1 Supplement

- 2 Defining the pathway to timely diagnosis and treatment of interstitial lung disease: a
- 3 US Delphi survey
- 4 Amy Hajai Case¹, Scott Beegle², David L Hotchkin³, Thomas Kaelin⁴, Hyun Joo Kim⁵, Anna J
- 5 Podolanczuk⁶, Murali Ramaswamy⁷, Carlos Remolina⁸, Mary M Salvatore⁹, Conan Tu¹⁰,
- 6 Joao de Andrade¹¹

18

- ¹Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, Piedmont Healthcare, Atlanta, GA, USA;
- ²Division of Pulmonary & Critical Care Medicine, Albany Medical College, Albany, NY, USA;
- ³The Oregon Clinic, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care & Sleep Medicine, Portland, OR,
- 10 USA; ⁴Lowcountry Lung and Critical Care, Charleston, SC, USA; ⁵Department of Medicine,
- 11 Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care, and Sleep, University of Minnesota,
- 12 Minneapolis, MN, USA; ⁶Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York,
- 13 NY, USA; ⁷LeBauer Pulmonary and Critical Care and Pulmonlx, LLC at Cone Health,
- 14 Greensboro, NC, USA; ⁸Department of Pulmonology, Trinitas Regional Medical Center,
- 15 Elizabeth, NJ, USA; ⁹Department of Radiology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center,
- 16 New York, NY, USA; 10 Internal Medicine, ProHEALTH, part of Optum, Bethpage, NY, USA;
- 17 ¹¹Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA.

19 Supplementary Results Table S1. Delphi panel demographics

	Overall	Survey 1	Survey 2	Survey 3
	(n=49)	(n=48)	(n=40)*	(n=36)
Primary specialty				
Pulmonary +/- critical	43 (87.8%)	42 (87.5%)	35 (87.5%)	32 (88.9%)
care medicine				
Academic/teaching	20 (40.8%)	20 (41.7%)	19 (47.5%)	18 (50.0%)
hospital				
Community	23 (46.9%)	22 (45.8%)	16 (40.0%)	14 (38.9%)†
Primary care: Internal	2 (4.1%)	2 (4.2%)	1 (2.5%)	1 (2.8%)
medicine				
Academic/teaching	1 (2.0%)	1 (2.1%)	1 (2.5%)	1 (2.8%)
hospital				
Community	1 (2.0%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Primary care: Family	4 (8.2%)	4 (8.3%)	4 (10.0%)	3 (8.3%)
medicine				
Academic/teaching	1 (2.0%)	1 (2.1%)	1 (2.5%)	1 (2.8%)
hospital				
Community	3 (6.1%)	3 (6.3%)	3 (7.5%)	2 (5.6%)
Years involved in caring for p	atients with res	spiratory sym	ptoms	
5 years [‡]	5 (10.2%)	5 (10.4%)	4 (10.0%)	4 (11.1%)
6–10 years	12 (24.5%)	12 (25.0%)	10 (25.0%)	10 (27.8%)
11–15 years	10 (20.4%)	10 (20.8%)	8 (20.0%)	7 (19.4%)
>15 years	22 (44.9%)	21 (43.8%)	18 (45.0%)	15 (41.7%)†

	Overall	Survey 1	Survey 2	Survey 3	
	(n=49)	(n=48)	(n=40)*	(n=36)	
Number of patients with ILD	diagnosed/man	aged/treated i	n past 12 mor	nths	
Pulmonologists					
1–50	25 (51.0%)	25 (52.1%)	19 (47.5%)	17 (47.2%)	
51–100	10 (20.4%)	10 (20.8%)	8 (20.0%)	7 (19.4%)	
101–150	4 (8.2%)	4 (8.3%)	4 (10.0%)	3 (8.3%)	
151–200	2 (4.1%)	2 (4.2%)	2 (5.0%)	2 (5.6%)	
>200	7 (14.3%)	7 (14.6%)	7 (17.5%)	6 (16.7%)	
Unknown	1 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.8%)†	
Geographic location of pract	ice				
West	6 (12.2%)	6 (12.5%)	6 (15.0%)	5 (13.9)	
Midwest	4 (8.2%)	4 (8.3%)	3 (7.5%)	3 (8.3%)	
South	22 (44.9%)	22 (45.8%)	17 (42.5%)	13 (36.1%)	
Northeast	17 (34.7%)	16 (33.3%)	14 (35.0%)	15 (41.7%)†	

^{*}Two panellists provided partial responses, which were included in the analysis.

25

[†]One panellist completed Survey 3 without completing Surveys 1 and 2.

[‡]Pulmonologists with 4 years of clinical experience were included if they had 1 year of

²³ clinical experience during their fellowship that was ILD-focused.

²⁴ ILD, interstitial lung disease.

26 **Supplementary Results Table S2.** Consensus statements

Consensus statement	Number of	Level of
		consensus
	responses	agreement
1. Guidelines		
The current guidelines on the diagnosis and management of	36	94.4%
ILD are not completely clear.		
There is a need for increased awareness and education	40	100%
around ILD for patients and PCPs.		
There is a need for guidelines for PCPs that include when to	40	100%
suspect ILD and when to refer to a pulmonologist.		
PCPs need more guidance on PFTs.	38	100%
There is a need for increased awareness and education	36	97.2%
around ILD for pulmonologists and rheumatologists.		
Pulmonologists need further guidance on how to manage and	35	94.3%
when to refer patients with ILD.		
Radiologists need additional guidance on what to include in	37	94.6%
CT reports.		
ILD centres need improved guidance on co-management of	36	97.2%
patients with ILD.		
2. Community screening		
PCPs should consider ILD in patients with chronic cough	36	97.2%
and/or dyspnoea when cardiac disease, asthma, bronchitis,		
and allergies have been ruled out as the cause.		

Consensus statement		Level of
	Number of	consensus
	responses	
		agreement
In the primary care setting, ILD should be considered in	36	97.2%
patients with any of the following unexplained symptoms:		
chronic cough, dyspnoea, crackles on auscultation, clubbing		
on fingernails, oxygen desaturation with ambulation, or		
hypoxemia at rest.		
Patients presenting with chronic cough and dyspnoea in the	35	94.3%
primary care setting should be asked questions in review of		
systems about CTD.		
For patients presenting with a chronic cough and dyspnoea,	35	85.7%
spirometry (if available at PCP office), chest X-ray, and		
oximetry (including simple 500-feet ambulatory pulse oximetry		
with 3-point drop) should be ordered by the PCP.		
PCPs need clearer guidance on ordering an HRCT scan for	37	100%
patients with suspected ILD.		
If ILD is suspected in a patient following workup, a PCP should	36	94.4%
order an HRCT scan prior to pulmonologist referral if they are		
familiar with the correct imaging technique to request and can		
ensure adequate quality.		
A different course of action should be considered after 1–3	36	97.2%
months in patients with chronic cough and/or dyspnoea with		
normal FVC and chest X-ray who do not respond to prescribed		
treatment or management in primary care, and a pulmonology		
referral should be considered.		

Consensus statement		Level of
	Number of	consensus
	responses	agreement
PCPs, rheumatologists, and cardiologists should always	36	100%
consider ILD if a patient has cough, dyspnoea, and crackles		
on auscultation that are not explained by workup.		
Patients presenting in primary or rheumatology care with	36	100%
clinical features including chronic dry/non-productive cough,		
dyspnoea, auscultatory crackles, and oxygen desaturation,		
which are not explained by workup, should be co-managed		
with a pulmonologist.		
Cardiologists should consider concurrent workup or diagnostic	35	100%
testing with a pulmonologist for patients who do not have		
cardiac dysfunction and present with chronic dry/non-		
productive cough, dyspnoea, auscultatory crackles, or oxygen		
desaturation with ambulation.		
Patients with a CTD should be screened for ILD at baseline	33	90.9%
and then every 12–18 months depending on the underlying		
disease.		
Patients with a history of scleroderma and no respiratory	38	94.2%
symptoms or crackles on lung auscultation should be		
screened for ILD using at least full PFTs (spirometry plus		
measurement of lung volumes and diffusion capacity).		
Further research is needed to determine which patients with	35	94.3%
rheumatoid arthritis would benefit from HRCT screening.		

