

INTERVENTIONAL RESEARCH PROTOCOL

Title of Project: Mindfulness Oriented Recovery Enhancement (MORE) as an Adjunct to Methadone Treatment for Chronic Pain and Opioid Relapse Prevention

Principal Investigator:

Name: Nina Cooperman
RWJ-Department of Psychiatry, Division of Addiction Psychiatry
Contact Information: cooperna@rwjms.rutgers.edu; 732-235-4341

PROTOCOL VERSION AND DATE: Version 15, 2/2/2022

Revision History:

Version Date	Summary of Revisions Made:
12/19/19	First Version
7/24/20	-Changed study procedures from in-person to remote due to COVID -Added Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale, Brief Trauma Questionnaire, Brief Savoring Inventory -Frequency of DSMB meeting changed from every 3 months to every 6 months -Eligibility criteria and screening procedure edited
9/18/20	-Included in-patient visits at clinic, if and when feasible. -Added the option of providing electronically signed informed consent using the HIPAA compatible survey platform, RedCap or Qualtrics.
12/24/20	- Updated eligibility criteria: Any subject that participated in formal mindfulness training within the past 5 years is not eligible for study.
3/31/2021	- Added to protocol: Only individuals meeting all of the inclusion criteria at baseline will be able to participate in the study. Subjects must be on methadone during baseline.
6/3/2021	- EMA changed from 2x daily to 3x daily. - COVID questions and e-cigarette use questions during baseline, 8wk, and 16wk.
8/24/2021	-Information on tablets provided edited and clients will be provided with a tablet guide.
11/8/2021	-Cohort size modified from 8 to 16 participants to 10 to 18 participants -Added: If participant is not able to be reached by phone/email or in-person at clinic, follow-up letter will be mailed.
12/9/2021	- Added: If extended time occurs between baseline completion and group start (study intervention), clients will be asked to do another baseline survey and will be provided an additional \$30 gift card.
2/2/2022	- Increased potential study enrollment from up to 170 to up to 184.

Table of Contents

Skip To Section: Hold **CTRL** + Click (Below) To Follow Link in **Blue**

1.0	Research Introduction
1.1	Purpose/Specific Aims
1.2	Research Significance
1.3	Research Design and Methods
1.4	Preliminary Data
1.5	Sample Size Justification
1.6	Study Variables
1.7	Drugs/Devices/Biologics
1.8	Primary Specimen Collection
1.9	Interviews, Focus Groups, or Surveys
1.10	Timetable/Schedule of Events
2.0	Project Management
2.1	Research Staff and Qualifications
2.2	Resources Available
2.3	Research Sites
3.0	Multi-Site Research Communication & Coordination
3.1	Outside Research
4.0	Research Data Source/s
4.1	Primary Data – Subjects and Specimens
4.2	Subject Selection and Enrollment Considerations
4.3	Subject Randomization
4.4	Secondary Subjects
4.5	Number of Subjects
4.6	Consent Procedures
4.7	Special Consent Populations
4.8	Economic Burden and/or Compensation For Subjects
4.9	Risks to Subjects
4.10	Secondary Data – Record/Chart Reviews, Databases, Tissue Banks, Etc.
4.11	Chart/Record Review Selection
4.12	Secondary Specimen Collection
5.0	Special Considerations
5.1	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)
5.2	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)
5.3	NJ Access to Medical Research Act
5.4	Code of Federal Regulations Title 45 Part 46 (Vulnerable Populations)
6.0	Research Data Protection and Reporting
6.1	Data Management and Confidentiality
6.2	Data Security
6.3	Data Safety And Monitoring
6.4	Reporting Results
6.5	Data Sharing
7.0	Data and/or Specimen Banking
8.0	Other Approvals/Authorizations
9.0	Bibliography

1.0 Research Introduction

1.1 Purpose/Specific Aims

This study aims to evaluate the impact of a novel intervention, Mindfulness Oriented Recovery Enhancement (MORE), on drug use, treatment retention, methadone adherence, pain, depression, and anxiety among individuals receiving methadone maintenance treatment (MT). The main goal of this study is to conduct a clinical trial to assess MORE, delivered by telehealth, through secure video or phone conferencing, with respect to a range of clinical outcomes. This study will involve a 2-arm individually randomized controlled trial design that compares MORE and methadone treatment as usual (TAU).

