# Science Advances

# Supplementary Materials for

## Mutational processes of tobacco smoking and APOBEC activity generate protein-truncating mutations in cancer genomes

Nina Adler et al.

Corresponding author: Jüri Reimand, juri.reimand@utoronto.ca

*Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadh3083 (2023) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adh3083

#### The PDF file includes:

Figs. S1 to S11 Legend for table S1

### Other Supplementary Material for this manuscript includes the following:

Table S1

Combined cancer type	n total	PCAWG n	PCAWG subtype	PCAWG ST n	HMF n	HMF subtype	HMF ST n	TCGA n	TCGA subtype	TCGA ST n	
Biliary	140	33	Biliary-AdenoCA	33	76	Biliary	76	31	Cholangiocarcinoma	31	
Bone	512	94	Bone-Cart Bone-Epith Bone-Leiomyo Bone-Osteosarc	9 11 34 40	209	Bone/Soft tissue	209	209	Sarcoma	209	
Breast	1636	211	Breast-AdenoCa Breast-DCIS Breast-LobularCa	195 3 13	729	Breast	729	696	Breast invasive carcinoma	696	
Colorectal	909	42	ColoRect- AdenoCA	42	522	Colon/ Rectum	522	345	Colon adenocarcinoma Rectum adenocarcinoma	258 87	
Esophagus	408	95	Eso-AdenoCa	95	135	Esophagus	135	178	Esophageal carcinoma	178	
Head & neck	611	54	Head-SCC	54	60	Head & neck	60	497	Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma	497	
			Kidney-ChRCC	43	123			589	Kidney chromophobe	51	
Kidney	898	186	Kidney-RCC	143		Kidney	123		Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma	317	
									Kidney renal papillar cell carcinoma	y 221	
Liver	716	313	Liver-HCC	313	57	Liver	57	346	Liver hepatocellular carcinoma	346	
Lung	1454	78	Lung-AdenoCA	33	417	Lung	417	959	Lung adenocarcinoma	487	
			Lung-SCC	45					arcoma a ireast invasive arcinoma a iolon a denocarcinoma a lectum denocarcinoma a lectum denocarcinoma a lead and neck quamous cell a arcinoma a lead and neck quamous cell a idney renal clear a ell carcinoma a idney renal papillary ell carcinoma a iver hepatocellular arcinoma a ung squamous cell a romphoid neoplasm offuse large B-cell a rimphoma real b solublastoma a ung squamous cell a rimphoid neoplasm offuse large B-cell a rimphoma a rain Lower Grade a liona a lioblastoma a nultiforme a denocarcinoma a ancreatic a carcinoma a rostate denocarcinoma a inoreatic a ancreatic a carcinoma a ancreatic a carcinoma a inoreatic a carcinoma a tomach a denocarcinoma a tomach a denocarcinoma a iterine corpus ndometrial a	472	
Lymphoid	258	196	Lymph-BNHL Lymph-CLL Lymph-NOS	104 90 2	25	Lymphoid	25	37	Lymphoid neoplasm Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	37	
			CNS-GBM	38					Brain Lower Grade	434	
Ner vous system	1085	273	CNS-Medullo CNS-Oligo CNS-PiloAstro	140 18 77	71		71	741	glioma Glioblastoma multiforme	307	
				//					Adrenocortical	63	
Neuro- endocrine	281	80	Panc-Endocrine	80	124	Neuro- endocrine	124	77	Pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma	14	
Ovary	323	110	Ovary-AdenoCA	110	151	Ovary	151	62	Ovarian serous cystadeno- carcinoma	62	
Pancreas	465	231	Panc-AdenoCA	231	83	Pancreas	83	151	Pancreatic adenocarcinoma	151	
Prostate	962	196	Prost-AdenoCA	196	366	Prostate	366	400	Prostate adenocarcinoma	400	
Skin	688	65	Skin-Melanoma	65	226	Skin	226	397	Skin cutaneous melanoma	397	
Stomach	518	62	Stomach- AdenoCA	62	41	Stomach	41	415	Stomach adenocarcinoma	415	
Uterus	477	41	Uterus-AdenoCA	41	57	Uterus	57	379	Uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma	379	

**Figure S1. Overview of cancer samples and types used in the study.** Cancer subtypes (ST) in the three cohorts (TCGA, PCAWG, HMF) were consolidated to 18 cancer types based on anatomical sites.



**Figure S2. Protein-coding impact of mutational signatures in cancer genomes.** Landscape of mutational signatures that are enriched in types of SNVs based on their protein-coding impact. 18 types of primary and metastatic cancers were analysed separately. Significant associations are shown (Fisher's exact test; FDR < 0.01; FDR capped at 10<sup>-16</sup>). Fold-change (FC) shows the ratio of observed and expected SNV counts.



