SiVENT Study Protocols

SiVENT - Sigh Ventilation to Increase Ventilator-Free Days in Victims of Trauma at Risk for the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome

SiVENT Amended Study Protocol	2
SiVENT Original Study Protocol	
SiVENT Protocol Amendment History	70

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 1 of 34

Sigh Ventilation to Increase Ventilator-Free Days in Victims of Trauma at Risk for the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (SiVent)

Study PI: Dr. Richard K. Albert

Background

The current paradigm describing the pathophysiology of the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) begins with patients having one or more risk factors that predispose them to developing the syndrome (e.g., trauma, inhalation injury, sepsis,



pneumonia) as depicted on the right-hand side of the shaded portion of Figure 1. These risk factors are thought to generate an inflammatory response that causes pulmonary endothelial and epithelial injury which, in turn, results in surfactant deficiency, alveolar flooding, atelectasis and shunt, and the resulting hypoxia necessitates the need for mechanical ventilation. Ventilatorinduced lung injury (VILI)

is thought to contribute to the pathophysiology by causing cyclical airspace opening and closing (termed atelectrauma) and/or overdistension (termed volutrauma) that produce additional, ongoing lung injury, as well as systemic effects that are termed biotrauma (Dos Santos, 2000; Ware, 2000).

Interestingly, however, only ~20% of patients have ARDS at the time they are diagnosed with one or more of the predisposing risk factors. In the other ~ 80% the onset of ARDS is delayed by 1-4 days (median = 2 days) (Hudson, 1995; Hou, 2012). While this lag has been attributed to the inflammatory response taking time to develop it is also consistent with the hypothesis that, in perhaps as many as 80% of cases, ARDS might be occurring via a different pathophysiological pathway.

The following observations have led to the development of a <u>new paradigm for the</u> <u>pathophysiology of ARDS</u> as shown in the unshaded portion of Figure 1.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 2 of 34

Constant tidal volume (V_T) ventilation inactivates and/or depletes surfactant and causes atelectasis.

Mechanical ventilation has been known to decrease lung compliance in humans since the 1950s (Wu, 1956; Butler, 1957, Ferris, 1960) and Mead and Collier (1959) were the first to link this change in compliance to the development of atelectasis. Greenfield and colleagues (1964) were the first to suggest that the compliance change and the atelectasis occurred because <u>mechanical ventilation depleted</u>, altered or <u>interfered with surfactant</u>. Subsequently, numerous investigators have confirmed that <u>constant V_T ventilation for as little as 5 minutes (a) increases the surface tension of lung extracts</u>, (b) increases lung elastic recoil and airway opening pressures and (c) causes atelectasis, and that these changes (d) are directly related to the size of the V_T, the duration of mechanical ventilation, and possibly the respiratory rate (Faridy, 1966; McClenahan, 1967; Forrest, 1972; Wyszogrodski, 1975). Wyszogrodski and colleagues (1975) and Bailey and colleagues (2008) found that large V_T ventilation released surfactant into the alveolar space but that it also increased the surface tension of lung extracts. Accordingly, they proposed that <u>constant V_T ventilation inactivated</u>, rather than depleted surfactant.

In a well-known and highly cited study. Webb and Tierney (1974) found that rats ventilated with large V_T and no PEEP developed severe hypoxemia, had a reduction in respiratory system compliance and developed alveolar edema and other pathologic changes. Although this study is frequently cited as being the first to demonstrate that mechanical ventilation injures the lung as a result of overdistension and/or cvclical airspace opening and closing (i.e., VILI), Webb and Tierney found no evidence of tissue disruption on histologic examination and concluded that overdistension was probably not the explanation for the abnormalities they observed. Cyclical airspace opening and closing was not mentioned in the manuscript. Webb and Tierney (1974) attributed their findings to surfactant depletion resulting from the large ventilatory excursions they employed, or to the absence of PEEP causing surfactant dysfunction from repeated compression of the fluid film as was first demonstrated by Clements (1957) nearly 20 years earlier. Accordingly, the findings of Webb and Tierney (1974), together with those cited above, suggest that the reduction in mortality in ARDS seen with low V_T ventilation does not occur because low V_Ts cause less overdistension, but rather because it causes less surfactant dysfunction which, in turn, results in less atelectasis and less cyclical airspace opening and closing.

Surfactant can be separated by centrifugation into large aggregates and small aggregates, with the latter having much less surface activity. Cycling surfactant ex-vivo or ventilating lungs in-vivo or in-situ with constant, large V_T converts large aggregates to small aggregates and impairs large aggregate function. Larger changes in surface area result in greater conversion (Veldhuizen, 1996; 2002), but compliance and surface tension decrease in as short a time as 15 minutes of ventilation <u>even when V_T is low or normal,</u> with accompanying increases in inflammatory cytokines and histologic evidence

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 3 of 34

of lung damage (Veldhuizen, 1996; Muscedere, 1994; Thet, 1979; D'Angelo, 2002; Chu, 2004; Myrianthefs, 2006; Bailey, 2008; Hauber, 2010; Hong, 2010; Vobruba, 2013).

Increased surface tension is necessary and sufficient to cause VILI.

Clements (1957), was the first to suggest that surfactant "might be an 'antiatelectasis factor", that the "long-term stability of the lungs requires *periodic replenishment of surfactant*," (my emphasis) and that "the mechanism and speed with which the lungs *spontaneously decrease in compliance and become atelectatic* (my emphasis) probably depend significantly, and perhaps solely, on the viscoelastic parameters of the surface films" (Clements, 1957; 1961; 1963). Young and colleagues (1970) subsequently demonstrated that compliance decreased when lungs were <u>held in inflation</u> with air at 3 cm H₂O for 20 minutes <u>with no V_T</u>. They attributed the change to <u>increases in surface tension that developed spontaneously</u> because no change in compliance occurred when the lungs were distended with liquid to the same volume (see the explanation provided by Pattle discussed below).

Coker and colleagues (1992) and Taskar and colleagues (1997) both found that <u>VILI</u> <u>only occurred in animal models when surfactant was inactivated</u>. Bilek and colleagues (2003) developed an apparatus consisting of two glass plates that were coated with epithelial cells and separated by a distance that was similar to the diameter of small airways. Forcing fluid between these plates generated large pressure gradients that damaged the cells. When surfactant was added, however, fluid could be forced through the opposed surfaces at much lower pressures and the epithelial injury was prevented.

Increasing endogenous surfactant pools or intratracheal administration of exogenous surfactant protects against the hypoxia, the decrease in compliance, the protein leakage and the release of inflammatory cytokines that occur when animals are ventilated with large V_Ts and no PEEP (Verbrugge, 1998; Welk, 2001; D'Angelo, 2007; Maruscak, 2008; Yamashita, 2008) and Walker and colleagues (2009) confirmed this effect in mice ventilated with a small V_Ts (i.e., 5 ml/kg) and a PEEP of 3 cmH₂O.

In the most definitive study demonstrating that surfactant deficiency is necessary and sufficient to cause VILI Ikegami and colleagues (2005) developed mice that conditionally expressed normal levels of human surfactant-associated protein B (SP-B) when they were receiving doxycycline. When doxycycline was withdrawn in these spontaneously breathing mice, SP-B decreased, surface tension increased as did protein concentrations and inflammatory cells in bronchoalveolar lavage and IL-1 β in lung tissue and 70% of the animals died from cyanosis and respiratory distress. If, after four days, doxycycline was reintroduced all of these changes reversed and none of the animals died. IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 4 of 34

Changes in surfactant and surface tension precede the onset of ARDS.

The current paradigm explaining the pathophysiology of ARDS indicates that surfactant abnormalities develop as a result of the endothelial and/or epithelial injury that occur in response to the inflammation induced by the predisposing risk factors (Figure 1). Hedley-Whyte and colleagues (1964), however, noted that lung compliance fell within 14 minutes of instituting large V_T ventilation in normal dogs, but that shunting and the alveolar-to-arterial oxygen tension difference did not increase until 30 minutes later. Finley and colleagues (1964) found that surface tension fell <u>before</u> the development of experimental atelectasis.

Large aggregate forms of surfactant decrease before increases in permeability occur or gas exchange abnormalities develop in animal models of acute lung injury (Lewis, 1990), and surfactant pool sizes and surface lowering activity are altered 1 hour before oxygen decreases after instituting high-stretch ventilation (Maruscak, 2008). Thammanomai and colleagues (2007) found that SP-B levels decreased after ventilating normal mice for only 20 minutes. Importantly, Greene and colleagues (1999) reported that SP-A and SP-B concentrations were reduced in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of patients who were <u>at risk</u> for developing ARDS <u>before the time they met</u> roentgenographic or gas exchange criteria for ARDS.

Stretching alveolar type 2 cells in-vitro, or giving large tidal volumes (i.e., sigh breaths) in-vivo, causes secretion of surfactant into the alveolar space and prevents atelectasis and lung injury.

Cecil Drinker seems to be the first to recognize that intermittent delivery of <u>large V_Ts</u> <u>decreased atelectasis</u> (Drinker, 1945). In 1928, while observing the first subject being tested in the mechanical ventilator devised by his brother he noted that:

"a more interesting matter relating to the comfort of the young man was his request that from time to time the respirator be made to give him a deep inspiration, a sort of prolonged yawn or sigh. This gave comfort to him and thought to me...if [man] has remained quiet for a long time, without the possibility of movement, he indulges often in a long breath, a sigh, or a yawn. The way to treat pulmonary stasis and atelectasis is to prevent their occurrence and, thereby, their eventual promotion of more serious conditions. This is accomplished by change in position and best by a few deep respirations" (Drinker, 1945).

The first report describing treatment of poliomyelitis with mechanical ventilation was published in 1930 by physicians who were using the Drinker respirator and included comments on the importance frequently administering deep breaths (Schambaugh, 1930). William Dock, in a 1944 paper entitled "The sequelae of complete bed rest" noted that: "patients with air hunger and deep respiration up to the final hour show

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 5 of 34

notably less collapse post mortem than do those with normal blood levels of hemoglobin and base...it is the acidosis and air hunger which prevent collapse" (Dock, 1944). Bernstein (1957), studying rabbits, was the first to demonstrate that ventilation-induced changes in lung pressure-volume curves could be prevented by periodically administrating large inflations. His observation was confirmed by Mead and Collier (1959) in dogs that were spontaneously breathing or receiving mechanical ventilation and subsequently by numerous other groups studying humans (Farris, 1960; Caro, 1960; Egbert, 1963; Bendixen, 1963; Laver 1964).

In 1965 Pattle (1965), one of the first biochemists to explore the physiology of surfactant, concluded that:

"There thus exists a surface tension, γ_0 , at which the free surface energy is at a minimum; if the surface tension is less than this, no recruitment of material to the lining film from the underlying complex can take place, and there will be a tendency for material to be desorbed from the surface film. Any desorption of the surface film will result in a decrease in the internal surface area; there will be a consequent fall in the volume at maximum pressure and in the compliance calculated from that volume. *Eventually collapse of some or all of the alveolar units will occur. If the ventilatory cycle is kept regular, therefore, decrease in compliance and slow collapse are to be expected...it follows that one of the functions of a yawn or deep breath is to recruit more material to the lining film. If such reactions are prevented slow collapse of the lining film, and eventually of the alveolar spaces, may be expected. If artificial respiratory is being used, the collapse might be prevented by giving the lungs an occasional maximal inflation" (Pattle, 1965) (my emphasis).*

The pool of surfactant in the alveolus is continuously depleted as a result of cellular uptake by type II alveolar epithelial cells and macrophages and by surfactant removal via the mucociliary escalator. The loss of surfactant is compensated for by secretion of surfactant from the type II cells. Accordingly, regulation of surfactant secretion is critical for homeostasis such that, if secretion is reduced, alveolar surface tension will increase thereby predisposing to atelectasis. Of the various chemical and physical stimuli that are known to regulate surfactant secretion lung distension, working through a calcium-dependent mechanism (Wirtz, 1990; Frick, 2004), is considered to be the most important physiologically (Dietl, 2005).

Tierney and Johnson (1965) also theorized that instability of surfactant would cause gradual atelectasis during shallow breathing and that "<u>the alveolar surface film can be</u> replenished by a single deep breath." A direct link between the effect of sighs and surfactant was subsequently demonstrated by numerous investigators who found that large V_T ventilation, large gasps in spontaneously breathing animals, single large inflations with air or liquid, or even single stretches of type II cells in-vitro all increased

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 6 of 34

<u>the release of active surfactant</u> (Nicholas, 1982; Nicholas, 1983; Massaro, 1983; Hildebran, 1981; Wirtz, 1990; Oyarzun, 1990).

Although the beneficial effect of sighs may seem inconsistent with the direct relationship between the size of the V_T and the resulting surfactant dysfunction noted above, the discrepancy is explained by the sizes of the V_Ts used and the frequencies and durations with which they were administered. Studies showing that larger V_Ts alter surfactant and increase surface tension used V_Ts ranging from 30% to 100% of the spontaneous V_T that were administered every breath for minutes to hours (Faridy, 1966; Forrest, 1972; Wyszogrodski , 1975; Oyarzun, 1977; Veldhuizen , 1996; Verbrugge , 1998; 1998a; Maruscak , 2008; Mascheroni, 1988; Davis, 1993). Studies showing that large V_Ts release surfactant and increase compliance used V_Ts ranging from 130% to 400% of the spontaneous V_T, with the sigh breaths given either intermittently or for brief periods of time (Mead, 1959; Egbert, 1963; Bendizen, 1963; Nicholas 1983; Oyarzun, 1991; Pelosi 1999; Patroniti, 2002).

If ventilation alters surfactant and causes atelectasis, and if surfactant secretion can prevent the atelectasis, why have the randomized trials of surfactant replacement in ARDS found no effect on mortality? Studies of surfactant replacement in ARDS are limited by concerns about differences in the composition of the various preparations used, the modes by which the surfactant is administered, the doses being given, the frequency of administration and the fact that a variety of proteins, lipids, proteases, and other substances can inactivate surfactant (summarized in Albert, 2012). In addition, all of the studies have been conducted in patients with established ARDS. The pathophysiology proposed in Figure 1 involves surfactant abnormalities developing before the onset of ARDS, prior to the time at which widespread alveolar filling with proteins, lipids, etc. occurs and alters gas exchange. In this sense, the new pathophysiology proposed more closely resembles that of the Respiratory Distress Syndrome in newborns in which surfactant production is inadequate as a result of type 2 pneumocyte immaturity. In this condition surfactant replacement is extremely effective (Einhorning, 1985; Hallman, 1985). In addition, increasing surfactant release into individual alveoli by incorporating sigh breaths should be a far more effective way of decreasing surface tension at the alveolar level than administering a variable dose of an exogenous surfactant into the airway and hoping it distributes to atelectatic airspaces.

Relevant clinical trials

Previous studies of sighs in humans utilized smaller volumes (e.g., two times the V_T), only administered sighs for a short period of time (e.g., 30-60 min) (Levine, 1972, Housley 1970), or gave sigh breaths more frequently than we propose but over an even shorter period of time (e.g., 3/min for one hour) (Pelosi, 1999). Bendixen and colleagues (1963) gave a series of three sigh breaths, each separated by four or five minutes, on one occasion to 15 patients undergoing general anesthesia for abdominal operations. The volume of the first sigh was that produced by a plateau pressure

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 7 of 34

(Pplat) of 20 cmH₂O and was held for 10 sec, the second was produced by a Pplat of 30 cmH₂O and was held for 15 sec and the third by a Pplat 40 cmH₂O and was held for 15 sec. Progressive improvements in gas exchange and respiratory system compliance were observed with each progressively larger breath (Bendixen, 1963).

Biologically variable ventilation (VV) is similar to sigh ventilation, but rather than delivering a sigh that is regularly interspersed among constant V_T breaths, VV delivers V_Ts that randomly vary in volume over time. The volume of the larger breaths is similar to those given by sighs. VV facilitates recruitment of atelectatic lung, prevents deterioration in gas exchange that occurs with constant V_{T} ventilation of animals with normal lungs, and improves mechanics and gas exchange in various animal models of acute lung injury and, in some but not all studies, reduced histological evidence of injury (Mutch, 2000; 2000a; 2000b; Arold, 2000a; Boker, 2002; Funk, 2004; Spieth, 2009). As was observed with sigh breaths, biologically variable ventilation also increases the release of surfactant into the alveolar space (Arold, 2000b). In animals with normal or injured lungs VV and sigh ventilation had similar effects on lung mechanics and gas exchange but IL-1ß levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of injured lungs were reduced more by VV than by sigh ventilation (Thammanomai, 2008). VV has been assessed in two human studies. In the first, Boker and colleagues (2004) randomized 41 patients undergoing abdominal aortic aneurysm repairs to controlled mechanical ventilation or VV, both of which were continued for six hours. Patients receiving VV had improvements in oxygenation, carbon dioxide partial pressure, dead space, respiratory system compliance and peak inspiratory pressure. In the second, Kowalski and colleagues (2013) administered VV for four hours in a cross-over study of eight patients with acute lung injury. Lung compliance, dead space fraction and the oxygen index improved slightly. No adverse effects were observed in either study.

Summary

The rationale for this proposal comes from an extensive basic science and clinical literature supporting a new pathway by which ARDS developed as depicted in Figure 1. If this paradigm were correct most instances of ARDS are actually VILI that occurs because constant V_T ventilation alters surfactant which, in turn, causes atelectasis and provide the setting in which atelectrauma occurs. Adding sigh breaths will augment surfactant secretion into the alveolar space and could interrupt this pathophysiologic process. If this study finds that sigh ventilation reduces ventilator-free days it will reduce the morbidity and possibly the mortality of victims of trauma who are at increased risk of developing ARDS.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 8 of 34

Hypothesis and Specific Aim:

Hypothesis:

Adding sigh breaths to usual invasive mechanical ventilation of victims of trauma who are at risk of developing ARDS will decrease the number of days they require invasive mechanical ventilation. Specific aim:

To determine if including sigh breaths in the usual care of victims of trauma who are at increased risk of developing ARDS will increase ventilator-free days.

Methods

Study Design:

Prospective, randomized, concurrently controlled clinical trial.