A. Objectives

Aim 1. Determine MORE's efficacy for decreasing risk of relapse and MT drop-out relative to TAU.

Aim 2. Determine MORE's efficacy for impacting secondary outcomes (e.g., days of drug use, methadone adherence, pain, depression, and anxiety) relative to TAU.

B. Hypotheses / Research Question(s)

As compared to the TAU group, the MORE group will 1) have a lower risk of relapse and treatment dropout (primary outcomes) and 2) have less drug use, greater methadone adherence, and greater reductions in pain symptoms, depression, and anxiety (secondary outcomes).

1.2 Research Significance (*Briefly describe the following in 500 words or less*):

Despite the proven effectiveness of medication-assisted treatment (MAT) on opioid-use disorder (OUD), approximately 50% of people who begin MT discontinue within 12 months,¹ and 50% of people retained in MT have an opioid relapse within six months.² Research suggests that chronic physical pain, affecting 55%-61% of people receiving MT,³ could be contributing to drug relapse and MT adherence.^{4,5} Unfortunately, effective pain management in MT patients is challenging, as practitioners are reluctant to prescribe opioid pain medications to those with a history of substance use disorder. Therefore, alternative interventions are critical to help people in treatment for OUD to cope with their pain and improve their quality of life.

Mindfulness Oriented Recovery Enhancement (MORE) is a novel intervention that addresses drug use and chronic pain, and is unique among current OUD interventions in that it helps break negative reinforcement cycles by modifying the associative learning mechanisms that process drug and non-drug related cues.^{5,6} As a result, MORE promotes biobehavioral changes that strengthen responses to natural rewards while reducing responses to drug rewards, making the intervention more effective in helping people in MT manage their pain and maintain long-term drug abstinence.^{5,6} MORE, which integrates training in mindfulness, cognitive reappraisal skills, savoring of natural rewards and positive emotion regulation into an 8-week group therapy, is designed to target the attentional biases, affective dysregulation, and autonomic stress responses that underlie the feedback loop between chronic pain, craving, and opioid misuse.^{6,7} While MORE has shown positive outcomes in pain patients misusing, or at risk of misusing, opioids, it has not yet been tested in OUD patients with pain who are in recovery or receiving MAT. Therefore, we propose to test this promising intervention among individuals with pain who are receiving MT for an OUD.

70 This study is significant because it could provide an additional and, as compared to existing behavioral
71 interventions, a potentially more effective option for preventing relapse and managing chronic pain in people
72 receiving MAT. Specifically, if found to be effective, MORE could help people on MAT cope with the stress and
73 dysfunction associated with pain, reduce their risk of relapse, and enhance their overall quality of life.

74

75 **1.2 Research Design and Methods**

76

77 This study is a 2-arm individually randomized controlled trial design in which outcomes of MT patients randomized
78 to MORE, delivered remotely, by secure phone or video conferencing, are compared to outcomes of those
79 randomized to methadone treatment as usual (TAU). In the study we will randomize MT patients with chronic pain
80 to MORE or TAU. This study phase will conduct a clinical trial to assess MORE efficacy and to explore factors
81 impacting the efficacy of MORE on various outcomes. Participants with pain who are receiving MT for an opioid
82 use disorder (OUD) will be recruited from the New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC), Burlington
83 Comprehensive Counseling, the Lennard Clinic, and Jersey Shore Addiction Services (JSAS).

84

85 **A. Procedures**

86

87 Participants will be recruited through flyers posted in the clinics, being approached by research assistants in the
88 waiting room of their usual methadone clinic (New Brunswick Counseling Center, Burlington Comprehensive
89 Counseling, Jersey Shore Addiction Services, or Lennard Clinic), if and when feasible, and referral by clinic staff.
90 When a potential participant is referred by clinic staff, that means that the individual will be told about the study
91 by staff and that it is up to the potential subject to volunteer by contacting the research staff on site or by
92 phone. Alternatively, clinic staff will get permission from potentially eligible and interested individuals for the clinic
93 to provide contact information to study staff for study staff to reach out to them for study recruitment by phone or
94 in-person at their clinic or another safe and private location in the community (e.g., library, park, or coffee shop), if
95 feasible. The number of individuals who contact the study staff through the flyers or referral and who are
96 approached by study staff in the clinics will be tracked. Number of individuals who refuse study participation and
97 who consent to the study will also be tracked. Patients expressing interest in the research will be given detailed
98 study information by the RA and initial screening for study eligibility will be conducted over the phone or in-person
99 at their clinic. Once a patient is screened as likely eligible, the RA will email or standard mail an informed consent
100 form or an electronic link to access the consent form to the individual. Alternatively, the patient can pick up a copy
101 of the consent at their respective clinic. Also, clinic staff will hand out consents to potentially eligible and
102 interested individuals. Once the individual has a copy of the informed consent, the research assistant will review
103 the informed consent and further assess eligibility over the phone or by secure, HIPAA compliant, video meeting or
104 in-person. Participants will be asked to sign the electronic consent form on Qualtrics or REDCap, mail a signed
105 paper version back to the research assistant, or drop off a signed paper version to their clinic for research staff to
106 pick up. Alternatively, consenting maybe be done in-person. Participants will also keep a copy of the consent for
107 their records.