**Figure S3. Probabilistic analysis of mutational signature annotations of SNVs and SGM enrichments.** Each SNV was assigned randomly to one SBS signature using the multinomial distribution parametrised by all SBS signatures in that cancer sample as well as the trinucleotide context of each SNV, and repeated over 100 iterations (*i.e.*, the probabilistic method). Each resulting set of SNVs was tested for enrichments of SGMs and the selected mutational signatures similarly to the analysis in Figure 1B-C. The probabilistic analysis of SBS signatures confirmed the main analysis of signature annotations of SNVs where each SNV was assigned to the top, most probable SBS signature. The individual enrichments of SGMs in SBS signatures remained highly significant in both approaches.



**Figure S4. Comparison of signature annotation probabilities of SGMs of the signatures SBS4 and SBS13.** In lung cancer, the SNVs are often assigned to either the APOBEC signature SBS13 or the tobacco smoking signature SBS4 such that the two SBS signatures are the less-likely alternatives to each other, together with the clock-like signature SBS5 that has a relatively flat (featureless) profile. The columns representing SBS signatures are ordered by their cumulative probabilities across SGMs.



**Figure S5. Pan-cancer enrichment of SGMs in mutational signatures. (A)** Total significance of SGMs enriched in specific mutational signatures (FDR-adjusted Fisher's exact tests, FDR < 0.01, capped at 10<sup>-300</sup>). **(B)** Observed SGM of signatures in pan-cancer cohorts. Expected values were derived from binomial distributions. 95% Cis are shown as crosses. **(C)** APOBEC-associated SGMs of the signatures SBS13 and SBS2 in various cancer types. The SBS13 SGMs observed in cancer genomes are substantially more frequent and highly exceed the expected counts of SGMs based on hypergeometric distributions. In contrast, SBS2 SGMs are less frequent overall and the observed SGM counts are close to expected counts. SBS2 and SBS13 co-occur in some cancer types due to high levels of APOBEC activity. The weak pan-cancer enrichment of SBS2 SGMs is likely explained by the increased statistical power to detect small effects in large pan-cancer datasets.



**Figure S6. Analysis of COSMIC reference signatures and SGMs.** Each panel shows four bar plots of trinucleotide profiles: (i) the observed SGMs, (ii) the SGMs of the most abundant amino acid substitutions (Glu>Stop or Ser>Stop), (iii) the reference COSMIC signatures associated with SGMs (SBS4, SBS13), (iv) and the control COSMIC signatures that represent the next most frequent signatures in the respective cancer types (clock-like signatures SBS5 or SBS40). Cosine similarity (COS) scores of SGMs and reference signatures are shown in the top right corners of the bar plots. (A) Tobacco signature SBS4 in lung cancer. **(B)** ROS signature SBS18 in colorectal cancer. **(C)** APOBEC signature SBS13 in breast cancer.

	^	Second base codon									B 10 SBS4 signature, COSMIC										
		Т		С		A		G			5								_		
	т	TTT TTC TTA TTG	Phe Phe Leu Leu	TCT TCC TCA TCG	Ser Ser Ser Ser	TAT TAC TAA TAG	Tyr Tyr stop stop	TGT TGC TGA TGG	Cys Cys <mark>stop</mark> Trp	T C A G		40 20 0 Su	SBS13 sig	nature nature	e, COS   , e, COS						
First base codon &	с	CTT CTC CTA CTG ATT	Leu Leu Leu Leu	CCT CCC CCA CCG	Pro Pro Pro Pro	CAT CAC CAA CAG	His His Gln Gln	CGT CGC CGA CGG	Arg T Arg C Arg A Arg G	T C A G	codon	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SBS5 sigr	nature,	COSM				10.		
	A	ATC ATA ATG	Ile Ile Met	ACC ACA ACG	Thr Thr Thr Thr	AAC AAA AAG	Asn Lys Lys	AGC AGA AGG	Ser Arg Arg	C A G	Third base	0 4 20	5-11 SBS40 sig	nature			III. II.I	.11			 Ittu
	G	GTT GTC GTA GTG	Val Val Val Val	GCT GCC GCA GCG	Ala Ala Ala Ala	GAT GAC GAA GAG	Asp Asp Glu Glu	GGT GGC GGA GGG	Gly Gly Gly Gly	T C A G		Ū	C>A		C>G	C>T		T>A	T>C		T>G

**Figure S7. Common SGMs involving arginines and glutamines are incompatible with the signatures SBS4, SBS13, and SBS18. (A)** The table highlights the genetic code of common SGMs involving glutamines (Gln>Stop, purple) and arginines (Arg>Stop, yellow). The arrows show all possible SGMs that substitute Arg or Glu codons with stop codons through single base substitutions. **(B)** The reference COSMIC profiles show the trinucleotide preferences of the three mutational signatures enriched in SGMs (SBS4, SBS13, SBS18) and the most common mutational signatures as controls (SBS5 and SBS40). Mutations encoding Gln>Stop and Arg>Stop SGMs are shown. These SGMs often seen in other mutational signatures are not commonly found in the tobacco, APOBEC, and ROS signatures due to their trinucleotide context, and are more likely annotated as the common SBS5 or SBS40 signatures instead.



**Figure S8. Functional analysis of SGMs in TP53 using deep mutational scan data.** SGMs of SBS4 and SBS13 signatures in *TP53* in human cancer genomes are predicted to have loss-of-function effects, according to deep mutational scanning data from Giacomelli *et al.* (*27*). **(A)** Cell fitness of *TP53*-NULL lung carcinoma epithelial cells (A549) transduced with SBS4/13 *TP53* SGM mutants (yellow), *TP53* missense SNV mutants found in cancer (teal) and all other possible missense SNV mutants (grey) vs. A549 cells transduced with SBS4/13 *TP53* SGM mutant (grey) vs. A549 cells transduced with SBS4/13 *TP53* SGM mutant and *TP53* missense SNV mutant exhibit higher fitness upon nutlin treatment indicative of p53 loss-of-function. **(B)** In *TP53*-wildtype cells, transduction of cancer-associated *TP53* missense SNVs increases fitness, as most *TP53* mutations found in cancer genomes are dominant negative and therefore inhibit the endogenous WT allele of *TP53*. Transduction of cancer-associated SGMs does not alter the fitness of p53-wildtype A549 cells, as these mutations represent bona fide LOF mutations without dominant negative functions. P-values from Wilcoxon rank-sum tests are shown.



**Figure S9. Examples of SGMs in tumor suppressor genes.** (A) SGMs in TP53 in 81 lung cancer genomes of patients with no smoking history from TCGA. (B) TP53 in 497 head & neck cancers in TCGA includes SGMs of tobacco and APOBEC signatures. (C) Most SGMs in STK11 in lung cancer are driven by the tobacco signature SBS4. Circles show all SGMs in the gene and are labelled with the reference residue and the protein sequence position. Colors show the SBS signatures of SGMs (SBS4/13/18; white for others). The title includes the number of SGMs associated with the signature (N<sub>SBS</sub>), the P-value of signature-associated SGM enrichment (P<sub>ENR</sub>, Fisher's exact test), and the over-representation of SGMs towards the protein terminus (P<sub>SEQ</sub>, one-sample Mann-Whitney U-test).



**Figure S10. SGM burden in breast cancer associates with increased expression of** *APOBEC3* **genes.** Cancer samples were grouped into two equal groups based on the median expression of each *APOBEC3* gene and different classes of SBS13 SGMs were compared between the groups. Unadjusted Wilcoxon P-values are shown at the top of the bar plots. The attenuated significance apparent in the HMF cohort (right) is potentially due to the smaller cohort sizes and limited matching RNA-seq data in the dataset, resulting in lower statistical power to detect the small effect sizes of relatively infrequent SGMs.



**Figure S11. SGM burden in** *APOBEC3A/B* knockout (KO) experiments in cell lines. *APOBEC3A* or *APOBEC3B* KO and wildtype cell line clones from the study by Petljak *et al.* (*43*) were compared in the context of SGM burden using negative binomial regression. Unadjusted P-values are shown at the top of the bar plots. In the B-cell lymphoma cell line BC-1, *APOBEC3A* KO cells show a significantly decreased SBS2 SGM burden and fewer SGMs in YTCA motifs. In, the metastatic breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-453, *APOBEC3B* KO cells show a significantly increased SBS13 SGM burden. Another breast cancer cell line BT-474 with a significant SGM decrease in *APOBEC3A* KO cells is shown in Figure 4F. These data provide experimental evidence supporting the hypothesis that SGM burden associates context-specifically with *APOBEC3A* or *APOBEC3B* activity in cancer cell lines.

**Table S1A. SGM-enriched genes.** List of significant genes from the cancer types and mutational signatures where SGMs occurred more often than expected.

Table S1B. SGM mutations in enriched genes. SGMs in each of the enriched genes.

**Table S1C. SGM-enriched pathways.** Pathways enriched for the significant genes for the SGMs of SBS4 in lung cancer, SBS4 in liver cancer, SBS13 in breast cancer, SBS13 in head&neck cancer, and SBS13 in uterine cancer. For the cancer type contributions, a value of 1 indicates that the pathway was enriched in that cancer type individually, and a value of 0 indicates that pathway was not enriched in that cancer type individually. A value of 1 in the combined column indicates that the pathway was not enriched in any cancer type individually and was only enriched when all cancer types were jointly considered.