Inclusion criteria:

Patients in an intensive care unit (ICU) as a result of injuries from penetrating or nonpenetrating trauma who are intubated and receiving invasive mechanical ventilation who also have one or more of the following:

- 1. Traumatic brain injury
- 2. > 1 long bone (femur, tibia, humerus) fractures
- 3. Shock on arrival in the Emergency Department (systolic BP < 90 mmHg)
- 4. Lung contusion (as diagnosed in the admission history and physical exam)
- 5. Receipt of > 6 units of any blood product in the first 24 hours

Exclusion criteria:

- 1. Inability to obtain consent from the patient or his/her legally authorized representative (LAR)
- 2. Unwillingness of the treating physician to use sigh ventilation as all treating physicians must have equipoise with respect to the intervention
- 3. Under 18 years of age
- 4. Undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation for > 24 hours, excluding any time during which the patient was being ventilated in the operating room, MRI, CT or IR, as this could represent too long a delay in instituting the intervention for it to have a chance of being effective
- 5. Presence of malignancy or other irreversible disease or condition for which the six month mortality is estimated to exceed 50% (e.g., chronic liver disease with a

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 9 of 34

Child-Pugh Score of 10-15, malignancy refractory to treatment) as this could affect the clinical course and cloud interpretation of the endpoints

- 6. Moribund, not expected to survive 48 hours as this could cloud interpretation of the endpoints
- 7. Women who are pregnant (negative pregnancy tests required on women of childbearing age), per Human Subjects regulations
- 8. Prisoners, per Human Subjects regulations
- 9. Neurological condition that could impair spontaneous ventilation (e.g., C5 or higher spinal cord injury as this could affect the clinical course and cloud interpretation of the ventilator-free day endpoint
- 10. Lack of availability of Dräger Evita Infinity V500 ventilator as this is the only ventilator capable of delivering sigh breaths as described in the protocol
- 11. Burns > 40% of body surface area as this could affect the clinical course and cloud interpretation of the endpoints
- 12. Treating physicians being unwilling to use low V_T ventilation strategy when ARDS is diagnosed as low V_T ventilation is now considered standard of care for patients with ARDS
- 13. Patients on any ventilator mode that allows for spontaneous breathing, who are taking spontaneous breaths after intubation
- 14. Patient not expected to require mechanical ventilation > 24 hours (e.g., intubated for alcohol intoxication rather than pulmonary problem).
- 15. Patients enrolled in other studies should be reviewed with the study PI, Dr. Richard Albert, and the data coordinating center to assess eligibility for this study.

Patients meeting enrollment criteria will be randomized to one of two groups:

- Those receiving "usual care", meaning that their treating physician will be free to treat the patient in any way he or she sees fit, including utilizing invasive mechanical ventilation as they wish (with the exception that, if the patient has ARDS of any severity, low V_T ventilation will be employed as this is now the standard of care), or
- 2. Those receiving "usual care" as described above but with the addition of sigh breaths given once every 6 minutes.

Patients will be followed on a daily basis for 28 days or until they leave the intensive care unit or die. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28. If a patient is extubated and reintubated before day 28, the patient will continue to receive ventilation according to the group to which the patient was originally randomized.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 10 of 34

Intervention to be tested

The intervention we will test is adding sigh breaths, consisting of an increase in PEEP that produces a plateau pressure (Pplat) of $35 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ (or $40 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ in patients with BMIs > 35, in patients with moderate or severe abdominal distension from ascites, blood and/or ileus, or prone patients). The sigh breaths will be delivered once every 6 minutes, as part of usual invasive mechanical ventilation.

The rate of delivery of these sigh breaths was selected on the basis of several studies. Bendixen and colleagues (1964) documented that the rate at which sighs occurred in normal humans was approximately 10/hour. Mead and Collier (7) showed that sighs given only six times/hour prevented the reduction in compliance that occurred with constant V_T ventilation in animals with normal lungs and Reiss and colleagues (2011) found that a single sigh given once every 5 min improved mechanics, gas exchange and inflammatory cytokines in normal mice. Frick and colleagues (2004) reported that lamellar body fusion preceding surfactant secretion that was increased by applying a single stretch of isolated type II cells abated by 5 min and Steimback and colleagues (2009) found that delivering one sigh every 6 min reduced epithelial cell apoptosis and procollagen III expression and improved respiratory mechanics in rats with experimental lung injury compared with animals not receiving sighs and those receiving three sighs/min.

The plateau change resulting from increasing PEEP producing a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O was selected for two reasons. First, it produces an end-inspiratory lung volume that approximates total lung capacity in patients with normal chest wall and lung compliances, thereby facilitating secretion of surfactant. Second, a Pplat of 40 cmH₂O has been utilized in numerous short-term studies of recruitment maneuvers in patients with ARDS without any evidence that it caused barotrauma or volutrauma (Pelosi, 1999; Oczenski, 2005; Grasso, 2002; Lim, 2003; Antonaglia, 2006). In patients with clinically evident abdominal distension graded moderate or severe, in those with BMI's exceeding 35, and in those who are prone the volume of the sigh breath will be determined on the basis of a Pplat of 40 cmH₂O given the decrease in chest wall compliance that will be present in these situations. Patients who have reached maximum PEEP and cannot obtain goal Pplat will not be considered deviations and the reasons for not reaching goal will be recorded by study personnel.

At present there is only one mechanical ventilator available in the U.S. that is configured to provide sigh breaths as designed, the Drager Evita Infinity V500.

For patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation prior to admission to the ICU, the intervention will begin as soon as possible, but not longer than 24 hours after the patient was placed on mechanical ventilation. For patients who are intubated and placed on invasive mechanical ventilation at some time after admission to the ICU, the

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 11 of 34

intervention will begin as soon as possible, but within 24 hours of starting invasive mechanical ventilation.

If a patient is randomized to receive sigh breaths and is transported to the operating room, CT, IR or other hospital department after randomization, the patient should be ventilated according to usual practice, i.e., they need not continue to receive sigh breaths during the time they are away from the ICU. Sigh breaths should be restarted on their return.

Endpoints

- 1. The <u>primary endpoint</u> in this study is the number of ventilator-free days (VFDs) to day 28 after enrollment. VFDs will be counted in the following fashion:
 - a. VFD to day 28 is defined as the number of days of unassisted breathing to day 28 after randomization, assuming a patient survives for at least two consecutive calendar days after initiating unassisted breathing and remains free of assisted breathing. If a patient returns to assisted breathing and subsequently achieves unassisted breathing prior to day 28, VFD will be counted from the end of the last period of assisted breathing to day 28 unless a period of assisted breathing was less than 24 hours and the purpose of assisted breathing was a surgical procedure.
 - b. If the patient is receiving assisted ventilation at day 28 or dies prior to day 28, VFD will be 0.
 - c. Any VFDs before patients are placed on comfort care will be counted.
 - d. Unassisted breathing is defined as the patient breathing spontaneously with a face mask, nasal prong oxygen, or on room air, T-tube breathing, tracheostomy mask breathing, or CPAP < 5 without pressure-support or intermittent mandatory ventilation, or with the use of noninvasive ventilation solely for sleep-disordered breathing. Assisted breathing is defined as any level of ventilatory support at pressures higher that the unassisted breathing thresholds.</p>
- 2. <u>Secondary endpoints</u> will include the following:
 - a. All-cause 28-day mortality.
 - b. The number ICU-free days to day 28 after enrollment
 - c. The occurrence of complications of treatment (specifically pneumothorax, ventilator-associated pneumonia, hypotension requiring pressors, pneumatocele).

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 12 of 34

- d. Percentage of patients discharged to extended care facilities, on mechanical ventilation, or to in-patient or home hospice.
- e. Newly requiring continuous oxygen therapy at discharge

Recruitment process

Research personnel (e.g., study physicians, research coordinators, research assistants) will seek out the senior treating physician for patients meeting study inclusion criteria to request permission to seek consent from the patient or, if the patient cannot participate in the consent process, from the patient's legally recognized representative (LAR) or proxy decision maker for participation in the study. If the senior treating physician agrees, the research personnel will pursue obtaining consent.

Informed consent process

Written informed consent will be obtained from each patient, or from their LAR, by having the patient or their LAR sign a consent form that is approved by the institution's IRB after the research personnel reviews each item in the consent form with the patient or their LAR, answers questions and allows the patient or their LAR time to consider the request if they wish. If a patient is unable to consent and their LAR is not physically present at the hospital but reachable by phone, verbal consent may be obtained by telephone. The LAR for all subjects for whom telephone consent is obtained will be asked to sign a paper consent upon arrival at the hospital and will be given the opportunity to withdraw from the study if they do not reaffirm consent to continue participating.

Patients will be randomized to one of the two study arms as soon as possible, but not longer than 24 hours, after initiation of invasive mechanical ventilation.

All patients meeting the inclusion criteria will be entered in the eligibility form. If the patient is not enrolled, the eligibility form will include information explaining why enrollment did not occur (e.g., exclusion criteria, attending physician denial, patient refusal, etc.).

Research personnel (described above) will be responsible for explaining the study, answering questions and obtaining consent. If patients still have questions the site PI will be contacted to assist with providing the requested information. The research personnel will be responsible for obtaining consent and the Site-Principal Investigator will be responsible for cosigning the consent form.

The consenting process will occur either in the ICU or in family counseling rooms adjacent to the ICU.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 13 of 34

In the event that research personnel or the treating physician believes the patient is not competent, either because of the condition requiring treatment (e.g., traumatic brain injury) or the medications being used to treat anxiety or pain (e.g., sedatives and/or narcotics) consent will be sought from the patient's legally authorized representative (LAR). All subjects for whom LAR or proxy consent is obtained will be asked to provide consent if decisional capacity is regained and will be given the opportunity to withdraw from the study if they do not give consent to continue participating. If decisional capacity is regained on or before day 28, re-consent is not required. If decisional capacity is regained on or before day 28 and the patient is still hospitalized, they will be re-consented as soon as decisional capacity is regained.

The consent form specifically states that the patients do not have to decide whether they want to participate at the point in time when consent is being discussed. It also states that the patients are free to consider the decision and/or to discuss it with others in private, without any study personnel or physicians involved in their care being present. The consent form also notes, however, that the patient must decide whether they wish to participate before they have been receiving mechanical ventilation for 24 hours as receiving ventilation for longer than 24 hours is one of the exclusion criteria.

The proposed study will be conducted in eight states in the U.S. Each site may have different local or regional regulations regarding who can serve as a LAR and when an LAR is considered necessary. The consent form specific to each site will be modified to include the regulations regarding LARs for each site prior to submitting it for individual IRB approval.

If a patient is deemed incompetent or cannot otherwise participate in the consent process the same process described for seeking consent from the patient will be used to seek consent from the LAR.

Patient Follow-up Process

Research personnel will see patients enrolled in the study daily until day 28 or until the patient is discharged from the ICU, either to another floor in the hospital or home. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28.

If the patient is discharged from the ICU before day 28 and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation, research personnel will review medical records and/or contact the patient on day 28 (or the Friday before or Monday after if day 28 occurs on a weekend) to determine if the patient is still alive, discharged from the hospital (and if so, when), and whether the patient has experienced any adverse events (see the risk management and emergency response section) between the day the patient was discharged from the ICU and day 28.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 14 of 34

If a patient is extubated and reintubated before day 28, the patient will continue to receive ventilation according to the group to which the patient was originally randomized.

If a patient is randomized to receive sigh breaths and is transported to the operating room, CT, IR or other hospital department after randomization, the patient should be ventilated according to usual practice, i.e., they need not continue to receive sigh breaths during the time they are away from the ICU. Sigh breaths should be restarted on their return.

Data collection

- 1. Assessment on enrollment
 - a. Demographics (age, gender, self-reported race)
 - b. Pregnancy test (serum or urine) for women of child-bearing potential (this is part of usual care and does not represent a study-related expense)
 - c. Co-existing medical conditions
 - d. Evidence of previous thoracic surgery
 - e. Height, weight, BMI and calculated predicted body weight
 - f. Date and time of injury
 - g. Date and time of admission to ED
 - h. Date and time patient was admitted to ICU
 - i. Description of any operations done between ED and ICU admission
 - j. Blood alcohol level (if recorded)
 - k. Smoking status
 - I. Initial BP in ED
 - m. Initial Glascow Coma Scale in ED
- 2. Initial assessment
 - a. Date and time patient was intubated and started on invasive mechanical ventilation
 - b. Ventilator used, ventilator mode, tidal volume, F₁O₂, PEEP, peak and plateau pressures, whether prone positioning was utilized
 - c. The latest arterial blood gas prior to the ventilator settings recorded, indicating pH, the PaCO₂, the PaO₂, the HCO3, the F₁O₂, the SaO₂ and the PEEP level and the time the sample was obtained
 - d. If the patient has been randomized to sigh ventilation:
 - i. Is the patient's BMI > 35?
 - ii. If yes, is the Pplat for the sigh breath 40 cmH₂O?
 - iii. If no, is the Pplat for the sigh breath 35 cmH₂O?

- e. Level of PEEP applied during sighs to produce a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O
- f. Transfusions of any blood products (in units, includes red blood cells, fresh frozen plasma, platelets and/or whole blood)
- g. Does the patient have an abdominal distention (yes/no)? If yes, is it mild, moderate or severe?
- h. Whether the patient is receiving any of the following medications (yes/no)
 - i. Vasopressors (includes norepinephrine, vasopressin, epinephrine, phenylephrine, isoproterenol and dopamine). Indicate all that were administered
 - ii. Inotropic agents (includes dobutamine, milrinone, amrinone).
 - iii. Paralytics If yes, indicate reason (ventilator dyssynchrony, other)
 - iv. Benzodiazapine (diazepam, lorazepam, midazolam)
 - v. Dexmetatomidine
 - vi. Propofol
 - vii. Other (haloperidol, phenobarbital, droperidol, quetiapine)
- i. Most recent Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) score before randomization
- j. Does the patient have a tube thoracostomy?
 - i. If yes, what was the timing (on admission, after admission but within the first 24 hours or before the initial assessment)?
 - ii. Reason for the tube thoracostomy (pneumothorax, hemothorax, both)
- k. Did the patient undergo an operation after admission but within the first 24 hours or before the initial assessment? If yes, provide date/time for each operation and a description of the operation.
- I. Were any infiltrates identified? If yes, indicate which area or areas:
 - i. Left upper (including left middle area)
 - ii. Left lower
 - iii. Right upper (including right middle area)
 - iv. Right lower
- 3. Daily Assessments
 - a. Use of continuous invasive mechanical ventilation
 - b. Ventilator used, ventilator mode, tidal volume, F₁O₂, PEEP, peak and plateau pressures, prone positioning
 - c. The latest arterial blood gas prior to the ventilator settings recorded, indicating pH, the PaCO2, the PaO2, the HCO3, the FIO2, the SaO2 and the PEEP level and the time the sample was obtained
 - d. Were any infiltrates identified? If yes, indicate area:

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 16 of 34

- i. Left upper (including left middle area)
- ii. Left lower
- iii. Right upper (including right middle area)
- iv. Right lower
- e. Are the infiltrates:
 - i. Unchanged from previous film
 - ii. Worse or more extensive than on previous film
 - iii. Better than on previous film
- f. Ejection Fraction (EF) if echocardiogram was obtained.
- g. Whether the patient is receiving any of the following medications (yes/no)
 - i. Vasopressors (includes norepinephrine, vasopressin, epinephrine, phenylephrine, isoproterenol and dopamine). Indicate all that were administered
 - ii. Inotropic agents (includes dobutamine, milrinone, amrinone).
 - iii. Paralytics If yes, indicate reason (ventilator dssynchrony, other)
 - iv Benzodiazapine (diazepam, lorazepam, midazolam)
 - v. Dexmetatomidine
 - vi. Propofol
 - vii. Other (haloperidol, phenobarbital, droperidol, quetiapine)
- h. Most recent Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) score
- i. Use of prone positioning or inhaled NO > 12 hr in last 24 hrs (y/n)
- j. Date and time of discontinuation of invasive mechanical ventilation
- k. Did patient receive non-invasive mechanical ventilation (CPAP > 5 cmH2O, BiPAP other than for OSA)
- I. Date and time of reinstitution of invasive mechanical ventilation (if necessary)
- m. Date and time of transfer out of ICU to day 28 (if occurred)
- n. Date of hospital discharge to day 28 (if occurred)
- o. Date and cause of death to day 28 (if occurred)
- p. Assessment of abdominal distension (mild, moderate, severe)
- q. Did the patient undergo an operation in the previous 24 hours (laparotomy, thoracotomy, craniotomy, rib plating, ORIF, other)
- r. Presence of pneumothorax or pneumatocele
- s. Tracheostomy in the past 24 hours?
- t. BAL or mini-BAL with colony counts if available
- u. Is alcohol withdraw affecting management
- v. Occurrence of complications (e.g., cardiac arrest, stroke, MI, PE, AKI, pneumonia, ICP related to the vent mode)

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 17 of 34

- 4. Closeout assessments
 - a. Final 28-day disposition (e.g., discharged or transferred to home or elsewhere if alive)
 - b. Discharge diagnoses (ICD9 or ICD10 codes)
 - c. Procedures (CPT codes)
 - d. Chest AIS
 - e. Description of trauma
 - f. ISS
 - g. Mechanism of injury
 - h. Rib plates
 - i. Discharged on new continuous home oxygen

Statistical plan

Sample size estimate

We initially estimated a need to enroll 916 patients in SiVent. This was based on the assumption that the number of ventilator-free days (VFDs) in the control arm of the study would be 11.75 days, with a standard deviation (SD) of 10.5 days. These assumptions were taken from a study by Wiedemann et al, (N Engl J Med, 2006) that also used VFDs as a primary endpoint in a study of ARDS patients.

The actual number of VFDs and SD for patients enrolled in the control arm calculated by the DCC at the time of the first interim analysis were 15 days and 9.9 days, respectively. Using these actual numbers, a power of 80%, and an observed withdrawal rate of 1% (which we did not account for in the initial sample size estimate but was observed in the first 300 patients enrolled), we determined that we would be able to meet acceptable statistical goals by enrolling 544 patients.