108

109 Participants randomized to the MORE condition will participate in eight, weekly, two-hour online group sessions
110 led by a clinic or study counselor. Each session will contain 7 participants and will be conducted online through
111 secure video or phone conferencing. If a participant is unable to join the MORE session through video conference
112 due to technical difficulties at the time of the session, the participant will be instructed to join the session by
113 phone or the participant may go to their clinic and a research or clinic staff member can help them access the
114 intervention through their tablet or a computer. Attendance at each session and reasons for missing sessions will
115 be recorded. Participants randomized to the control condition will continue receiving treatment as usual at their
116 clinic.

117

118 All study participants will partake in a total of three interviews lasting up to 120 minutes occurring at baseline, 8-,
119 and 16-weeks (week 1 will be considered the week of the first MORE group session) by video or phone
120 conferencing or in-person. If more than 3 months since baseline survey was administered from start of group
121 (study intervention), clients will be asked to do another baseline survey. Each participant will also have a urine or
122 saliva sample collected during each assessment. This is required to verify self-report of drug use. Due to social
123 desirability, it is common for people to not accurately report drug use; therefore, biochemical verification is the
124 gold standard measure of drug use. Participants will be mailed a urine or saliva test prior to the interview.
125 Alternatively, the patient can pick up urine or saliva test at their respective clinic. At the time of the interview,
126 participants will be instructed on how to administer the test and will be instructed to show the research assistant
127 the results on the video conference. The research assistant will then record the results. If a participant is unable or
128 unwilling to attend a video meeting, a research assistant will conduct a telephone or in-person interview. If the
129 interview is conducted by telephone, the participant will self-report their drug test results, and the fact that it was
130 self-reported will be noted. Alternatively, when feasible, drug screen will be done in-person at their clinic. When
131 drug tests cannot be completed, the reasons will be noted. Results from the most recent drug tests administered
132 by the participants' clinics will also be obtained with participant consent.

133 All attempts to reach participants to schedule 8 and 16 week follow-up assessments will be tracked. If participant is
134 not able to be reached by phone/email or in-person at clinic, follow-up letter for respective week will be mailed.
135 Participants will also complete cognitive testing (for approx. 30-45 minutes) at baseline and 8-weeks and ecological
136 momentary assessments (EMA) conducted during the entire study period via their own smartphone or computer,
137 or tablet, which will be provided to each participant by study staff. Tablets from T-Mobile will be ordered on an as
138 needed basis and based on t-mobiles availability. EMA participation will require the participant to respond to 3x-
139 daily prompts in which they will be asked a series of brief questions regarding their current mood and exposure to
140 opioid triggers. Additionally, subjects will be asked to initiate responses when they experience serious craving or
141 relapse to opioid use. Each EMA assessment will last approximately 3-5 minutes. For those who do not complete
142 their EMA, our research staff will do a timeline follow-back over the phone, video conferencing, or in-person to
143 collect missing drug use data. Weekly EMA completion reports will be reviewed and a phone or in-person TLFB
144 completed, as needed.

145 146 **B. Data Points**

147
148 All study participants will participate in three video, phone conferencing, or in-person visits at baseline, 8-, and 16-
149 weeks. Information will be collected on demographic characteristics, substance use, methadone treatment,
150 physical pain, mental health, physical health, and intervention implementation and attitudes. Urine and/or saliva
151 samples will be requested at each assessment for confirmation of self-reported drug use or recent drug test results
152 will be obtained from the participants' clinic chart. In addition, participants will partake in daily ecological
153 momentary assessments (EMA) throughout the 16-weeks of study participation that include brief measures of pain
154 intensity, mood, and substance use. Participants will also be asked to initiate communication via smartphone,
155 computer, or tablet when they use drugs. Self-initiated responses will include information about the
156 circumstances surrounding their lapse. A synopsis of all study instruments are included below (see 1.9B – Study
157 instruments).

