22	2 38	84	72			
Elip angle (°)	70	10	150	90			
Section thickness (mm)	75	2	7.5	7 5			
Spacing (mm)	9 75	-	9 75	9 75			
Matrix size	256×187	320×131	256×177	192×125			
Field of view (mm ²)	308×380	241×407	308×380	308×379			
Acquisition time (s)	33	15	27	20			
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Philing Ingonia Elition V 3 0 Toola (16 channel body enterior ceil) (institution 4)							
Repetition time (me)	16/ 53		1883 51	1653 65			
$\frac{1}{1}$	104.00 2 20/1 15	4.20 0.00	000.01	60.20			
	2.30/1.13	10	90	00.29			
riip angle (1)	00	IU	90	90			

O stiss this has see (see)	0	0	<u> </u>	7				
Section thickness (mm)	6	3	6.8	7				
Spacing (mm)	7.5	1.5	8.5	8.5				
Matrix size	256×201	344×252	272×78	142×140				
Field of view (mm ²)	360×360	380×380	380×380	380×380				
Acquisition time (s)	11	13	46	52				
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes				
uMR588 1.5 Tesla (6-channel body anterior coil) (institution 1)								
Repetition time (ms)	117.6	4.2	2600	3350				
Echo time (ms)	4.7/2.27	1.88	99.2	77				
Flip angle (°)	60	10	90	90				
Section thickness (mm)	6.5	2.5	6.5	6.5				
Spacing (mm)	1.3	-	1.5	10				
Matrix size	256×174	256×154	256×168	128×92				
Field of view (mm ²)	320×400	255×400	427×320	320×400				
Acquisition time (s)	29	13	39	RG				
Fat suppression	No	Yes	Yes	Yes				

FSE, fast spin-echo; GRE, gradient recall echo; IP, in-phase; MRI, magnetic

resonance imaging; NA, not available; OP, opposed-phase; RG, respiratory gating;

3D, three-dimensional; 2D, two-dimensional.

†Images were acquired under free breath.

Matahina	Before PSM		SMD [§]			
watching	Training cohort	ECA-MRI	P value	Before PSM	After PSM	
Variable	(n = 210)	cohort (n = 661)				
Age (y) [†]	52.1 ± 11.6	53.0 ± 10.9	0.302	0.078	0.128	
Sex (male)	173 (82.4)	581 (87.9)	0.054	0.145	0.063	
Cirrhosis	104 (49.5)	360 (54.5)	0.242	0.099	0.029	
BCLC stage			<0.001			
0	29 (13.8)	87 (13.2)		0.019	0.014	
А	101 (48.1)	437 (66.1)		0.361	0.000	
В	34 (16.2)	68 (10.3)		0.160	0.078	
С	46 (21.9)	69 (10.4)		0.277	0.058	
No. of death	42 (20.0)	216 (32.7)	0.001	0.317	0.012	

Table S2 Characteristics of study patients before PSM and SMDs before and after PSM

Unless indicated otherwise, data are the number of patients, with percentages in parentheses. SMD was defined as follows: <0.1, very small differences; 0.1-0.3, small differences; 0.3-0.5, moderate differences; and >0.5, large differences. Group comparison was performed with the Student's *t* test for continuous variable and Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables, as appropriate.

†Data are means ± standard deviations.

§Data are presented as the absolute value of SMD.

BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; ECA-MRI, extracellular contrast agent-enhanced magnetic resonance

imaging; PSM, propensity score matching; SMD, standardized mean difference.

Characteristic	Training cohort (n = 210)	Internal validation cohort	External validation cohort	
		(n = 210)	(n = 100)	
Incomplete tumor "capsule"	0.42 (0.29-0.55)	0.42 (0.28-0.57)	0.46 (0.24-0.68)	
Mosaic architecture	0.40 (0.28-0.53)	0.59 (0.48-0.71)	0.71 (0.56-0.86)	
Tumor multiplicity	0.73 (0.64-0.82)	0.63 (0.52-0.74)	0.80 (0.70-0.90)	
AFP level				
OSASH score [†]	0.72 (0.64-0.78)	0.66 (0.57-0.73)	0.72 (0.62-0.80)	

Table S3 Interobserver agreement for the OSASH score

Unless indicated otherwise, data are κ statistics, with 95% confidence interval in

parentheses.

†Data are intraclass correlation coefficients, with 95% confidence interval in

parentheses.

Interobserver agreement was assessed by using k statistics or intraclass correlation

coefficients, as follows: 0.20 or less, poor agreement; 0.21-0.40, fair agreement;

0.41-0.60, moderate agreement; 0.61-0.80, substantial agreement; and greater than

0.80, almost perfect agreement.

AFP, alpha-fetoprotein.

