Supplemental Online Content

Liu M, Patel VR, Salas RN, et al. Neighborhood environmental burden and cardiovascular health in the US. *JAMA Cardiol*. Published online November 11, 2023. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2023.4680

eMethods. CDC PLACES Multi-Level Regression and Poststratification Approach
eTable 1. Detailed Description of the Environmental Burden Index
eTable 2. Detailed Description of the Social Vulnerability Index
eTable 3. Cardiovascular Risk Factor and Disease Prevalence by Neighborhood
Environmental Burden and Social Vulnerability
eTable 4. Domains of Environmental Burden and Cardiovascular Risk Factor and
Disease Prevalence by Neighborhood Social Vulnerability
eFigure 1. Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Arthritis Prevalence
eFigure 2. Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Cardiovascular Risk Factor and
Disease Prevalence Adjusting for Continuous Social Vulnerability Index
eFigure 3. Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Cardiovascular Risk Factor and
Disease Prevalence Adjusting for Current Smoking Rate
eReferences

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eMethods: CDC PLACES Multi-Level Regression and Poststratification Approach

CDC PLACES produced prevalence rates for each outcome through multi-level logistic regression models including individual-level age (13 categories), sex (2 categories), race or ethnicity (8 categories), and education (4 categories) from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, county-level percentage of adults below 150% of the federal poverty level from the 5-year American Community Survey, and state-level and county-level random effects.¹ Model parameters were applied to the same age-race or ethnicity-sex categories (n = 208) for each small area to estimate the predicted probability for each outcome using the multi-level logistic model formula. Prevalence estimates were then calculated by multiplying the predicted probability for each outcome by the corresponding population for each area from 2010 Census population counts.

Validation studies conducted by the CDC at the county-level, city-level, and census tract-level have demonstrated strong concordance between model-based estimates and direct survey estimates.^{2,3}

Domains	Indicators	Indicator Descriptions
Air Pollution	Ozone	Data Year: 2014-2016
		Mean annual number of days with maximum 8-hour average ozone concentration over the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), averaged over three years.
	PM _{2.5}	Data Year: 2014-2016
		Mean annual percent of days with daily 24-hour average PM _{2.5} concentrations over the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), averaged over three years.
	Diesel	Data Year: 2014
	Particulate	Discal particulate matter concentrations in air ug/m ³
	Air Toxics Cancer Risk	Data Year: 2014
		Lifetime cancer risk from inhalation of air toxics. Air toxics cancer risk is a composite measure assessing the cancer risk associated with inhaling 140 different hazardous air pollutants (HAPs).
Hazardous and	National Priority	Data Year: 2021
Toxic Siles	List Sites	Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of EPA National Priority List (NPL) sites, which are designated by the U.S. EPA as priorities through hazard assessment, nomination by states or territories, or issuance of a health advisory by the Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry
	Toxic Release	Data Year: 2021
	Inventory Sites	Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) sites, facilities with 10 or more full time employees which operate within certain industrial sectors and annually either 1) manufacture more than 25,000 pounds of listed chemicals or 2) used more than 10,000 pounds of listed chemicals.
	Treatment,	Data Year: 2021
	Storage, and Disposal Sites	Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of EPA Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDF), sites responsible for handling hazardous wastes such as manufacturing by-products, cleaning fluids, or pesticides throughout the process of collection, transfer, and ultimately disposal.
	Risk	Data Year: 2021
	Management Plan Sites	Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of EPA Risk Management Plan (RMP) sites, which include ~12,500 of the nation's most high-risk facilities that produce, use, or store significant amounts of certain highly toxic or flammable chemicals.
	Coal Mines	Data Year: 2021