158 159 **C. Study Duration and Participation Time**

160
161 This study is expected to take two years. Each subject will participate in the study for a total of 16-weeks.

162

163 **D. Primary And Secondary Endpoints**

- 164 • Primary Outcomes: risk of relapse and treatment drop-out
165 • Secondary Outcomes: days of drug use, methadone adherence, pain, depression, anxiety
166

167 **1.3 Preliminary Data**
168

169 Effects of MORE on cognitive, affective, and psychophysiological mechanisms implicated in addiction.

170 Dr. Garland conducted the first pilot randomized controlled trial (RCT; N=53) of MORE, and found that, relative to a
171 support group (SG) control, MORE significantly decreased stress, modified addiction attentional bias, and increased
172 heart rate variability recovery from substance cues during an affect-modulated cue-reactivity protocol. Two follow-
173 up studies (N=58) found that trait mindfulness among substance dependent individuals in treatment was
174 negatively associated with addiction attentional bias and positively associated with heart rate variability recovery
175 from stress-primed cue-exposure.^{8,9}
176

177 MORE as a treatment for opioid misuse and chronic pain – preliminary outcomes and processes.

178 Dr. Garland recently completed a pilot RCT of 8 sessions of MORE for chronic pain patients receiving long-term
179 opioid analgesic therapy.⁷ In the course of 1.5 years, 304 patients were recruited from community sources, 115 of
180 whom met study criteria and were randomly assigned to treatment. Eighty-one percent of participants who began
181 the study treatments completed treatment and were retained at the post-treatment assessment. Intent-to-treat
182 analyses indicate that compared with a support group (SG; n=58) control, MORE (n=57) led to significant
183 reductions in pain severity ($p=.014$, $d=.63$) and functional interference ($p=.002$; $d=.84$) that were maintained at 3-
184 month follow-up and mediated by non-reactivity and reinterpretation of pain as innocuous sensory signals.
185 Importantly, MORE improved addiction-related outcomes. Relative to SG, a greater proportion of opioid misusers
186 treated with MORE no longer exceeded the validated threshold for opioid misuse following treatment, due to
187 reductions in aberrant drug-related behavior, $X^2=3.74$, $p=.05$. MORE also significantly reduced opioid craving by
188 post-treatment ($p=.027$, $d=.50$), and significantly decreased the correlation strength between craving and misuse.⁷
189

190 MORE and ecological momentary assessment of pain and affect.

191 In a sample of low SES individuals with OUD and comorbid psychiatric disorders, MORE (n=20) led to significantly
192 greater reductions in opioid craving ($p=.04$, $d=.63$) and PTSD symptoms ($p=.001$, $d=.84$) compared to Cognitive
193 Behavioral Therapy (CBT).¹⁰ In this trial, across 8 weeks of treatment, patients completed up to 224 EMA measures
194 of pain and affect. Multilevel models and generalized estimating equations examined effects of treatment on
195 momentary pain and positive affect, and generalized linear models examined associations between pain and affect
196 and changes in opioid misuse by post-treatment. Patients in MORE reported significantly greater improvements in
197 momentary pain ($p=.01$) and positive affect ($p=.004$) than patients in the SG. Further, over the entire course of
198 treatment, patients in MORE were significantly more likely to exhibit positive affect regulation (OR=2.75) than
199 patients in the SG. Finally, improvements in positive affect (but not pain) over the course of intervention were
200 associated with reduced risk of misusing opioids by post-treatment ($p=.02$).¹⁰
201

202 **1.5 Sample Size Justification**

203 With 1.5 years of subject accrual and an additional follow-up of 16 weeks after the accrual interval, our study
204 needs 47 subjects per group to test a hazard ratio of 0.55 when comparing MORE to TAU, with 80% power and
205 alpha=5% (two-sided). To account for attrition, we will recruit up to 92 subjects per group (up to 184 subjects at a
206 1:1 ratio for MORE vs. TAU).
207
208
209