Consensus statement	Number of	Level of
		consensus
	responses	agreement
Irrespective of respiratory symptoms, patients aged 50 years	35	83.9%
or older with a family history of familial pulmonary fibrosis		
should be screened for ILD using at least full PFTs (spirometry		
plus measurement of lung volumes and diffusion capacity).		
Further research and guidance are needed to determine	36	97.2%
whether patients with one single first-degree relative with		
pulmonary fibrosis/IIP in the family and no respiratory		
symptoms or crackles on lung auscultation should be		
screened for ILD.		
3. Community diagnosis		
A pulmonologist should include medical history, physical	32	96.9%
examination, PFTs, serology, 6MWT, and HRCT in the workup		
of a patient with suspected ILD.		
In patients with suspected ILD, questionnaires should be used	33	97.0%
to obtain a detailed medical history.		
When a specific ILD diagnosis is being considered, ILD-	32	93.8%
specific patient questionnaires should be used where possible.		
Serologic panel testing should always be ordered as part of	32	96.9%
the workup for ILD and should be used to facilitate a		
differential diagnosis.		
It is important to make a specific ILD diagnosis whenever	33	3 (1)*
possible.		

Consensus statement		Level of
	Number of	consensus
	responses	agreement
In patients with non-specific ILD symptoms, the most important	31	80.7%
serologies to order are: ANA, CCP, RF, SSA, and Scl 70.		
For patients with a non-productive cough and dyspnoea, an	33	100%
HRCT scan should be ordered if not already available		
following referral to a pulmonologist if they have any one of the		
following: auscultatory crackles, clubbing of fingernails, oxygen		
desaturation with ambulation, bibasilar abnormalities on chest		
X-ray, abnormal FVC, abnormal DLco, or confirmed CTD.		
When sending an HRCT requisition for a patient with	31	87.1%
suspected ILD to radiology, it is important to specify whether		
expiratory, inspiratory, or both views are needed, whether		
prone, supine, or both images are needed, and that it needs to		
be presented in thin sections.		
Where possible, radiologists should use a standardized	33	2 (1)*
template for reporting HRCT results in ILD.		
The most important elements to include in an HRCT report are	33	97.0%
the description of features and the probable diagnosis.		
MDDs should take place during the diagnosis of ILD if there is	33	93.9%
at least diagnostic uncertainty or if any lung biopsy is being		
considered.		
An MDD may not be required for cases of suspected ILD if	30	86.7%
there is no diagnostic uncertainty.		

Consensus statement	Number of	Level of consensus
	responses	agreement
Local ILD multidisciplinary teams should consist of at least a	32	100%
pulmonologist, ideally a thoracic radiologist, a pathologist with		
expertise in ILD, and a rheumatologist when CTD is		
suspected.		
MDDs are most effective when all disciplines are involved	33	100%
(face to face or virtual).		
4. Community management		
Pulmonologists should follow up with their ILD patients every	32	100%
3–6 months, depending on the diagnosis and disease severity.		
At follow-up visits for patients with ILD, pulmonologists should	33	100%
evaluate disease progression, physical function, symptom		
severity, quality of life, suitability for clinical trials, suitability for		
lung transplant, and side effects of medication.		
Cough, dyspnoea, fatigue, and the emotional well-being of	33 [†]	100%
patients with ILD should be monitored regularly in patients with		
ILD.		
Common comorbidities that should be monitored/assessed in	33 [†]	100%
patients with ILD are pulmonary hypertension, GERD, sleep		
disordered breathing, COPD, and lung cancer if appropriate.		
When following up with a patient with ILD, HRCT scans should	32	96.9%
be considered if clinical deterioration is observed.		

Consensus statement	Number of	Level of
	responses	consensus
	responses	agreement
Pulmonologists should monitor for ILD progression using at	32	96.9%
least spirometry and measurement of diffusion capacity.		
The decision to carry out PFTs (spirometry, measurement of	32	93.8%
lung volumes, and diffusion capacity) when following up with a		
patient with ILD depends on the specific diagnosis, disease		
severity, and treatment.		
It is important to discuss pulmonary rehabilitation; lung	32	100%
transplant and clinical trial opportunities; symptom		
management; advanced care planning and goals of care; and		
palliative care when managing patients with ILD.		
Patients with any CTD-associated ILD should be co-managed	33	97.0%
with a rheumatologist and pulmonologist.		
Shared decision-making with ILD patients is important when	33	3 (0)*
prescribing treatment.		
5. Specialist referral		
Pulmonologists should consider referral of a patient with ILD to	32	100%
a specialist ILD or PFF centre if there is diagnostic or		
treatment uncertainty, the patient requests referral, the patient		
is a transplant or clinical trial candidate, or if there is disease		
progression despite treatment.		

Consensus statement		Level of
	Number of	consensus
	responses	agreement
Patients with IPF should receive early referral to an ILD centre	33	100%
if they are young at disease onset, transplant eligible, or have		
rapid disease progression.		
Patients who are potential transplant candidates or have	33	100%
rapidly progressing disease should be given priority access to		
an ILD centre upon referral.		
When selecting an ILD centre for referral of a patient with ILD,	31	96.8%
a pulmonologist should consider whether they are part of the		
PFF Care Center Network, have clinical trial opportunities, and		
if they are a lung transplant centre, as well as their reputation		
and proximity to the patient.		
Referring physicians should always share all relevant patient	38	100%
medical records when referring to an academic centre.		
When referring a patient with ILD to a specialist ILD or PFF	32	100%
centre, the referral package should ideally contain the PFT		
history, CT scan images and reports, biopsy results,		
serologies if available, pulmonary and rheumatology clinic		
notes, and reasons for referral.		
When referring a patient with ILD to a specialist ILD or PFF	30	90.0%
centre, the referral package should state whether the patient		
should be returned to community care after consultation.		
It should be the shared responsibility of the referring	32	93.8%
community physician, the pulmonologist at the ILD centre and		

Consensus statement		Level of
	Number of	conconcue
	responses	consensus
	-	agreement
the patient to ensure that the referral package is shared with		
the ILD centre.		
Sharing the referral package with the ILD centre on referral	32	93.8%
should not be the responsibility of the patient alone.		
A reasonable access time to a specialist ILD or PFF centre	32	96.9%
upon referral is 4–6 weeks.		
Telehealth should be made available in ILD centres.	42	100%
Pulmonologists at ILD centres should review all records before	33	3 (1)*
carrying out any further tests on patients referred with ILD.		
ILD centres should share all relevant patient medical records	33	3 (1)*
when returning patients to community care.		
Following referral to an ILD centre, patients should be co-	31	100%
managed by a community pulmonologist and ILD centre if		
possible.		
Patients who are eligible for transplant, enrolled in clinical	31	100%
trials, have rapidly progressing or complex disease, or are		
receiving specialized treatment should remain in the care of a		
pulmonologist at an ILD centre.		

^{*}For statements assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, the data are median (IQR). The scale

was from −3 (strongly disagree) to +3 (strongly agree).