eTable 1: Detailed Description of the Environmental Burden Index

		Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of a coal mine.
	Lead Mines	Data Year: 2021
		Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of an active lead mine.
Built	Recreational	Data Year: 2020
Environment	Parks	
		Proportion of tract area not within 1-mile buffer of a park, recreational area,
	Hangag Duilt	Data Vacuu 2015 2010
	Pre-1980	Data Year: 2013-2019
		Proportion of occupied housing units built prior to 1980. While lead-based
		paint was banned in 1978, housing built around that time or prior often
		contain underlying layers of lead-based paint.
	Walkability	Data Year: 2021
		National Walkability Index Score, which quantifies "the safety and
		desirability of walking routes."
Transportation	High-Volume	Data Year: 2020
Infrastructure	Roads	
		Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of a high-volume street or road,
		such as an interstate highway.
	Railways	Data Year: 2020
		Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of a railway, a significant
		source of noise pollution.
	Airports	Data Year: 2020
		Proportion of tract area within 1-mile buffer of an airport, a significant
		source of noise pollution.
Water Pollution	Impaired	Data Year: 2019
	Surface Water	
		Percent of tract watershed area classified as impaired. Surface waters such
		as rivers and lakes are important for recreation and fishing, and impairment
		of these waters can constitute a potential nuisance or even hazard to nearby
		residents. Waters may be classified as impaired due to elevated levels of
		waterborne pathogens or significant contamination by toxic substances.

Domains	Indicators (2015-2019)	Indicator Descriptions
Racial/Ethnic	Minority Status	Percent of population that is a racial or ethnic minority (all persons
Minority Status	2	except White, non-Hispanics).
Socioeconomic	Poverty	Percent of population with income below 200% of federal poverty
Status		level.
	No High School Diploma	Percent of population (age 25+) with no high school diploma.
	Unemployment	Percent of population age 16 and older who are unemployed.
	Housing Tenure	Percent of housing units that are renter-occupied.
	Housing Burdened Lower-	Percent of households with annual income less than \$75,000 who are considered burdened by housing costs (pay greater than 30% of
	Income	monthly income on housing expenses).
	Households	
	Lack of Health	Percent of civilian, noninstitutionalized population who have no health
	Insurance	insurance.
	Lack of	Percent of households with no internet subscription.
	Broadband	
	Access	
Household	Age 65 and Older	Percent of population aged 65 and older.
Characteristics	Age 17 and Younger	Percent of population aged 17 and younger.
	Civilian with a	Percent of civilian, noninstitutionalized population with a disability.
	Disability	
	Speaks English "Less than Well"	Percent of persons (age 5 and older) who speak English "less than well"
Housing Type	Group Quarters	Percentage of persons living in group quarters (includes college
110using 1ype	Group Quarters	residence halls residential treatment centers group homes military
		barracks, correctional facilities, and worker's dormitories).
	Mobile Homes	Percentage of total housing units designated as mobile homes.

eTable 2: Detailed Description of the Social Vulnerability Index

Outcomes	Adj	Adjusted Risk Difference, % (95% CI) ^b					
	S	Social Vulnerability Index Quartile					
	First ^c	Second	Third	Fourth ^d			
	(Lowest)			(Highest)			
	C	Cardiovascular R	isk Factors				
Hypertension	0.13	0.16	0.19	0.49	< 0.001		
	(0.08-0.18)	(0.11-0.21)	(0.13-0.25)	(0.40-0.57)			
Diabetes	0.05	0.10	0.16	0.44	< 0.001		
	(0.02 - 0.07)	(0.07-0.13)	(0.11-0.20)	(0.37 - 0.50)			
Obesity	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.52	< 0.001		
	(0.00-0.11)	(0.04-0.15)	(0.10-0.24)	(0.42-0.62)			
	Cardiovascular Diseases						
Coronary Heart	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.16	< 0.001		
Disease	(0.03 - 0.07)	(0.05-0.08)	(0.04-0.08)	(0.13-0.20)			
Stroke	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.13	< 0.001		
	(0.01 - 0.03)	(0.02 - 0.04)	(0.02 - 0.05)	(0.10-0.15)			

eTable 3: Cardiovascular Risk Factor and Disease Prevalence by Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Social Vulnerability^a