210 **1.6 Study Variables**

211

212 **A. Independent Variables, Interventions, or Predictor Variables**

213

214 Mindfulness-Oriented Recovery Enhancement (MORE) structure (Intervention Condition). The MORE arm will
215 participate in eight, weekly, two-hour group sessions led by a therapist on video or phone conference. MORE
216 sessions involve mindfulness training to prevent opioid relapse and reduce pain, cognitive reappraisal to decrease
217 negative affect and regulate opioid craving, and savoring to augment natural reward processing and evoke positive
218 emotion.⁶ Each session begins with a mindful breathing or body scan meditation, followed by a debriefing session,
219 in which the therapist provides reinforcement and troubleshooting to help guide successful implementation of
220 mindfulness techniques. Following this debrief of the in-session mindfulness meditation, the therapist debriefs
221 participants’ homework practice of using mindfulness, reappraisal, and savoring skills to cope with pain and
222 enhance well-being in everyday life. During this debrief of the homework practice, the therapist provides
223 reinforcement and troubleshooting to help guide successful implementation of mindfulness, reappraisal, and
224 savoring techniques. Next, new psychoeducational material is introduced according to the session topics outlined
225 in Table 1. Sessions culminate with an experiential exercise, and close with a brief mindful breathing meditation.
226 Participants are asked to practice 15 minutes of mindfulness/reappraisal/savoring skills each day (i.e. homework
227 practice).
228

Table 1. MORE session content	
Week	Theme
1	Introduction to mindfulness, and the relationship between nociception, pain and emotional suffering; mindful breathing and body scan
2	Automatic pain coping habits; awareness of automatic opioid use; instruction in mindfulness of automatic pilot; mindful breathing
3	Mindful reappraisal as means of coping with negative emotions; mindful breathing
4	Savoring natural rewards; positive emotion regulation; mindful savoring practice
5	Mindfulness of opioid craving; contemplation of negative consequences of opioid use; imaginal opioid cue-exposure; mindful breathing
6	The relationship of the stress response to pain and craving; imaginal stress exposure; mindful breathing; body scan
7	Concepts of thought suppression, aversion, and attachment; exercise in the futility of thought suppression; mindful breathing and acceptance
8	Discussion of how to maintain mindfulness practice; finding a sense of meaning and purpose of life; development of mindful recovery plan; imaginal rehearsal of skill learning; mindful breathing

229

230 Treatment as Usual (TAU). In the MT programs, clients typically come to the clinic regularly (usually 6 days per
231 week at the beginning of treatment) to get their methadone dose; during periods of social distancing or as clients
232 progress through the program and remain abstinent from drugs, they can “take home doses” that they can take on
233 days that they are not required to come to the clinic. Timing of the initiation of take-home doses and the
234 scheduling of clinic days varies across clinics. Clients see their clinic substance abuse counselor for individual
235 counseling, usually weekly at the beginning of treatment, with decreasing frequency if they remain abstinent and
236 progress through treatment. Depending on clients’ stage of MT and success with remaining abstinent from drugs,
237 they may be required to attend clinic treatment groups. During periods of social distancing, these individual or
238 group sessions may be remote, through video conference or phone. Also, some clients may choose to go to
239 voluntary counseling, educational, or support groups (none of these groups involve coping with pain oriented or
240 are mindfulness-based). All individual treatment characteristics, including methadone dosage, take-home dosing,
241 clinic attendance and attendance at clinic counseling sessions and groups (whether in-person or remotely), will be
242 documented for all study participants and entered as covariates in the analyses.

243

244

245

246 **B. Dependent Variables or Outcome Measures**

247

248 In addition, the study will explore outcomes among participants in the MORE condition relative to treatment as
249 usual (TAU) in regard to outcomes such as:

- 250 • Risk of relapse and treatment drop out (primary outcome)
- 251 • Methadone adherence
- 252 • Days of drug use
- 253 • Depression
- 254 • Anxiety
- 255 • Pain