Data Analysis

The primary endpoint for the SiVent study is VFDs in the first 28 days following randomization, with the convention that mortality prior to day 28, regardless of when it occurs, is equated to 0 ventilator-free days. This composite outcome, abbreviated as VFD28 in the following, has been used in previous studies of ARDS and is recommended by data analysts in the ARDS Network [Schoenfeld D, Bernard G (2002), Statistical evaluation of ventilator-free days as an efficacy measure in clinical trials of treatments for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 30: 1772-1777.]

Because the statistical distribution of VFD28 is usually not close to normal, the primary analysis of the difference between the 'Sigh' group and the control group can be based on the Wilcoxon rank-sum statistic. Additional analyses, taking into account

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 18 of 34

covariates such as age, gender, smoking history, and characteristics of the trauma which resulted in the patient being put on mechanical ventilation, in addition to treatment group, will be carried out using least-squares regression. Non-normality of the outcome is unlikely to influence the results of such analyses because the sample size is expected to be in the 300-1000 range (for interim and final analyses).

Mortality will be analyzed separately as a secondary endpoint. ICU-free days (up to day 28, with deaths prior to day 28 classified as having ICU28 = 0) will be analyzed as just described for VFD28. Occurrences of complications of treatment (adverse effects) prior to day 28 will be analyzed using logistic regression.

Interim Analyses; Early Termination

A Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) will be appointed to oversee the progress of the SiVent trial and to review adverse events and efficacy. The DSMB will be comprised of 3 members: one pulmonary physicians, a surgeon who treats ARDS, and a statistician. The members will be independent of the institutions where the clinical sites are located. The DSMB will meet approximately every 6 months (alternately in-person and by conference call). The DCC will prepare a complete summary of data on recruitment, adherence to protocol, protocol violations, withdrawal rates, adverse events, deaths, and the primary and secondary outcomes. One of the responsibilities of the DSMB at each of its meetings is to make recommendations concerning continuation, revision, or termination of the clinical trial. Here we describe guidelines for interim analysis of results, with the goal of providing 'stopping boundaries' for the primary outcome (VFD28).

Our expectation is that three formal interim analyses will be conducted: the first when approximately 1/3 of the total patients have been evaluated, the second when about 2/3 have been evaluated, and the third when all patients have been evaluated. The monitoring boundaries for these 'looks' at the data are based on the Lan-DeMets 'alpha spending function' approach. This yields an overall significance level of approximately 0.05. We have chosen monitoring boundaries in the 'Pocock' family [Pocock S (1977), Group sequential methods in the design and analysis of clinical trials. *Biometrika* 64: 191-199]. The software is 'ld98', an interactive program based on the research of K.K. Gordon Lan and David DeMets:

[https://www.biostat.wisc.edu/content/lan-demets-method-statistical-programs-clinical-trials]

See also Lan KKG, DeMets DL (1983) Discrete sequential boundaries for clinical trials. *Biometrika* 70: 659-663. The boundaries are shown in the Figure below. Boundary values are expressed in terms of 'Z' statistics. The upper boundary corresponds to stopping for a benefit to the Sigh group; the lower boundary would be crossed if there is relative evidence of harm in the Sigh group. We have chosen to use asymmetric boundaries, with the lower boundary more likely to be crossed, because we

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 19 of 34

feel that if there is evidence that the 'Sigh' group has more bad outcomes, we do not want the trial to continue. Note also that, if the lower boundary is crossed at some point, it is highly unlikely that at a future 'look', the upper boundary will be crossed. That is, crossing the lower boundary corresponds to 'futility' for the Sigh treatment group.

Stopping boundaries in terms of the Z statistic:

Information time	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Cumulative alpha
0.33	-2.09	+2.61	0.023
0.66	-2.09	+2.65	0.016
1.00	-2.09	+2.66	0.050

Figure: Sequential Monitoring Boundaries

	GROUP	SEQUENTIAL	BOUNDARIES	
3.00: 2.76: 2.52: 2.28:		*		*
1.80: 1.56: 1.32: 1.08:				
0.84: 0.60: 0.36: 0.12: -0.12:				
-0.36: -0.60: -0.84: -1.08: -1.32:				
-1.56: -1.80: -2.04: -2.28:		*	*	*
-2.76: -3.00: 0	.1 .2 .	3.4.5 Time	.6 .7 .8	.9 1

The 'stopping boundaries' are intended as statistical guidelines for the DSMB; the DSMB needs to review the sequential monitoring scheme and the boundaries as described above, and approve this plan prior to initiating the trial. Further, the DSMB is free at any time to make recommendations that disagree with these guidelines, i.e., they may recommend that the trial continue even though the 'stopping boundaries' have been crossed, or to recommend termination of the trial even though the primary outcome data are strictly between the upper and lower boundaries.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 20 of 34

Data management

Identifiers

Research personnel at each site will prepare a separate paper file for each patient. Patients will be identified by name, date of birth and medical record number. When data are transmitted to the DCC the research personnel at each site will access a password-protected website. On doing so, and indicating they are registering a new patient, the system will assign a site designation (A through J) and a number to uniquely identify that patient.

Confidentiality

1. Protecting privacy and maintaining confidentiality

All data transmitted to or from the DCC, whether through the password-secured website or by e-mail, will be fully encrypted. Each patient's file will contain information linking the letter and number with that patient but the patients' name, date of birth and medical record number will not be transmitted to the DCC. Individual patient files will be kept under locked access at each site until notified by the DCC that the files can be destroyed.

2. Access to study records

Research personnel at each site (i.e., site- Principal Investigator, research coordinators or research assistants) will have access to the study data collected for each specific patient enrolled from that site and will be able to link these data to each specific patient. DCC personnel will have access to all study data collected from all patients but will not be able to link those data to any specific patient.

Representatives of the Representatives of the USAMRMC (US ARMY Medical & Materiel Command) will be eligible to review all study records.

Data capture, verification and disposition

Data from screening and monitoring will be collected on printed paper forms and kept in these files. Copies of radiological reports will be collected and kept in the same files. After forms have been completed research personnel at each site will enter data by accessing a password-protected web site. Clinic personnel can enter and access

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 21 of 34

patient data from their site only. User names are unique and protected by a strong password. Only de-identified data will be entered.

Data are double entered (entered twice) to ensure data accuracy. Data will be edited in real time and personnel get immediate feedback if data edits are triggered. The data entry program inserts data directly into the database at the DCC. An additional and more comprehensive edit will take place nightly at the DCC, which automatically generates data queries. Data queries are posted on the study website and personnel respond to queries via the website user interface.

Data management at the DCC uses Oracle Application Server for the website software, with an Oracle database to store study data, running on a UNIX network. All files are automatically backed up nightly, with backup files stored off-site on a twice weekly basis.

The DCC will make available current reports on recruitment, randomization, study completion rates, form completion and accuracy, adherence to protocol, compliance, serious adverse events and other information, both by site and for all sites combined. These reports display real-time data with no time lag data that has been entered and data displayed in the reports.

Data quality

Each center participating in this study has prior experience with clinical trials in critical care. While the screening, monitoring, intervention and endpoints of the proposed trial are simple we plan an in-person training session that will be conducted in conjunction with the DCC involving the research personnel and site-investigators at all centers.

Data quality will be facilitated by training site personnel on:

- 1. The design and rationale of the study
- 2. The target population and recruitment
- 3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
- 4. Informed consent procedures
- 5. Randomization
- 6. Baseline data collection
- 7. Daily monitoring
- 8. Data entry, transmission and error correction
- 9. Reporting adverse events
- 10. Reporting protocol violations
- 11. Closeout of the study for the participants

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 22 of 34

In addition, the DCC will prepare a comprehensive Manual of Procedures that will be available on-line, will design structured data collection forms, will be involved in interactive editing at the time of data entry and will subsequently do comprehensive editing, will regularly and frequently prepare monitoring reports and review of these reports with site personnel on monthly conference calls involving all sites. The DSMB will review data on safety, efficacy and study operation.

Interim reports to the DSMB will be carried out at monthly and 6-monthly intervals. Monthly reports will include a graphical comparison of actual and target enrollments. Six-monthly reports will include completion rates, adverse events, mortality, levels of compliance, losses to follow-up and data on the primary and secondary outcomes.

Clinical trial monitoring

Each center will be visited during the conduct of the trial by a team assembled by the DCC. Site visitors will review the following aspects at each site:

- 1. Organizational structure
- 2. Recruiting methods and strategies
- 3. Adherence to protocol
- 4. Study completion rate
- 5. Error rates and timeliness of corrections in data entry
- 6. Handling of adverse events
- 7. Compliance with protocol
- 8. Agreement of entered data with raw source documents (i.e., a review of records)
- 9. Data transmission to DCC
- 10. Exit interview with site-PI and other research personnel

A brief written report will be prepared by the DCC after each site visit and sent to the site-PI, to all research personnel at the site, to the study PI and to the Department of Defense. If any corrective actions are needed these will be specifically outlined in these reports. If corrective actions are needed the site-PI will be expected to prepare a written response outlining how he/she plans to address the concerns. These responses will be reviewed by the DCC and the study PI and a monitoring plan will be developed to be carried out within the three months subsequent to the report.

Risk/Benefits Assessment

1. Foreseeable risks

We could find no human studies reporting the effects of administering sighs in a fashion similar to what we are proposing that would allow us to categorize foreseeable risks as previous studies of sighs have utilized smaller volumes [e.g., two times the VT (Housley, 1970; Levine, 2002)], sighs only given for a short period of time [e.g., 30-60

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 23 of 34

min, Housley, 1970; Levine, 2002)] or sighs given more frequently than we propose but for a shorter period of time [e.g., 3/min for one hour (Pelosi, 1999)]. In addition, the largest study we could find only enrolled 18 patients (Bendixen, 1964). On the basis of physiology, however, we can conceive of the following foreseeable risks.

a. Baro- or volutrauma

A systematic review identified 40 studies that evaluated the effects of various types of recruitment maneuvers in patients with ARDS (Hodgson, 2012). While the majority of these studies utilized sustained inflations, high pressure-controlled ventilation, or incremental PEEP to achieve recruitment five utilized sighs as the mechanism of achieving recruitment (Fan, 2008). These can be examined with respect to safety.

In the first of these, Pelosi and colleagues (1999) delivered three consecutive sigh breaths/min to a Pplat of 45 cmH₂O for one hour to 10 patients with ARDS. Prior to instituting the sighs the patients were receiving a mean VT of 0.56 ± 0.11 L and a PEEP of 14 cmH₂O. Accordingly, the patients had more severe lung injury that those we are planning to study. During the period when sigh breaths were delivered the VT's increased to 1.1 ± 0.45 L. Pulmonary arterial pressure decreased 2.5 ± 1.9 mmHg and pulmonary vascular resistance decreased 30.8 ± 27.8 dyne•sec•cm⁻⁵•m⁻² and both returned to control values after the sighs were discontinued. No instances of barotrauma were reported. These small but significant hemodynamic changes are not likely to be encountered in the study we propose as they were seen when three sighs were administered each minute whereas we are proposing to deliver one sigh every 6 minutes.

In the second, Foti and colleagues (2000) raised PEEP from 9.4 ± 3 to 16 ± 2 cmH₂O for two breaths separated by 30 sec, twice a minute for 30 minutes in 15 patients with ARDS. Peak inspiratory pressure averaged 35.5 ± 5.4 cmH₂O during the period in which sighs were instituted. Cardiac out, mean arterial blood pressure, pulmonary arterial pressure, pulmonary arterial wedge pressure, central venous pressures and oxygen delivery did not change. No instances of barotrauma were reported.

Patroniti and colleagues (2002) utilized one sigh breath/min, each lasting 3 to 5 seconds by intermittently raising PEEP to produce a Pplat that was 20% higher than the Pplat under the control condition or 35 cmH₂O, whichever was higher, for one hour in 13 patients with ARDS. Pplat averaged 38 ± 3.2 cmH₂O during the period when sighs were applied corresponding to VT's of 1.15 ± 0.3 L that were delivered on top of PEEP levels that averaged 10 ± 4 cmH₂O. Heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure did not change. No instances of barotrauma were reported. This study indicates that limiting our Pplat to 40 cmH₂O (45 cmH₂O in those with a BMI > 30) will allow us to achieve increases in VTs that are sufficient to cause surfactant release.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 24 of 34

In a second study, Pelosi and colleagues (2003) delivered three consecutive sigh breaths/min to a Pplat of 45 cmH₂O (that corresponded to a V_T of 947 ± 321 mL) for one hour to 10 patients with ARDS. Prior to administering sigh breaths the patients were being ventilated with a V_T of 590 ± 138 mL and a PEEP of 14 ± 3 cmH₂O. No change in hemodynamics occurred and no instances of barotrauma were reported.

Accordingly, although the number of patients studied is small but the literature contains no suggestion that the sigh breaths in volumes that we propose to deliver will cause any hemodynamic compromise. In addition, using a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O is <u>below</u> that used by other investigators in patients who had more severe lung injury than those we will be studying and no instances of barotrauma were observed.

b. Ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI)

VILI is attributed to overdistension and/or to cyclical airspace opening and closing. Low V_T ventilation is now the standard of care for patients with ARDS and is thought to reduce VILI by reducing overdistension. The lung volume produced by a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O could result in overdistension in some areas of the lung in some patients. It seems unlikely, however, that VILI could occur from this degree of overdistension occurring only 10 times/hour, and studies in animal models of lung injury indicate that such a strategy is protective rather than injurious (Oyarzun, 1977; Mascheroni; 1988; Ovarzun, 1991; Pelosi, 1999; Patroniti, 2002). Nonetheless, VILI remains a potential adverse effect. Nonetheless, we will perform two interim analyses of the data looking for evidence that there might be *fewer* VFDs in the intervention arm of the study than in the control (i.e., a result opposite to the one hypothesized) and the stopping rules for harm are less stringent than those for documenting benefit (see above). In addition, respiratory therapists will, on at least a daily basis, confirm that the sigh breaths being delivered do not result in a Pplat that exceeds 40 cmH₂O (45 cmH₂O in patients with decreased chest wall compliance as described above). Adjustments in the volumes or Pplat will be made as needed. In addition these pressures will be rechecked whenever the treating physician is concerned about a change in the patient's clinical status. The frequency with which these adjustments are needed will be recorded.

c. Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony

Although people take sigh breaths approximately 10 times/hour under normal circumstances (Bendixen, 1964) and intermittent sighs for short periods of time have been used in patients with ARDS without apparently causing any dyspnea or respiratory discomfort, sigh breaths could conceivably result in patient-ventilator dyssynchrony.

Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony is common in patients with ARDS because they are receiving <u>low</u> V_T ventilation. This dyssynchrony is frequently managed by increasing

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 25 of 34

sedation or even resulting to use of paralytics. Accordingly, it is equally conceivable, that sigh ventilation could <u>decrease</u> patient-ventilator dyssynchrony. If patient-ventilator dyssynchrony is occurring at an increased frequency in the intervention arm of this study it will be manifested by an increased need to administer sedation and/or analgesics and we will monitor the use of these two medication classes on a daily basis with the results being made available to the DSMB for their periodic reviews.

We can think of no other psychological, legal, social, economic or physical risk that might be associated with receiving sigh breaths once every six minutes.

Risk management and emergency response

a. Research Monitor

Dr. Jesse Hall, Professor of Medicine, Anesthesia and Critical Care at the University of Chicago Biological Sciences will serve as both the chair of the Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) and the research monitor. Dr. Hall's role may include observing recruitment, enrollment, and consent as well as study interactions with subjects. In addition, he may work with the DCC to review monitoring plans, data collection, and analysis. As research monitor, he has the authority to discuss the research protocol with the investigators, interview human subjects, and consult with others outside of the study about the research. Dr. Hall will also have the authority to take any steps necessary to protect the well-being of human subjects until the IRB(s) can assess his concerns, including stopping the study or removing individual human subjects from the study. Dr. Hall will be required to promptly report any observations and findings to the IRB or other designated official and the HRPO.

b. Surveillance and reporting

Each patient will be seen daily by research personnel from the time each patient is enrolled until day 28 or until the patient is discharged from the ICU, either to another floor in the hospital or home or dies. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28.

These daily visits will seek evidence of adverse events or complications per the study manual of procedure. If adverse events or complications have occurred they will be recorded on the adverse event case report form. Whether a patient experiencing an adverse event continues on the study or is withdrawn will be decided by the treating physician.

If the patient is discharged from the ICU before day 28 and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation, research personnel will review medical records and/or contact

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 26 of 34

the patient on day 28 to determine whether the patient has experienced any adverse events between the day the patient was discharged from the ICU and day 28.

The investigator will report all adverse events to the DCC within 24 hours of their occurrence. The local Institutional Review Board (IRB) will also be informed in a timely manner. The investigator will then submit a detailed, written report to the DCC and to the IRB no later than five days after the investigator discovers the event.

The DCC will report all serious, unexpected and study-related adverse events to the DSMB by fax or telephone within seven calendar days. A written report will be sent to the DSMB within 15 calendar days and these reports will be sent to investigators for submission to their respective IRBs. The DSMB will also review all adverse events during each scheduled interim analysis. The DCC will distribute the written summary of the DSMB's periodic review of adverse events to investigators for submission to their respective IRBs.

All patients in this study will be hospitalized in an intensive care unit. Accordingly, emergency care will be readily available at all times. The cost of treatment for all study-related adverse events will be covered by each individual hospital.