40

29 †Statements were developed based on high/unanimous consensus agreement achieved on 30 two related questions at Survey 2, which were combined but were not presented to the 31 panel. 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; CCP, cyclic citrullinated peptide; 32 33 COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CT, computed tomography; CTD, connective 34 tissue disease; DLco, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; FVC, forced vital 35 capacity; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; HRCT, high-resolution computed 36 tomography; IIP, idiopathic interstitial pneumonias; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IQR, 37 interquartile range; MDD, multidisciplinary discussion; PCP, primary care physician; PFF, Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation; PFT, pulmonary function test; RF, rheumatoid factor; SSA, 38 39 Sjögren's-syndrome-related antigen A.

Supplementary Results Table S3. Guidelines: evolution of statements from Survey 1 to 3

Survey 1				Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
47	The current guidelines on the	1 (2)	40	The current guidelines on the diagnosis and	36	The current guidelines on the
	diagnosis and management of ILD			management of ILD are not completely		diagnosis and management of
	are clear.*			clear.		ILD are not completely clear.
				82.5% agreement		94.4% agreement
48	Is there a need for increased awarer	ness and	40	There is a need for increased awareness		
	education around ILD?			and education around ILD for patients and		
	1. Patients	87.5%		PCPs.		
	2. PCPs	97.9%		100% agreement		
	3. Pulmonologists	68.8%	36	There is a need for increased awareness		
	4. Rheumatologists	66.7%		and education around ILD for		
	5. Cardiologists	33.3%		pulmonologists and rheumatologists.		
	6. Other	2.1%		97.2% agreement		

	Survey 1		Survey 2			Survey 3		
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement		
44	Should PCPs have guideline	es on what to	40	There is a need for guidelines for PCPs that				
	look out for in ILD?			include when to suspect ILD and when to				
	1. Yes	95.5%		refer to a pulmonologist.				
	2. No	4.5%		100% agreement				
45	Should PCPs have guideline	es on when to						
	refer a patient with possible ILD?							
	1. Yes	97.8%						
	2. No	2.2%						
41	Do PCPs need more guidar	ice on PFTs?	38	PCPs need more guidance on PFTs.				
	1. Yes	100%		100% agreement				
	2. No	0%						
43	Do radiologists need additio	nal guidance on	37	Radiologists need additional guidance on				
	what to include in CT report	s?		what to include in CT reports.				
	1. Yes	81.4%						

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
	2. No	18.6%		94.6% agreement		
41	Do pulmonologists need	d further guidance on	35	Pulmonologists need further guidance on		
	how to manage and when to refer patients			how to manage and when to refer patients		
	with ILD to an ILD centr	re?		with ILD.		
	1. Yes	80.5%		94.3% agreement		
	2. No	19.5%				
32	Do ILD centres need ad	Iditional guidance on	34	ILD centres need improved guidance on co-	36	ILD centres need improved
	co-management of patients with ILD?			management of patients with ILD.		guidance on co-management
	1. Yes	62.5%		82.4% agreement		of patients with ILD.
	2. No	37.5%				97.2% agreement

^{*}For statements assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, the data are median (IQR). The scale was from –3 (strongly disagree) to +3 (strongly

⁴³ agree).

CT, computed tomography; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IQR, interquartile range; PCP, primary care physician; PFT, pulmonary function test.

Supplementary Results Table S4. Community screening: evolution of statements from Survey 1 to 3

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
48	Which of the following causes shoul	d a PCP	39	PCPs should always consider ILD in	36	PCPs should consider ILD in
	explore in a patient presenting with	chronic		patients with chronic cough and/or		patients with chronic cough
	cough and/or dyspnoea?			dyspnoea when cardiac disease, asthma,		and/or dyspnoea when cardiac
	1. Cardiac	87.5%		bronchitis, allergies, and GERD have been		disease, asthma, bronchitis,
	2. Asthma	95.8%		ruled out as the cause.		and allergies have been ruled
	3. Bronchitis	83.3%		94.9% agreement		out as the cause.
	4. Allergies	83.3%				97.2% agreement
	5. Pneumonia	62.5%				
	6. Obesity	52.1%				
	7. ILD	91.7%				
	8. Autoimmune/rheumatologic	54.2%				
	9. Other	14.6%				

	Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	N Question/statement		N	Question/statement		Question/statement	
48	In the primary care setting, which o	f the	40	In the primary care setting, ILD should	36	In the primary care setting, ILD	
	following should be considered as signs of			always be considered in patients with any of		should be considered in	
	ILD?			the following: chronic cough, dyspnoea,		patients with any of the	
	 Chronic dry cough Dyspnoea Chest pain Chest tightness 10.7% 			crackles on auscultation, clubbing on		following unexplained	
				fingernails, oxygen desaturation with		symptoms: chronic cough,	
				ambulation, or hypoxemia at rest.		dyspnoea, crackles on	
				97.5% agreement		auscultation, clubbing on	
	5. Wheezing	10.4%				fingernails, oxygen	
	6. Auscultatory crackles	95.8%				desaturation with ambulation,	
	7. Clubbing of fingernails	97.5%				or hypoxemia at rest.	
	8. Oxygen desaturation with	93.8%				97.2% agreement	
	ambulation						
	9. Hypoxemia at rest	85.4%					
	10. Other	4.2%					

	Survey 1				Survey 2	Survey 3		
N		Question/statement		N	Question/statem	ent N	Question/statement	
41	If a patient presents with chronic cough and/or dyspnoea, should a PCP ask questions about CTD?		ugh	38	Patients presenting with chro	nic cough 35	Patients presenting with	
				and/or dyspnoea in the prima	ry care setting	chronic cough and dyspnoea in		
					should be asked questions at	oout CTD.	the primary care setting should	
	1.	Yes	90.2%		94.7% agreement		be asked questions in review	
	2.	No	9.8%				of systems about CTD.	
							94.3% agreement	
48	Which of the following assessments should			39	For patients with a chronic co	ough and/or 35	For patients presenting with a	
	a PCP	order/perform for a patient p	resenting		dyspnoea, spirometry and ch	est X-ray	chronic cough and dyspnoea,	
	with a	chronic cough and/or dyspno	ea in the		should be ordered by the PCI	Р.	spirometry (if available at PCP	
	primar	y care level?			94.9% agreement		office), chest X-ray and	
	1. 2.	Spirometry Chest X-ray	87.5% 97.9%	39	Should a PCP perform/order patient presenting with a chro	·	oximetry (including simple 500- feet ambulatory pulse oximetry with 3-point drop) should be	
	3.	Ambulatory oximetry/6MWT	52.1% 43.8%		and/or dyspnoea in the prima		ordered by the PCP.	
	4. CT scan 43.8%			1. Yes	84.6%			

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3			
N	Question/statement			N	Question/sta	Question/statement		Question/statement	
	5.	Echocardiogram	47.9%		2. No	15.4%		85.7% agreement	
	6.	Oximetry	75.0%						
	7.	Other	6.3%						
46	What clinical features should prompt a			40	Should rheumatologists	always consider co-	36	Patients presenting in primary	
	rheumatologist to suspect ILD?				management with a pulmonologist for			or rheumatology care with	
	1.	Chronic dry/non-productive	93.8%		patients presenting with chronic dry/non-			clinical features including	
	cough				productive cough, dyspnoea, auscultatory			chronic dry/non-productive	
	2.	Dyspnoea	93.8%		crackles, or oxygen desa		cough, dyspnoea, auscultatory		
	3.	Chest pain	12.5%		ambulation?			crackles and oxygen	
	4.	Chest tightness	20.8%		1. Yes	95.0%		desaturation, which are not	
	5.	Wheezing	12.5%		2. No	5.0%		explained by workup, should	
	6.	Auscultatory crackles	89.6%					be co-managed with a	
	7.	Oxygen desaturation with	93.8%					pulmonologist.	
		ambulation						100% agreement	
	8.	Other	6.3%						