256

257 **1.7 Drugs/Devices/Biologics**

258

- 259 ▪ N/A

260

261 **1.8 Primary Specimen Collection**

262

263 **A. Types of specimens to be collected, where, and by whom**

264

265 We will utilize a urine or saliva screen that tests for methadone (to measure MT adherence) and additional
266 substances (e.g., benzodiazepines, barbiturates, cocaine, marijuana, methamphetamine, morphine, oxycodone,
267 phencyclidine and amphetamine etc.). These specimens will be collected at baseline and each follow-up time point
268 (8- and 16-weeks). Biochemical verification of self-report of drug use is the gold standard measurement of drug
269 use and, without biochemical verification, self-reported drug use as an outcome variable will be questioned by the
270 scientific community. Participants will be mailed a urine or saliva test prior to the interview. Alternatively, the
271 patient can pick up urine or saliva test at their respective clinic. If feasible, drug screen may be done in-person. At
272 the time of the interview, participants will be instructed on how to administer the test and will be instructed to
273 show the research assistant the results on the video conference. The research assistant will then record the
274 results. If participants are unable or unwilling to attend a video research appointment, a research assistant will
275 conduct an interview over the phone and participant will self-report the drug screen results. The fact that drug
276 screen results are self-reported will be noted. Alternatively, if feasible, the drug screen may be done in-person. All
277 results (positive or negative) will be recorded in the research database that will only be identified with participant's
278 study ID and not the participant's name. Drug test results will not be shared with anyone, including the
279 participant's clinic, without written consent from the participant. With participant consent, the results of the most
280 recent drug tests conducted by the patients' clinics will be obtained from the patients' charts.

281

282 **B. Transporting specimens**

283

284 The sample will be collected by the participant and results read by research staff at the time of sample collection.
285 The sample will be disposed as soon as results are read (typically within 10 minutes of sample collection) and
286 recorded. The sample will not be transported.

287

288 **C. Specimen Processing**

289

290 Participants will be mailed a urine or saliva test prior to the interview. Alternatively, the patient can pick up urine
291 or saliva test at their respective clinic. At the time of the interview, participants will be instructed on how to
292 administer the test and will be instructed to show the research assistant the results on the video conference. The
293 research assistant will then record the results. If participants are unable or unwilling to attend a video research

294 appointment, a research assistant will conduct an interview over the phone and participant will self-report the
295 drug screen results. Alternatively a drug screen can be done in-person. In this case, the participant will collect the
296 specimen and the research staff will read the results directly, in person.

297

298 **D. How long will specimens will be kept**

299

300 The sample will be disposed of immediately after results are read and recorded.

301

302 **E. How specimens will be destroyed upon study completion**

303

304 Samples will be flushed down the toilet (urine test) or disposed of in the trash (saliva test).

305

306 **1.9 Interviews, Focus Groups, or Surveys**

307

308 **A. Administration**

309

310 **▪ Timing and Frequency**

311

312 Research staff will collect interview data and drug screens from both intervention and control group subjects
313 through video, phone interview, or in-person using standardized measures conducted at baseline and 8- and 16-
314 weeks. If the research assessments are conducted over the phone, drug screen results will be recorded as self-
315 reported or obtained from clinic charts. Additionally, subjects will engage in three times daily EMA assessments of
316 approximately 3-5 minutes each for 16-weeks. For 16-weeks, subjects will also initiate EMA communications to
317 report relapses, which will also last for under 5 minutes. EMA completion reports will be reviewed, weekly, and
318 participants will be contacted, as needed, to support EMA completion.

319

320 **▪ Location**

321

322 The assessments and drug screens will take place via video/online, phone interview, at their clinic, or at another
323 safe and private location in the community (e.g., library, park, or coffee shop).

324

325 **▪ Procedures for Audio and Visual Recording**

326

327 Audio or video recordings will be made of the MORE therapy sessions. All recordings will be stored in password
328 protected computers, in a locked office at 317 George St., New Brunswick, NJ, or in password protected files, on
329 the Rutgers network or HIPAA compliant Box file.

330

331 **B. Study Instruments**

332

- 333 • Relapse, assessed through ecological momentary assessment data.
- 334 • Treatment drop-out, assessed by clinic report.
- 335 • Methadone dosing adherence, assessed through drug screen.
- 336 • Total days of drug use, assessed through EMA, Addiction Severity Index, and drug screen.
- 337 • Trajectories of depression and anxiety, assessed with the Beck Anxiety Inventory and the Centers for
338 Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale.
- 339 • Pain, assessed with EMA and the Brief Pain Inventory.