Potential benefits

Numerous studies in the literature document that (a) constant V_T ventilation depletes and/or inactivates surfactant, (b) loss of surfactant increases surface tension and predisposes to airspace collapse, (c) having airspace collapse predisposes the lung to VILI as a result of cyclical airspace opening and closing, (d) VILI increases the mortality of patients with ARDS and (e) sigh breaths are known to cause surfactant secretion from type 2 alveolar epithelial cells and protect against VILI. Accordingly, adding sigh breaths should decrease VILI and increase the number of VFDs. If this occurs, the incidence, severity and mortality of ARDS should all decrease. IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 27 of 34

References

- Albert RK, Jobe A. Gas exchange in the acute respiratory distress syndrome (Acute Lung Injury and Respiratory Distress Syndrome). Comprehensive Physiology 2012;2:1585-1617.
- Arold SP, Suki B, Alencar AM, Lutchen KR, Ingenito EP. Variable ventilation induces endogenous surfactant release in normal guinea pigs. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2000;285:370–375.
- Arold SP, Mora R, Lutchen KR, Ingenito EP, Suki B. Variable tidal volume ventilation improves mechanics and gas exchange in a rodent model of acute lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165:366–371.
- Bendixen HH, Hedley-Whyte J, Laver MB. Impaired oxygenation in surgical patients during general anesthesia with controlled ventilation. A concept of atelectasis. N Engl J Med 1963;269:991–996.
- Bendixen HH, Smith GM, Mean J. Pattern of ventilation in young adults. J Appl Physiol 1964;19:195-8.
- Bernstein L. The elastic pressure-volume curves of the lungs and thorax of the living rabbit. J Physiol 1957;138:473–487.
- Bilek AM, Dee CY, Gaver DP III. Mechanisms of surface-tension induced epithelial cell damage in a model of pulmonary airway reopening. J Appl Physiol 2003;94:770–783.
- Boker A, Graham MR, Walley KR, McManus BM, Girling LG, Walker E, Lefevre GR, Mutch WA. Improved arterial oxygenation with biologically variable or fractal ventilation using low tidal volumes in a procine model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165:456–462.
- Boker A, Haberman CJ, Girling L, Buzman RP, Louridas G, Tanner JR, Cheang M, Maycher BW, Bell DD, Doak GJ. Variable ventilation improves perioperative lung function in patients undergoing abdominal aortic aneurysmectomy. Anesthesiol 2004;100:608-16.
- Butler J, Smith BH. Pressure-volume relationships of the chest in the completely relazed anaesthetized patient. Clin Sci 1957;16:125-45.

- Caro CG, Butler J, DuBois AB. Some effects of restriction of chest cage expansion on pulmonary function in man: an experimental study. J Clin Invest 1960;39:573–583.
- Clements JA. Surface tension of lung extracts. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1957;95:170– 172.
- Clements JA, Hustead RF, Johnson RP, Gribetz I. Pulmonary surface tension and alveolar stability. J Appl Physiol 1961;16:444–450.
- Clements JA, Tierney DF, Trahan HJ. Influence of kinetic behavior of surface films on pulmonary elasticity. Physiologist 1963;6:159.
- Coker PJ, Hernandez LA, Peevy K, Adkins K, Parker JC. Increased sensitivity to mechanical ventilation after surfactant inactivation in young rabbit lungs. Crit Care Med 1992;20:635–640.
- D'Angelo E, PecchiariM, Baraggia P, SaettaM, Balestro E,Milic-Emili J. Low volume ventilation causes peripheral airway injury and increased airway resistance in normal rabbits. J Appl Physiol 2002;92:949–956.
- D'Angelo E, Pecchiari M, Gentile G. Dependence of lung injury on surface tension during low-volume ventilation in normal open-chest rabbits. J Appl Physiol 2007;102:174–182.
- Davis K, Brfanson RD, Campbell RS, Porembka DT, Johnson DJ. The addition of sighs during pressure support ventilation. Is there a benefit. Chest 1993;104:867-70.
- Dock W. The evil sequelae of complete bed rest. JAMA 1944;125:1083–5.
- Dos Santos CC, Slutsky AS. Mechanisms of ventilator-induced injury: a perspective. J Appl Physiol 2000;89:1645-55.
- Drinker CK. Pulmonary edema and inflammation. An analysis of processes involved in the formation and removal of pulmonary transudates and exudates. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1945. pp 3–106.
- Egbert LD, Laver MB, Bendixen HH. Intermittent deep breaths and compliance during anesthesia in man. Anesthesiology 1963;24:57–60.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 29 of 34

- Enhorning G, Shennan A, Possmayer F, Dunn M, Chen CP, Milligan J. Prevention of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome by tracheal instillation of surfactant: a randomized clinical trial. Pediatrics 76: 145-53, 1985.
- Fan E, Wilcox ME, Brower RG, Stewart TE, Mehta S, Lapinsky SE, Meade MO, Ferguson ND. Recruitment maneuvers for acute lung injury: a systematic review. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;178:1156-63.
- Faridy EE, Permutt S, Riley RL. Effect of ventilation on surface forces in excised dogs' lungs. J Appl Physiol 1966;21:1453–1462.
- Ferris BG, Pollard DS. Effect of deep and quiet breathing on pulmonary compliance in man. J Clin Invest 1960;39:143-9.
- Finley TN, Tooley WH, Swenson EW, Gardner RE, Clements JA. Pulmonary surface tension in experimental atelectasis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1964;89:372–378.
- Forrest JB. The effect of hyperventilation on pulmonary surface activity. Br J Anaesth 1972;44:313–320.
- Foti G, Cereda M, Sparacino ME, De Marchi L, Villa F, Pesenti A. Effects of periodic lung recruitment nameuvers on gas exchange and respiratory mechanically ventilated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) patients. Intensive Care Med 2000;26:501-7.
- Grasso S, Mascia L, Del Turco M, Malacarne P, Giunta F, Brochard IK, Slutsky AS, Ranieri M. Effects of recruiting maneuvers in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome ventilated with protective ventilator strategy. Anesthesiol 2002;96:795-802.
- Greene KE, Wright JR, Steinberg KP, Ruzinski JT, Caldwell E, Wong WB, Hull W, Whitsett JA, Akino T, Kuroki Y, et al. Serial changes in surfactantassociated proteins in lung and serum before and after onset of ARDS. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;160:1843–1850.
- Greenfield LJ, Ebert PA, Benson DW. Effect of positive pressure ventilation on surface tension proterties of lung extracts. Anesthesiology 1964;25:312–316.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 30 of 34

- Hallman M, Merritt TA, Jarvenpaa A, Boynton B, Mannino F, Bluck L, Moore T, Edwards D. Exogenous human surfactant for treatment of severe respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized prospective clinical trial. J Peds 196: 963-9, 1985.
- Hedley-Whyte J, Laver MB, Bendizen HH. Effect of changes in tidal ventilation on physiologic shunting. Am J Physiol 1964;206:891–897.
- Hildebran JN, Goerke J, Clements JA. Surfactant release in excised rat lung is stimulated by air inflation. J Appl Physiol 1981;51:905–910.
- Hodgson C, Keating JL, Holland AE. Recruitment manoeuvres for adults with acute lung injury receiving mechanical ventilation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009, 15.
- Hou PC, Elie-Tureene M-C, Mitani A, Barry JM, Kao EY, Cohen JE, Frendl G, Gajic O, Gentile NT, US Critical Illness and Injury Trials Group: Lung Injury Prevention Study Investigators. Towards prevention of acute lung injury: frequency and outcomes of emergency department patients at-risk - a multicenter cohort study. International J Emerg Med 2012;5:22, 1-12.
- Housley E, Louzada N, Becklake MR. To sigh or not to sigh. Am Rev Respir Dis 1970;101:611-14.
- Hudson LD, Milberg JA, Anardi D, Maunder RJ. Clinical risks for development of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;151:293-301.
- Ikegami J, Whitsett JA, Martis PC, Weaver TE. Reversibility of lung inflammation caused by SP-B deficiency. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2005;289:L962–L970.
- Kowalski S, McMullen MC, Girling LG, McCarthy BG. Biologically variable ventilation in patients with acute lung injury: a pilot study. Can J Anesthesiol 2013:60:502-3.
- Laver MB, Morgan J, Bendixen HH, Radford EP. Lung volume, compliance and arterial oxygen tensions during controlled ventilation. J Appl Physiol 1964;19:725–733.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 31 of 34

- Levine M, Gilbert, Auchincloss JH Jr. A comparison of the effects of sighs, large tidal volumes, and positive end expiratory pressure in assisted ventilation. Scand J Resp Dis 1972;53:101-8.
- Lewis JF, Ikegami M, Jobe AH. Altered surfactant function and metabolism in rabbits with acute lung injury. J Appl Physiol 1990;69:2303–2310.
- Lim CM, Jung H, Koh Y, Lee JS, Shim TS, Lee SD, Kim WS, Kim DS, Kim WD. Effect of alveolar recruitment maneuver in early acute respiratory distress syndrome according to anti-derecruitment strategy, etiological category of diffuse lung injury and body position of the patient. Crit Care Med 2003;31:411-8.
- McClenahan JB, Urtnowski A. Effect of ventilation on surfactant, and its turnover rate. J Appl Physiol 1967;23:215–220.
- Maruscak AA, Vockeroth DW, Girardi B, Sheikh T, Possmayer F, Lewis JF, Veldhuizen RAW. Alterations to surfactant precede physiological deterioration during high tidal volume ventilation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008;294:L974–L983.
- Mascheroni D, Kolobow T, Fumagalli R, Moretti MP, Chen V, Buckhold D. Acute respiratory failure following pharmacologically induced hyperventilation: an experimental animal study. Intensive Care Med 1988;15:8–14.
- Massaro GD, Massaro D. Morphologic evidence that large inflations of the lung stimulate secretion of surfactant. Am Rev Respir Dis 1983;127:235–236.
- Mead J, Collier C. Relation of volume history of lungs to respiratory mechanics in anesthetized dogs. J Appl Physiol 1959;14:669–678.
- Muscedere JG, Mullen JBM, Gan K, Slutsky AS. Tidal ventilation at low airway pressures can augment lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:1327–1334.
- Mutch WAC, Harms S, Graham MR, Kowalski SE, Girling LG, Lefevre GR. Biologically variable or naturally noisy mechanical ventilation recruits atelectactatic lung. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:319–323.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 32 of 34

- Mutch WA, Eschun GM, Kowalski SE, Graham MR, Girling LG, Lefevre GR. Biologically variable ventilation prevents deterioration of gas exchange during prolonged anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2000;84:197–203.
- Mutch WAC, Harms S, Lefevre GR, Graham MR, Girling LG, Kowalski SE. Biologically variable ventilation increases arterial oxygenation over that seen with positive end- expiratory pressure alone in a porcine model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 2000;28:2457–2464.
- Nicholas TE, Barr HA. The release of surfactant in rat lung by brief periods of hyperventilation. Respir Physiol 1983;52:69–83.
- Oczenski W, Hormann C, Keller C, Lorenzi N, Kepka A, Schwarz S, Firgerald RD. Recruitment nameuvers during prone positioning in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 2005;33:54-61.
- Oczenski W, Hormann C, Keller C, Lorenzi N, Kepka A, Schwarz S, Firgerld RD. Recruitment maneuvers after a positive end-expiratory pressure trial do not induce sustained effects in early adult respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiol 2004;101:620-5.
- Oyarzun MJ, Clements JA. Ventilatiory and cholinergic control of pulmonary surfactant in the rabbit. J Appl Physiol 1977;43:39–45.
- Oyarzun MJ, Iturriaga R, Donoso P, Dussaubat N, Santos M, Schiappacasse ME, Lathrop ME, Larrain C, Zapata P. Factors affecting distribution of alveolar surfactant during resting ventilation. Am J Physiol 1991;261:L210–L217.
- Pattle RE. Surface lining of lung alveoli. Physiol Rev 1965;45:48–79.
- Patroniti N, Foti G, Cortinovis B, Maggioni E, Bigatello LM, Cereda M, Pesenti A. Sigh improves gas exchange and lung volume in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome undergoing pressure support ventilation. Anesthesiology 2002;96:788–794.
- Pelosi P, Cadringher P, Bottino N, Panigada M, Carrieri F, Riva E. Sigh in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;159:872–880.
- Pelosi P, Bottino N, Chiumello D, Caironi P, Panigada M, Gamberoni C, Colombo G, Bigatello LM, Gattinoni L. Sigh in supine and prone position during acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;167:521-7.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 33 of 34

- Shambaugh GE, Harrison WG, Farrell JI. Treatment of the respiratory paralysis of poliomyletis in a respiratory chamber. JAMA 1930;94:1371–1373.
- Taskar V, John J, Evander E, Robertson B, Jonson B. Surfactant dysfunction makes lungs vulnerable to repetitive collapse and reexpansion. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:313–320.
- Thammanomai A, Majumdar A, Bartolak-Suki E, Suki B. Effects of reduced tidal volume ventilation on pulmonary function in mice before and after acute lung injury. J Appl Physiol 2007;103:1551–1559.
- Thet LA, Clerch L, Massaro GD, Massaro D. Changes in sedimentation of surfactant in ventilated excised rat lungs. Physical alterations in surfactant associated with the development and reversal of atelectasis. J Clin Invest 1979;64:600–608.
- Tierney DF, Johnson RP. Altered surface tension of lung extracts and lung mechanics. J Appl Physiol 1965;20:1253–1260.
- Veldhuizen RAW, Marcou J, Yao L, McCaig L, Ito Y, Lewis JF. Alveolar surfactant aggregate conversion in ventilated normal and injured rabbits. Am J Physiol 1996;270: L152–1588.
- Veldhuizen RQW, Welk B, Harbottle R, Hearn S, Nag K, Peterson N, Possmayer F. Mechanical ventilation of isolated rat lungs changes the structure and biophysical properties of surfactant. J Appl Physiol 2002;92:1169–1175.
- Verbrugge SJC, Vasquez di Anda G, Gommers D, Neggers SJMM, Sorm V, Bohm S, Lachmann B. Exogenous surfactant preserves lung function and reduces alveolar Evans blue dye influx in a rat model of ventilation-induced lung injury. Anesthesiology 1998;89:467–474.
- Verbrugge SJC, Boghm SH, Gommers D, Zommerman LJI, Lachmann B. Surfactant impairment after mechanical ventilation with large alveolar surface area changes and effects of positive-end expiratory pressure. Surfactant impairment after mechanical ventilation with large alveolar surface area changes and effects of positive-end expiratory pressure. Br J Anaesth 1998;80:360–364.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 2/9/2020 Page 34 of 34

- Walker MG, Tessolini JM, McCaig L, Yao L-J, Lewis JF, Veldhuizen RAW. Elevated endogenous surfactant reduces inflammation in an acute lung injury model. Exp Lung Res 2009;35:591–604.
- Webb HH, Tierney DF. Experimental pulmonary edema due to intermittent positive pressure ventilation with high inflation pressures. Protection by positive end-expiratory pressure. Am Rev Respir Dis 1974;110:556–565.
- Welk B, Malloy JL, Joseph M, Yao L-J, Velduizen RQW. Surfactant treatment for ventilation-induced lung injury in rats: effects on lung compliance and cytokines. Exp Lung Res 2001;27:505–520.
- Wirtz RW, Dobbs LG. Calcium mobilization and exocytosis after one mechanical stretch of lung epithelial cells. Science 1990;250:1266.
- Wu N, Miller WF, Luhn NR. Studies of breathing in anesthesia. Anesthesiology 1956;17:696–707.
- Wyszogrodski I, Kyei-Aboagye K, Taeusch HW Jr, Avery ME. Surfactant inactivation by hyperventilation: conservation by end-expiratory pressure. J Appl Physiol 1975;38:461–6.
- Yamashita C, Forbes A, Tessolini JM, Yao L-J, Lewis JF, Veldhuizen RAW. Protective effects of elevated endogenous surfactant pools to injurious mechanical ventilation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008;294:L724– L732.
- Young SL, Tierney DF, Clements JA. Mechanism of compliance change in excised rat lungs at low transpulmonary pressure. J Appl Physiol 1970;29:780–785.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 1 of 34

Sigh Ventilation to Increase Ventilator-Free Days in Victims of Trauma at Risk for the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (SiVent)

Study PI: Dr. Richard K. Albert

Background

The current paradigm describing the pathophysiology of the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) begins with patients having one or more risk factors that predispose them to developing the syndrome (e.g., trauma, inhalation injury, sepsis,



pneumonia) as depicted on the right-hand side of the shaded portion of Figure 1. These risk factors are thought to generate an inflammatory response that causes pulmonary endothelial and epithelial injury which, in turn, results in surfactant deficiency, alveolar flooding, atelectasis and shunt, and the resulting hypoxia necessitates the need for mechanical ventilation. Ventilatorinduced lung injury (VILI)

is thought to contribute to the pathophysiology by causing cyclical airspace opening and closing (termed atelectrauma) and/or overdistension (termed volutrauma) that produce additional, ongoing lung injury, as well as systemic effects that are termed biotrauma (Dos Santos, 2000; Ware, 2000).

Interestingly, however, only ~20% of patients have ARDS at the time they are diagnosed with one or more of the predisposing risk factors. In the other ~ 80% the onset of ARDS is delayed by 1-4 days (median = 2 days) (Hudson, 1995; Hou, 2012). While this lag has been attributed to the inflammatory response taking time to develop it is also consistent with the hypothesis that, in perhaps as many as 80% of cases, ARDS might be occurring via a different pathophysiological pathway.

The following observations have led to the development of a <u>new paradigm for the</u> <u>pathophysiology of ARDS</u> as shown in the unshaded portion of Figure 1.
IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 2 of 34

Constant tidal volume (V_T) ventilation inactivates and/or depletes surfactant and causes atelectasis.

Mechanical ventilation has been known to decrease lung compliance in humans since the 1950s (Wu, 1956; Butler, 1957, Ferris, 1960) and Mead and Collier (1959) were the first to link this change in compliance to the development of atelectasis. Greenfield and colleagues (1964) were the first to suggest that the compliance change and the atelectasis occurred because <u>mechanical ventilation depleted</u>, altered or <u>interfered with surfactant</u>. Subsequently, numerous investigators have confirmed that <u>constant V_T ventilation for as little as 5 minutes (a) increases the surface tension of lung extracts</u>, (b) increases lung elastic recoil and airway opening pressures and (c) causes atelectasis, and that these changes (d) are directly related to the size of the V_T, the duration of mechanical ventilation, and possibly the respiratory rate (Faridy, 1966; McClenahan, 1967; Forrest, 1972; Wyszogrodski, 1975). Wyszogrodski and colleagues (1975) and Bailey and colleagues (2008) found that large V_T ventilation released surfactant into the alveolar space but that it also increased the surface tension of lung extracts. Accordingly, they proposed that <u>constant V_T ventilation inactivated</u>, rather than depleted surfactant.