^a Estimates were derived from mixed-effects linear regression models weighted for population size, including county-level random effects, and adjusting for social vulnerability index (SVI) quartile, age (% 18-29, 30-44, 40-64, and 65+ years), sex (% female), rurality, region (Midwest, Northeast, South, or West), and health care access (number of cardiovascular disease physicians per 100,000 population, number of primary care physicians per 100,000 population, and % of adults having received a routine checkup last year). Estimates indicate increase in adjusted risk of cardiovascular risk factor and disease prevalence per increase in environmental burden quartile.

^bPer increase in environmental burden quartile.

^c Least socially vulnerable neighborhoods.

^d Most socially vulnerable neighborhoods.

^e Interaction between environmental burden quartile and SVI quartile.

	Adjusted Risk Difference, % (95% CI) ^c						
Outcomes	Air Pollution	Hazardous and	Built	Transportation	Water		
		Toxic Sites	Environment	Infrastructure	Pollution		
		Fourth SVI Oua	rtile (Highest)				
Hypertension	0.43	0.22	0.20	0.12	0.17		
n jp monor	(0.27, 0.60)	(0.16, 0.28)	(0.12, 0.28)	(0.03, 0.21)	(0.08, 0.26)		
Diabetes	0.52	0.17	0.09	0.12	0.17		
	(0.37, 0.66)	(0.12, 0.22)	(0.02, 0.16)	(0.04, 0.19)	(0.07, 0.27)		
Obesity	0.45	0.24	0.23	0.12	0.28		
	(0.23, 0.67)	(0.17, 0.30)	(0.12, 0.34)	(0.01, 0.22)	(0.15, 0.41)		
Coronary Heart	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07		
Disease	(0.01, 0.13)	(0.05, 0.10)	(0.01, 0.09)	(0.02, 0.09)	(0.03, 0.10)		
Stroke	0.10	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.03		
	(0.06, 0.14)	(0.04, 0.07)	(-0.01, 0.04)	(0.02, 0.07)	(0.00, 0.05)		
	Third SVI Quartile						
Hypertension	0.04	0.08	0.54	0.06	0.01		
	(-0.08, 0.16)	(0.04, 0.13)	(0.46, 0.62)	(0.02, 0.11)	(-0.05, 0.08)		
Diabetes	0.15	0.05	0.29	0.05	0.05		
	(0.08, 0.22)	(0.02, 0.08)	(0.24, 0.35)	(0.02, 0.08)	(0.00, 0.11)		
Obesity	-0.10	0.09	0.57	0.04	0.06		
	(-0.25, 0.05)	(0.03, 0.15)	(0.47, 0.66)	(-0.02, 0.10)	(-0.04, 0.16)		
Coronary Heart	-0.04	0.02	0.19	0.02	0.04		
Disease	(-0.08, 0.01)	(0.01, 0.04)	(0.15, 0.22)	(0.01, 0.04)	(0.01, 0.06)		
Stroke	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.02	0.00		
	(-0.02, 0.02)	(0.00, 0.02)	(0.08, 0.12)	(0.01, 0.03)	(-0.01, 0.01)		
	Γ	Second SVI	Quartile	Γ			
Hypertension	-0.04	0.06	0.58	0.08	-0.09		
	(-0.14, 0.06)	(0.03, 0.10)	(0.53, 0.63)	(0.04, 0.12)	(-0.15, -0.04)		
Diabetes	0.06	0.03	0.28	0.04	-0.02		
	(0.00, 0.12)	(0.01, 0.05)	(0.25, 0.31)	(0.02, 0.06)	(-0.06, 0.01)		
Obesity	-0.18	0.07	0.55	0.04	-0.10		
	(-0.31, -0.05)	(0.02, 0.11)	(0.48, 0.62)	(-0.01, 0.09)	(-0.17, -0.03)		
Coronary Heart	-0.02	0.02	0.21	0.04	0.02		
Disease	(-0.06, 0.01)	(0.00, 0.03)	(0.19, 0.23)	(0.02, 0.05)	(0.00, 0.04)		
Stroke	-0.01	0.01	0.10	0.02	-0.01		
	(-0.02, 0.01)	(0.00, 0.02)	(0.09, 0.11)	(0.01, 0.02)	(-0.02, 0.00)		
	Γ	First SVI Quar	tile (Lowest)	Γ	Γ		
Hypertension	-0.09	0.07	0.57	0.05	-0.14		
	(-0.22, 0.03)	(0.03, 0.10)	(0.51, 0.63)	(0.01, 0.09)	(-0.22, -0.06)		
Diabetes	-0.01	0.03	0.21	0.01	-0.09		
	(-0.08, 0.05)	(0.01, 0.05)	(0.19, 0.24)	(-0.01, 0.03)	(-0.13, -0.04)		