	Survey 1		Survey 2		Survey 3	
N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	
46	What clinical features should pron	npt a 39	Should cardiologists always consider	35	Cardiologists should consider	
	cardiologist to suspect ILD?		concurrent workup or diagnostic testing v	concurrent workup or		
	1. Chronic dry/non-productive 95.8%		a pulmonologist for patients presenting w	vith	diagnostic testing with a	
	cough		chronic dry/non-productive cough,	pulmonologist for patients who		
	2. Dyspnoea	81.2%	dyspnoea, auscultatory crackles, or oxyg	do not have cardiac		
	3. Chest pain	4.2%	desaturation with ambulation?	dysfunction and present with		
	4. Chest tightness 12.5%		1. Yes 84	.6%	chronic dry/non-productive	
	5. Wheezing	12.5%	2. No 15	.4%	cough, dyspnoea, auscultatory	
	6. Auscultatory crackles	87.5%			crackles, or oxygen	
	7. Oxygen desaturation with	89.6%			desaturation with ambulation.	
	ambulation				100% agreement	
	8. Other	6.3%			•	
41	If a patient has cough and/or dysp	noea with 40	PCPs should always consider ILD if a	36	PCPs, rheumatologists, and	
	crackles on auscultation that are r	not	patient has cough, dyspnoea, and crackles		cardiologists should always	

	Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	Question/stat	ement	N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	
	explained by workup, shou	ıld a PCP explore		on auscultation that are not explained by		consider ILD if a patient has	
	possible ILD?			workup.		cough, dyspnoea, and crackles	
	1. Yes	97.9%		100% agreement		on auscultation that are not	
	2. No	2.1%				explained by workup.	
						100% agreement	

spirometry, bibasilar abnormalities on chest

X-ray, and oxygen desaturation with

ambulation, what should a PCP do next?

1. Refer to a pulmonologist 48.9%

2. Order a CT scan 48.9%

3. Carry out further diagnostic 2.1%

assessments

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement		Question/statement
	4. Other	19.2%				
45	If ILD is suspected in a p	atient following	39	If ILD is suspected in a patient following	36	If ILD is suspected in a patient
	workup, should a PCP order a CT scan?			workup, a PCP should order a CT scan prior		following workup, a PCP
	1. Yes	75.6%		to pulmonologist referral if they are familiar		should order an HRCT scan
	2. No	24.4%		with the correct imaging technique to		prior to pulmonologist referral if
				request.		they are familiar with the
				89.7% agreement		correct imaging technique to
						request and can ensure
31	If yes, should they order	a CT scan prior to				adequate quality.
	pulmonologist referral?					94.4% agreement
	1. Yes	96.8%				
	2. No	3.2%				

	Survey 1 N Question/statement			Survey 2	2	Survey 3
N			N	Question/s	tatement N	Question/statement
29	If yes, is it clear what type of CT scan a PCP		37	Do PCPs need clearer	guidance on ordering	
	should be ordering?			an HRCT scan for patie	nts with suspected	
				ILD?		
	1. Yes	72.4%		1. Yes	100%	
	2. No	17.6%		2. No	0%	
29	As a pulmonologist, would you p	orefer to				
	have the HRCT in advance of a patient					
	referral, or would you prefer to o	order it				
	yourself?					
	1. In advance	70.0%				
	2. Order own HRCT	30.0%				
40	If a patient with chronic cough a	nd/or	39	How long should a patie	ent with chronic 36	A different course of action
	dyspnoea with normal FVC and	chest X-ray		cough and/or dyspnoea	with normal FVC	should be considered after 1–3
	does not respond to prescribed	treatment or		and chest X-ray, not res	sponding to	months in patients with chronic

	Survey 1			Survey 2			Survey 3	
N	Question/statem	ent	N	Question/statem	nent	N	Question/statement	
	management, how long shoul	management, how long should a PCP		prescribed treatment or mana	agement be		cough and/or dyspnoea with	
	observe them before considering a different			observed in primary care bef		normal FVC and chest X-ray		
	course of action?			a different course of action?			who do not respond to	
	1. 0–3 months	58.3%		1. 1 month	10.3%		prescribed treatment or	
	2. 3–6 months	39.6%		2. 2 months	7.7%		management in primary care,	
	3. 6–9 months	2.1%		3. 3 months	76.9%		and a pulmonology referral	
	4. 9–12 months	0%		4. 4 months	0%		should be considered.	
	5. >12 months	0%		5. 5 months	0%		97.2% agreement	
				6. 6 months	5.1%			
				7. >6 months	0%			

- 48 If a patient with chronic cough and/or
 dyspnoea with normal FVC and chest X-ray
 does not respond to prescribed treatment or
- 40 If a patient with chronic cough and/or dyspnoea with normal FVC and chest X-ray does not respond to prescribed treatment or

	Survey 1			Survey 2			Survey 3
N	Question/statement	N		Question/s	statement	N	Question/statement
-	management, should a PCP conside	r	ma	nagement in primar	y care, pulmonology		
	referral to a pulmonologist?		ref	erral should always	be considered?		
	1. Yes	97.9%		95.0% agreem	ent		
	2. No	2.1%					
44	Should patients with a history of RA a	and no 3	l Sh	ould asymptomatic	patients with a history	35	Further research is needed to
	respiratory symptoms or crackles on lung auscultation be screened for ILD? Screening			RA be screened for	ILD with at least		determine which patients with
				Ts?			RA would benefit from HRCT
	can include PFTs and/or CT imaging						screening.
	1. Yes	65.9%		1. Yes	77.4%		94.3% agreement
	2. No	34.1%		2. No	22.6%		
30	If yes, which test(s) would you recom	nmend? 33	3 Wo	uld you consider H	RCT screening for		
	1. Only spirometry,* no HRCT	3.3%	ILI	in asymptomatic p	atients with a history		
	2. Full PFTs,† no HRCT	43.3%	of	RA if limited to 1 per	year?		
	3. HRCT alone	0%		1. Yes	60.6%		

	Survey 1		Survey 2			Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N C	Question/statement		Question/statement
	4. Only spirometry* plus HRCT	3.3%	2. No	39.4	4%	
	5. Full PFTs [†] plus HRCT	50.0%				
44	Should patients with a history of SSc	and no 3	8 Patients with	a history of SSc and no		
	respiratory symptoms or crackles on	lung	respiratory s	ymptoms or crackles on lung		
	auscultation be screened for ILD? So	creening	auscultation	should be screened for ILD		
	can include PFTs and/or CT imaging	.	using at leas	t full PFTs. [†]		
	1. Yes	93.2%	94.29	% agreement		
	2. No	6.8%				
41	If yes, which test(s) would you recom	nmend?				
	1. Only spirometry,* no HRCT	2.4%				
	2. Full PFTs,† no HRCT	43.9%				
	3. HRCT alone	0%				
	4. Only spirometry* plus HRCT	0%				
	5. Full PFTs [†] plus HRCT	53.7%				

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3		
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement		Question/statement		
41	Should patients with a family history	of 3	34	Patients with a family history of familial PF	35	Irrespective of respiratory		
	familial PF and no respiratory sympto	oms or		and no respiratory symptoms or crackles on		symptoms, patients aged 50		
	crackles on lung auscultation be scre	ened		lung auscultation should be screened for		years or older with a family		
	for ILD? Screening can include PFTs	and/or		ILD using at least full PFTs. [†]		history of familial PF should be		
	CT imaging.			85.3% agreement		screened for ILD using at least		
	1. Yes	70.7%				full PFTs.†		
	2. No	29.3%				83.9% agreement		
29	If yes, which test(s) would you recom	mend?			32	Irrespective of respiratory		
	1. Only spirometry,* no HRCT	6.9%				symptoms, patients with RA,		
	2. Full PFTs,† no HRCT	55.2%				SSc, or a family history of		
	3. HRCT alone	0%				familial PF should be screened		
	4. Only spirometry* plus HRCT	0%				for ILD using at least full		
	5. Full PFTs [†] plus HRCT	37.9%				PFTs.†		
						68.8% agreement		

	Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	N Question/statement N			Question/statement			Question/statement
39	Should patients with one first-degree	relative 36	ls fur	ther guidance need	led on whether	36	Further research and guidance
	with PF/IIP in the family and no respi	ratory	patie	nts with one first-de	egree relative with		are needed to determine
	symptoms or crackles on lung auscu	Itation	PF/II	P in the family and	no respiratory		whether patients with one first-
	be screened for ILD? Screening can	include	symp	otoms or crackles o	n lung auscultation		degree relative with PF/IIP in
	PFTs and/or CT imaging.		shou	should be screened for ILD?			the family and no respiratory
	1. Yes	61.5%	1	. Yes	86.1%		symptoms or crackles on lung
	2. No	38.5%	2	. No	13.9%		auscultation should be
							screened for ILD.
							97.2% agreement
15	15 If yes, which test(s) would you recommend? 32		Whic	Which patient groups should be screened 36 with HRCT in addition to PFTs?			Patients with SSc should be
			with				screened for ILD using HRCT
	1. Only spirometry,* no HRCT	13.3%	1	. Patients with RA	43.8%		in addition to PFTs.
	2. Full PFTs,† no HRCT	60.0%	2	. Patients with SS	c 87.5%		72.7% agreement

	Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement
	3. HRCT alone	0%	;	3. Patients with a family	78.1%	36	Patients with a family history of
	4. Only spirometry* plus HRC	T 0%		history of familial PF			familial PF should be screened
	5. Full PFTs† plus HRCT	26.7%		4. Patients with one first-	37.5%		for ILD using HRCT in addition
				degree relative with PF/IIP			to PFTs.
				in the family			69.7% agreement
38	How often should an asymptomatic	patient 3	33 Hov	often should an asymptomation	patient	33	Patients with a CTD should be
	with a CTD be screened for ILD?		with a CTD be screened for ILD?				screened for ILD at baseline
	1. 0–3 months	0%		1. < Every 9 months 3.0%			and then every 12–18 months
	2. 3–6 months	5.3%	:	2. Every 9–10 months	0%		depending on the underlying
	3. 6–9 months	7.9%	;	3. Every 11–12 months	27.3%		disease.
	4. 9–12 months	36.8%		4. Every 12–13 months	48.5%		90.9% agreement
	5. >12 months	50.0%	;	5. Every 13–14 months	0%		
				6. Every 15–16 months	3.0%		
				7. > Every 16 months	18.2%		

^{*}No measurement of lung volumes or diffusion capacity.

- [†]Spirometry plus measurement of lung volumes and diffusion capacity.
- 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; CT, computed tomography; CTD, connective tissue disease; FVC, forced vital capacity; GERD, gastroesophageal
- reflux disease; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography; IIP, idiopathic interstitial pneumonias; ILD, interstitial lung disease; PCP, primary
- care physician; PF, pulmonary fibrosis; PFT, pulmonary function test; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SSc, systemic sclerosis.

Survey 1				Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
38	From a pulmonologist's p	erspective, should	33	In patients with suspected ILD,		
	questionnaires be used to	o obtain a detailed		questionnaires should be used to obtain a		
	medical history of a patie	nt with suspected		detailed medical history.		
	ILD?			97.0% agreement		
	1. Yes	94.7%				
	2. No	5.3%				
32	If yes, should ILD-specific	questionnaires be	32	When a specific ILD diagnosis is being		
	used when a specific ILD	diagnosis is being		considered, ILD-specific patient		
	considered?			questionnaires should be used where		
	1. Yes	87.5%		possible.		
	2. No	12.5%		93.8% agreement		

	Survey 1		Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	
	clinical features would prompt you	to order	For patients with a non-productive cough			
	an HRCT scan in a patient with a n	on-	and dyspnoea, an HRCT scan should be			
	productive cough and dyspnoea.		ordered if not already available following			
	1. Chest pain	7.1%	referral to a pulmonologist if they have any			
	2. Chest tightness	9.5%	one of the following: auscultatory crackles,			
	3. Wheezing	9.5%	clubbing of fingernails, oxygen desaturation			
	4. Auscultatory crackles	97.6%	with ambulation, bibasilar abnormalities on			
	5. Clubbing of fingernails	92.9%	chest X-ray, abnormal FVC, abnormal			
	6. Oxygen desaturation with	92.9%	DLco, or confirmed CTD.			
	ambulation		100% agreement			
	7. No obstruction on	33.3%				
	spirometry					
	8. Bibasilar abnormalities on	92.9%				
	chest X-ray					
	9. Abnormal FVC	92.9%				

	Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	
	10. Abnormal DLco	92.9%					
	11. Confirmed CTD	90.5%					
42	What should a pulmonologist inclu	ude in the	32	A pulmonologist should include medical			
	workup of a patient with suspecte	d ILD?		history, physical examination, PFTs,			
	Medical history	100%		serology, 6MWT, and HRCT in the workup			
	2. Physical examination	100%		of a patient with suspected ILD.			
	3. PFTs	100%		96.9% agreement			
	4. Serology	95.2%					
	5. 6MWT	83.3%					
	6. HRCT	100%					
	7. Other	4.8%					
42	42 What should the radiologist be told when 3		33	When sending an HRCT requisition for a	31	When sending an HRCT	
	they receive an HRCT requisition	for a		patient with suspected ILD to radiology, how		requisition for a patient with	
	patient with suspected ILD?					suspected ILD to radiology, it is	

		Survey 1			Survey 2			Survey 3
N		Question/statement	ı	N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement
				import	ant is it to explain each of the			important to specify whether
				follow	ing?*			expiratory, inspiratory, or both
	1.	Reasons for ordering HRCT	100%	1.	It needs to be presented in	3 (1)		views are needed; whether
	2.	It needs to be presented in	66.7%		thin sections			prone, supine, or both images
		thin sections		2.	Whether expiratory,	3 (1)		are needed; and that it needs
	3.	Whether expiratory or	61.9%		inspiratory, or both views			to be presented in thin
		inspiratory			are needed			sections.
	4.	Request no contrast image	47.6%	3.	Whether prone, supine, or	2 (1)		87.1% agreement
	5.	Other	21.4%		both images are needed			
42	Which findings on HRCT scans are most valuable to a pulmonologist		How in	mportant are each of the				
			follow	following HRCT findings to a				
	when o	considering ILD?		pulmo	pulmonologist when considering			
				ILD?*				

1.	Pattern as defined by	88.1%	1.	Pattern as defined by	-2 (3)
	ATS/Fleischner Society			ATS/Fleischner Society	
2.	The radiologist's overall	54.8%	2.	Presence/absence of	-2 (1)
	impression			honeycombing	
3.	Differential diagnosis	54.8%	3.	Presence/absence of	-1 (0)
4.	Size of pulmonary artery	40.5%		ground-glass opacities	
5.	Presence/absence of hiatal	45.2%	4.	Presence/absence of	0 (2)
	hernia			reticulation	
6.	Presence/absence of	100%	5.	Presence/absence of	1 (1)
	honeycombing			bronchiectasis	
7.	Presence/absence of	97.6%	6.	Presence/absence of	2 (1)
	ground glass opacities			emphysema	
8.	Presence/absence of	90.5%	7.	Presence/absence of cystic	2 (2)
	reticulation			changes and size,	
9.	Presence/absence of	83.3%		characteristics	
	bronchiectasis				

