Supplementary Online Content

Novick TK, Mader MJ, Johansen KL, et al. Unstable housing and mortality among US veterans receiving dialysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(11):e2344448. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.44448

eTable 1. Baseline Study Population Characteristics: Included vs Excluded Participants

eAppendix. Exposure Definition

eTable 2. Exposure Definition

eTable 3. Data Sources

eTable 4. International Classification of Diseases (ICD) Codes for Covariables

eTable 5. Outcomes at Various Time Points for Individuals With and Without Unstable Housing

eTable 6. Hazard of All-Cause Mortality Associated With Unstable Housing for Various Ages

eTable 7. Sensitivity Analysis: Analysis Without Age × Unstable Housing Interaction Term

eTable 8. Sensitivity Analysis: Including Individuals Aged Older Than 85 Years
eTable 9. Sensitivity Analysis: Analysis With Age as a Categorical Variable
eTable 10. Sensitivity Analysis: Sample Limited to Participants Who Did Not Have
Discrepancies Between US Renal Data System and Veterans Health Administration

Data on Date of Death

eTable 11. Sensitivity Analysis: Sample Limited to Participants Who Were Screened for Unstable Housing Within 1 Year Before Dialysis Initiation

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

Characteristic	Included	Excluded
Ν	25,689	10,937
Age group, years, n (%)		
<50	1,048 (4.08)	436 (3.99)
50-64	7,214 (28.08)	2,593 (23.71)
65-74	11,405 (44.40)	3,744 (34.23)
≥75	6,022 (23.44)	4,164 (38.07)
Sex, n (%)		
Female	598 (2.30)	213 (1.95)
Male	25,091 (97.67)	10,724 (98.05)
Race and Ethnicity, n (%)		
Black	8,108 (31.56)	3,053 (27.91)
Hispanic	1,751 (6.82)	961 (8.79)
Other	2,445 (9.52)	960 (8.78)
White	13,385 (52.10)	5,963 (54.52)
Year of dialysis initiation, n (%)		
2012	555 (2.16)	827 (7.56)
2013	3,629 (14.13)	2,019 (18.46)
2014	4,204 (16.36)	1,544 (14.12)
2015	4,690 (18.26)	1,472 (13.46)
2016	4,592 (17.88)	1,505 (13.76)
2017	4,539 (17.67)	1.352 (12.36)
2018	3,480 (13.55)	2,218 (20.28)
Cause of Kidney Failure, n (%)		
Cystic kidney disease	455 (1.77)	169 (1.55)
Diabetes	13,657 (53.16)	4,498 (41.13)
Hypertension	7,305 (28.44)	3,267 (29.87)
GN	1,507 (5.87)	627 (5.73)
Other	2,385 (9.28)	1,323 (12.10)
Unknown	380 (1.48)	1,053 (9.63)
Incident dialysis modality, n (%)		
In-center Hemodialysis	23,482 (91.41)	9,905 (90.56)
Home Hemodialysis	199 (0.77)	73 (0.67)
Peritoneal dialysis	2,007 (7.81)	808 (7.39)
Unknown	1 (0.0)	151 (1.38)

eTable 1. Baseline Study Population Characteristics: Included vs Excluded Participants

eAppendix. Exposure Definition

We defined unstable housing using the data collected from the Homelessness Screening Clinical Reminder. The Homelessness Screening Clinical Reminder is embedded within the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) electronic medical record, Computerized Patient Record System. It is placed on the cover sheet for all patients at every VA Medical Center. Any staff member can perform the screen. The screen is administered annually at outpatient clinics. Veterans that screen positive (currently homeless or being in imminent danger of homelessness) are then screened every 6 months. Indicating that someone is a resident of a long-term care facility sets the frequency to every 2 years. Once a Veteran has screened negative for homelessness three (3) consecutive times, the frequency changes to every 2 years. The reminder excludes Veterans if a visit is found within the last 6 months in a clinic associated with any of the stop codes indicative of homeless services.

The Homelessness Screening Clinical Reminder started in late 2012 after the President of the United States and Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs made it a priority to eliminate homelessness in the Veteran population. There are 130 health care systems (HCS) within the VHA, and not all HCS began using the screen at the same time. Each HCS had the option to add questions to their screen, if appropriate. In order for a Veteran to have a screen they would have attended an appointment at a VHA facility that was administering the Homelessness Screening Clinical Reminder.

