Supporting Information for

Original article

Discovery of novel covalent selective estrogen receptor degraders against endocrine-resistant breast cancer

Yubo Wang^{a,†}, Jian Min^{b,†}, Xiangping Deng^{a,†}, Tian Feng^{a,†}, Hebing Hu^b, Xinyi Guo^a, Yan Cheng^a, Baohua Xie^a, Yu Yang^b, Chun-Chi Chen^b, Rey-Ting Guo^{b,*}, Chune Dong^{a,c,*}, Hai-Bing Zhou^{a,c,d,*}

^aDepartment of Gynecological Oncology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China

^bState Key Laboratory of Biocatalysis and Enzyme Engineering, National & Local Joint Engineering Research Center of High-throughput Drug Screening Technology, Hubei Key Laboratory of Industrial Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China

^cFrontier Science Center for Immunology and Metabolism, State Key Laboratory of Virology, Provincial Key Laboratory of Developmentally Originated Disease, Key Laboratory of Combinatorial Biosynthesis and Drug Discovery (MOE) and Hubei Province Engineering and Technology Research Center for Fluorinated Pharmaceuticals, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China

^dWuhan Research Center for Infectious Diseases and Cancer, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, China Received 29 March 2023; received in revised form 3 May 2023; accepted 6 May 2023 *Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: zhouhb@whu.edu.cn (Hai-Bing Zhou), cdong@whu.edu.cn (Chune Dong), guoreyting@hubu.edu.cn (Rey-Ting Guo).

[†]These authors made equal contributions to this work.

Table of contents

PART I. The synthesis and characterization of intermediate compounds and NOESY-NMR of regioisomer 29c and 29c' PART II. The cell viability of the target compounds and the transcriptional curves of selected compounds for ERα antagonist activity PART III. LC/MS analysis of mutant ER and compound 29c covalent profile PART IV. ERα protein purification and crystallography PART V. Proteomics experiments PART VI. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compounds PART VII. HPLC and HMRS spectra of final compounds

PART I. The synthesis and characterization of intermediate compounds General Procedure for intermediate compounds 13a-f.

To a solution of 4-aminophenyl ethenesulfonate **11** (5 mmol) in 10 mL DCM were added Et₃N (6 mmol) and corresponding acyl chloride (5.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 mL) and extracted with DCM (3×30 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), after filtration, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether and ethyl acetate, 10:1~1:1).

4-Acrylamidophenyl ethenesulfonate (13a). Compound **13a** was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 80% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 9.62 (s, 1H), 8.02 -7.69 (m, 2H), 7.42 - 7.17 (m, 2H), 7.08 - 6.94 (m, 1H), 6.58 - 6.21 (m, 4H), 5.75 (dt, J = 9.7, 2.4 Hz, 1H).

(*E*)-4-(But-2-enamido)phenyl ethenesulfonate (13b). Compound 13b was synthesized according to above general procedure as white solid, 71% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 7.78 - 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.32 - 7.16 (m, 2H), 7.01 - 6.87 (m, 2H), 6.36 - 6.20 (m, 2H), 6.16 - 6.08 (m, 1H), 1.94 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 1.7 Hz, 3H).

4-(3-Methylbut-2-enamido)phenyl ethenesulfonate (13c). Compound **13c** was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 77% yield.¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.73 - 6.58 (m, 1H), 6.34 (d, *J* = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H).

4-Propiolamidophenyl ethenesulfonate (13d). Compound **13d** was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 72% yield.¹H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 7.86 - 7.69 (m, 2H), 7.41 - 7.20 (m, 2H), 7.07 - 6.98 (m, 1H), 6.43 - 6.24 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 1H).

4-(2-Chloroacetamido)phenyl ethenesulfonate (13e). Compound 13e was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 67% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 9.60 (s, 1H), 7.88 - 7.70 (m, 2H), 7.37 - 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.06 - 6.97 (m, 1H), 6.43 - 6.23 (m, 2H), 4.26 (s, 2H).

4-(2-Bromoacetamido)phenyl ethenesulfonate (13f). Compound **13f** was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 43% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone- d_6) δ 7.90 - 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.41 - 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.05 - 6.94 (m, 1H), 6.47 - 6.20 (m, 2H), 4.07 (s, 2H).

General Procedure for intermediate compounds 24a-e

To a solution of 4-(4-(4-aminophenyl)furan-3-yl)phenol **23** (5 mmol) in 10 mL DCM were added Et₃N (6 mmol) and corresponding acyl chloride (5.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 mL) and extracted with DCM (3×30 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), after filtration, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether and ethyl acetate, 10:1~5:1).

N-(4-(4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)furan-3-yl)phenyl)acrylamide (24a). Compound 24a was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 33% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.30 (s, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.93 - 7.71 (m, 4H), 7.30 - 7.22 (m, 2H), 7.20 - 7.14 (m, 2H), 7.01 - 6.94 (m, 2H), 6.20 - 6.12 (m, 1H), 5.82 - 5.70 (m, 1H).

(*E*)-*N*-(4-(4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)furan-3-yl)phenyl)but-2-enamide (24b). Compound 24b was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 42% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Acetone-*d*₆) δ 9.27 (s, 1H), 8.50 (s, 1H), 7.82 - 7.61 (m, 4H), 7.25 - 7.17 (m, 2H), 7.14 - 7.08 (m, 2H), 6.96 - 6.86 (m, 1H), 6.84 - 6.79 (m, 2H), 6.18 - 6.11 (m, 1H), 1.87 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 1.7 Hz, 3H).

N-(4-(4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)furan-3-yl)phenyl)-3-methylbut-2-enamide (24c). Compound 24c was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 45% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol- d_4) δ 7.65 - 7.42 (m, 4H), 7.23 - 7.12 (m, 2H), 7.10 - 7.00 (m, 2H), 6.77 - 6.64 (m, 2H), 5.92 - 5.82 (m, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.91 (s, 3H).

2-Chloro-*N*-(**4**-(**4**-(**4**-hydroxyphenyl)furan-**3**-yl)phenyl)acetamide(24d).Compound **24d** was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid,39% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.29 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.63 - 7.58 (m, 2H),

2-Bromo-*N***-(4-(4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)furan-3-yl)phenyl)acetamide** (24e). Compound **24e** was synthesized according to above general procedure as yellow solid, 53% yield. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.27 (s, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.60 - 7.55 (s, 2H), 7.52 - 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.32 - 7.19 (m, 4H), 7.11 - 7.05 (m, 2H), 4.04 (s, 2H).

The NOESY-NMR of regioisomer 29c and 29c'.



The peaks at δ 5.51 and δ 5.31 are the hydrogen atoms on the bridgehead carbons (H¹ and H²), it is evident that the H¹ interacts with the aniline moiety, and H² interacts with the phenol.



The peaks at δ 5.47 and δ 5.31 are the hydrogen atoms on the bridgehead carbons (H¹ and H²), it is evident that the H¹ interacts with the moiety, and H² interacts with the phenol.

Figure S1. The characterization of the regioisomers 29c and 29c'. (A) NOESY-NMR of compound 29c;(B) NOESY-NMR of compound 29c'.

PART II. The cell viability of the target compounds and the transcriptional curves of selected compounds for ERα antagonist activity

Table S1. The cell viability of the target compounds on MCF-10A cells $(IC_{50}, \mu M)^a$.



Entry	Cmpd.	\mathbf{R}^{1}	R ²	R ³	MCF-10A cells (IC50, μM)	$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{I}^{b}$
1	26a	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	/	/	19.97 ± 3.61	2.59
2	26b	N → N →	/	/	27.31 ± 2.94	2.97
3	26c	U H H	/	/	43.77 ± 2.85	13.85
4	26d	O N H	/	/	39.21 ± 2.67	21.91
5	26e	CI N H	/	/	15.31 ± 1.93	4.48
6	26f	Br N K	/	/	5.16 ± 0.88	7.37
7 ^c	28a	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	/	/	15.86 ± 2.20	3.13
8 ^c	28b	o N H	/	/	20.88 ± 1.82	47.45
9 ^c	28c	U N H	/	/	11.25 ± 0.15	32.14
10 ^c	28d	CI N H	/	/	9.02 ± 0.27	13.67
11 ^c	28e	Br N Zz	/	/	8.08 ± 0.22	7.35
12 ^c	28f	↓ ^O NH	4-OH	/	13.59 ± 0.35	14.61
13	29a	N H	4-OH	CF ₃	20.73 ± 0.88	24.69
14	29b	∧, ^O NH	4-OH	CF ₃	19.21 ± 3.89	53.36
15	29c	U N H	4-OH	CF ₃	8.16 ± 0.15	145.71
16 ^{<i>d</i>}	29c'	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	4-OH	CF ₃	38.97 ± 2.27	41.02
17	29d	N N N	4-Me	CF ₃	23.41 ± 1.89	31.21
18	29e	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	Н	CF ₃	>50	>75.75
19	29f	J O J	4-OMe	CF ₃	5.16 ± 0.15	54.89

20	29g	NH NH	4-F	CF ₃	>50	>78.12
21	29h	U N H	3-ОН	CF ₃	17.99 ± 1.48	18.36
22	29i	O N H	4-OH	CH ₃	8.72 ± 0.45	122.82
23	29j	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	4- OMe	CH ₃	20.11± 1.06	69.34
24	29k	↓ ↓ ↓ N ×	4-OH	CF ₃	21.16 ± 0.89	19.96
25	291	NH_2	4-OH	CF ₃	32.32 ± 0.66	10.20
26	29m	ОН	L°,3	CF ₃	29.20 ± 1.70	182.50
27 ^d	6a				21.38 ± 1.29	85.52
28	4-OHT				21.50 ± 2.74	32.09
29	Ful				>50	>357.1

^{*a*}The data are expressed as mean \pm SD of at least three independent determinations; ^{*b*}TI is therapeutic index, TI = IC₅₀^{MCF-10A} /IC₅₀^{MCF-7}. ^{*c*}Series II compounds were mixtures of regioisomers. ^{*d*}Compound **29c'** was regioisomer of **29c**. ^{*d*}**6a** was a derivative of OBHSA, X = NCH₂CF₃, R = 4-OH.



Figure S2. The transcriptional curves of selected compounds for $ER\alpha$ Antagonist activity.



Figure S3. Cell lines (MCF-7, LCC-2, T-47D, T-47D^{Y537S}, T-47D^{D538G}) undergoing apoptosis were detected by flow cytometry. Cells were treated with or without 5 μ M **29c** in multi-well X6 culture plates for 48 h, and 5 μ M fulvestrant severed as positive control.



Figure S4. (A) Immunoblot analysis of ERα protein treated with **29c** at 0.5, 1, and 5 μ M and time course of ERα degradation in (A) MCF-7cells, (B) LCC-2 cells, (C) T-47D^{D538G} cells and (D) T-47D^{Y537S} cells. (D) Immunoblot analysis of ERα protein treated with gradient concentrations Ful or **29c** in the indicated BC cell lines. DC₅₀ and *D*_{max} values were quantified from two independent experiments. All Immunoblot was treated with β-actin as the loading control.



Figure S5. Statistical IHC analysis of Ki-67 and ER α in dissected tumor tissues of each treatment group. *****P* value <0.0001, ****P* value <0.001, ***P* value <0.01 and **P* value <0.05. ns = not statistically significant.

PART III. LC/MS analysis of mutant ER and compound 29c covalent profile





Figure S6. (A) ER α mutant sequence alignment highlighting position (shown in red) and (B, C) LC-MS analysis of **29c** covalent targeting ER α ^{Y537S} and ER α ^{Y537S C381S C417S}.

PART IV. ERa protein purification and crystallography

Protein Expression and Purification

Escherichia coli codon-optimized genes encoding the LBDs of the receptors, His-TEV-ER α -Y537S (305-554), and His-TEV-ER α C381S-C417S-Y537S (305-554) were synthesized and cloned into pET46 EK/LIC (Genecreate). Proteins were expressed in BL21 (DE3) Escherichia coli overnight at 16 °C after induction with 0.2 mM IPTG at an OD600 of ~0.8. Soluble protein was purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography using a Ni²⁺ column twice followed by dialysis. For mass spectrometry and crystallography, the His-tag was removed by TEV protease digest.⁴⁵

Protein sequences of ERa LBD Y537S (305-554)

SLALSLTADQMVSALLDAEPPILYSEYDPTRPFSEASMMGLLTNLADRELVHMI NWAKRVPGFVDLTLHDQVHLLECAWLEILMIGLVWRSMEHPGKLLFAPNLLL DRNQGKCVEGMVEIFDMLLATSSRFRMMNLQGEEFVCLKSIILLNSGVYTFLS STLKSLEEKDHIHRVLDKITDTLIHLMAKAGLTLQQQHQRLAQLLLILSHIRHM SNKGMEHLYSMKCKNVVPLSDLLLEMLDAHRLHAPTS



Protein sequences of ERα LBD Y537S C381S C417S (305-554) SLALSLTADQMVSALLDAEPPILYSEYDPTRPFSEASMMGLLTNLADRELVHMI NWAKRVPGFVDLTLHDQVHLLESAWLEILMIGLVWRSMEHPGKLLFAPNLLL DRNQGKSVEGMVEIFDMLLATSSRFRMMNLQGEEFVCLKSIILLNSGVYTFLS STLKSLEEKDHIHRVLDKITDTLIHLMAKAGLTLQQQHQRLAQLLLILSHIRHM SNKGMEHLYSMKCKNVVPLSDLLLEMLDAHRLHAPTS



Macromolecular X-ray Crystallography

The ER α C381S-C417S-Y537S LBD was co-crystallized with compound **29c** through sitting drop vapor diffusion method using trial gradients of 16 to 18% (weight/ volume) PEG 3350, 0.25 M Ammonium sulfate, and 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5. The X-ray diffraction datasets were collected on the in-house Bruker D8 Venture coupled with a CMOS-PHOTON II detector in Hubei university. Proteum 3 (Bruker AXS GmbH) was used to process the X-ray diffraction datasets. The structures were solved by molecular replacement of the starting model, Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 5DI7, and then rebuilt and refined using the Refmac5¹ and COOT². Prior to structure refinement, 5%

randomly selected reflections were set aside for calculating R_{free} as a monitor of model quality. All graphics for the protein structures were prepared by using the PyMOL program (http://pymol.sourceforge.net/). The PDB identification code for ER complex with compound **29c** is 7YMK.



Figure S7. Structure of the ER LBD with 29c shows that H12 could not be modeled in two of four subunits due to poor electron density. The A chain of H3 (yellow) is shown with the B chain superimposed (gray) to show the expected location of H12, which was not modeled. The 2Fo-Fc electron density map is contoured at 1.0 σ .

Table S2. Data collection and refinement statistics for crystal structures

	ERa LBD C381S C417S		
	Y537S / 29c		
PDB code	7YMK		
Data collection			
Wavelength	1.34138		
Space group	C2221		
Unit cell			
a, b, c (Å)	52.4, 101.4, 195.8		
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90		

Resolution (Å) ^a	33.76-2.25	
	(2.28-2.25)	
No. of observed reflections	25394 (1020)	
Redundancy	10.1 (6.4)	
Completeness (%)	99.8 (98.7)	
Average I/σ (I)	12.2 (2.2)	
$R_{\rm merge}$ (%) ^b	8.7 (51.0)	
Refinement ^c		
$R_{ m work}$ (%)	19.4	
R_{free} (%)	25.3	
r.m.s.d. bonds (Å) ^d	0.009	
r.m.s.d. angles (°)	1.58	
Ramachandran statistics ^e		
Most favored (%)	98.7	
Allowed (%)	1.3	
Outliers (%)	0	
Average B-factor (Å ²) /atoms		
Protein	47.7/3757	
Water	44.0/153	
Ligand	60.9/55	

^a Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

^b $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle | \sum_{hkl} \sum_{i} I_i(hkl), \text{ in which the sum is over all the } i \text{ measured}$ reflections with equivalent miller indices hkl; $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$ is the averaged intensity of these i reflections, and the grand sum is over all measured reflections in the data set. ^c All positive reflections were used in the refinement.

^d According to Engh and Huber ³.

^e Calculated by using MolProbity ⁴.

PART V. Proteomics experiments

Tandem Mass Tag (TMT)-based quantitative proteomic approach was applied to measure the protein fold changes after 12 hours of treatment with 5 μ M **29c** or DMSO in MCF-7 cells. A total of 2 μ g of simple peptides were separated and analyzed using a nano-UPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The separation was achieved using a reversedphase column (100 μ m ID × 15 cm, Reprosil-Pur 120 C18-AQ, 1.9 μ m, Dr. Maisch). Mobile phases consisted of H₂O with 0.1% FA, 2% ACN (phase A) and 80% ACN, 0.1% FA (phase B). The sample separation was performed with a 90-minute gradient at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Mass spectrometry files were analyzed using Proteome Discoverer software (Version 2.4.0.305) with the built-in Sequest HT search engine. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 0.01 for both peptide-spectrum matches (PSMs).



Figure S8. Tandem Mass Tag (TMT)-based quantitative proteomic approach was applied to measure the protein fold changes. (A) Proteomic analysis was performed to compare the protein level change between **29c** treated group and the control group. (B) Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) metabolic pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed proteins. The color of the circle indicates the size of the p-value, with deeper red shades being correlated with smaller p-values. (C) Significant regulation of protein-involved interactions.

References

- Emsley, P.; Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta* Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 2004;60:2126-2132.
- Murshudov, G. N.; Vagin, A. A.; Dodson, E. J. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 1997;53:240-255.
- 3. Engh, R. A.; Huber, R. Accurate bond and angle parameters for X-ray protein structure refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. Section A*. 1991;47:392-400.
- Chen, V. B.; Arendall, W. B., III; Headd, J. J.; Keedy, D. A.; Immormino, R. M.; Kapral, G. J.; Murray, L. W.; Richardson, J. S.; Richardson, D. C. MolProbity: allatom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. Section D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 2010;66:12-21.



PART VI. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of final compounds

9.41 1.77 1.72









220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 f1 (ppm)





7.08 7.02





220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 f1 (ppa)







C 9.56














































PART VII. HPLC and HMRS spectra of final compounds

All the HPLC were conducted on Shimadzu LabSolutions LC and all the results were obtained under the condition of UV 254 nm.

Crund	Damara Dhaaa	Ret.	Purity	Crund	Damara Dhaaa	Ret.	Purity
Cilipa.	Reverse Filase	Time	%	Cmpa.	i. Reverse Fliase	Time	%
26a	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.91	99.21	29b	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.86	99.94
26b	90:10 MeOH: H2O	5.45	96.68	29c	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.66	99.91
26c	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.61	99.56	29c'	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.59	100
26d	90:10 MeOH: H ₂ O	4.13	98.71	29d	90:10 MeOH: H2O	5.29	99.89
26e	90:10 MeOH: H ₂ O	4.63	99.80	29e	90:10 MeOH: H2O	5.03	98.64
26f	90:10 MeOH: H ₂ O	4.66	100	29f	90:10 MeOH: H2O	5.03	99.73
28a	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	11.91	98.01	29g	90:10 MeOH: H2O	5.13	98.09
28b	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	9.00	99.15	29h	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.64	99.88
28c	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	11.27	99.44	29i	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.71	99.56
28d	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	13.84	100	29j	90:10 MeOH: H2O	5.22	100
28e	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	15.76	95.32	29k	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.73	99.87
28f	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	11.70	99.28	291	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.59	98.96
29a	80:20 MeOH: H ₂ O	5.13	99.87	29m	90:10 MeOH: H2O	4.99	98.42

Table S3. Ascertainment of purity by HPLC.



HPLC chromatogram of **26a.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{23}NO_7S\ [M+Na]^+$ 528.1087, found 528.1087.



HPLC chromatogram of **26b.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



3	5.453	61998968	96.676
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{28}H_{25}NO_7S [M + Na]^+ 542.1244$, found 542.1246.



HPLC chromatogram of **26c.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



3	3.715	234892	0.235
4	4.614	99640073	99.564
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{29}H_{27}NO_7S$ [M + Na]⁺ 556.1400, found 556.1399.



HPLC chromatogram of **26d.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



1	2.858	256624	1.068
2	3.366	53802	0.224
3	4.136	23727744	98.709
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{21}NO_7S$ [M + Na]⁺ 526.0931, found 526.0931.



HPLC chromatogram of **26e.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



NO.	Retention Time	Area	Percent
1	2.872	25408	0.196
2	4.633	12963539	99.804
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{26}H_{22}CINO_7S [M + Na]^+ 550.0698$, found 550.0698.



HPLC chromatogram of **26f.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



NO.	Retention Time	Area	Percent
1	4.664	10376805	100.000
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{26}H_{22}BrNO_7S [M + Na]^+ 594.1573$, found 594.1578.



HPLC chromatogram of **28a.** Reverse Phase (Method 80:20 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 1.0 mL/min).



NO.	Retention Time	Area	Percent
1	10.220	178559	0.716
2	11.905	24447747	98.077
3	20.479	300792	1.207
Total			100.000







HPLC chromatogram of **28b.** Reverse Phase (Method 80:20 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 1.0 mL/min).

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{28}H_{25}NO_6S [M + Na]^+$ 526.1294, found 526.1296.





HPLC chromatogram of **28c.** Reverse Phase (Method 80:20 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 1.0 mL/min).

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{29}H_{27}NO_6S [M + Na]^+ 540.1451$, found 540.1452.



HPLC chromatogram of **28d.** Reverse Phase (Method 80:20 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 1.0 mL/min).



HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{26}H_{22}CINO_6S [M + H]^+ 512.0923$, found 512.0926.



HPLC chromatogram of **28e.** Reverse Phase (Method 80:20 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 1.0 mL/min).











NO.	Retention Time	Area	Percent
1	7.831	153399	0.151
2	11.701	101000162	99.286
3	15.745	573141	0.563

100.000



HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{29}H_{27}NO_7S$ $[M + Na]^+$ 556.1400, found 556.1399.







100.000



Total



HPLC chromatogram of **29b.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



2	4.856	27366362	99.944
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{30}H_{27}F_3N_2O_6S$ [M + Na]⁺ 623.1431, found 623.1434.



HPLC chromatogram of **29c.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



1	2.955	97216	0.085
2	4.664	114591504	99.915
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{29}F_3N_2O_6S$ [M + Na]⁺ 637.1590, found 637.1592.



HPLC chromatogram of **29c'.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



NO.	Retention Time	Area	Percent
1	4.593	37073514	100.000
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{29}F_3N_2O_6S [M + H]^+ 615.1771$, found 615.1765.



HPLC chromatogram of **29d.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{29}F_3N_2O_6S [M + H]^+ 613.1978$, found 613.1970.



HPLC chromatogram of **29e.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{29}F_3N_2O_5S [M + H]^+ 599.1822$, found 599.1818.



HPLC chromatogram of 29f. Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate

0.7 mL/min).



NO.	Retention Time	Area	Percent
1	2.836	48419	0.093
2	4.102	93117	0.179
3	5.031	51943923	99.728
Total			100.000







HPLC chromatogram of **29g.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{28}F_4N_2O_5S \ [M + H]^+ \ 617.1727, \ found \ 617.1719.$



HPLC chromatogram of **29h.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{29}F_3N_2O_6S [M + Na]^+ 637.1590$, found 637.1594.

Total

100.000



HPLC chromatogram of **29i.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



 1
 3.427
 132319
 0.441

 2
 4.706
 29880432
 99.559

 Total
 100.000



HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{32}N_2O_6S [M + Na]^+ 583.1873$, found 583.1876.







HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{32}H_{34}N_2O_6S [M + H]^+ 575.2210$, found 575.2205.



HPLC chromatogram of **29k.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



Total

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{31}H_{31}F_3N_2O_6S [M + H]^+ 617.1927$, found 617.1924.



HPLC chromatogram of **291.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



2	4.586	6713454	98.964
Total			100.000

HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{26}H_{23}F_3N_2O_5S [M + Na]^+ 533.1352$, found 533.1350.



HPLC chromatogram of **29m.** Reverse Phase (Method 90:10 CH₃OH: H₂O, Flow rate 0.7 mL/min).



1	2.856	80189	0.328
2	4.170	85196	0.327
3	4.987	25605793	98.417
4	6.339	246285	0.927
Total			100.000