10. Presence/absence of	61.9%
adenopathy	
11. Presence/absence of	64.3%
nodules or masses	
12. Presence/absence of	73.8%
emphysema	
13. Presence/absence of cystic	71.4%
changes and size,	
characteristics	
14. Presence/absence of pleural	52.4%
disease	
15. Distribution of findings	88.1%
16. Quantification of disease	61.9%
presence	
17. Other	2.4%

	Survey 1			Survey 1 Survey 2				
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement		
41	Where possible, radiologists should	2 (1)	33	Where possible, radiologists should 2 (1)				
	use a standardized template for			use a standardized template for				
	reporting HRCT results in ILD.*			reporting HRCT results in ILD.*				
42	From a pulmonologist's perspective,	what	33	The most important elements to include in				
	would you like to be included in an H	RCT		an HRCT report are the description of				
	report from the radiologist?			features and the probable diagnosis.				
	1. Description of features	97.6%		97.0% agreement				
	2. Probable diagnosis	81.0%						
	3. Recommendations for	28.6%						
	pulmonology referral							
	4. Other	2.4%						
42	From a pulmonologist's perspective,	in what	33	MDDs should take place during the				
	circumstance would you recommend	an						
	MDD for the diagnosis of ILD?							

		Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3			
N	Question/statement			N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	
	1.	Whenever ILD is suspected	33.3%		diagnosis of ILD if there is at leas	t			
	2.	If there is diagnostic	88.1%		diagnostic uncertainty or if any lur	ng biopsy			
		uncertainty			is being considered.				
	3.	Once ILD is confirmed to	33.3%		93.9% agreement				
		obtain a differential		07	For what reasons is an MDD not i		20	An MDD many mat has required	
		diagnosis		27		neeaea II	30	An MDD may not be required	
	4.	If CTD is suspected	35.7%		ILD is suspected?			for cases of suspected ILD if	
	5.	If CTD is confirmed	31.0%		1. Limited access to MDT	70.4%		there is no diagnostic	
	6.	If considering any lung	83.3%		2. It is too expensive	7.4%		uncertainty.	
		biopsy			3. It takes too long	33.3%		86.7% agreement	
	7.	Following any lung biopsy	64.3%		4. Other	44.4%			
	8.	Other	2.4%						
42	Do you	u (as a pulmonologist) have a	local	42	Local ILD MDTs should consist of	f at least a	32	Local ILD MDTs should consist	
	MDT a	vailable to facilitate ILD diagn	osis?		pulmonologist, ideally a			of at least a pulmonologist,	
	1.	Yes	61.9%					ideally a thoracic radiologist, a	

	Survey 1		Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement	N	N Question/statement		Question/statement
	2. No	38.1%	thoracic radiologist, and pathologist with		pathologist with expertise in
26	If yes, who is part of your MDT?		expertise in ILD.		ILD, and a rheumatologist
	General pulmonologist(s)	61.5%	97.0% agreement		when CTD is suspected.
	2. ILD pulmonologist(s)	88.5%			100% agreement
	3. General radiologist(s)	11.5%			
	4. Thoracic radiologist(s)	92.3%			
	5. General pathologist(s)	23.1%			
	6. Thoracic pathologist(s)	76.9%			
	7. Rheumatologist(s)	61.5%			
	8. Clinical nurse specialist(s)	42.3%			
	9. Cardiothoracic surgeon(s)	15.4%			
	10. Other	3.9%			
26	How often do you meet with your MI	OT to			
	discuss patients with suspected ILD	?			

		Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N		Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
	1.	More than once per week	0%				
	2.	Once per week	23.1%				
	3.	Twice per month	38.5%				
	4.	Once per month	30.8%				
	5.	Less than once per month	7.7%				
40	From	a pulmonologist's perspective,	what	33	MDDs are most effective when all		
	MDD (or MDT structure do you prefe	r?		disciplines are involved (face to face or		
	1.	Meeting in a virtual setting	45.0%		virtual).		
	2.	Meeting face to face	62.5%		100% agreement		
	3.	Separate pulmonologist-	10.0%				
		driven discussions with each					
		discipline					
	4.	Discussion with all	87.5%				
		disciplines together					

N 3 (1)	Question/statement
3 (1)	
3 (1)	
3 (1)	
3 (1)	
e, at 32	Serologic panel testing should
ogic	always be ordered as part of
	the workup for ILD and should
9.4%	be used to facilitate a
	differential diagnosis.
21.9%	96.9% agreement
46.9%	
	e, at 32 ogic 9.4% 21.9%

	Survey 1			Survey 2			Survey 3
N	Question/stat	ement N		Question/statement		N	Question/statement
			4.	If ILD is diagnosed and a	21.9%		
				differential diagnosis has			
				been confirmed			
			5.	I would not order a	0%		
				serologic panel test			
42	In a patient with non-speci	fic ILD symptoms, 33	In a pa	atient with non-specific ILD sy	ymptoms,	31	In patients with non-specific
	which serologies should a	pulmonologist	which serologies are essential to order?				ILD symptoms, the most
	order?						important serologies to order
	1. ANA	100%	1.	ANA	100%		are: ANA, CCP, RF, SSA, and
	2. CCP	95.2%	2.	CCP	97.0%		Scl 70.
	3. RF	97.6%	3.	RF	87.9%		80.7% agreement
	4. dsDNA	61.9%	4.	dsDNA	36.4%		
	5. SSA	81.0%	5.	SSA	78.8%		
	6. SSB	78.6%	6.	SSB	69.7%		
	7. Scl 70	83.3%	7.	Scl 70	87.9%		

	Survey 1		Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
	8. ANCA (MPO/PR3)	66.7%	8. ANCA (MPO/PR3)	66.7%	
	9. RNP	66.7%	9. RNP	51.5%	
	10. Myositis-related antibodies	73.8%	10. Myositis-related antibodies	63.6%	
	11. Other	4.8%			

^{*}For statements assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, the data are median (IQR). The scale was from –3 (strongly disagree/not at all important) to

- 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; ANA, antinuclear antibodies; ANCA, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies; CCP, cyclic citrullinated peptide; CTD,
- connective tissue disease; DLco, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; FVC, forced vital capacity;
- HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IQR, interquartile range; MDD, multidisciplinary discussion; MDT,
- 57 multidisciplinary team; MPO, myeloperoxidase; PFT, pulmonary function test; PR3, proteinase-3; RF, rheumatoid factor; RNP,
- ribonucleoprotein; SSA, Sjögren's-syndrome-related antigen A; SSB, Sjögren's-syndrome-related antigen B.

^{+3 (}strongly agree/extremely important).