VHA data is stored in the Corporate Data Warehouse as health factors. There are no enterprise-wide naming conventions, formatting, or standards requirements for

health factors, and no functionality exists to designate, for example, a health factor as "national". Someone at the national level might suggest for individual HCS's to start using and document health factors, but each HCS has some flexibility to decide if and when to start using them, and what specific language will be recorded for the health factor.

We used database queries to investigate which health factor statements for the Homelessness Screening Clinical Reminder have been used over time and at which locations. We found 43 different statements associated with the health factor category called "Homelessness Screening," although many of the statements were associated with food insecurity. The time span of interest was from Oct 2012 to Sept 2019. Below is a summary of the Homelessness Screening health factors identified specifically related to unstable housing, and whether we included them in our exposure definition.

еΤ	able	2.	Exposure	Definition
----	------	----	----------	------------

HCS	Time in Use	Clinical Reminder	Health Factor	Whether/how Included in
				Definition of Unstable housing
All 130 HCSs	October 2012 to present	 For the past 2 months, have you been living in stable housing that you own, rent, or stay in as part of a household? Are you worried or concerned that in the next 2 months you may not have stable housing that you own, rent, or stay in as part of a household? Screen not performed: Already receiving homeless services or assistance Screen not performed: Long term resident of nursing home/LTC Facility Screen not performed: Declines screening at this time Screen not performed: Veteran/Caregiver unable to answer Would you like to talk more about your housing situation? 	 1a. Negative - has stable housing 1b. Positive - has no stable housing 2a. Positive - has worries about housing 2b. Negative - has no housing concerns 3. Already receiving assistance with housing 4. Nursing home resident 5. Declines homeless screen 6. Unable to perform homeless screen 7a. Referred to homeless referral 7c. Referred to social work 7d. Declines social work referral 	Included: - housing secure: 1a, 2b, 4 - housing insecure: 1b, 2a Not included: -3, 5-7
HCS	April 2015	Same questions as in first row	2c. Housing concern no	Included
585	to present	with additional possible	2d. Housing concern ves	- housing secure: 2c
only		responses	declines referral	- housing insecure: 2d 2e
Uniy	l	responses	טבטווובט ובובוומו	- nousing insecure. Zu, Ze

			2e. Housing concern yes referred	
HCS	Oct 2012 -	Same questions as in first row,	1c. Homeless currently	Included
646	Aug 2016	with additional possible	2c. Homeless future housing	- housing secure:
only		responses	concern	- housing insecure: 1c, 2c
			7e. Homeless referral declined	
HCS	Sept 2013 -	Same questions as in first row,	1c. Homeless on admission	Included as housing insecure
691	present	with additional response		
only				