In a well-known and highly cited study. Webb and Tierney (1974) found that rats ventilated with large V_T and no PEEP developed severe hypoxemia, had a reduction in respiratory system compliance and developed alveolar edema and other pathologic changes. Although this study is frequently cited as being the first to demonstrate that mechanical ventilation injures the lung as a result of overdistension and/or cvclical airspace opening and closing (i.e., VILI), Webb and Tierney found no evidence of tissue disruption on histologic examination and concluded that overdistension was probably not the explanation for the abnormalities they observed. Cyclical airspace opening and closing was not mentioned in the manuscript. Webb and Tierney (1974) attributed their findings to surfactant depletion resulting from the large ventilatory excursions they employed, or to the absence of PEEP causing surfactant dysfunction from repeated compression of the fluid film as was first demonstrated by Clements (1957) nearly 20 years earlier. Accordingly, the findings of Webb and Tierney (1974), together with those cited above, suggest that the reduction in mortality in ARDS seen with low V_T ventilation does not occur because low V_Ts cause less overdistension, but rather because it causes less surfactant dysfunction which, in turn, results in less atelectasis and less cyclical airspace opening and closing.

Surfactant can be separated by centrifugation into large aggregates and small aggregates, with the latter having much less surface activity. Cycling surfactant ex-vivo or ventilating lungs in-vivo or in-situ with constant, large V_T converts large aggregates to small aggregates and impairs large aggregate function. Larger changes in surface area result in greater conversion (Veldhuizen, 1996; 2002), but compliance and surface tension decrease in as short a time as 15 minutes of ventilation <u>even when V_T is low or normal,</u> with accompanying increases in inflammatory cytokines and histologic evidence

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 3 of 34

of lung damage (Veldhuizen, 1996; Muscedere, 1994; Thet, 1979; D'Angelo, 2002; Chu, 2004; Myrianthefs, 2006; Bailey, 2008; Hauber, 2010; Hong, 2010; Vobruba, 2013).

Increased surface tension is necessary and sufficient to cause VILI.

Clements (1957), was the first to suggest that surfactant "might be an 'antiatelectasis factor", that the "long-term stability of the lungs requires *periodic replenishment of surfactant*," (my emphasis) and that "the mechanism and speed with which the lungs *spontaneously decrease in compliance and become atelectatic* (my emphasis) probably depend significantly, and perhaps solely, on the viscoelastic parameters of the surface films" (Clements, 1957; 1961; 1963). Young and colleagues (1970) subsequently demonstrated that compliance decreased when lungs were <u>held in inflation</u> with air at 3 cm H₂O for 20 minutes <u>with no V_T</u>. They attributed the change to <u>increases in surface tension that developed spontaneously</u> because no change in compliance occurred when the lungs were distended with liquid to the same volume (see the explanation provided by Pattle discussed below).

Coker and colleagues (1992) and Taskar and colleagues (1997) both found that <u>VILI</u> <u>only occurred in animal models when surfactant was inactivated</u>. Bilek and colleagues (2003) developed an apparatus consisting of two glass plates that were coated with epithelial cells and separated by a distance that was similar to the diameter of small airways. Forcing fluid between these plates generated large pressure gradients that damaged the cells. When surfactant was added, however, fluid could be forced through the opposed surfaces at much lower pressures and the epithelial injury was prevented.

Increasing endogenous surfactant pools or intratracheal administration of exogenous surfactant protects against the hypoxia, the decrease in compliance, the protein leakage and the release of inflammatory cytokines that occur when animals are ventilated with large V_Ts and no PEEP (Verbrugge, 1998; Welk, 2001; D'Angelo, 2007; Maruscak, 2008; Yamashita, 2008) and Walker and colleagues (2009) confirmed this effect in mice ventilated with a small V_Ts (i.e., 5 ml/kg) and a PEEP of 3 cmH₂O.

In the most definitive study demonstrating that surfactant deficiency is necessary and sufficient to cause VILI Ikegami and colleagues (2005) developed mice that conditionally expressed normal levels of human surfactant-associated protein B (SP-B) when they were receiving doxycycline. When doxycycline was withdrawn in these spontaneously breathing mice, SP-B decreased, surface tension increased as did protein concentrations and inflammatory cells in bronchoalveolar lavage and IL-1 β in lung tissue and 70% of the animals died from cyanosis and respiratory distress. If, after four days, doxycycline was reintroduced all of these changes reversed and none of the animals died. IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 4 of 34

Changes in surfactant and surface tension *precede* the onset of ARDS.

The current paradigm explaining the pathophysiology of ARDS indicates that surfactant abnormalities develop as a result of the endothelial and/or epithelial injury that occur in response to the inflammation induced by the predisposing risk factors (Figure 1). Hedley-Whyte and colleagues (1964), however, noted that lung compliance fell within 14 minutes of instituting large V_T ventilation in normal dogs, but that shunting and the alveolar-to-arterial oxygen tension difference did not increase until 30 minutes later. Finley and colleagues (1964) found that surface tension fell <u>before</u> the development of experimental atelectasis.

Large aggregate forms of surfactant decrease before increases in permeability occur or gas exchange abnormalities develop in animal models of acute lung injury (Lewis, 1990), and surfactant pool sizes and surface lowering activity are altered 1 hour before oxygen decreases after instituting high-stretch ventilation (Maruscak, 2008). Thammanomai and colleagues (2007) found that SP-B levels decreased after ventilating normal mice for only 20 minutes. Importantly, Greene and colleagues (1999) reported that SP-A and SP-B concentrations were reduced in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of patients who were <u>at risk</u> for developing ARDS <u>before the time they met</u> roentgenographic or gas exchange criteria for ARDS.

Stretching alveolar type 2 cells in-vitro, or giving large tidal volumes (i.e., sigh breaths) in-vivo, causes secretion of surfactant into the alveolar space and prevents atelectasis and lung injury.

Cecil Drinker seems to be the first to recognize that intermittent delivery of <u>large V_Ts</u> <u>decreased atelectasis</u> (Drinker, 1945). In 1928, while observing the first subject being tested in the mechanical ventilator devised by his brother he noted that:

"a more interesting matter relating to the comfort of the young man was his request that from time to time the respirator be made to give him a deep inspiration, a sort of prolonged yawn or sigh. This gave comfort to him and thought to me...if [man] has remained quiet for a long time, without the possibility of movement, he indulges often in a long breath, a sigh, or a yawn. The way to treat pulmonary stasis and atelectasis is to prevent their occurrence and, thereby, their eventual promotion of more serious conditions. This is accomplished by change in position and best by a few deep respirations" (Drinker, 1945).

The first report describing treatment of poliomyelitis with mechanical ventilation was published in 1930 by physicians who were using the Drinker respirator and included comments on the importance frequently administering deep breaths (Schambaugh, 1930). William Dock, in a 1944 paper entitled "The sequelae of complete bed rest" noted that: "patients with air hunger and deep respiration up to the final hour show

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 5 of 34

notably less collapse post mortem than do those with normal blood levels of hemoglobin and base...it is the acidosis and air hunger which prevent collapse" (Dock, 1944). Bernstein (1957), studying rabbits, was the first to demonstrate that ventilation-induced changes in lung pressure-volume curves could be prevented by periodically administrating large inflations. His observation was confirmed by Mead and Collier (1959) in dogs that were spontaneously breathing or receiving mechanical ventilation and subsequently by numerous other groups studying humans (Farris, 1960; Caro, 1960; Egbert, 1963; Bendixen, 1963; Laver 1964).

In 1965 Pattle (1965), one of the first biochemists to explore the physiology of surfactant, concluded that:

"There thus exists a surface tension, γ_0 , at which the free surface energy is at a minimum; if the surface tension is less than this, no recruitment of material to the lining film from the underlying complex can take place, and there will be a tendency for material to be desorbed from the surface film. Any desorption of the surface film will result in a decrease in the internal surface area; there will be a consequent fall in the volume at maximum pressure and in the compliance calculated from that volume. *Eventually collapse of some or all of the alveolar units will occur. If the ventilatory cycle is kept regular, therefore, decrease in compliance and slow collapse are to be expected...it follows that one of the functions of a yawn or deep breath is to recruit more material to the lining film. If such reactions are prevented slow collapse of the lining film, and eventually of the alveolar spaces, may be expected. If artificial respiratory is being used, the collapse might be prevented by giving the lungs an occasional maximal inflation" (Pattle, 1965) (my emphasis).*

The pool of surfactant in the alveolus is continuously depleted as a result of cellular uptake by type II alveolar epithelial cells and macrophages and by surfactant removal via the mucociliary escalator. The loss of surfactant is compensated for by secretion of surfactant from the type II cells. Accordingly, regulation of surfactant secretion is critical for homeostasis such that, if secretion is reduced, alveolar surface tension will increase thereby predisposing to atelectasis. Of the various chemical and physical stimuli that are known to regulate surfactant secretion lung distension, working through a calcium-dependent mechanism (Wirtz, 1990; Frick, 2004), is considered to be the most important physiologically (Dietl, 2005).

Tierney and Johnson (1965) also theorized that instability of surfactant would cause gradual atelectasis during shallow breathing and that "<u>the alveolar surface film can be</u> replenished by a single deep breath." A direct link between the effect of sighs and surfactant was subsequently demonstrated by numerous investigators who found that large V_T ventilation, large gasps in spontaneously breathing animals, single large inflations with air or liquid, or even single stretches of type II cells in-vitro all increased

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 6 of 34

<u>the release of active surfactant</u> (Nicholas, 1982; Nicholas, 1983; Massaro, 1983; Hildebran, 1981; Wirtz, 1990; Oyarzun, 1990).

Although the beneficial effect of sighs may seem inconsistent with the direct relationship between the size of the V_T and the resulting surfactant dysfunction noted above, the discrepancy is explained by the sizes of the V_Ts used and the frequencies and durations with which they were administered. Studies showing that larger V_Ts alter surfactant and increase surface tension used V_Ts ranging from 30% to 100% of the spontaneous V_T that were administered every breath for minutes to hours (Faridy, 1966; Forrest, 1972; Wyszogrodski , 1975; Oyarzun, 1977; Veldhuizen , 1996; Verbrugge , 1998; 1998a; Maruscak , 2008; Mascheroni, 1988; Davis, 1993). Studies showing that large V_Ts release surfactant and increase compliance used V_Ts ranging from 130% to 400% of the spontaneous V_T, with the sigh breaths given either intermittently or for brief periods of time (Mead, 1959; Egbert, 1963; Bendizen, 1963; Nicholas 1983; Oyarzun, 1991; Pelosi 1999; Patroniti, 2002).

If ventilation alters surfactant and causes atelectasis, and if surfactant secretion can prevent the atelectasis, why have the randomized trials of surfactant replacement in ARDS found no effect on mortality? Studies of surfactant replacement in ARDS are limited by concerns about differences in the composition of the various preparations used, the modes by which the surfactant is administered, the doses being given, the frequency of administration and the fact that a variety of proteins, lipids, proteases, and other substances can inactivate surfactant (summarized in Albert, 2012). In addition, all of the studies have been conducted in patients with established ARDS. The pathophysiology proposed in Figure 1 involves surfactant abnormalities developing before the onset of ARDS, prior to the time at which widespread alveolar filling with proteins, lipids, etc. occurs and alters gas exchange. In this sense, the new pathophysiology proposed more closely resembles that of the Respiratory Distress Syndrome in newborns in which surfactant production is inadequate as a result of type 2 pneumocyte immaturity. In this condition surfactant replacement is extremely effective (Einhorning, 1985; Hallman, 1985). In addition, increasing surfactant release into individual alveoli by incorporating sigh breaths should be a far more effective way of decreasing surface tension at the alveolar level than administering a variable dose of an exogenous surfactant into the airway and hoping it distributes to atelectatic airspaces.

Relevant clinical trials

Previous studies of sighs in humans utilized smaller volumes (e.g., two times the V_T), only administered sighs for a short period of time (e.g., 30-60 min) (Levine, 1972, Housley 1970), or gave sigh breaths more frequently than we propose but over an even shorter period of time (e.g., 3/min for one hour) (Pelosi, 1999). Bendixen and colleagues (1963) gave a series of three sigh breaths, each separated by four or five minutes, on one occasion to 15 patients undergoing general anesthesia for abdominal operations. The volume of the first sigh was that produced by a plateau pressure

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 7 of 34

(Pplat) of 20 cmH₂O and was held for 10 sec, the second was produced by a Pplat of 30 cmH₂O and was held for 15 sec and the third by a Pplat 40 cmH₂O and was held for 15 sec. Progressive improvements in gas exchange and respiratory system compliance were observed with each progressively larger breath (Bendixen, 1963).

Biologically variable ventilation (VV) is similar to sigh ventilation, but rather than delivering a sigh that is regularly interspersed among constant V_T breaths, VV delivers V_Ts that randomly vary in volume over time. The volume of the larger breaths is similar to those given by sighs. VV facilitates recruitment of atelectatic lung, prevents deterioration in gas exchange that occurs with constant V_{T} ventilation of animals with normal lungs, and improves mechanics and gas exchange in various animal models of acute lung injury and, in some but not all studies, reduced histological evidence of injury (Mutch, 2000; 2000a; 2000b; Arold, 2000a; Boker, 2002; Funk, 2004; Spieth, 2009). As was observed with sigh breaths, biologically variable ventilation also increases the release of surfactant into the alveolar space (Arold, 2000b). In animals with normal or injured lungs VV and sigh ventilation had similar effects on lung mechanics and gas exchange but IL-1ß levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of injured lungs were reduced more by VV than by sigh ventilation (Thammanomai, 2008). VV has been assessed in two human studies. In the first, Boker and colleagues (2004) randomized 41 patients undergoing abdominal aortic aneurysm repairs to controlled mechanical ventilation or VV, both of which were continued for six hours. Patients receiving VV had improvements in oxygenation, carbon dioxide partial pressure, dead space, respiratory system compliance and peak inspiratory pressure. In the second, Kowalski and colleagues (2013) administered VV for four hours in a cross-over study of eight patients with acute lung injury. Lung compliance, dead space fraction and the oxygen index improved slightly. No adverse effects were observed in either study.

Summary

The rationale for this proposal comes from an extensive basic science and clinical literature supporting a new pathway by which ARDS developed as depicted in Figure 1. If this paradigm were correct most instances of ARDS are actually VILI that occurs because constant V_T ventilation alters surfactant which, in turn, causes atelectasis and provide the setting in which atelectrauma occurs. Adding sigh breaths will augment surfactant secretion into the alveolar space and could interrupt this pathophysiologic process. If this study finds that sigh ventilation reduces ventilator-free days it will reduce the morbidity and possibly the mortality of victims of trauma who are at increased risk of developing ARDS.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 8 of 34

Hypothesis and Specific Aim:

Hypothesis:

Adding sigh breaths to usual invasive mechanical ventilation of victims of trauma who are at risk of developing ARDS will decrease the number of days they require invasive mechanical ventilation. Specific aim:

To determine if including sigh breaths in the usual care of victims of trauma who are at increased risk of developing ARDS will increase ventilator-free days.

Methods

Study Design:

Prospective, randomized, concurrently controlled clinical trial.

Inclusion criteria:

Patients in an intensive care unit (ICU) as a result of injuries from penetrating or nonpenetrating trauma who are intubated and receiving invasive mechanical ventilation who also have one or more of the following:

- 1. Traumatic brain injury
- 2. > 1 long bone (femur, tibia, humerus) fractures
- 3. Shock on arrival in the Emergency Department (systolic BP < 90 mmHg)
- 4. Lung contusion (as diagnosed in the admission history and physical exam)
- 5. Receipt of > 6 units of any blood product in the first 24 hours

Exclusion criteria:

- 1. Inability to obtain consent from the patient or his/her legally authorized representative (LAR)
- 2. Unwillingness of the treating physician to use sigh ventilation as all treating physicians must have equipoise with respect to the intervention
- 3. Under 18 years of age
- 4. Undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation for > 24 hours as this could represent too long a delay in instituting the intervention for it to have a chance of being effective
- 5. Presence of malignancy or other irreversible disease or condition for which the six month mortality is estimated to exceed 50% (e.g., chronic liver disease with a Child-Pugh Score of 10-15, malignancy refractory to treatment) as this could affect the clinical course and cloud interpretation of the endpoints

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 9 of 34

- 6. Moribund, not expected to survive 48 hours as this could cloud interpretation of the endpoints
- 7. Women who are pregnant (negative pregnancy tests required on women of childbearing age), per Human Subjects regulations
- 8. Prisoners, per Human Subjects regulations
- 9. Neurological condition that could impair spontaneous ventilation (e.g., C5 or higher spinal cord injury as this could affect the clinical course and cloud interpretation of the ventilator-free day endpoint
- 10. Lack of availability of Dräger Evita Infinity V500 ventilator as this is the only ventilator capable of delivering sigh breaths as described in the protocol
- 11. Burns > 40% of body surface area as this could affect the clinical course and cloud interpretation of the endpoints
- 12. Treating physicians being unwilling to use low V_T ventilation strategy when ARDS is diagnosed as low V_T ventilation is now considered standard of care for patients with ARDS
- 13. Treating physician's decision to use airway pressure-release ventilation (APRV).
- 14. Patient not expected to require mechanical ventilation > 24 hours (e.g., intubated for alcohol intoxication rather than pulmonary problem).

Patients meeting enrollment criteria will be randomized to one of two groups:

- Those receiving "usual care", meaning that their treating physician will be free to treat the patient in any way he or she sees fit, including utilizing invasive mechanical ventilation as they wish (with the exception that, if the patient has ARDS of any severity, low V_T ventilation will be employed as this is now the standard of care), or
- 2. Those receiving "usual care" as described above but with the addition of sigh breaths given once every 6 minutes.

Patients will be followed on a daily basis for 28 days or until they leave the intensive care unit or die. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28. If a patient is extubated and reintubated before day 28, the patient will continue to receive ventilation according to the group to which the patient was originally randomized.

