Supporting Information

High-speed AFM imaging reveals DNA capture and loop extrusion dynamics

by cohesin-NIPBL

Parminder Kaur^{1,2,*}, Xiaotong Lu³, Qi Xu^{4,5}, Elizabeth Marie Irvin⁶, Colette Pappas⁷, Hongshan Zhang⁸, Ilya J. Finkelstein⁸, Zhubing Shi^{4,5}, Yizhi Jane Tao³, Hongtao Yu^{4,5}, Hong Wang^{1,2,6,*}

¹Physics Department, ²Center for Human Health and the Environment, ⁶Toxicology Program, ⁷Biological Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

³Department of BioSciences, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

⁴Westlake Laboratory of Life Sciences and Biomedicine, ⁵School of Life Sciences, Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, P.R. China

⁸Department of Molecular Biosciences, ⁹Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA Video S1. HS-AFM video showing dynamics of the WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c and extension of the arm-hinge domain to capture a DNA segment in proximity (4 mM ATP). Related to Figure 3B.

Video S2. HS-AFM video showing that a DNA-bound WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c complex captures a DNA segment in proximity through the arm-hinge domain and initiates a DNA loop (4 mM ATP). Related to Figure 4 (II to VII).

Video S3. HS-AFM video showing dynamics of cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c ATPase mutant and diffusion on DNA through short protrusions (4 mM ATP). Related to Figures 5A and S5.

Video S4. HS-AFM video demonstrating diffusion on DNA through short protrusions and arm extension by the cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c ATPase mutant (4 mM ATP). Related to Figure 5B.

Video S5. HS-AFM video showing DNA capture by the cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c ATPase mutant through arm extension (4 mM ATP). Related to Figure 5C.

Video S6. HS-AFM video showing DNA loop extrusion by WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c (4 mM ATP). Related to Figure 7C.

Video S7. HS-AFM video showing DNA loop extrusion by WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c (4 mM ATP). Related to Figure 7D.



Figure S1. AFM volume analysis of SA1dc and NIPBLdc. *A*, SDS-PAGE of purified SA1dc and NIPBLdc. M: molecular marker. L: load. Numbers are fractions from the last FPLC purification step. *B* and *C*, Histograms of AFM volumes of SA1dc (B) and NIPBLdc (C, <600 nm³). The red line represents Gaussian fit to the data with the peak centered at 162 nm³ ± 120 nm³ (R² > 0.90) for SA1dc. Based on the peak, the estimation of the molecular weight is 133 KDa for SA1dc, which is close to monomers. This estimation is based on a previously established calibration curve: V (nm³) = 1.45 MW -21.57, where V is AFM volume and MW is molecular weight (Kaur et al. Scientific Reports, 2016). Inserts show example AFM images. The complexes with volumes greater than 300 nm³ are treated as dimers and higher-order complexes. XY scale bar represents 100 nm.



Figure S2. DNA binding by the full-length and truncation mutants of SA2. *A*, SDS-PAGE of the SA2 full length (1-1231 AAs), 1-302 AAs, 1-450 AAs, and 1052-1231 AAs. M: Molecular weight marker. The picture is cut from the same gel. *B* to *E*, Binding of the full length (*B*), 1-302 (*C*), 1-450 (*D*), and 1052-1231 (*E*) SA2 to 45 bp dsDNA measured by fluorescence anisotropy. dsDNA is labeled with Alexa 488. The data were fitted to the law of mass action (red lines, $R^2 > 0.9$).



Figure S3. DNA binding position distributions of WT and ATPase mutant cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c on linear dsDNA. *A* and *B*, Position distributions of WT (*A*) and ATPase mutant (*B*) cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c on a linear dsDNA substrate (5.19 kb). Two independent experiments in the presence of 2.5 mM ATP.



Figure S4. AFM height profile analysis showing hinge contacting DNA (*A***), a free particle (***B***), and foot connected to the globular domain contacting the DNA (***C***).** Left panels: AFM images with the cross-sectional analysis lines in red and structural features labeled. Right panels: Cross-sectional analysis (height profile, red lines) with structural features labeled. Figures S4A-4C are re-use of the panel IV from Figure 3B for showing the height profiles at different regions.



Figure S5. Time-lapse HS-AFM images of cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c ATPase mutant walking (diffusion) on DNA through short protrusions. XY scale bar represents 20 nm. Also see Video S3. Observation times are in continuation of Figure 5A for the same molecules. *gray arrow: foot*. Time: min:s.

