

## Description of Additional Supplementary Files

### Supplementary Data 1

Description: The lexical cognate database of Kra-Dai languages. Sheet 1 “Lexical items” shows the language entries and lexical items of 100 Kra-Dai languages. Sheet 2 “Binary coded sets” shows the binary coded form based on Sheet 1. Sheet 3 “Note” shows the reason for deleting specific lexical items and descriptions for the data.

### Supplementary Data 2

Description: Node constraints with known historical information used to calibrate the divergence time calculations in BEAST.

### Supplementary Data 3

Description: Model comparison among different combinations of models in BEAST. Sheet 1 “HME” shows the results compared by Harmonic Mean Estimator. Sheet 2 “Path Sampling” shows the results compared by the path sampling method.

### Supplementary Data 4

Description: Possibilities of ancestral area.

### Supplementary Data 5

Description: Comparison of time depth and root probability among versions of different settings.

### Supplementary Data 6

Description: Delta scores and Q-residual scores.

### Supplementary Data 7

Description: Information on archaeological sites in South China and MSEA.

### Supplementary Data 8

Description: Resources of linguistic data.

### Supplementary Data 9

Description: BEAST xml files, nexus files, MCC tree file, and the log file of the best-fitting model.

### Supplementary Data 10

Description: Statistical significance among models of dispersal routes in the posterior samples of 3 independent runs of the RJMCMC.

### Supplementary Data 11

Description: The control file, input file, and geographical distribution file used in the *BayesTraits* program. Three log files of MCMC runs were also included.

### Supplementary Data 12

Description: The details of Kra-Dai population samples included in this study, including references, haplogroups, accession codes, etc.

### Supplementary Data 13

Description: The interdisciplinary data and code used to plot Figure 3. The time ranges and geographic locations of the archaeological sites were from the study of Hosner *et al.* (URL: <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.860072>). The palaeoecological data were from the study of Gutaker *et al.* (URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-0659-6>). The paleoclimatic data were from the study of Fang and Hou (DOI: 10.13249/j.cnki.sgs.2011.04.013).