Intervention to be tested

The intervention we will test is adding sigh breaths, consisting of an increase in PEEP that produces a plateau pressure (Pplat) of $35 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ (or $40 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O}$ in patients with BMIs > 35, in patients with moderate or severe abdominal distension from ascites, blood and/or ileus, or prone patients). The sigh breaths will be delivered once every 6 minutes, as part of usual invasive mechanical ventilation.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 10 of 34

The rate of delivery of these sigh breaths was selected on the basis of several studies. Bendixen and colleagues (1964) documented that the rate at which sighs occurred in normal humans was approximately 10/hour. Mead and Collier (7) showed that sighs given only six times/hour prevented the reduction in compliance that occurred with constant V_T ventilation in animals with normal lungs and Reiss and colleagues (2011) found that a single sigh given once every 5 min improved mechanics, gas exchange and inflammatory cytokines in normal mice. Frick and colleagues (2004) reported that lamellar body fusion preceding surfactant secretion that was increased by applying a single stretch of isolated type II cells abated by 5 min and Steimback and colleagues (2009) found that delivering one sigh every 6 min reduced epithelial cell apoptosis and procollagen III expression and improved respiratory mechanics in rats with experimental lung injury compared with animals not receiving sighs and those receiving three sighs/min.

The plateau change resulting from increasing PEEP producing a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O was selected for two reasons. First, it produces an end-inspiratory lung volume that approximates total lung capacity in patients with normal chest wall and lung compliances, thereby facilitating secretion of surfactant. Second, a Pplat of 40 cmH₂O has been utilized in numerous short-term studies of recruitment maneuvers in patients with ARDS without any evidence that it caused barotrauma or volutrauma (Pelosi, 1999; Oczenski, 2005; Grasso, 2002; Lim, 2003; Antonaglia, 2006). In patients with clinically evident abdominal distension graded moderate or severe, in those with BMI's exceeding 35, and in those who are prone the volume of the sigh breath will be determined on the basis of a Pplat of 40 cmH₂O given the decrease in chest wall compliance that will be present in these situations.

At present there is only one mechanical ventilator available in the U.S. that is configured to provide sigh breaths as designed, the Drager Evita Infinity V500.

For patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation prior to admission to the ICU, the intervention will begin as soon as possible, but not longer than 24 hours after the patient was placed on mechanical ventilation. For patients who are intubated and placed on invasive mechanical ventilation at some time after admission to the ICU, the intervention will begin as soon as possible, but within 24 hours of starting invasive mechanical ventilation.

If a patient is randomized to receive sigh breaths and is transported to the operating room, CT, IR or other hospital department after randomization, the patient should be ventilated according to usual practice, i.e., they need not continue to receive sigh breaths during the time they are away from the ICU. Sigh breaths should be restarted on their return.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 11 of 34

<u>Endpoints</u>

- 1. The <u>primary endpoint</u> in this study is the number of ventilator-free days (VFDs) to day 28 after enrollment. VFDs will be counted in the following fashion:
 - a. VFD to day 28 is defined as the number of days of unassisted breathing to day 28 after randomization, assuming a patient survives for at least two consecutive calendar days after initiating unassisted breathing and remains free of assisted breathing. If a patient returns to assisted breathing and subsequently achieves unassisted breathing prior to day 28, VFD will be counted from the end of the last period of assisted breathing to day 28 unless a period of assisted breathing was less than 24 hours and the purpose of assisted breathing was a surgical procedure.
 - b. If the patient is receiving assisted ventilation at day 28 or dies prior to day 28, VFD will be 0.
 - c. Any VFDs before patients are placed on comfort care will be counted.
 - d. Unassisted breathing is defined as the patient breathing spontaneously with a face mask, nasal prong oxygen, or on room air, T-tube breathing, tracheostomy mask breathing, or CPAP < 5 without pressure-support or intermittent mandatory ventilation, or with the use of noninvasive ventilation solely for sleep-disordered breathing. Assisted breathing is defined as any level of ventilatory support at pressures higher that the unassisted breathing thresholds.</p>
- 2. Secondary endpoints will include the following:
 - a. All-cause 28-day mortality.
 - b. The number ICU-free days to day 28 after enrollment
 - c. The occurrence of complications of treatment (specifically pneumothorax, ventilator-associated pneumonia, hypotension requiring pressors, pneumatocele).
 - d. Percentage of patients discharged to extended care facilities, on mechanical ventilation, or to in-patient or home hospice.
 - e. Newly requiring continuous oxygen therapy at discharge

Recruitment process

Research personnel (e.g., study physicians, research coordinators, research assistants) will seek out the senior treating physician for patients meeting study inclusion criteria to request permission to seek consent from the patient or, if the patient cannot participate in the consent process, from the patient's legally recognized

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 12 of 34

representative (LAR) or proxy decision maker for participation in the study. If the senior treating physician agrees, the research personnel will pursue obtaining consent.

Informed consent process

Written informed consent will be obtained from each patient, or from their LAR, by having the patient or their LAR sign a consent form that is approved by the institution's IRB after the research personnel reviews each item in the consent form with the patient or their LAR, answers questions and allows the patient or their LAR time to consider the request if they wish.

Patients will be randomized to one of the two study arms as soon as possible, but not longer than 24 hours, after initiation of invasive mechanical ventilation.

All patients meeting the inclusion criteria will be entered in the eligibility form. If the patient is not enrolled, the eligibility form will include information explaining why enrollment did not occur (e.g., exclusion criteria, attending physician denial, patient refusal, etc.).

Research personnel (described above) will be responsible for explaining the study, answering questions and obtaining consent. If patients still have questions the site PI will be contacted to assist with providing the requested information. The research personnel will be responsible for obtaining consent and the Site-Principal Investigator will be responsible for cosigning the consent form.

The consenting process will occur either in the ICU or in family counseling rooms adjacent to the ICU.

Research personnel will ask the treating physician to determine whether each patient is mentally competent to provide consent. In the event that the treating physician believes the patient is not competent, either because of the condition requiring treatment (e.g., traumatic brain injury) or the medications being used to treat anxiety or pain (e.g., sedatives and/or narcotics) consent will be sought from the patient's legally authorized representative (LAR). All subjects for whom LAR or proxy consent is obtained will be asked to provide consent if decisional capacity is regained and will be given the opportunity to withdraw from the study if they do not give consent to continue participating.

The consent form specifically states that the patients do not have to decide whether they want to participate at the point in time when consent is being discussed. It also states that the patients are free to consider the decision and/or to discuss it with others in private, without any study personnel or physicians involved in their care being present. The consent form also notes, however, that the patient must decide whether IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 13 of 34

they wish to participate before they have been receiving mechanical ventilation for 24 hours as receiving ventilation for longer than 24 hours is one of the exclusion criteria.

The proposed study will be conducted in eight states in the U.S. Each site may have different local or regional regulations regarding who can serve as a LAR and when an LAR is considered necessary. The consent form specific to each site will be modified to include the regulations regarding LARs for each site prior to submitting it for individual IRB approval.

If a patient is deemed incompetent or cannot otherwise participate in the consent process the same process described for seeking consent from the patient will be used to seek consent from the LAR.

Patient Follow-up Process

Research personnel will see patients enrolled in the study daily until day 28 or until the patient is discharged from the ICU, either to another floor in the hospital or home. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28.

If the patient is discharged from the ICU before day 28 and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation, research personnel will review medical records and/or contact the patient on day 28 to determine if the patient is still alive, discharged from the hospital (and if so, when), and whether the patient has experienced any adverse events (see the Risk management and emergency response section) between the day the patient was discharged from the ICU and day 28.

If a patient is extubated and reintubated before day 28, the patient will continue to receive ventilation according to the group to which the patient was originally randomized.

If a patient is randomized to receive sigh breaths and is transported to the operating room, CT, IR or other hospital department after randomization, the patient should be ventilated according to usual practice, i.e., they need not continue to receive sigh breaths during the time they are away from the ICU. Sigh breaths should be restarted on their return.

Data collection

- 1. Assessment on enrollment
 - a. Demographics (age, gender, self-reported race)

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 14 of 34

- b. Pregnancy test (serum or urine) for women of child-bearing potential (this is part of usual care and does not represent a study-related expense)
- c. Co-existing medical conditions
- d. Evidence of previous thoracic surgery
- e. Height, weight, BMI and calculated predicted body weight
- f. Date and time of injury
- g. Date and time of admission to ED
- h. Date and time patient was admitted to ICU
- i. Description of any operations done between ED and ICU admission
- j. Blood alcohol level (if recorded)
- k. Smoking status
- I. Initial BP in ED
- m. Initial Glascow Coma Scale in ED
- 2. Initial assessment
 - a. Date and time patient was intubated and started on invasive mechanical ventilation
 - b. Ventilator used, ventilator mode, tidal volume, F₁O₂, PEEP, peak and plateau pressures, whether prone positioning was utilized
 - c. The latest arterial blood gas prior to the ventilator settings recorded, indicating pH, the PaCO₂, the PaO₂, the HCO3, the F₁O₂, the SaO₂ and the PEEP level and the time the sample was obtained
 - d. If the patient has been randomized to sigh ventilation:
 - i. Is the patient's BMI > 35?
 - ii. If yes, is the Pplat for the sigh breath 40 cmH₂O?
 - iii. If no, is the Pplat for the sigh breath 35 cmH₂O?
 - e. Level of PEEP applied during sighs to produce a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O
 - f. Transfusions of any blood products (in units, includes red blood cells, fresh frozen plasma, platelets and/or whole blood)
 - g. Does the patient have an abdominal distention (yes/no)? If yes, is it mild, moderate or severe?
 - h. Whether the patient is receiving any of the following medications (yes/no)
 - i. Vasopressors (includes norepinephrine, vasopressin, epinephrine, phenylephrine, isoproterenol and dopamine). Indicate all that were administered
 - ii. Inotropic agents (includes dobutamine, milrinone, amrinone).
 - iii. Paralytics If yes, indicate reason (ventilator dyssynchrony, other)
 - iv. Benzodiazapine (diazepam, lorazepam, midazolam)
 - v. Dexmetatomidine
 - vi. Propofol

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 15 of 34

vii. Other (haloperidol, phenobarbital, droperidol, quetiapine)

- i. Most recent Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) score before randomization
- j. Does the patient have a tube thoracostomy?
 - i. If yes, what was the timing (on admission, after admission but within the first 24 hours or before the initial assessment)?
 - ii. Reason for the tube thoracostomy (pneumothorax, hemothorax, both)
- k. Did the patient undergo an operation after admission but within the first 24 hours or before the initial assessment? If yes, provide date/time for each operation and a description of the operation.
- I. Were any infiltrates identified? If yes, indicate which area or areas:
 - i. Left upper (including left middle area)
 - ii. Left lower
 - iii. Right upper (including right middle area)
 - iv. Right lower
- 3. Daily Assessments
 - a. Use of continuous invasive mechanical ventilation
 - b. Ventilator used, ventilator mode, tidal volume, F₁O₂, PEEP, peak and plateau pressures, prone positioning
 - c. The latest arterial blood gas prior to the ventilator settings recorded, indicating pH, the PaCO2, the PaO2, the HCO3, the FIO2, the SaO2 and the PEEP level and the time the sample was obtained
 - d. Were any infiltrates identified? If yes, indicate area:
 - i. Left upper (including left middle area)
 - ii. Left lower
 - iii. Right upper (including right middle area)
 - iv. Right lower
 - e. Are the infiltrates:
 - i. Unchanged from previous film
 - ii. Worse or more extensive than on previous film
 - iii. Better than on previous film
 - f. Ejection Fraction (EF) if echocardiogram was obtained.
 - g. Whether the patient is receiving any of the following medications (yes/no)

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 16 of 34

- i. Vasopressors (includes norepinephrine, vasopressin, epinephrine, phenylephrine, isoproterenol and dopamine). Indicate all that were administered
- ii. Inotropic agents (includes dobutamine, milrinone, amrinone).
- iii. Paralytics If yes, indicate reason (ventilator dssynchrony, other)
- iv Benzodiazapine (diazepam, lorazepam, midazolam)
- v. Dexmetatomidine
- vi. Propofol
- vii. Other (haloperidol, phenobarbital, droperidol, quetiapine)
- h. Most recent Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) score
- i. Use of prone positioning or inhaled NO > 12 hr in last 24 hrs (y/n)
- j. Date and time of discontinuation of invasive mechanical ventilation
- k. Did patient receive non-invasive mechanical ventilation (CPAP > 5 cmH2O, BiPAP other than for OSA)
- I. Date and time of reinstitution of invasive mechanical ventilation (if necessary)
- m. Date and time of transfer out of ICU to day 28 (if occurred)
- n. Date of hospital discharge to day 28 (if occurred)
- o. Date and cause of death to day 28 (if occurred)
- p. Assessment of abdominal distension (mild, moderate, severe)
- q. Did the patient undergo an operation in the previous 24 hours (laparotomy, thoracotomy, craniotomy, rib plating, ORIF, other)
- r. Presence of pneumothorax or pneumatocele
- s. Tracheostomy in the past 24 hours?
- t. BAL or mini-BAL with colony counts if available
- u. Is alcohol withdraw affecting management
- v. Occurrence of complications (e.g., cardiac arrest, stroke, MI, PE, AKI, pneumonia, ICP related to the vent mode)
- 4. Closeout assessments
 - a. Final 28-day disposition (e.g., discharged or transferred to home or elsewhere if alive)
 - b. Discharge diagnoses (ICD9 or ICD10 codes)
 - c. Procedures (CPT codes)
 - d. Chest AIS
 - e. Description of trauma
 - f. ISS
 - g. Mechanism of injury
 - h. Rib plates
 - i. Discharged on new continuous home oxygen

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 17 of 34

Statistical plan

Sample size estimate

We plan to enroll a total of 916 patients in the proposed study. The estimated number of patients to be enrolled at each site varies from 15 to 76/year for four years as the number of trauma patients admitted at each site varies 1500 to 6500/year. The estimate of needing to enroll 916 patients is based on the following assumptions, which are the same as the assumptions in the sample size calculation in the recently published EDEN study conducted by the ARDS Clinical Network (JAMA 2012):

- 1. The mean \pm SD VFDs in patients randomized to the control arm of the study will be 14 \pm 10.5 days (taken from the FACTT study, Wiedemann et al, NEJM 2006).
- The number of VFDs in the patients randomized to the intervention arm will be 16.25 days (giving an absolute difference in VFDs of 2.25 days and a relative difference in VFDs of 16%)
- 3. A two-sided significance level of 0.05
- 4. A power of 90%.

Ventilator Free Days (N)				Sample size (N)		
		Po	wer			
Control	Intervention	Absolute ∆	Relative Δ	0.80	0.90	
14.00	12.00	2.00	14.3	866	1160	
14.00	11.75	2.25	16.0	684	916	
14.00	11.50	2.50	17.9	556	742	

Table 1: Sample Size Requirements (2-sided alpha – 0.05):

Data Analysis

The primary endpoint for the SiVent study is VFDs in the first 28 days following randomization, with the convention that mortality prior to day 28, regardless of when it occurs, is equated to 0 ventilator-free days. This composite outcome, abbreviated as VFD28 in the following, has been used in previous studies of ARDS and is recommended by data analysts in the ARDS Network [Schoenfeld D, Bernard G (2002), Statistical evaluation of ventilator-free days as an efficacy measure in clinical trials of treatments for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 30: 1772-1777.]

Because the statistical distribution of VFD28 is usually not close to normal, the primary analysis of the difference between the 'Sigh' group and the control group can be based on the Wilcoxon rank-sum statistic. Additional analyses, taking into account covariates such as age, gender, smoking history, and characteristics of the trauma which resulted in the patient being put on mechanical ventilation, in addition to

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 18 of 34

treatment group, will be carried out using least-squares regression. Non-normality of the outcome is unlikely to influence the results of such analyses because the sample size is expected to be in the 300-1000 range (for interim and final analyses).

Mortality will be analyzed separately as a secondary endpoint. ICU-free days (up to day 28, with deaths prior to day 28 classified as having ICU28 = 0) will be analyzed as just described for VFD28. Occurrences of complications of treatment (adverse effects) prior to day 28 will be analyzed using logistic regression.

Interim Analyses; Early Termination

A Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) will be appointed to oversee the progress of the SiVent trial and to review adverse events and efficacy. The DSMB will be comprised of 3 members: one pulmonary physicians, a surgeon who treats ARDS, and a statistician. The members will be independent of the institutions where the clinical sites are located. The DSMB will meet approximately every 6 months (alternately in-person and by conference call). The DCC will prepare a complete summary of data on recruitment, adherence to protocol, protocol violations, withdrawal rates, adverse events, deaths, and the primary and secondary outcomes. One of the responsibilities of the DSMB at each of its meetings is to make recommendations concerning continuation, revision, or termination of the clinical trial. Here we describe guidelines for interim analysis of results, with the goal of providing 'stopping boundaries' for the primary outcome (VFD28).

Our expectation is that three formal interim analyses will be conducted: the first when approximately 1/3 of the total patients have been evaluated, the second when about 2/3 have been evaluated, and the third when all patients have been evaluated. The monitoring boundaries for these 'looks' at the data are based on the Lan-DeMets 'alpha spending function' approach. This yields an overall significance level of approximately 0.05. We have chosen monitoring boundaries in the 'Pocock' family [Pocock S (1977), Group sequential methods in the design and analysis of clinical trials. *Biometrika* 64: 191-199]. The software is 'ld98', an interactive program based on the research of K.K. Gordon Lan and David DeMets:

[https://www.biostat.wisc.edu/content/lan-demets-method-statistical-programs-clinical-trials]

See also Lan KKG, DeMets DL (1983) Discrete sequential boundaries for clinical trials. *Biometrika* 70: 659-663. The boundaries are shown in the Figure below. Boundary values are expressed in terms of 'Z' statistics. The upper boundary corresponds to stopping for a benefit to the Sigh group; the lower boundary would be crossed if there is relative evidence of harm in the Sigh group. We have chosen to use asymmetric boundaries, with the lower boundary more likely to be crossed, because we feel that if there is evidence that the 'Sigh' group has more bad outcomes, we do not

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 19 of 34

want the trial to continue. Note also that, if the lower boundary is crossed at some point, it is highly unlikely that at a future 'look', the upper boundary will be crossed. That is, crossing the lower boundary corresponds to 'futility' for the Sigh treatment group.