Age/Date of birthPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: When there were discrepancies between the two data sources we used the CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants	Variable	Data Source
Secondary source: USRDS Note: When there were discrepancies between the two data sources we used the CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death). Sex/gender Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW. Race and Ethnicity Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: Approximately 1% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants	Age/Date of birth	Primary source: VHA CDW
Note: When there were discrepancies between the two data sources we used the CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		Secondary source: USRDS
Note: When there were discrepancies between the two data sources we used the CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		
between the two data sources we used the CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		Note: When there were discrepancies
CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		between the two data sources we used the
match between the two sources; approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Rote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		CDW. Approximately 1% of values did not
approximately 60% of those had age discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		match between the two sources;
discrepancies between 3 months and 3 years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		approximately 60% of those had age
years and the rest were >3 years. We used the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		discrepancies between 3 months and 3
the secondary source when the date of birth listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		years and the rest were >3 years. We used
listed in the primary source was clearly incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		the secondary source when the date of birth
Incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of death).Sex/genderPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		listed in the primary source was clearly
death). Sex/gender Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW. Race and Ethnicity Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		incorrect (e.g., if it was after the date of
Sex/gender Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW. Race and Ethnicity Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		death).
Secondary source: USRDSNote: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants	Sex/gender	Primary source: VHA CDW
Note: approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW 		Secondary source: USRDS
Note:approximately 0.4% of participants had mismatched sex/gender. We used the primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW.Race and EthnicityPrimary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDSNote:Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		Note: approximately 0.4% of participanta
Race and Ethnicity Primary source, but used the secondary source if sex was missing in the CDW. Race and Ethnicity Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		hole. approximately 0.4% or participants
Race and Ethnicity Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		nau mismaicheu sex/genuer. We useu me
Race and Ethnicity Primary source: VHA CDW Secondary source: USRDS Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		source if say was missing in the CDW
Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants	Race and Ethnicity	Primary source: VHA CDW
Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		Secondary source: USRDS
Note: Approximately 18% of participants had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		
had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity (primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		Note: Approximately 18% of participants
(primarily due to being listed as "unknown" in one source). There were 950 participants		had mis-matched data on race/ethnicity
in one source). There were 950 participants		(primarily due to being listed as "unknown"
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		in one source). There were 950 participants
with discrepancies between Black/white		with discrepancies between Black/white
race, and 750 with discrepancies between		race, and 750 with discrepancies between
Hispanic/non-Hispanic status. Both data		Hispanic/non-Hispanic status. Both data
sources are theoretically self-report. We		sources are theoretically self-report. We
used VHA data unless race or ethnicity were		used VHA data unless race or ethnicity were
unknown, in which case we used USRDS.		unknown, in which case we used USRDS.
Year of dialysis initiation USRDS	Year of dialysis initiation	USRDS
Noto: For participants with more than one		Note: For participants with more than one
start date, we used the first start date for		start date we used the first start date for
which they were on dialysis for <90 days		which they were on dialysis for 500 days
(e.g. when someone was on dialysis for 60		(e.g. when someone was on dialysis for 60
days, discontinued, then started again 2		days, discontinued, then started again 2
vears later for several years, we used the		vears later for several years, we used the
second date).		second date).
Pre-dialysis nephrology care USRDS	Pre-dialysis nephrology care	USRDS

eTable 3. Data Sources

Cause of Kidney Failure	USRDS
Vascular Access at Initiation	USRDS
Incident dialysis modality	USRDS
	Note: We used the first modality for the first
	dialysis period that lasted at least 90 days.
Medical Insurance	USRDS
Residence (Urban/rural/high rural)	VHA CDW
Comorbidities	VHA CDW
Date of death	Primary: VHA CDW
	Secondary: USRDS
	Note: We used USRDS when the date of
	death was missing from the CDW. When the
	two sources listed different dates, we looked
	for evidence of care in the CDW to try to
	determine the correct date of death (e.g. if
	there was care documented after the
	USRDS date of death we used the CDW
	date of death).
Date of kidney transplantation	USRDS

Abbreviations: VHA – Veterans Health Administration; CDW – Corporate Data Warehouse; USRDS – United States Renal Data System

Covariable	ICD 10 code	ICD 9 code
Atherosclerotic Heart	l21.x, l24.x, l25.x	410.x, 411.x, 412, 414.x
Disease		
Cardiac failure	109.81, 111.0, 113.x,	398.91, 402.x, 404.x, 425.x,
	125.5, 142.x, 143, 150.x	428.x
Peripheral vascular disease	170.x	440.x, 443.x
Cerebral vascular disease	G45.x, G46.x, I63.x,	362.34, 433.x, 434.x, 435.x,
	167.x, 168.x	436, 437.x,
Hypertension	110.x to 115.x	401.x to 405.x
Diabetes	E10.x to E11.x	250.x
Cancer	C00.x to C43.x, C45.x	140.x to 172.x, 174.x to 195.x,
	to C76.x, C7A.x, C80.1,	199.x, 200.x to 209.2x
	C81.x to C96.	
Chronic obstructive	J40.x to J44.x, J47.x	490.x to 492.x, 494.x to 496.x
pulmonary disease		
History of drug dependence	F11.x to F19.x	304.0x to 304.9x, 305.8x,
		305.9x
History of alcohol	F10.x	303.9x, 305.0x
dependence		
Post-traumatic stress	F43.1x	309.81
disorder		
Tobacco use	Z72.0, Z87.891, F17.x	V15.82, 305.1

eTable 4. International Classification of Diseases (ICD) Codes for Covariables

eTable 5. Outcomes at Various	Time Points for Individu	uals With and Without	Unstable
Housing			

Time from 90 days after	0	500	1000	1500	2000
dialysis initiation	days	days	days	days	days
Unstable Housing					
At Risk	771	500	273	93	11
Deceased since previous time	0	120	89	33	10
Transplanted since previous	0	2	4	4	0
time	0	2	4	4	0
Censored since previous time	0	149	134	143	72
Stable Housing					
At Risk	24,918	14,853	7,605	2,887	444
Deceased since previous time	0	4,312	2,849	1,468	532
Transplanted since previous	0	201	256	1/2	52
time	U	301	250	143	- 55
Censored since previous time	0	5,452	4,143	3,107	1,858

eTable 6. Hazard of All-Cause Mortality Associated With Unstable Housing for Various Ages

Age Group	Sub-distribution Hazard Ratios of All-cause Mortality for Unstable Housing vs Stable Housing (95% Confidence Interval)	
	Model 1	Model 2
Age = 40	0.75 (0.51 - 1.12)	0.69 (0.46 - 1.02)
Age = 45	0.82 (0.60 - 1.14)	0.76 (0.55 - 1.05)
Age = 50	0.90 (0.70 - 1.16)	0.84 (0.65 - 1.08)
Age = 55	0.99 (0.81 - 1.20)	0.92 (0.76 - 1.12)
Age = 60	1.08 (0.93 - 1.25)	1.02 (0.88 - 1.18)
Age = 65	1.18 (1.04 - 1.34)	1.13 (0.99 - 1.28)
Age = 70	1.29 (1.11 - 1.50)	1.25 (1.07 - 1.45)
Age = 75	1.41 (1.15 - 1.73)	1.38 (1.12 - 1.69)
Age = 80	1.54 (1.18 - 2.01)	1.52 (1.16 - 1.99)

Model 1: adjusted for age, age-squared, sex, race, ethnicity, year of dialysis initiation, pre-dialysis nephrology care, and age × unstable housing interaction term Model 2: model 1 + incident dialysis modality, cause of kidney failure, incident vascular access, primary insurance, urban/rural, and comorbidities **eTable 7.** Sensitivity Analysis: Analysis Without Age × Unstable Housing Interaction Term

Model	Sub-distribution Hazard Ratio of All-cause
	Mortality for Unstable Housing vs Stable
	Housing (95% Confidence Interval)
n = 25,689, deaths = 9,435, transplants = 7	767, censored = 15,487
Model 1	1.15 (1.01 – 1.31)
Model 2	1.10 (0.96 – 1.25)

Model 1: adjusted for age, age-squared, sex, race, ethnicity, year of dialysis initiation, pre-dialysis and nephrology care

Model 2: model 1 + incident dialysis modality, cause of kidney failure, incident vascular access, primary insurance, urban/rural, and comorbidities

Hazard Ratio of All-cause Mortality		
(95% Confidence Interval)		
splants = 767, censored = 16,045		
1.15 (1.02 – 1.30)		
1.10 (0.97 – 1.25)		
plants = 29, censored = $3,685$		
1.59 (1.19 – 2.12)		
1.66 (1.24 – 2.22)		

eTable 8. Sensitivity Analysis: Including Individuals Aged Older Than 85 Years

Model 1: adjusted for age, age-squared

Model 2: model 1 + sex, race, ethnicity, year of dialysis initiation, pre-dialysis incident dialysis modality, cause of kidney failure, incident vascular access, primary insurance, urban/rural, and comorbidities

Madal			
IVIODEI	Sub-distribution Hazard Ratio of All-cause		
	Mortality for Unstable Housing vs Stable		
	Housing (95% Confidence Interval)		
n = 25,689, deaths = 9,435, transplants = 767, censored = 15,487			
Model 1			
<50 years	1.13 (0.51 – 2.47)		
50-64 years	0.99 (0.83 – 1.18)		
65-74 years	1.17 (0.94 – 1.47)		
≥75 years	1.54 (1.12 – 2.10)		
Model 2			
<50 years	1.06 (0.49 – 2.27)		
50-64 years	0.94 (0.79 – 1.13)		
65-74 years	1.15 (0.92 – 1.44)		
≥75 years	1.54 (1.13 – 2.10)		

eTable 9. Sensitivity Analysis: Analysis With Age as a Categorical Variable

Model 1: adjusted for sex, race, ethnicity, year of dialysis initiation, pre-dialysis nephrology care, and age group × unstable housing interaction term Model 2: model 1 + incident dialysis modality, cause of kidney failure, incident vascular access, primary insurance, urban/rural, and comorbidities

eTable 10. Sensitivity Analysis: Sample Limited to Participants Who Did Not Have Discrepancies Between US Renal Data System and Veterans Health Administration Data on Date of Death

Age Group	Sub-distribution Hazard Ratios of All-cause Mortality for Unstable Housing vs Stable Housing (95% Confidence Interval)		
n = 25,617, deaths = 9,363, transplants = 767, censored = 15,487			
	Model 1	Model 2	
At median age (68)	1.24 (1.08 – 1.42)	1.19 (1.04 – 1.37)	
Age = 40	0.74 (0.49 – 1.10)	0.67 (0.45 – 1.01)	
Age = 45	0.81 (0.58 – 1.12)	0.75 (0.54 – 1.04)	
Age = 50	0.89 (0.68 – 1.15)	0.83 (0.64 – 1.07)	
Age = 55	0.97 (0.80 – 1.18)	0.92 (0.75 – 1.12)	
Age = 60	1.07 (0.92 – 1.24)	1.01 (0.87 – 1.18)	
Age = 65	1.17 (1.03 – 1.33)	1.12 (0.99 – 1.28)	
Age = 70	1.29 (1.10 – 1.50)	1.24 (1.07 – 1.45)	
Age = 75	1.41 (1.15 – 1.73)	1.38 (1.12 – 1.69)	
Age = 80	1.55 (1.18 – 2.03)	1.53 (1.16 – 2.00)	

Model 1: adjusted for age, age-squared, sex, race, ethnicity, year of dialysis initiation, pre-dialysis nephrology care, and age × unstable housing interaction term Model 2: model 1 + incident dialysis modality, cause of kidney failure, incident vascular access, primary insurance, urban/rural, and comorbidities

eTable 11. Sensitivity Analysis: Sample Limited to Participants Who Were Screened for Unstable Housing Within 1 Year Before Dialysis Initiation

Age Group	Sub-distribution Hazard Ratio of All-cause Mortality for Unstable Housing vs Stable Housing (95% Confidence Interval)		
n = 23,644, deaths = 8,373, transplants = 708, censored = 14,563			
	Model 1	Model 2	
At median age (68)	1.27 (1.03 – 1.57)	1.24 (1.01 – 1.53)	
Age = 40	0.92 (0.54 – 1.58)	0.86 (0.50 – 1.47)	
Age = 45	0.98 (0.63 – 1.52)	0.92 (0.59 – 1.42)	
Age = 50	1.03 (0.73 – 1.46)	0.98 (0.70 – 1.38)	
Age = 55	1.10 (0.85 – 1.42)	1.05 (0.81 – 1.35)	
Age = 60	1.16 (0.95 – 1.41)	1.12 (0.92 – 1.36)	
Age = 65	1.23 (1.02 – 1.48)	1.19 (0.99 – 1.44)	
Age = 70	1.30 (1.03 – 1.64)	1.27 (1.01 – 1.61)	
Age = 75	1.38 (1.01 – 1.89)	1.36 (1.00 – 1.86)	
Age = 80	1.46 (0.97 – 2.19)	1.45 (0.97 – 2.18)	

Model 1: adjusted for age, age-squared, sex, race, ethnicity, year of dialysis initiation, pre-dialysis nephrology care, and age × unstable housing interaction term Model 2: model 1 + incident dialysis modality, cause of kidney failure, incident vascular access, primary insurance, urban/rural, and comorbidities

Note: There were 771 with unstable housing in the original analysis (within 3-years of dialysis initiation), and 356 of those had a positive housing screen within the year before starting dialysis.