Table S4 Median OS, 3- and 5-year OS rates, and hazard ratios for BCLC stage subgroups and OSASH score risk subclasses in patients with

Veriekle	Training cohort (n = 210)				Internal validation cohort (n = 210)							
and risk group	No.	Median OS, months (95%CI)	3-year OS rate, % (95%Cl)	5-year OS rate, % (95%Cl)	Hazard Ratio (95%Cl)	P value	No.	Median OS, months (95%CI)	3-year OS rate, % (95%Cl)	5-year OS rate, % (95%Cl)	Hazard Ratio (95%Cl)	<i>P</i> value
BCLC stage						0.178						0.444
0	29	NA	100.0	100.0	Reference		28	NA	96.3	96.3	Reference	
		(NA-NA)	(100.0-100.0)	(100.0-100.0)				(NA-NA)	(89.4-100.0)	(89.4-100.0)		
А	101	NA	93.3	89.6	28.23		101	NA	94.4	92.7	2.22	
		(NA-NA)	(88.2-98.7)	(82.7-97.0)	(0.02-40050.	15)		(NA-NA)	(89.7-99.3)	(87.0-98.6)	(0.28-17.76)	I
BCLC stage						0.185						<0.001
В	34	NA	84.0	52.7	Reference		40	NA	85.5	85.5	Reference	
		(43.4-NA)	(71.9-98.2)	(35.9-77.3)				(NA-NA)	(74.4-98.2)	(74.4-98.2)		
С	46	56.4	53.6	49.4	1.61		41	20.7	43.6	31.2	7.73	
		(26.2-NA)	(40.3-71.1)	(35.7-68.4)	(0.79-3.29)			(13.6-NA)	(30.7-61.9)	(19.2-50.5)	(2.97-20.13)	I
BCLC stage	0-A HC	C				<0.001						0.034
Low risk	125	NA	97.5	94.1	Reference		120	NA	96.1	94.6	Reference	
		(NA-NA)	(94.7-100.0)	(89.0-99.6)				(NA-NA)	(92.5-99.9)	(90.0-99.4)		
High risk	5	27.0	40.0	40.0	15.72		9	NA	77.8	77.8	5.20	
		(23.3-NA)	(13.7-100.0)	(13.7-100.0)	(3.87-63.77)			(NA-NA)	(54.9-100.0)	(54.9-100.0)	(1.01-26.84)	1
BCLC stage	B-C HC	C				0.001						<0.001
Low risk	36	NA	86.3	67.5	Reference		50	NA	80.6	74.7	Reference	
		(NA-NA)	(74.5-100.0)	(50.8-89.7)				(NA-NA)	(70.0-92.9)	(62.6-89.2)		
High risk	44	29.7	49.6	34.3	3.68		31	14.0	36.4	28.7	5.30	
		(23.2-NA)	(36.3-67.7)	(21.3-55.4)	(1.66-8.18)			(11.3-NA)	(22.5-58.9)	(15.9-51.5)	(2.54-11.07)	

BCLC stage 0-A and B-C HCC in the training and internal validation cohorts

BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CI, confidence interval; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NA, not available; OS, overall survival.



Figure S1 Calibration plots for the OSASH score in predicting 3-year overall survival in all cohorts.

8



Figure S2 Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrating differences in OS between the OSASH-low and OSASH-high risk patients with HCC in six subgroups in

the training cohort. ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MVI, microvascular invasion; OS, overall survival.



Figure S3 Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrating differences in OS between the OSASH-low and OSASH-high risk patients with HCC in six subgroups in

the internal validation cohort. ALBI, albumin-bilirubin; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MVI, microvascular invasion; OS, overall survival.



Figure S4 An OSASH-low risk patient with BCLC stage C HCC in the internal validation cohort. Pathologically confirmed HCC in a 64-yearold woman with baseline serum AFP of 78 ng/ml. (A-F) Extracellular contrast agent-enhanced MRI demonstrated a 5.7 cm HCC in liver segment V. The tumor (star) showed mild to moderate hyperintensity on (A) T2-weighted image, diffusion restriction on (B) diffusion-weighted image ($b = 1200 \text{ s/mm}^2$), hypointensity on (C) precontrast agent-enhanced T1-weighted image, nonrim arterial phase hyperenhancement on (D) late arterial phase image, nonsmooth tumor margin on (E) portal venous phase, and incomplete tumor "capsule" (arrowhead) and portal vein tumor thrombus (arrow) on (F) delayed phase image. This patient was classified as BCLC stage C before surgery. She had one risk factor (incomplete tumor "capsule") for overall survival and was assigned 20 points, corresponding to the CONMA-low risk group (\leq 32 points). The patient was alive throughout the follow-up period of 51.1 months. AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.