Supplementary Results Table S6. Community management: evolution of statements from Survey 1 to 3

	Survey 1		Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	Questions/statement	N	N Questions/statement			Questions/statement
42	As a pulmonologist, how often do you follow	31	1 How often should a pulmonologist follow up		32	Pulmonologists should follow
	up with your ILD patients?		with their ILD patients?			up with their ILD patients every
	[Free text question]		1. Every 3 months	67.7%		3–6 months, depending on the
			2. Every 4 months	16.1%		diagnosis and disease severity.
			3. Every 6 months	16.1%		100% agreement
			4. >6 months between follow-	0%		
			ups			
		33	Should the period between follow-ups			
			depend on the diagnosis and disease			
			severity?			
			1. Yes	93.4%		
			2. No	6.6%		

	Survey 1 N Questions/statement			Survey 2			Survey 3
N				Questions/statement		N	Questions/statement
42	As a pulmonologist, ho	w often do you	32	When following up with a patient wi	th ILD,	32	The decision to carry out PFTs
	perform PFTs when fol	lowing up with an		how often should PFTs be performed	ed?		when following up with a
	ILD patient?						patient with ILD depends on
	Every time	33.3%		Every time	12.5%		the specific diagnosis, disease
	2. Not every time	66.7%		2. Every 1–3 months	21.9%		severity, and treatment.
				3. Every 4–6 months	50.0%		93.8% agreement
				4. Every 7–9 months	0%		
				5. Every 10–12 months	15.6%		
			33	Should the frequency of PFTs depe	nd on		
				the diagnosis and disease severity?	1		
				1. Yes	90.9%		
				2. No	9.1%		

	Survey 1			Survey 1 Survey 2					Survey 3		
N	Questions/statement		N		Questions/statement		N	Questions/statement			
42	As a p	oulmonologist, how often do	you order	33	As a p	pulmonologist, how often do y	ou order	32	When following up with a		
	an HR	CT when following up with	an ILD		an HR	RCT when following up with ar	ILD		patient with ILD, HRCT scans		
	patien	t?			patien	t?			should be considered if clinical		
	1.	Every time	2.4%		1.	Every 6–12 months	6.1%		deterioration is observed.		
	2.	Not every time	97.6%		2.	Every year	15.2%		96.9% agreement		
					3.	Every year if on treatment	12.1%				
					4.	Every 2–3 years	21.2%				
					5.	If a clinical deterioration is	75.8%				
						observed					
42	What	should the pulmonologist e	valuate at	33	At follo	ow-up visits for patients with I	LD,				
	follow-	-up visits?			pulmo	nologists should evaluate dis	ease				
	1.	Disease progression	100%		progre	ession, physical function, sym	ptom				
	2.	Physical function	95.2%		severi	ty, quality of life, suitability for	clinical				
	3.	Symptom severity	100%		trials,	suitability for lung transplant,	and side				
	4. Disease stage 54.8%			effects	s of medication.						

	Survey 1		Survey 1 Survey 2				Survey 3
N		Questions/statement		N	Questions/statement	N	Questions/statement
	5.	Quality of life	92.9%		100% agreement		
	6.	Suitability for clinical trials	88.1%				
	7.	Suitability for lung transplant	97.6%				
	8.	Side effects of medication	97.6%				
	9.	Other	2.4%				
42	·	ulmonologist, what symptoms	•	33	Cough, dyspnoea, and fatigue should be	_	Cough, dyspnoea, fatigue, and
	regula	rly assess/monitor in patients v	vith		monitored regularly in patients with ILD.	the emotional well-being of	
	ILD?				100% agreement	patients should be monitored	
	1.	Cough	97.6%	32	Should the emotional well-being of patier	ts	regularly in patients with ILD.
	2.	Dyspnoea	100%		with ILD be monitored regularly?		
	3.	Fatigue	88.1%		1. Yes 100)%	
	4.	Depression	35.7%		2. No 0%		
	5.	Other	2.4%				

	Survey 1		Survey 2			Survey 3	
N	Questions/statement		N	Questions/statement	N	Questions/statement	
42	As a pulmonologist, which patients do	o you	33	Patients with any CTD-associated ILD			
	co-manage with rheumatologists?			should be co-managed by a rheumatologist			
	[Free text question]			and pulmonologist.			
				97.0% agreement			
40	From a pulmonologist's perspective,	which	32	Pulmonologists should monitor	32	Pulmonologists should monitor	
	assessments should you use to moni	tor for		for ILD progression using at		for ILD progression using at	
	ILD progression?			least full PFTs. [†]		least spirometry and	
	1. Only spirometry,* no HRCT	7.5%		84.4% agreement		measurement of diffusion	
	2. Full PFTs,† no HRCT	35.0%				capacity.	
	3. HRCT alone	0%				96.9% agreement	
	4. Spirometry* plus HRCT	7.5%				-	
	5. Full PFTs [†] plus HRCT	50.0%					

Survey 1				Survey 2		Survey 3		
N	Questions/statement		N	Questions/statement	N	Questions/statement		
42	Shared decision-making with ILD	3 (1)	33	Shared decision-making with ILD	3 (0)			
	patients is important when			patients is important when				
	prescribing treatment.‡			prescribing treatment.‡				
42	As a pulmonologist, which of the follo	owing	33	How important are each of the follow	ving 32	It is important to discuss		
	do you discuss with your patients wh	en		non-pharmacologic aspects in ILD p	atient	pulmonary rehabilitation; lung		
	considering non-pharmacologic ILD			management?‡	transplant and clinical trial			
	management?					opportunities; symptom		
	1. Pulmonary rehabilitation	100%		1. Pulmonary rehabilitation	3 (1)	management; advanced care		
	2. Support groups	69.1%		2. Palliative care	2 (0)	planning and goals of care;		
	3. Patient education materials	66.7%		3. Clinical trial opportunities	2 (1)	and palliative care when		
	4. Palliative care	71.4%		4. Lung transplant (if suitable)	3 (1)	managing patients with ILD.		
	5. Clinical trial opportunities	78.6%		5. Symptom management	3 (1)	100% agreement		
	6. Lung transplant (if suitable)	97.6%		6. Guidance on advanced	3 (1)			
	7. Symptom management	97.6%		care planning and goals of				
				care				

Supplemental material

history)

7. Other

3.1%

2. No

2.4%

- *No measurement of lung volumes or diffusion capacity.
- [†]Spirometry plus measurement of lung volumes and diffusion capacity.
- ‡For statements assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, the data are median (IQR). The scale was from –3 (strongly disagree/not at all important) to
- +3 (strongly agree/extremely important).
- 64 CAD, coronary artery disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CTD, connective tissue disease; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux
- disease; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IQR, interquartile range; PFT, pulmonary function test.

Supplementary Results Table S7. Specialist referral: evolution of statements from Survey 1 to 3

	Survey 1		Survey 2		Survey 3	
N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement	
42	How should a pulmonologist identify an	33	What are the most important factors	when 3	1 When selecting an ILD centre	
	academic centre/ILD specialist to refer a		selecting an academic centre/ILD sp	ecialist	for referral of a patient with	
	patient to?		to refer a patient to?*		ILD, a pulmonologist should	
	[Free text question]		Proximity to patient	2 (0)	consider whether they are part	
			2. If they are a lung transplant	2 (1)	of the PFF Care Center	
			centre		Network, have clinical trial	
			3. If they have clinical trial	2 (1)	opportunities, and if they are a	
			opportunities		lung transplant centre, as well	
			4. If they are a PFF ILD	2 (1)	as their reputation and	
			Center of Excellence		proximity to the patient.	
			5. If they have a good	2 (0)	96.8% agreement	
			reputation			
42	Which IPF patients should receive early	33	Patients with IPF should receive ear	ly		
	referral to an ILD centre?		referral to an ILD centre if they are y	oung at		

		Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N		Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
	1.	Familial IPF	69.1%		disease onset, transplant eligible, or have		
	2.	Early age at disease onset	83.3%		rapid disease progression.		
	3.	Rapid progression	85.7%		100% agreement		
	4.	Transplant eligible	100%		-		
	5.	Other	2.4%				
42	When should a patient be referred to an			33	Patients with ILD should be referred to an	32	Pulmonologists should
	acade	mic centre?			academic centre if diagnostic uncertainty		consider referral of a patient
	1.	If biopsy is being considered	40.5%		remains, there is treatment uncertainty, the		with ILD to a specialist ILD or
	2.	To confirm diagnosis	23.8%		patient requests referral, or the patient is a		PFF centre if there is
		already made			transplant or clinical trial candidate.		diagnostic or treatment
	3.	If diagnostic uncertainty	92.9%		97.0% agreement		uncertainty, the patient
		remains		31	Should a patient with ILD that has		requests referral, the patient is
	4.	If no access to MDD or MDT	52.4%	01	·		a transplant or clinical trial
			-		progressed despite treatment be referred to		
					an academic centre?		