eTable 4: Domains of Environmental Burden and Cardiovascular Risk Factor and Disease Prevalence by Neighborhood Social Vulnerability^{a,b}

Obesity	-0.31	0.04	0.50	0.04	-0.16
	(-0.44, -0.17)	(0.00, 0.08)	(0.44, 0.55)	(0.00, 0.08)	(-0.26, -0.06)
Coronary Heart	-0.02	0.02	0.20	0.02	-0.01
Disease	(-0.06, 0.02)	(0.01, 0.03)	(0.17, 0.22)	(0.01, 0.03)	(-0.03, 0.01)
Stroke	-0.01	0.01	0.10	0.01	-0.02
	(-0.03, 0.00)	(0.00, 0.02)	(0.09, 0.11)	(0.00, 0.02)	(-0.03, -0.01)

SVI: Social Vulnerability Index

^a Estimates were derived from mixed-effects linear regression models weighted for population size and including county-level random effects.

^b Adjusted differences were obtained from models that adjusted for age (% 18-29, 30-44, 40-64, and 65+ years), sex (% female), rurality, region (Midwest, Northeast, South, or West), and health care access (number of cardiovascular disease physicians per 100,000 population, number of primary care physicians per 100,000 population, and % of adults having received a routine checkup last year).

^c Per increase in quartile of each environmental burden domain.



eFigure 1: Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Arthritis Prevalence^{a,b}

EB: Environmental Burden, Adj. Difference: Adjusted Difference

^a Arthritis was defined based on self-report of a clinical diagnosis of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia by a health care provider.

^b Differences between neighborhoods by environmental burden quartile were estimated using mixed-effects linear regression models weighted for population size and including county-level random effects.

eFigure 2: Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Cardiovascular Risk Factor and Disease Prevalence Adjusting for Continuous Social Vulnerability Index^{a,b,c}

A. Hypertension



EB: Environmental Burden, Adj. Difference: Adjusted Difference

^a Differences between neighborhoods by environmental burden quartile were estimated using mixedeffects linear regression models weighted for population size and including county-level random effects. ^b Adjusted differences were obtained from models that adjusted for social vulnerability index, age (% 18-29, 30-44, 40-64, and 65+ years), sex (% female), rurality, region (Midwest, Northeast, South, or West), and health care access (number of cardiovascular disease physicians per 100,000 population, number of primary care physicians per 100,000 population, and % of adults having received a routine checkup last year).