Stopping boundaries in terms of the Z statistic:

Information time	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Cumulative alpha
0.33	-2.09	+2.61	0.023
0.66	-2.09	+2.65	0.016
1.00	-2.09	+2.66	0.050

Figure: Sequential Monitoring Boundaries

	GROUP SEQUENTIAL BOUNDARIES	
3.00: 2.76: 2.52: 2.28:	** •	4
2.04: 1.80: 1.56: 1.32: 1.08:		
0.84: 0.60: 0.36: 0.12:		
-0.36: -0.60: -0.84: -1.08:		
-1.32: -1.56: -1.80: -2.04: -2.28:	** **	*
-2.52: -2.76: -3.00: 0	1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9	 1

The 'stopping boundaries' are intended as statistical guidelines for the DSMB; the DSMB needs to review the sequential monitoring scheme and the boundaries as described above, and approve this plan prior to initiating the trial. Further, the DSMB is free at any time to make recommendations that disagree with these guidelines, i.e., they may recommend that the trial continue even though the 'stopping boundaries' have

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 20 of 34

been crossed, or to recommend termination of the trial even though the primary outcome data are strictly between the upper and lower boundaries.

Data management

Identifiers

Research personnel at each site will prepare a separate paper file for each patient. Patients will be identified by name, date of birth and medical record number. When data are transmitted to the DCC the research personnel at each site will access a password-protected website. On doing so, and indicating they are registering a new patient, the system will assign a site designation (A through J) and a number to uniquely identify that patient.

Confidentiality

1. Protecting privacy and maintaining confidentiality

All data transmitted to or from the DCC, whether through the password-secured website or by e-mail, will be fully encrypted. Each patient's file will contain information linking the letter and number with that patient but the patients' name, date of birth and medical record number will not be transmitted to the DCC. Individual patient files will be kept under locked access at each site until notified by the DCC that the files can be destroyed.

2. Access to study records

Research personnel at each site (i.e., site- Principal Investigator, research coordinators or research assistants) will have access to the study data collected for each specific patient enrolled from that site and will be able to link these data to each specific patient. DCC personnel will have access to all study data collected from all patients but will not be able to link those data to any specific patient.

Representatives of the Representatives of the USAMRMC (US ARMY Medical & Materiel Command) will be eligible to review all study records.

Data capture, verification and disposition

Data from screening and monitoring will be collected on printed paper forms and kept in these files. Copies of radiological reports will be collected and kept in the same files. After forms have been completed research personnel at each site will enter data by accessing a password-protected web site. Clinic personnel can enter and access patient data from their site only. User names are unique and protected by a strong password. Only de-identified data will be entered.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 21 of 34

Data are double entered (entered twice) to ensure data accuracy. Data will be edited in real time and personnel get immediate feedback if data edits are triggered. The data entry program inserts data directly into the database at the DCC. An additional and more comprehensive edit will take place nightly at the DCC, which automatically generates data queries. Data queries are posted on the study website and personnel respond to queries via the website user interface.

Data management at the DCC uses Oracle Application Server for the website software, with an Oracle database to store study data, running on a UNIX network. All files are automatically backed up nightly, with backup files stored off-site on a twice weekly basis.

The DCC will make available current reports on recruitment, randomization, study completion rates, form completion and accuracy, adherence to protocol, compliance, serious adverse events and other information, both by site and for all sites combined. These reports display real-time data with no time lag data that has been entered and data displayed in the reports.

Data quality

Each center participating in this study has prior experience with clinical trials in critical care. While the screening, monitoring, intervention and endpoints of the proposed trial are simple we plan an in-person training session that will be conducted in conjunction with the DCC involving the research personnel and site-investigators at all centers.

Data quality will be facilitated by training site personnel on:

- 1. The design and rationale of the study
- 2. The target population and recruitment
- 3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
- 4. Informed consent procedures
- 5. Randomization
- 6. Baseline data collection
- 7. Daily monitoring
- 8. Data entry, transmission and error correction
- 9. Reporting adverse events
- 10. Reporting protocol violations
- 11. Closeout of the study for the participants

In addition, the DCC will prepare a comprehensive Manual of Procedures that will be available on-line, will design structured data collection forms, will be involved in interactive editing at the time of data entry and will subsequently do comprehensive IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 22 of 34

editing, will regularly and frequently prepare monitoring reports and review of these reports with site personnel on monthly conference calls involving all sites. The DSMB will review data on safety, efficacy and study operation.

Interim reports to the DSMB will be carried out at monthly and 6-monthly intervals. Monthly reports will include a graphical comparison of actual and target enrollments. Six-monthly reports will include completion rates, adverse events, mortality, levels of compliance, losses to follow-up and data on the primary and secondary outcomes.

Clinical trial monitoring

Each center will be visited during the conduct of the trial by a team assembled by the DCC. Site visitors will review the following aspects at each site:

- 1. Organizational structure
- 2. Recruiting methods and strategies
- 3. Adherence to protocol
- 4. Study completion rate
- 5. Error rates and timeliness of corrections in data entry
- 6. Handling of adverse events
- 7. Compliance with protocol
- 8. Agreement of entered data with raw source documents (i.e., a review of records)
- 9. Data transmission to DCC
- 10. Exit interview with site-PI and other research personnel

A brief written report will be prepared by the DCC after each site visit and sent to the site-PI, to all research personnel at the site, to the study PI and to the Department of Defense. If any corrective actions are needed these will be specifically outlined in these reports. If corrective actions are needed the site-PI will be expected to prepare a written response outlining how he/she plans to address the concerns. These responses will be reviewed by the DCC and the study PI and a monitoring plan will be developed to be carried out within the three months subsequent to the report.

Risk/Benefits Assessment

1. Foreseeable risks

We could find no human studies reporting the effects of administering sighs in a fashion similar to what we are proposing that would allow us to categorize foreseeable risks as previous studies of sighs have utilized smaller volumes [e.g., two times the VT (Housley, 1970; Levine, 2002)], sighs only given for a short period of time [e.g., 30-60 min, Housley, 1970; Levine, 2002)] or sighs given more frequently than we propose but for a shorter period of time [e.g., 3/min for one hour (Pelosi, 1999)]. In addition, the

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 23 of 34

largest study we could find only enrolled 18 patients (Bendixen, 1964). On the basis of physiology, however, we can conceive of the following foreseeable risks.

a. Baro- or volutrauma

A systematic review identified 40 studies that evaluated the effects of various types of recruitment maneuvers in patients with ARDS (Hodgson, 2012). While the majority of these studies utilized sustained inflations, high pressure-controlled ventilation, or incremental PEEP to achieve recruitment five utilized sighs as the mechanism of achieving recruitment (Fan, 2008). These can be examined with respect to safety.

In the first of these, Pelosi and colleagues (1999) delivered three consecutive sigh breaths/min to a Pplat of 45 cmH₂O for one hour to 10 patients with ARDS. Prior to instituting the sighs the patients were receiving a mean VT of 0.56 ± 0.11 L and a PEEP of 14 cmH₂O. Accordingly, the patients had more severe lung injury that those we are planning to study. During the period when sigh breaths were delivered the VT's increased to 1.1 ± 0.45 L. Pulmonary arterial pressure decreased 2.5 ± 1.9 mmHg and pulmonary vascular resistance decreased 30.8 ± 27.8 dyne•sec•cm⁻⁵•m⁻² and both returned to control values after the sighs were discontinued. No instances of barotrauma were reported. These small but significant hemodynamic changes are not likely to be encountered in the study we propose as they were seen when three sighs were administered each minute whereas we are proposing to deliver one sigh every 5 minutes.

In the second, Foti and colleagues (2000) raised PEEP from 9.4 ± 3 to 16 ± 2 cmH₂O for two breaths separated by 30 sec, twice a minute for 30 minutes in 15 patients with ARDS. Peak inspiratory pressure averaged 35.5 ± 5.4 cmH₂O during the period in which sighs were instituted. Cardiac out, mean arterial blood pressure, pulmonary arterial pressure, pulmonary arterial wedge pressure, central venous pressures and oxygen delivery did not change. No instances of barotrauma were reported.

Patroniti and colleagues (2002) utilized one sigh breath/min, each lasting 3 to 5 seconds by intermittently raising PEEP to produce a Pplat that was 20% higher than the Pplat under the control condition or 35 cmH₂O, whichever was higher, for one hour in 13 patients with ARDS. Pplat averaged 38 ± 3.2 cmH₂O during the period when sighs were applied corresponding to VT's of 1.15 ± 0.3 L that were delivered on top of PEEP levels that averaged 10 ± 4 cmH₂O. Heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure did not change. No instances of barotrauma were reported. This study indicates that limiting our Pplat to 40 cmH₂O (45 cmH₂O in those with a BMI > 30) will allow us to achieve increases in VTs that are sufficient to cause surfactant release.

In a second study, Pelosi and colleagues (2003) delivered three consecutive sigh breaths/min to a Pplat of 45 cmH₂O (that corresponded to a VT of 947 ± 321 mL) for

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 24 of 34

one hour to 10 patients with ARDS. Prior to administering sigh breaths the patients were being ventilated with a VT of 590 \pm 138 mL and a PEEP of 14 \pm 3 cmH₂O. No change in hemodynamics occurred and no instances of barotrauma were reported.

Accordingly, although the number of patients studied is small but the literature contains no suggestion that the sigh breaths in volumes that we propose to deliver will cause any hemodynamic compromise. In addition, using a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O is <u>below</u> that used by other investigators in patients who had more severe lung injury than those we will be studying and no instances of barotrauma were observed.

b. Ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI)

VILI is attributed to overdistension and/or to cyclical airspace opening and closing. Low V_T ventilation is now the standard of care for patients with ARDS and is thought to reduce VILI by reducing overdistension. The lung volume produced by a Pplat of 35 or 40 cmH₂O could result in overdistension in some areas of the lung in some patients. It seems unlikely, however, that VILI could occur from this degree of overdistension occurring only 10 times/hour, and studies in animal models of lung injury indicate that such a strategy is protective rather than injurious (Oyarzun, 1977; Mascheroni; 1988; Oyarzun, 1991; Pelosi, 1999; Patroniti, 2002). Nonetheless, VILI remains a potential adverse effect. Nonetheless, we will perform two interim analyses of the data looking for evidence that there might be *fewer* VFDs in the intervention arm of the study than in the control (i.e., a result opposite to the one hypothesized) and the stopping rules for harm are less stringent than those for documenting benefit (see above). In addition, respiratory therapists will, on at least a daily basis, confirm that the sigh breaths being delivered do not result in a Pplat that exceeds 40 cmH₂O (45 cmH₂O in patients with decreased chest wall compliance as described above). Adjustments in the volumes or Pplat will be made as needed. In addition these pressures will be rechecked whenever the treating physician is concerned about a change in the patient's clinical status. The frequency with which these adjustments are needed will be recorded.

c. Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony

Although people take sigh breaths approximately 10 times/hour under normal circumstances (Bendixen, 1964) and intermittent sighs for short periods of time have been used in patients with ARDS without apparently causing any dyspnea or respiratory discomfort, sigh breaths could conceivably result in patient-ventilator dyssynchrony.

Patient-ventilator dyssynchrony is common in patients with ARDS because they are receiving <u>low</u> V_T ventilation. This dyssynchrony is frequently managed by increasing sedation or even resulting to use of paralytics. Accordingly, it is equally conceivable, that sigh ventilation could <u>decrease</u> patient-ventilator dyssynchrony. If patient-

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 25 of 34

ventilator dyssynchrony is occurring at an increased frequency in the intervention arm of this study it will be manifested by an increased need to administer sedation and/or analgesics and we will monitor the use of these two medication classes on a daily basis with the results being made available to the DSMB for their periodic reviews.

We can think of no other psychological, legal, social, economic or physical risk that might be associated with receiving sigh breaths once every five minutes.

Risk management and emergency response

a. Research Monitor

Dr. Jesse Hall, Professor of Medicine, Anesthesia and Critical Care at the University of Chicago Biological Sciences will serve as both the chair of the Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) and the research monitor. Dr. Hall's role may include observing recruitment, enrollment, and consent as well as study interactions with subjects. In addition, he may work with the DCC to review monitoring plans, data collection, and analysis. As research monitor, he has the authority to discuss the research protocol with the investigators, interview human subjects, and consult with others outside of the study about the research. Dr. Hall will also have the authority to take any steps necessary to protect the well-being of human subjects until the IRB(s) can assess his concerns, including stopping the study or removing individual human subjects from the study. Dr. Hall will be required to promptly report any observations and findings to the IRB or other designated official and the HRPO.

b. Surveillance and reporting

Each patient will be seen daily by research personnel from the time each patient is enrolled until day 28 or until the patient is discharged from the ICU, either to another floor in the hospital or home or dies. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28.

These daily visits will seek evidence of adverse events or complications including volutrauma and patient-ventilator dyssynchrony. If adverse events or complications have occurred they will be recorded on the adverse event case report form. Whether a patient experiencing an adverse event continues on the study or is withdrawn will be decided by the treating physician.

If the patient is discharged from the ICU before day 28 and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation, research personnel will review medical records and/or contact the patient on day 28 to determine whether the patient has experienced any adverse events between the day the patient was discharged from the ICU and day 28.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 26 of 34

The investigator will report all adverse events to the DCC within 24 hours of their occurrence. The local Institutional Review Board (IRB) will also be informed in a timely manner. The investigator will then submit a detailed, written report to the DCC and to the IRB no later than five days after the investigator discovers the event.

The DCC will report all serious, unexpected and study-related adverse events to the DSMB by fax or telephone within seven calendar days. A written report will be sent to the DSMB within 15 calendar days and these reports will be sent to investigators for submission to their respective IRBs. The DSMB will also review all adverse events during each scheduled interim analysis. The DCC will distribute the written summary of the DSMB's periodic review of adverse events to investigators for submission to their respective IRBs.

All patients in this study will be hospitalized in an intensive care unit. Accordingly, emergency care will be readily available at all times. The cost of treatment for all study-related adverse events will be covered by each individual hospital.

Potential benefits

Numerous studies in the literature document that (a) constant V_T ventilation depletes and/or inactivates surfactant, (b) loss of surfactant increases surface tension and predisposes to airspace collapse, (c) having airspace collapse predisposes the lung to VILI as a result of cyclical airspace opening and closing, (d) VILI increases the mortality of patients with ARDS and (e) sigh breaths are known to cause surfactant secretion from type 2 alveolar epithelial cells and protect against VILI. Accordingly, adding sigh breaths should decrease VILI and increase the number of VFDs. If this occurs, the incidence, severity and mortality of ARDS should all decrease.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 27 of 34

References

- Albert RK, Jobe A. Gas exchange in the acute respiratory distress syndrome (Acute Lung Injury and Respiratory Distress Syndrome). Comprehensive Physiology 2012;2:1585-1617.
- Arold SP, Suki B, Alencar AM, Lutchen KR, Ingenito EP. Variable ventilation induces endogenous surfactant release in normal guinea pigs. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2000;285:370–375.
- Arold SP, Mora R, Lutchen KR, Ingenito EP, Suki B. Variable tidal volume ventilation improves mechanics and gas exchange in a rodent model of acute lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165:366–371.
- Bendixen HH, Hedley-Whyte J, Laver MB. Impaired oxygenation in surgical patients during general anesthesia with controlled ventilation. A concept of atelectasis. N Engl J Med 1963;269:991–996.
- Bendixen HH, Smith GM, Mean J. Pattern of ventilation in young adults. J Appl Physiol 1964;19:195-8.
- Bernstein L. The elastic pressure-volume curves of the lungs and thorax of the living rabbit. J Physiol 1957;138:473–487.
- Bilek AM, Dee CY, Gaver DP III. Mechanisms of surface-tension induced epithelial cell damage in a model of pulmonary airway reopening. J Appl Physiol 2003;94:770–783.
- Boker A, Graham MR, Walley KR, McManus BM, Girling LG, Walker E, Lefevre GR, Mutch WA. Improved arterial oxygenation with biologically variable or fractal ventilation using low tidal volumes in a procine model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;165:456–462.
- Boker A, Haberman CJ, Girling L, Buzman RP, Louridas G, Tanner JR, Cheang M, Maycher BW, Bell DD, Doak GJ. Variable ventilation improves perioperative lung function in patients undergoing abdominal aortic aneurysmectomy. Anesthesiol 2004;100:608-16.
- Butler J, Smith BH. Pressure-volume relationships of the chest in the completely relazed anaesthetized patient. Clin Sci 1957;16:125-45.

- Caro CG, Butler J, DuBois AB. Some effects of restriction of chest cage expansion on pulmonary function in man: an experimental study. J Clin Invest 1960;39:573–583.
- Clements JA. Surface tension of lung extracts. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1957;95:170– 172.
- Clements JA, Hustead RF, Johnson RP, Gribetz I. Pulmonary surface tension and alveolar stability. J Appl Physiol 1961;16:444–450.
- Clements JA, Tierney DF, Trahan HJ. Influence of kinetic behavior of surface films on pulmonary elasticity. Physiologist 1963;6:159.
- Coker PJ, Hernandez LA, Peevy K, Adkins K, Parker JC. Increased sensitivity to mechanical ventilation after surfactant inactivation in young rabbit lungs. Crit Care Med 1992;20:635–640.
- D'Angelo E, PecchiariM, Baraggia P, SaettaM, Balestro E,Milic-Emili J. Low volume ventilation causes peripheral airway injury and increased airway resistance in normal rabbits. J Appl Physiol 2002;92:949–956.
- D'Angelo E, Pecchiari M, Gentile G. Dependence of lung injury on surface tension during low-volume ventilation in normal open-chest rabbits. J Appl Physiol 2007;102:174–182.
- Davis K, Brfanson RD, Campbell RS, Porembka DT, Johnson DJ. The addition of sighs during pressure support ventilation. Is there a benefit. Chest 1993;104:867-70.
- Dock W. The evil sequelae of complete bed rest. JAMA 1944;125:1083–5.
- Dos Santos CC, Slutsky AS. Mechanisms of ventilator-induced injury: a perspective. J Appl Physiol 2000;89:1645-55.
- Drinker CK. Pulmonary edema and inflammation. An analysis of processes involved in the formation and removal of pulmonary transudates and exudates. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1945. pp 3–106.
- Egbert LD, Laver MB, Bendixen HH. Intermittent deep breaths and compliance during anesthesia in man. Anesthesiology 1963;24:57–60.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 29 of 34

- Enhorning G, Shennan A, Possmayer F, Dunn M, Chen CP, Milligan J. Prevention of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome by tracheal instillation of surfactant: a randomized clinical trial. Pediatrics 76: 145-53, 1985.
- Fan E, Wilcox ME, Brower RG, Stewart TE, Mehta S, Lapinsky SE, Meade MO, Ferguson ND. Recruitment maneuvers for acute lung injury: a systematic review. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;178:1156-63.
- Faridy EE, Permutt S, Riley RL. Effect of ventilation on surface forces in excised dogs' lungs. J Appl Physiol 1966;21:1453–1462.
- Ferris BG, Pollard DS. Effect of deep and quiet breathing on pulmonary compliance in man. J Clin Invest 1960;39:143-9.
- Finley TN, Tooley WH, Swenson EW, Gardner RE, Clements JA. Pulmonary surface tension in experimental atelectasis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1964;89:372–378.
- Forrest JB. The effect of hyperventilation on pulmonary surface activity. Br J Anaesth 1972;44:313–320.
- Foti G, Cereda M, Sparacino ME, De Marchi L, Villa F, Pesenti A. Effects of periodic lung recruitment nameuvers on gas exchange and respiratory mechanically ventilated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) patients. Intensive Care Med 2000;26:501-7.
- Grasso S, Mascia L, Del Turco M, Malacarne P, Giunta F, Brochard IK, Slutsky AS, Ranieri M. Effects of recruiting maneuvers in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome ventilated with protective ventilator strategy. Anesthesiol 2002;96:795-802.
- Greene KE, Wright JR, Steinberg KP, Ruzinski JT, Caldwell E, Wong WB, Hull W, Whitsett JA, Akino T, Kuroki Y, et al. Serial changes in surfactantassociated proteins in lung and serum before and after onset of ARDS. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;160:1843–1850.
- Greenfield LJ, Ebert PA, Benson DW. Effect of positive pressure ventilation on surface tension proterties of lung extracts. Anesthesiology 1964;25:312–316.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 30 of 34

- Hallman M, Merritt TA, Jarvenpaa A, Boynton B, Mannino F, Bluck L, Moore T, Edwards D. Exogenous human surfactant for treatment of severe respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized prospective clinical trial. J Peds 196: 963-9, 1985.
- Hedley-Whyte J, Laver MB, Bendizen HH. Effect of changes in tidal ventilation on physiologic shunting. Am J Physiol 1964;206:891–897.
- Hildebran JN, Goerke J, Clements JA. Surfactant release in excised rat lung is stimulated by air inflation. J Appl Physiol 1981;51:905–910.
- Hodgson C, Keating JL, Holland AE. Recruitment manoeuvres for adults with acute lung injury receiving mechanical ventilation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009, 15.
- Hou PC, Elie-Tureene M-C, Mitani A, Barry JM, Kao EY, Cohen JE, Frendl G, Gajic O, Gentile NT, US Critical Illness and Injury Trials Group: Lung Injury Prevention Study Investigators. Towards prevention of acute lung injury: frequency and outcomes of emergency department patients at-risk - a multicenter cohort study. International J Emerg Med 2012;5:22, 1-12.
- Housley E, Louzada N, Becklake MR. To sigh or not to sigh. Am Rev Respir Dis 1970;101:611-14.
- Hudson LD, Milberg JA, Anardi D, Maunder RJ. Clinical risks for development of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;151:293-301.
- Ikegami J, Whitsett JA, Martis PC, Weaver TE. Reversibility of lung inflammation caused by SP-B deficiency. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2005;289:L962–L970.
- Kowalski S, McMullen MC, Girling LG, McCarthy BG. Biologically variable ventilation in patients with acute lung injury: a pilot study. Can J Anesthesiol 2013:60:502-3.
- Laver MB, Morgan J, Bendixen HH, Radford EP. Lung volume, compliance and arterial oxygen tensions during controlled ventilation. J Appl Physiol 1964;19:725–733.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 31 of 34

- Levine M, Gilbert, Auchincloss JH Jr. A comparison of the effects of sighs, large tidal volumes, and positive end expiratory pressure in assisted ventilation. Scand J Resp Dis 1972;53:101-8.
- Lewis JF, Ikegami M, Jobe AH. Altered surfactant function and metabolism in rabbits with acute lung injury. J Appl Physiol 1990;69:2303–2310.
- Lim CM, Jung H, Koh Y, Lee JS, Shim TS, Lee SD, Kim WS, Kim DS, Kim WD. Effect of alveolar recruitment maneuver in early acute respiratory distress syndrome according to anti-derecruitment strategy, etiological category of diffuse lung injury and body position of the patient. Crit Care Med 2003;31:411-8.
- McClenahan JB, Urtnowski A. Effect of ventilation on surfactant, and its turnover rate. J Appl Physiol 1967;23:215–220.
- Maruscak AA, Vockeroth DW, Girardi B, Sheikh T, Possmayer F, Lewis JF, Veldhuizen RAW. Alterations to surfactant precede physiological deterioration during high tidal volume ventilation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008;294:L974–L983.
- Mascheroni D, Kolobow T, Fumagalli R, Moretti MP, Chen V, Buckhold D. Acute respiratory failure following pharmacologically induced hyperventilation: an experimental animal study. Intensive Care Med 1988;15:8–14.
- Massaro GD, Massaro D. Morphologic evidence that large inflations of the lung stimulate secretion of surfactant. Am Rev Respir Dis 1983;127:235–236.
- Mead J, Collier C. Relation of volume history of lungs to respiratory mechanics in anesthetized dogs. J Appl Physiol 1959;14:669–678.
- Muscedere JG, Mullen JBM, Gan K, Slutsky AS. Tidal ventilation at low airway pressures can augment lung injury. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:1327–1334.
- Mutch WAC, Harms S, Graham MR, Kowalski SE, Girling LG, Lefevre GR. Biologically variable or naturally noisy mechanical ventilation recruits atelectactatic lung. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;162:319–323.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 32 of 34

- Mutch WA, Eschun GM, Kowalski SE, Graham MR, Girling LG, Lefevre GR. Biologically variable ventilation prevents deterioration of gas exchange during prolonged anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2000;84:197–203.
- Mutch WAC, Harms S, Lefevre GR, Graham MR, Girling LG, Kowalski SE. Biologically variable ventilation increases arterial oxygenation over that seen with positive end- expiratory pressure alone in a porcine model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 2000;28:2457–2464.
- Nicholas TE, Barr HA. The release of surfactant in rat lung by brief periods of hyperventilation. Respir Physiol 1983;52:69–83.
- Oczenski W, Hormann C, Keller C, Lorenzi N, Kepka A, Schwarz S, Firgerald RD. Recruitment nameuvers during prone positioning in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 2005;33:54-61.
- Oczenski W, Hormann C, Keller C, Lorenzi N, Kepka A, Schwarz S, Firgerld RD. Recruitment maneuvers after a positive end-expiratory pressure trial do not induce sustained effects in early adult respiratory distress syndrome. Anesthesiol 2004;101:620-5.
- Oyarzun MJ, Clements JA. Ventilatiory and cholinergic control of pulmonary surfactant in the rabbit. J Appl Physiol 1977;43:39–45.
- Oyarzun MJ, Iturriaga R, Donoso P, Dussaubat N, Santos M, Schiappacasse ME, Lathrop ME, Larrain C, Zapata P. Factors affecting distribution of alveolar surfactant during resting ventilation. Am J Physiol 1991;261:L210–L217.
- Pattle RE. Surface lining of lung alveoli. Physiol Rev 1965;45:48–79.
- Patroniti N, Foti G, Cortinovis B, Maggioni E, Bigatello LM, Cereda M, Pesenti A. Sigh improves gas exchange and lung volume in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome undergoing pressure support ventilation. Anesthesiology 2002;96:788–794.
- Pelosi P, Cadringher P, Bottino N, Panigada M, Carrieri F, Riva E. Sigh in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;159:872–880.
- Pelosi P, Bottino N, Chiumello D, Caironi P, Panigada M, Gamberoni C, Colombo G, Bigatello LM, Gattinoni L. Sigh in supine and prone position during acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;167:521-7.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 33 of 34

- Shambaugh GE, Harrison WG, Farrell JI. Treatment of the respiratory paralysis of poliomyletis in a respiratory chamber. JAMA 1930;94:1371–1373.
- Taskar V, John J, Evander E, Robertson B, Jonson B. Surfactant dysfunction makes lungs vulnerable to repetitive collapse and reexpansion. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:313–320.
- Thammanomai A, Majumdar A, Bartolak-Suki E, Suki B. Effects of reduced tidal volume ventilation on pulmonary function in mice before and after acute lung injury. J Appl Physiol 2007;103:1551–1559.
- Thet LA, Clerch L, Massaro GD, Massaro D. Changes in sedimentation of surfactant in ventilated excised rat lungs. Physical alterations in surfactant associated with the development and reversal of atelectasis. J Clin Invest 1979;64:600–608.
- Tierney DF, Johnson RP. Altered surface tension of lung extracts and lung mechanics. J Appl Physiol 1965;20:1253–1260.
- Veldhuizen RAW, Marcou J, Yao L, McCaig L, Ito Y, Lewis JF. Alveolar surfactant aggregate conversion in ventilated normal and injured rabbits. Am J Physiol 1996;270: L152–1588.
- Veldhuizen RQW, Welk B, Harbottle R, Hearn S, Nag K, Peterson N, Possmayer F. Mechanical ventilation of isolated rat lungs changes the structure and biophysical properties of surfactant. J Appl Physiol 2002;92:1169–1175.
- Verbrugge SJC, Vasquez di Anda G, Gommers D, Neggers SJMM, Sorm V, Bohm S, Lachmann B. Exogenous surfactant preserves lung function and reduces alveolar Evans blue dye influx in a rat model of ventilation-induced lung injury. Anesthesiology 1998;89:467–474.
- Verbrugge SJC, Boghm SH, Gommers D, Zommerman LJI, Lachmann B. Surfactant impairment after mechanical ventilation with large alveolar surface area changes and effects of positive-end expiratory pressure. Surfactant impairment after mechanical ventilation with large alveolar surface area changes and effects of positive-end expiratory pressure. Br J Anaesth 1998;80:360–364.

IRB Protocol: _____ Site PI: _____ Version Date: 7/13/2016 Page 34 of 34

- Walker MG, Tessolini JM, McCaig L, Yao L-J, Lewis JF, Veldhuizen RAW. Elevated endogenous surfactant reduces inflammation in an acute lung injury model. Exp Lung Res 2009;35:591–604.
- Webb HH, Tierney DF. Experimental pulmonary edema due to intermittent positive pressure ventilation with high inflation pressures. Protection by positive end-expiratory pressure. Am Rev Respir Dis 1974;110:556–565.
- Welk B, Malloy JL, Joseph M, Yao L-J, Velduizen RQW. Surfactant treatment for ventilation-induced lung injury in rats: effects on lung compliance and cytokines. Exp Lung Res 2001;27:505–520.
- Wirtz RW, Dobbs LG. Calcium mobilization and exocytosis after one mechanical stretch of lung epithelial cells. Science 1990;250:1266.
- Wu N, Miller WF, Luhn NR. Studies of breathing in anesthesia. Anesthesiology 1956;17:696–707.
- Wyszogrodski I, Kyei-Aboagye K, Taeusch HW Jr, Avery ME. Surfactant inactivation by hyperventilation: conservation by end-expiratory pressure. J Appl Physiol 1975;38:461–6.
- Yamashita C, Forbes A, Tessolini JM, Yao L-J, Lewis JF, Veldhuizen RAW. Protective effects of elevated endogenous surfactant pools to injurious mechanical ventilation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008;294:L724– L732.
- Young SL, Tierney DF, Clements JA. Mechanism of compliance change in excised rat lungs at low transpulmonary pressure. J Appl Physiol 1970;29:780–785.

Protocol revisions:

	Effective date: Section		Revision:
1	7/13/2016	Methods	Inclusion criteria changed from "Patients in surgical ICUs
		p.8	(SICUs)" to "Patients in intensive care unit (ICU)"
2	7/13/2016	Methods p.9	Participant follow-up expanded to include death or transfer of care, and changed SICU to ICU.
			Changed follow-up language from "Patients will be followed on a daily basis for 28 days or until they leave the SICU" to "Patients will be followed on a daily basis for 28 days or until they leave the intensive care unit or die. If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28"
3	7/13/2016	Methods/ Intervention to be	"Admission to SICU" changed to "Admission to ICU".
		tested p.10, 11	Added sentence: "If a patient is randomized to receive sigh breaths and is transported to the operating room, CT, IR or other hospital department after randomization, the patient should be ventilated according to usual practice, i.e., they need not continue to receive sigh breaths during the time they are away from the ICU. Sigh breaths should be restarted on their return."
4	7/13/2016	Methods / Informed Consent Process p.12	Changed SICU to ICU.
5	7/13/2016	Methods / Patient Follow-up Process p.13	Changed SICU to ICU in multiple places within the section. Added paragraph "If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28." Added language "and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation" to the sentence "If the patient is discharged from the ICU before day 28 and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation, research personnel will review medical records and/or contact the patient on day 28" Added paragraph "If a patient is randomized to receive sigh breaths and is transported to the operating room, CT, IR or other hospital department after randomization, the patient should be ventilated according to usual practice, i.e., they need not continue to receive sigh breaths during the time they are away from the ICU. Sigh breaths should be restarted on their return."
6	7/13/2016	Methods / Data collection p.14	Changed SICU to ICU in items 1h and 1i.
7	7/13/2016	Risk/Benefits	Changed language in the sentence "We can think of no other

		Assessment / Foreseeable risks / c. patient- ventilator dyssynchrony p.25	psychological, legal, social, economic or physical risk that might be associated with receiving sigh breaths" from "once every five minutes" to "once every six minutes".
8	7/13/2016	Risk management and emergency response / b. Surveillance and reporting p.25-26	Changed SICU to ICU in multiple places within the section. Added paragraph "If a patient is transferred to a progressive care or step-down unit and continues to receive ventilation, the patient will be followed on a daily basis until the patient is extubated or dies or until day 28." Added language "and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation" to the sentence
			"If the patient is discharged from the ICU before day 28 and is no longer receiving mechanical ventilation, research personnel will review medical records and/or contact the patient on day 28"
9	8/25/2016	Methods/ Intervention to be tested p.10, 11	Added sentence: "Patients who have reached maximum PEEP and cannot obtain goal Pplat will not be considered deviations and the reasons for not reaching goal will be recorded by study personnel."
10	8/25/2016	Methods / Informed consent process p.13	Changed sentence from "Research personnel will ask the treating physician to determine whether each patient is mentally competent to provide consent. In the event that the treating physician believes the patient is not competent," to "In the event that research personnel or the treating physician believes the patient is not competent".
11	8/25/2016	Methods / Patient Follow-up Process p.13	Added language "(or the Friday before or Monday after if day 28 occurs on a weekend)" to day 28 contact.
12	8/25/2016	Risk/Benefits Assessment / Foreseeable risks / a. Baro- or volutrauma p.23	Changed language in sentence "These small but significant hemodynamic changes are not likely to be encountered in the study we propose as they were seen when three sighs were administered each minute whereas we are proposing to deliver one sigh every" from "5 minutes" to "6 minutes".
13	8/25/2016	Risk management and emergency response / b. Surveillance and reporting p.25	Changed language from "These daily visits will seek evidence of adverse events or complications including volutrauma and patient-ventilator dyssynchrony." to "These daily visits will seek evidence of adverse events or complications per the study manual of procedure."
14	3/24/2017	Methods / Exclusion criteria p.8	Added language "excluding any time during which the patient was being ventilated in the operating room, CT or IR" to item 4. (Undergoing invasive mechanical ventilation for > 24 hours)
15	8/20/2018	Methods / Exclusion criteria p.9	Added item 15. Patients enrolled in other studies should be reviewed with the study PI, Dr. Richard Albert, and the data coordinating center to assess eligibility for this study.
16	8/20/2018	Methods / Informed consent	Added sentence "The LAR for all subjects for whom telephone consent is obtained will be asked to sign a paper

		process	consent upon arrival at the hospital and will be given the
		p.12	opportunity to withdraw from the study if they do not
			reaffirm consent to continue participating."
17	4/24/2019	Methods /	Added "MRI" to the sentence "Undergoing invasive
		Exclusion criteria	mechanical ventilation for > 24 hours, excluding any time
		p.8	during which the patient was being ventilated in the
			operating room, MRI, CT or IR".
18	4/24/2019	Methods /	Added sentence: "If decisional capacity is not regained on or
		Informed consent	before day 28, re-consent is not required. If decisional
		process	capacity is regained on or before day 28 and the patient is still
		p.13	hospitalized, they will be re-consented as soon as decisional
			capacity is regained. If the patient is transferred to another
			facility prior to day 28 and they have not regained decisional
			capacity, the coordinator will maintain contact with the
			patient and/or facility to ascertain if decisional capacity is
			regained and re-consent the patient if it is regained on or
			before day 28."
19	7/17/2019	Methods /	Changed item 13 from "Treating physician's decision to use
		Exclusion criteria	airway pressure-release ventilation (APRV)." to "Patients on
		p.9	any ventilator mode that allows for spontaneous breathing,
			who are taking spontaneous breaths after intubation."
20	7/17/2019	Methods /	Removed language "If the patient is transferred to another
		Informed consent	facility prior to day 28 and they have not regained decisional
		process	capacity, the coordinator will maintain contact with the
		p.13	patient and/or facility to ascertain if decisional capacity is
			regained and re-consent the patient if it is regained on or
			before day 28."
21	2/9/2020	Statistical plan /	Revised sample size estimate from 916 to 544 using interim
		Sample size	results to recalculate.
		estimate	
		p.17	