	Survey 1		Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement	N	Question/sta	atement N	Question/statement
	5. If disease has progressed	71.4%	1. Yes	93.6%	candidate, or if there is disease
	despite treatment		2. No	6.4%	progression despite treatment.
	6. If there is treatment	88.1%			100% agreement
	uncertainty				
	7. If patient requests referral	88.1%			
	8. If patient is transplant	100%			
	candidate				
	9. If patient is clinical trial	90.5%			
	candidate				
	10. If patient has any ILD	14.3%			
	11. If patient has IPF	21.4%			
	12. If patient has familial IPF	52.4%			
	13. If patient has pulmonary	40.5%			
	hypertension as comorbidit	ty			
	14. Other	4.8%			

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statemen	t	N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
42	Should telehealth be made avail	able in ILD	_	Telehealth should be made available in ILD		
	centres to improve accessibility?	>		centres.		
	1. Yes	100%				
	2. No	0%				
38	When should referring physician	s share	_	Referring physicians should always share		
	patient medical records with an ILD centre?			all relevant patient medical records when		
	1. Always	100%		referring to an academic centre.		
	2. Only if it does not cause	0%				
	delays					
	3. Never	0%				
42	What should be included in the r	eferral	33	When referring a patient with ILD to an	32	When referring a patient with
	package when referring a patien	t with ILD		academic centre, the referral package		ILD to a specialist ILD or PFF
	to an academic centre?			should contain the PFT history, CT scan		centre, the referral package

		Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N		Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
	1.	PFT history	100%		images and reports, biopsy results,		should ideally contain the PFT
	2.	CT scan images	100%		pulmonary and rheumatology clinic notes,		history, CT scan images and
	3.	Biopsy results	97.6%		and reasons for referral.		reports, biopsy results,
	4.	Pulmonary and	100%		100% agreement		serologies (if available),
		rheumatology clinic notes					pulmonary and rheumatology
	5.	Reasons for referral	100%				clinic notes, and reasons for
	6.	Whether patient should be	71.4%				referral.
		returned to community care					100% agreement
		after consultation		30	When referring a patient with ILD to an	30	When referring a patient with
	7.	Other	7.1%		academic centre, should the referral		ILD to a specialist ILD or PFF
					package state whether the patient should be		centre, the referral package
					returned to community care after		should state whether the
					consultation?		patient should be returned to

	Survey 1		Survey 2		Survey 3		
N	Question/statement	N	Question/state	ement N	Question/statement		
			1. Yes	86.7%	community care after		
			2. No	13.3%	consultation.		
					90.0% agreement		
40	Who should be responsible for ensur	ing the 32	If there is a shared respon	sibility for 32	It should be the shared		
	referral package is shared with the IL	D	ensuring the referral packa	responsibility of the referring			
	centre on referral of an ILD patient?		the ILD centre on referral of	community physician, the			
			who is it shared between?		pulmonologist at the ILD		
	Referring community	30.0%	Referring communi	ity 43.8%	centre, and the patient to		
	physician		physician and acac	demic	ensure that the referral		
	2. Academic pulmonologist at	5.0%	pulmonologist at IL	.D centre	package is shared with the ILD		
	ILD centre		2. Referring communi	ity 12.5%	centre.		
	3. Patient	2.5%	physician and patie	ent	93.8% agreement		
	4. Shared responsibility	62.5%	3. Patient and acader	mic 6.3%			
			pulmonologist at IL	D centre			

	Survey 1			Survey 2	Survey 3		
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement
				4. Referring community	37.5%		
				physician, academic			
				pulmonologist at ILD centre,			
				and patient			
			29	The patient should not be responsib	le for	32	Sharing the referral package
				sharing the referral package with the	e ILD		with the ILD centre on referral
				centre on referral.			should not be the responsibility
				55.2% agreement			of the patient alone.
							93.8% agreement
42	Pulmonologists at ILD centres	3 (1)	33	Pulmonologists at ILD centres	3 (1)		
	should review all records before			should review all records before			

	Survey 1			Survey 2			Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement
	carrying out any further tests on			carrying out any further tests on			
	patients referred with ILD.*			patients referred with ILD.*			
42	What is a reasonable access time	to an ILD	33	What is a reasonable access time	e to an ILD	32	A reasonable access time to a
	centre upon referral?			centre upon referral?			specialist ILD or PFF centre
	[Free text question]			1. 1–3 weeks	6.1%		upon referral is 4–6 weeks.
				2. 4–6 weeks	78.8%		96.9% agreement
				3. 7–9 weeks	9.1%		
				4. 10-12 weeks	6.1%		
42	Which patients should receive price	ority	33	Patients who are potential transpl	lant		
	access to an ILD centre upon refe	rral?		candidates or have rapidly progre	essing		
	Potential transplant	92.9%		disease should be given priority a	access to		
	candidates			an ILD centre upon referral.			
	2. Clinical trial candidates	61.9%		100% agreement			

	Survey 1			Survey 2		Survey 3
N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	N	Question/statement
	3. Patients needing diagnostic	50.0%				
	procedures					
	4. Patients with rapid	92.9%				
	progression					
	5. Patients with familial PF	28.6%				
	6. Patients under age 65 years	47.6%				
	7. Patients under age 75 years	9.5%				
	8. Patients under age 85 years	2.4%				
42	ILD centres should share all	3 (0)	33	ILD centres should share all 3 (1)		
	relevant patient medical records			relevant patient medical records		
	when returning patients to			when returning patients to		
	community care.*			community care.*		
38	From a pulmonologist's perspective, what 31			Following referral to an ILD centre, patients		
	should be the default patient pathway			should be co-managed by a community		
	following ILD centre referral?			pulmonologist and ILD centre if possible.		

		Survey 1			Survey 2			Survey 3	
N		Question/statement		N	Question/statement		N	Question/statement	
	1.	Patients should be returned	10.5%		100% agreement				
		to community care							
	2.	Patients should remain at	5.3%						
		ILD centre							
	3.	Patients should be co-	84.2%						
		managed							
42	Which patients should remain in the care of			33	How important is it for each of the	following	31	Patients who are eligible for	
	an aca	idemic pulmonologist at an IL	D		patients to remain in the care of an			transplant, enrolled in clinical	
	centre	?			academic pulmonologist at an ILD centre?*			trials, have rapidly progressing	
	[Free t	ext question]			Transplant patients	3 (1)		or complex disease, or are	
					2. Clinical trial participants	3 (1)		receiving specialized treatment	
					3. Patients with rapidly	3 (1)		should remain in the care of a	
					progressing disease			pulmonologist at an ILD centre.	
					4. Patients with complex	2 (1)		100% agreement	
					disease				

Survey 1		Survey 2			Survey 3		
N	Question/statement	N		Question/statement		N	Question/statement
			5.	Patients receiving	2 (1)		
				specialized treatment			
			6.	Patients with multiple	2 (2)		
				comorbidities			

- ^{*}For statements assessed on a 7-point Likert scale, the data are median (IQR). The scale was from –3 (strongly disagree/not at all important) to
- +3 (strongly agree/extremely important).
- 69 CT, computed tomography; ILD, interstitial lung disease; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; IQR, interquartile range; MDD, multidisciplinary
- discussion; MDT, multidisciplinary team; PF, pulmonary fibrosis; PFF, Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation; PFT, pulmonary function test.