^cError bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

eFigure 3: Neighborhood Environmental Burden and Cardiovascular Risk Factor and Disease Prevalence Adjusting for Current Smoking Rate^{a,b,c}

A. Hypertension

EB Quartile	Prevalence, % mean (SD)	Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value	Adj. Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value
First	32.14 (7.0)	0 [Reference]	-	0 [Reference]	-
Second	32.18 (6.9)	0.24 (0.04–0.44)	0.02	0.17 (0.11–0.24)	<.001
Third	32.34 (7.1)	0.74 (0.48–1.00)	<.001	0.29 (0.22-0.36)	<.001
Fourth	32.83 (8.0)	1.43 (1.02–1.85)	<.001	0.51 (0.44–0.58)	<.001

B. Diabetes

EB Quartile	Prevalence, % mean (SD)	Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value	Adj. Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value
First	10.68 (3.3)	0 [Reference]	-	0 [Reference]	-
Second	10.81 (3.4)	0.33 (0.22–0.44)	<.001	0.06 (0.02–0.11)	0.01
Third	11.25 (3.7)	0.86 (0.72-1.01)	<.001	0.18 (0.12-0.24)	<.001
Fourth	12.19 (4.3)	1.71 (1.48–1.95)	<.001	0.40 (0.30-0.51)	<.001

C. Obesity

1	EB Quartile	Prevalence, % mean (SD)	Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value	Adj. Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value
	First	30.86 (6.1)	0 [Reference]	-	0 [Reference]	-
	Second	31.82 (6.4)	0.75 (0.56–0.95)	<.001	0.01 (-0.08–0.10)	0.86
	Third	32.42 (6.9)	1.68 (1.46–1.91)	<.001	0.08 (-0.04–0.19)	0.17
	Fourth	33.57 (7.6)	3.32 (2.99–3.66)	<.001	0.35 (0.25–0.46)	<.001

D. Coronary Heart Disease

EB Quartile	Prevalence, % mean (SD)	Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value	Adj. Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value
First	6.82 (2.4)	0 [Reference]	-	0 [Reference]	-
Second	6.63 (2.1)	0.10 (0.04–0.17)	<.001	0.06 (0.04–0.09)	<.001
Third	6.57 (2.1)	0.27 (0.18–0.35)	<.001	0.10 (0.07–0.13)	<.001
Fourth	6.66 (2.2)	0.54 (0.42-0.66)	<.001	0.16 (0.12-0.19)	<.001

E. Stroke

EB Quartile	Prevalence, % mean (SD)	Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value	Adj. Difference, % (95%Cl)	P Value
First	3.31 (1.1)	0 [Reference]	-	0 [Reference]	-
Second	3.31 (1.1)	0.11 (0.07–0.15)	<.001	0.04 (0.02–0.05)	<.001
Third	3.42 (1.2)	0.27 (0.22–0.32)	<.001	0.07 (0.05–0.08)	<.001
Fourth	3.65 (1.5)	0.51 (0.43–0.59)	<.001	0.12 (0.10–0.13)	<.001



EB: Environmental Burden; Adj. Difference: Adjusted Difference

^a Differences between neighborhoods by environmental burden quartile were estimated using mixedeffects linear regression models weighted for population size and including county-level random effects. ^b Adjusted differences were obtained from models that adjusted for social vulnerability index quartile, age (% 18-29, 30-44, 40-64, and 65+ years), sex (% female), rurality, region (Midwest, Northeast, South, or West), health care access (number of cardiovascular disease physicians per 100,000 population, number of primary care physicians per 100,000 population, and % of adults having received a routine checkup last year), and rate of current smoking among adults (smoked \geq 100 cigarettes in lifetime and currently smoke every day or some days).

^cError bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

eReferences

- 1. Greenlund KJ, Lu H, Wang Y, et al. PLACES: Local Data for Better Health. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2022;19:E31. doi:10.5888/pcd19.210459
- Wang Y. Comparison of Methods for Estimating Prevalence of Chronic Diseases and Health Behaviors for Small Geographic Areas: Boston Validation Study, 2013. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2017;14. doi:10.5888/pcd14.170281
- Zhang X, Holt JB, Lu H, et al. Multilevel Regression and Poststratification for Small-Area Estimation of Population Health Outcomes: A Case Study of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Prevalence Using the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2014;179(8):1025-1033. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu018