Supplementary File 2.

Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies: the StaRI checklist for completion



The StaRI standard should be referenced as: Pinnock H, Barwick M, Carpenter C, Eldridge S, Grandes G, Griffiths CJ, Rycroft-Malone J, Meissner P, Murray E, Patel A, Sheikh A, Taylor SJC for the StaRI Group. Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies (StaRI) statement. *BMJ* 2017:356:i6795

The detailed Explanation and Elaboration document, which provides the rationale and exemplar text for all these items is: Pinnock H, Barwick M, Carpenter C, Eldridge S, Grandes G, Griffiths C, Rycroft-Malone J, Meissner P, Murray E, Patel A, Sheikh A, Taylor S, for the StaRl group. Standards for Reporting Implementation Studies (StaRl). Explanation and Elaboration document. BMJ Open 2017 2017;7:e013318

Notes: A key concept of the StaRI standards is the dual strands of describing, on the one hand, the implementation strategy and, on the other, the clinical, healthcare, or public health intervention that is being implemented. These strands are represented as two columns in the checklist.

The primary focus of implementation science is the implementation strategy (column 1) and the expectation is that this will always be completed.

The evidence about the impact of the intervention on the targeted population should always be considered (column 2) and either health outcomes reported or robust evidence cited to support a known beneficial effect of the intervention on the health of individuals or populations.

The StaRI standards refers to the broad range of study designs employed in implementation science. Authors should refer to other reporting standards for advice on reporting specific methodological features. Conversely, whilst all items are worthy of consideration, not all items will be applicable to, or feasible within every study.

Checklist item		Reported on page #	Implementation Strategy	Reported on page #	Intervention		
			Implementation Strategy		intervention		
			"Implementation strategy" refers to how the		"Intervention" refers to the healthcare or public health		
			intervention was implemented		intervention that is being implemented.		
Title and abstract							
Title	1	1	Identification as an implementation study, and description of the methodology in the title and/or keywords				
Abstract	2	2-3	Identification as an implementation study, including a description of the implementation strategy to be tested, the evidence-				
			based intervention being implemented, and defining the key implementation and health outcomes.				
Introduction							
Introduction	3	4-5	Description of the problem, challenge or deficiency in healthcare or public health that the intervention being implemented aims				
			to address.				

Rationale	4	5-6	The scientific background and rationale for the implementation strategy (including any underpinning theory/framework/model, how it is expected to achieve its effects and any pilot work).	5-6	The scientific background and rationale for the intervention being implemented (including evidence about its effectiveness and how it is expected to achieve its effects).		
Aims and objectives	5	6	The aims of the study, differentiating between implementation objectives and any intervention objectives.				
Methods: descr	iption						
Design	6	7	The design and key features of the evaluation, (cross referencing to any appropriate methodology reporting standards) and any changes to study protocol, with reasons				
Context	7	7	The context in which the intervention was implemented. (Consider social, economic, policy, healthcare, organisational barriers and facilitators that might influence implementation elsewhere).				
Targeted 'sites'	8	7-9	The characteristics of the targeted 'site(s)' (e.g locations/personnel/resources etc.) for implementation and any eligibility criteria.	7-9	The population targeted by the intervention and any eligibility criteria.		
Description	9	11-12	A description of the implementation strategy	10-11	A description of the intervention		
Sub-groups	10	n/a	Any sub-groups recruited for additional research tasks, and/or nested studies are described				
Methods: evalu	ation						
Outcomes	11	13-14	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy, and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets	13-14	Defined pre-specified primary and other outcome(s) of the intervention (if assessed), and how they were assessed. Document any pre-determined targets		
Process evaluation	12	n/a	Process evaluation objectives and outcomes related to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work				
Economic evaluation	13	17-18	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	17-18	Methods for resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention		
Sample size	14	17	Rationale for sample sizes (including sample size calculations, budgetary constraints, practical considerations, data saturation, as appropriate)				
Analysis	15	16-17	Methods of analysis (with reasons for that choice)				

Sub-group	16	17	Any a priori sub-group analyses (e.g. between different sites in a multicentre study, different clinical or demographic populations), and sub-groups recruited to specific nested research tasks				
analyses Results			populations), and sub-groups	recruited to	specific nested research tasks		
Characteristics	17	n/a – protocol	Proportion recruited and characteristics of the recipient population for the implementation strategy	n/a – protocol	Proportion recruited and characteristics (if appropriate) of the recipient population for the intervention		
Outcomes	18	n/a – protocol	Primary and other outcome(s) of the implementation strategy	n/a – protocol	Primary and other outcome(s) of the Intervention (if assessed)		
Process outcomes	19	n/a – protocol	Process data related to the implementation strategy mapped to the mechanism by which the strategy is expected to work				
Economic evaluation	20	n/a – protocol	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the implementation strategy	n/a – protocol	Resource use, costs, economic outcomes and analysis for the intervention		
Sub-group analyses	21	n/a – protocol	Representativeness and outcomes of subgroups including those recruited to specific research tasks				
Fidelity/ adaptation	22	n/a – protocol	Fidelity to implementation strategy as planned and adaptation to suit context and preferences	n/a – protocol	Fidelity to delivering the core components of intervention (where measured)		
Contextual changes	23	n/a – protocol	Contextual changes (if any) which may have affected outcomes				
Harms	24	n/a – protocol	All important harms or unintended effects in each group				
Discussion							
Structured discussion	25	N/A	Summary of findings, strengths and limitations, comparisons with other studies, conclusions and implications				
Implications	26	n/a – protocol	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the implementation strategy (specifically including scalability)	n/a – protocol	Discussion of policy, practice and/or research implications of the intervention (specifically including sustainability)		
General							
Statements	27	20-21	Include statement(s) on regulatory approvals (including, as appropriate, ethical approval, confidential use of routine data, governance approval), trial/study registration (availability of protocol), funding and conflicts of interest				