Cohesin^{SA1dc}-NIPBL













B



Figure S6: DNA binding and loop formation mediated by cohesin-NIPBL missing the C-terminal domain of either SA1 or NIPBL. *A* and *B*, Representative AFM images of DNA loops mediated by cohesin^{SA1dc}-NIPBL (*A*) and cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBLdc (*B*) in the absence of ATP. Cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL: 30 nM. DNA (5.19 kb): 6 nM. XY scale bar represents 100 nm. *C* to *E*, Quantification of the percentages of DNA molecules bound with cohesin-NIPBL molecules (*C*), DNA loops (D), and nested loops out of total DNA loops mediated by WT, cohesin^{SA1dc}-NIPBL, and cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBLdc complexes. Error bars: SD. At least 3 experiments for each condition. * p<0.05 based on Student's t-test. The data for WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c is from a different batch of protein compared to the data presented in Figure 6.

С



Figure S7. Cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c **binding induces DNA bending.** *A* to *C*, Representative AFM images of WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c in the absence (*A*), or presence of ATP (+2.5 mM, *B*), and cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c ATPase mutant (+2.5 mM ATP, *C*) with dsDNA (5.19 kb). White arrows point to protein-DNA complexes. XY scale bar represents 100 nm. *D*, DNA bending angles induced by the WT and ATPase mutant of cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c on dsDNA. DNA bending angles: 27.5° (± 26°) for DNA_{+ATP} (N=149), 43.7° (± 20.5°) for DNA_{WT-ATP} (N=80), 57.2° (± 27.6°) for DNA_{WT+ATP} (N=116), and 47.3° (± 41.0°) for DNA_{ATPase mutant+ATP} (N=298). *E*, DNA bending angles induced by the globular domain (left panel) of the WT (71.2° ± 33.6°, N=104) and ATPase mutant (65.4° ± 34.6°, N=111), and the hinge domain (right panel) of WT (42.6° ± 24.4°, N=59) and ATPase mutant (40.7° ± 20.3°, N=104) cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c.

ATPase mutant + ATP

Α



Figure S8. DNA loop length changes mediated by the ATPase mutant and WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c on linear dsDNA in the presence of ATP. *A*, Frame-to-frame DNA loop lengths versus time for four independent DNA loops mediated by the cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c ATPase mutant (4 mM ATP). *B*, Additional examples of frame-to-frame DNA loop lengths versus time mediated by WT cohesin^{SA1}-NIPBL^c (4 mM ATP).

NIPBL_HUMAN	2667 T EDD E SDG EDR G GGT SG S LRR SKRN SD ST E LAAQMN E SVDVMDV I A I CC PK YK DR PQ I AR VVQKT S SG F SVQWMAG S	2743
NIPBL_MOUSE	2661 T ED E E SDG EDR G GGT SG S LRR SKR N SD ST E LAAQMN E SVDVMDV I A I CC PK YK DR PQI AR VVQRT S SGV SVQWMAG S	2737
NIPBL_XENLA	2813 T E E E E S D G E E K A – – – G G T S G – L R K S K R L S D S S D V A V Q M N E T V D V Q D V I A I C S P K Y K D R PQ I A K V V Q K T S H G L S I R WMAG S	2888
NIPBL_DANRE	2743 YDDD - S EV E EKT PG S S R R S R R T G D S A EA SGHR N ET V EAT DV I A LCC PK YK DR PQI AR V I QKT SK GY S I HWMAG S	2815
NIPB_DROME	2010 S S	2046
NIPBL_HUMAN	2744 Y SG SWT EAK – – RR DGRK LV PWVDT I K E SDI I Y KK I A LT S, KVVQT LR SLYAAK DGT S S	2804
NIPBL_MOUSE	2738 Y SG SWT EAK – – RR DGR K LV PWVDT I K ESDI I YKK I A LT S, KVVQT LR S LYAAK DGT S S	2798
NIPBL_XENLA	2889 Y SGTWA EAK – – R R DGR K LV PWVDT I K E SDI I Y KK I A LT SANK LTNK VAQT L R S LYAAK DGT S S	2949
NIPBL_DANRE	2816 Y S <u>GTWA EAK – – K R DGR K</u> LV PWVDT I K E SD I I Y KK I A LT SAHK L SN K VV QT L R S LY AAK EG S S S	2876
NIPB_DROME	2047 PSGRKTNPVRTKKKRRK I DSTDDET SDA EYA	2077

Figure S9. The C-terminal domain of NIPBL contains conserved positively charged residues. Alignment of sequences at the C-terminus of NIPBL from different species. Positively charged residues are highlighted in blue.