

Supporting Information

for Adv. Sci., DOI 10.1002/advs.202303872

Sonodynamic Therapy of NRP2 Monoclonal Antibody-Guided MOFs@COF Targeted Disruption of Mitochondrial and Endoplasmic Reticulum Homeostasis to Induce Autophagy-Dependent Ferroptosis

Zhiyu Zhao, Yanjie Wu, Xiaochen Liang, Jiajing Liu, Yi Luo, Yijia Zhang, Tingting Li, Cong Liu, Xian Luo, Jialin Chen, Yunjie Wang, Shengyu Wang, Ting Wu, Shaoliang Zhang, Dong Yang, Wengang Li, Jianghua Yan, Zhihai Ke* and Fanghong Luo*

Supporting Information

Sonodynamic Therapy of NRP2 Monoclonal Antibody-Guided MOFs@COF Targeted Disruption of Mitochondrial and Endoplasmic Reticulum Homeostasis to Induce Autophagy-Dependent Ferroptosis

Zhiyu Zhao^{a#}, Yanjie Wu^{b#}, Xiaochen Liang^c, Jiajing Liu^a, Yi Luo^d, Yijia Zhang^a, Tingting Li^a, Cong Liu^a, Xian Luo^a, Jialin Chen^d, Yunjie Wang^a, Shengyu Wang^a, Ting Wu^a, Shaoliang Zhang^e, Dong Yang^a, Wengang Li^a, Jianghua Yan^a, Zhihai Ke^{b*}, Fanghong Luo^{a*}

Zhiyu Zhao, Jiajing Liu, Yijia Zhang, Tingting Li, Cong Liu, Xian Luo, Yunjie Wang, Shengyu Wang, Ting Wu, Dong Yang, Wengang Li, Jianghua Yan, Fanghong Luo Cancer Research Center, School of Medicine, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361000, P. R. China Fanghong Luo(<u>luofanghong@xmu.edu.cn</u>)

Yanjie Wu, Zhihai Ke

School of Science and Engineering, Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Innovative Drug Synthesis, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen, 518172, P. R. China Zhihai Ke(<u>kezhihai@cuhk.edu.cn</u>)

Xiaochen Liang

Environmental Toxicology, University of California, Riverside, California, 92507, United States

Yi Luo, Jialin Chen

School of Basic Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, 350122, P. R. China

Shaoliang Zhang Shanghai Guangsheng Biopharmaceutical Co.,Ltd, Shanghai, 200120, P. R. China



Fig. S1 SEM images of a) NH_2 -MIL-101 (Fe), b) GEM-DVDMS@NH $_2$ -MIL-101 (Fe), c) TpPa-1, (d) NH_2 -MIL-101 (Fe)@TpPa-1, e) NRP2-GEM-DVDMS/NH $_2$ -MIL-101 (Fe)@TpPa-1, f) TEM image of NH_2 -MIL-101(Fe). Different weight ratios of NH_2 -MIL-101 (Fe)@TpPa-1: (g) 15.75 mg Tp, 12 mg Pa-1, (h) 31.5 mg Tp, 24 mg Pa-1, i) 47.25 mg Tp, 36 mg Pa-1, j) 63mg Tp, 48 mg Pa-1, samples were denoted as NH_2 -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-1, NH_2 -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-2, NH_2 -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-3, NH_2 -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-4, respectively. The weight of NH_2 -MIL-101(Fe) was fixed at 30 mg.



Fig. S2 a) Standard curve of GEM at different concentrations. b) Standard curve of DVDMS at different concentrations.



Fig. S3 TEM image of GDMCN2 stability in PBS. a) 24 h. b) 72h. c) 168 h.



Fig. S4 In vitro cumulative release profiles of a) DVDMS and b) GEM.



Fig. S5 Intracellular TEM images of PANC-1/GEM cells incubated with a) PBS b) GDMC, and c) GDMCN2 following 1 h of incubation.



Fig. S6 Flow cytometric analysis of PANC-1/GEM cells following incubation with GDMCN2 for 0h, 1h, 2h, 4h, 8h, and 12h.



Fig. S7 Flow cytometer analysis after various treatments.



Fig. S8 Red fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with AM-PI under different treatment.



Fig. S9 Green fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with DCFH-DA under different treatment.



Fig. S10 CLSM images of PANC-1/GEM cells stained with JC-1 following Control, US, DVDMS+US, and GDMCN2+US group.



Fig. S11 a) Protein expression levels of XPB1S and LC3 in PANC-1/GEM cells with different treatments. b and c) Quantitative analysis of XBP1S and LC3 proteins





Fig. S12 CLSM image of cell immunofluorescence in PANC-1/GEM cells treated with various treatments. a) ATF6 protein, b) ERN1 protein.



Fig. S13 Autophagy-lysosomes in TEM images of PANC-1/GEM cells after GDMCN2 combined with US treatment.



Fig. S14 Green fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with γ -H2A.X antibody under different treatment.



Fig. S15 RT-qPCR relative expression levels of DNA repair-related genes.



Fig. S16 RT-qPCR relative expression levels of cell proliferation-related genes.



Fig. S17 Green fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with ThiolTracker Violet under different treatment.



Fig. S18 Ferroptosis-associated Western Blot results.



Fig. S19 Green fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with GPX4 antibody under different treatment.



Fig. S20 Green fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with BODIPY C11 under different treatment.



Fig. S21 Green fluorescence intendity in PANC-1/GEM cells stained with LiperFluo under different treatment.



Fig. S22 a and b)Hemolysis assay of GDMCN2 in different concentration.

	0 day	2 day	4 day	6 day	8 day	10 day	12 day	14 day
	2 Ale	The second second	The second se	the second	The second second	The	The second se	The second
-	200 AC	Contraction of the second	The second	The second se	The second	The second	the state	Contra -
ontro	and the second s	Sector Contraction	2 Alexandre	The second	and the second s	1	The second	a to
ŭ	- All	and the second s		There	The second	- Andrew Contraction	The second	
	2 JE	2.42	The second second	the second		The second se	te	- the second
	2 th	2 Al		and the second s	the second	The second second	The second second	3700 a
	2 miles	The second se	The second second	to all	North .	The	the second second	34
NS		and the second s		and the second s	A AND	2 St	200	2000
	2 Ale	A CONTRACTOR	-		and the second s	The	t	
	2 Alexandre			and the second	the second	Rest.	the state	Contraction of the second
- 1	- Aller	and the second s	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	and the second second		The second		No.
	2 AL	A CONTRACTOR	2 Contraction	- Aller	and the	- And	The second se	the state
NEN M	-	and the second s		The second	A second		and the second	and the second
Ĭ		the second	The second	the second	The second	· · · ·	A state	·
	2000	The second	2 miles	The second secon	-	Y		Ante
I	2 Alexandre	2 A	- Aller		The second	The second second	2 de	and the
s	1000	ates			the second	The second second	The second second	Z
WQ/	2 all	-		And the second s	and a second			the second second
6	The second second		and the second s	THE PE		Seal.	A CONTRACTOR	100
	2000 Maria	2 p	E To	-		and the second s	The second se	
I		T	-	T	The second se	Jost .		A State
SU	1-5-	-		The second se	the second	The second second	-	The second secon
+ SI	- Ale	-	The second se	and the second		- Al		T
NDV	- Ale		The		-	The set	and the second	and the second s
	Carlos and	to the		· ····································	-		-	a set
Ĩ	2 Martin	and the second s	2 AN	Text	-	The second	teres.	and the
2	the second		2.5		-	2 the	A CONTRACT	the state
MCN	and a second		at the second	The second second	-	1	- AL	300
G	2000		Service .			The second		3 ALE
	2 All	2 Alexandre	2 miles	- Alexandre		-		and the second s
i	and the	The second second	The state	The state	the second	The state	The second	
SU	-	The state	The second	-	The	-		-
N2 +	The second	The state		-	The second			-
M	200	Server 2	-	-	The second se	-	the second	
Ū	And the	-	-	- Ale	The second second		the second second	100 AC

Fig. S23 Representative digital images of mice from each group within 14 days.



Fig. S24 Tumor tissue isolated from different treatment groups.



Fig. S25 Images demonstrate TOM20 a) immunofluorescence and b) immunohistochemistry of tumors collected on day 14 of different therapies.

	Control	US	GEM	DVDMS	DVDMS+US	GDCMN2	GDCMN2 + US
Heart							a ne de
Liver	** 	1400					
Spleen		Stores?					
Lung							
Kidney			6 C .	100 ° 20			6.0
Skin	and the		2 Star	100	283	and conserve	
Muscle		$C \in J \cap M$	\$-1j1				o Cel 2
Cerebrum				and the second			200 µm

Fig. S26 H&E staining of normal tissues from different treatment group.

Element	Fe Weight (%)	Fe Atom (%)
NH ₂ -MIL-101 Fe	31.66	10.11
NH ₂ -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-1	9.22	2.37
NH ₂ -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-2	5.70	1.41
NH ₂ -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-3	2.79	0.67
NH ₂ -MIL-101(Fe)@TpPa-1-4	2.00	0.48

 Table S1. The EDS element analysis of different samples.

Table S2. The weight of the nude	mice
----------------------------------	------

^{Markers} Weight (g) Time	DAY 0	DAY 2	DAY 4	DAY 6	DAY 8	DAY 10	DAY 12	DAY 14
(I)-1	17.8	17.9	17.9	16.3	17.8	18.6	18.5	18.6
(I)-2	19.7	19.7	20.1	19.9	19.3	19.7	20.1	20
(I)-3	19.3	19.3	16	17.3	19.2	20	19.9	19.8
(I)-4	21.3	21.2	21.2	19	20.2	20.2	20	19.8
(I)-5	20.9	20.9	21.2	20.9	20.8	21.5	21.3	21.2
(II)-1	20.4	20.7	21.2	22.4	22.1	19.6	19.7	19.5

(II)-2	22.6	22.5	22.5	22.2	21.6	21.7	21.5	21.3
(II)-3	20.8	21.4	21.3	21.1	20.7	20.2	21.1	20
(II)-4	19.5	19.7	21.2	20	19.7	19.2	19.6	19.5
(II)-5	19.9	20.2	20.4	20.6	21.6	21.9	21.6	21.4
(III)-1	20.1	20.2	19.9	19.9	20.5	20.3	20.4	20.3
(III)-2	20.2	20.7	20.9	21.4	21.6	21.2	21.2	21.2
(III)-3	21.3	21.4	20.7	20.9	21.3	20.7	20.8	20.7
(III)-4	19.9	19.6	20.1	20.5	20.5	20.4	20.3	20.4
(III)-5	21.1	21.2	21	21.6	21.2	20.9	20.8	20.7
(IV)-1	20.6	21.1	21	21.1	21.3	21.4	21.3	21.2
(IV)-2	19.9	20.5	19.6	19.9	19.3	18.8	18.6	18.6
(IV)-3	16.1	16.5	17	16.8	16.8	16.8	16.8	17
(IV)-4	21.2	21.2	21.3	21.1	20.8	20.7	20.8	20.7
(IV)-5	19	19.3	19.3	19.2	19	19	19.2	19.5
(V)-1	20.1	19.5	19.3	19.2	19.3	19.4	19.6	19.7
(V)-2	19	19.1	18.7	18.6	19	19	18.6	18.5
(V)-3	19.8	19.6	18.6	18.4	20	19.7	19.6	19.6
(V)-4	20	20.1	19.4	19.4	18.2	16.9	16.5	16.4
(V)-5	17.9	20	19.5	18.9	17.8	17.6	17.5	17.4
(VI)-1	19.1	19.6	19.8	18.4	18.8	18.5	18.4	18.3
(VI)-2	19.2	19.7	20.2	19.2	19.3	19.8	19.7	19.5
(VI)-3	18.5	18.4	19.4	19.2	19.9	20.2	20.1	20.2
(VI)-4	20.2	20.3	20.2	20.7	20.7	20.8	20.7	20.6
(VI)-5	17.5	17.8	18.2	18.5	19.1	19.1	19.2	19.2
(VII)-1	19.4	19.5	18.4	17.6	17.7	17.5	17.4	17.5
(VII)-2	21.6	20.3	19.8	19.2	18.8	18.7	18.5	18.6
(VII)-3	20.4	20.5	19.7	19.4	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.5
(VII)-4	20.3	20.7	20.5	20.2	20.8	20.5	20.3	20.1
(VII)-5	18.9	18.9	18.8	18.2	18.8	18.6	18.7	18.7

PANC-1/GEM tumor-bearing nude mice were randomly divided into seven groups: (i) PBS, (ii) US, (iii) GEM, (iv) DVDMS, (V) DVDMS+US, (VI) GDMCN2, (VII) GDMCN2+US.

Table S3. Biochemical blood analysis of the mice treated after different treatments

	WBC	RBC	HGB	PLT	MCV	MCH	MCHC
Markers	(10^9/L)	(10^12/L)	(g/L)	(10^11/L)	(fL)	(pg)	(g/L)
(I)-1	6.5	7.5	9.5	17.53	4.84	12.6	26.1
(I)-2	6.5	6.21	7.9	18.01	4.78	12.7	26.6
(I)-3	5	6.73	9	25.01	5.1	13.3	26.2
(I)-4	9.7	7.36	9.7	16.53	4.77	13.1	27.6
(I)-5	6.1	7.66	10.2	12.71	4.86	13.3	27.4
(II)-1	10.9	8.03	10.6	11.22	4.85	13.2	27.2
(II)-2	6.5	6.98	9.7	26.12	5.14	13.8	27
(II)-3	6.4	8.41	12.3	32.41	5.26	14.6	27.8
(II)-4	4.5	6.98	9.5	15.03	5.05	13.6	26.9
(II)-5	4.5	7.95	11.2	16.41	5.2	14	27.1
(III)-1	4.5	7.69	11.2	23.71	5.11	14.5	28.5
(III)-2	10	7.18	10	15.44	4.97	13.9	28
(III)-3	5.4	7.91	10.3	29.21	4.83	13	26.9
(III)-4	36	9.53	12.4	7.24	4.48	13	29.1
(III)-5	2.5	8.8	13.2	12.14	5.11	15	29.3
(IV)-1	3	6.81	9.7	5.78	4.98	14.2	28.6
(IV)-2	7.4	8.58	11.9	8.09	4.87	13.8	28.5
(IV)-3	4.4	8.9	13.5	26.46	5.04	15.1	30.1
(IV)-4	5.5	10.58	15	17.22	4.66	14.1	30.4
(IV)-5	4.1	9.52	14.7	12.13	4.99	15.4	30.9
(V)-1	4	8.35	13.5	12.29	5.23	16.1	30.9
(V)-2	3.3	9	13.7	8.45	4.91	15.2	31
(V)-3	5.3	9.53	14	10.09	4.77	14.6	30.8
(V)-4	2.4	9.27	13.4	9.19	4.69	14.4	30.8
(V)-5	4.2	9.1	14.4	8.58	5.27	15.8	30
(VI)-1	3.5	9.33	14.3	18.06	5.06	15.3	30.2
(VI)-2	6	8.43	12.8	16.25	4.93	15.1	30.8
(VI)-3	3.2	8.94	13.2	10.78	4.86	14.7	30.4
(VI)-4	5.4	9.31	13.7	13.01	4.88	14.7	30.1
(VI)-5	3.8	9.12	13.8	10.89	4.97	15.1	30.4
(VII)-1	3.8	9.12	13.8	10.89	4.97	15.1	30.4

(VII)-2	7.2	9.16	13.5	28.03	4.92	14.7	30
(VII)-3	3.4	8.7	13.3	15.45	5.05	15.2	30.2
(VII)-4	2.5	9.08	13.7	21.12	4.93	15	30.6
(VII)-5	7.1	7.28	13.5	12.89	5.84	18.5	31.7

PANC-1/GEM tumor-bearing nude mice were randomly divided into seven groups: (i) PBS, (ii) US, (iii) GEM, (iv) DVDMS, (V) DVDMS+US, (VI) GDMCN2, (VII) GDMCN2+US.