340

341 Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA) data collection (ongoing from baseline to 16 weeks). The EMA and
342 other survey data will be programmed as a REDCap or Qualtrics survey delivered over a password-protected smart
343 phone, computer, or tablet that will not store any data. REDCap and Qualtrics are secure, HIPPA-compliant, web-
344 based application for building and managing online surveys and databases. The EMA survey approach will involve
345 1) collecting event-contingent records of drug use when they occur as well as 2) regular random assessments,
346 prompted by random text messages initiated by Twilio, 3x daily via smartphones. For event-contingent records,
347 participants will be asked to initiate an entry when a relapse occurs and note how they were feeling. Random
348 assessment probe will be scheduled for early in the day, the afternoon, and the evening. The random probes will
349 be generated by an algorithm in REDCap or Qualtrics and linked to a Twilio phone number. For random
350 assessments, participants will be asked to note how they are feeling, drug use, and whether they completed their
351 homework (if in the intervention group). Research staff will demonstrate to study participants how to use the
352 phones/tablets, respond to the prompts, and provide event contingent data. Research staff will provide
353 instructions about how to use the phone/tablet and practice using the phone/tablet with participants until they
354 are capable of using it.

355 Data will be received by the REDCAP or Qualtrics system. Data access between the REDCap and Qualtrics
356 databases and the web servers are encrypted and restricted to a monitored port. All REDCap and Qualtrics data,
357 which is displayed or captured by the user interface, is encrypted for security. Within REDCap and Qualtrics all
358 data transactions including inserts, updates, deletions, import/export and reporting are logged. The EMA system
359 in this study deployed via REDCap and Qualtrics will reside in a HIPAA compliant protected space. The REDCap and
360 Qualtrics production and development servers use encrypted drives. Physical hardware will be secured in a locked
361 facility.

362 The data will only be accessible to study investigators and staff. The data will be processed by an already
363 developed REDCap or Qualtrics program that formats the data as a "long file" with one row per time point per
364 individual participant. The investigators will employ linear mixed models to test the effects of MORE vs. the control
365 condition on substance use, pain, and other study variables. The treatment X time interaction will be the main
366 fixed effect of interest. Models will include a random intercept, as well as a random slope if warranted by model fit
367 statistics. Auto-correlation between repeated measures will be modeled as a first-order autoregressive function.
368 We will also compute within-group linear mixed models examining mindfulness practice duration as a predictor of
369 clinical outcomes. EMA completion reports will be reviewed, weekly, and, as needed.

370 *Substance use history (Baseline)*. We will use the questions from the Addiction Severity Index to gather self-report
371 information on lifetime use of opiates, alcohol, tobacco and substances, and past-30 day use of all substances.

372 *Current substance use (Baseline, 8- and 16-- weeks)*. We will utilize a urine or saliva screen that tests for
373 methadone (to provide corroboration of MT adherence) and additional substances (e.g., benzodiazepines,
374 barbiturates, cocaine, marijuana, methamphetamine, morphine, oxycodone, phencyclidine and amphetamine).
375 Participants will also be asked about overall number of days of use in the past 30 with questions based on the
376 Addiction Severity Index. Drug test results conducted by the clinic during the study period will be obtained from
377 participants' clinic charts. We will combine self-reports of drug use with biochemical measures because it is the
378 gold standard, and without biochemical verification, self-reported drug use as an outcome variable will be
379 questioned by the scientific community. If a biochemical measure is negative, but the participant reports drug use,
380 the participant will be coded as using drugs since the biochemical measure will only capture a specific time point.
381 However, if the participant self-reports drug abstinence, but the biochemical measure is positive for drug use (the
382 more likely scenario) the participant will be coded as having used drugs.

383 *Pain (Baseline, 8- and 16-- weeks)*. At baseline and both follow-up points, pain severity will be measured with the
384 the Brief Pain Inventory⁷ (BPI; $\alpha = .87$) a well-validated measure that has been widely used to tap acute and chronic
385 pain. Participants will be asked to report their worst pain during the past week, least pain during the past week,

386 average pain, and current pain. Response options range from 0 (no pain) to 10 (pain as bad as I can imagine). An
 387 overall pain severity score will be computed by taking the mean of the four items. Pain will also be assessed with
 388 the Sensations Body Map.

389 *Cognitive impairment, psychosis, suicidality (screening).* If cognitive functioning, current psychosis, and suicidality is
 390 questionable based on information provided by clinic staff, patient history, or research staff observation, to
 391 determine study eligibility, cognitive impairment will be assessed with the Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE),⁸
 392 psychosis will be assessed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-V (SCID) Psychotic screen,⁹ and suicidality
 393 will be assessed with the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). The Mini-Mental Status Exam, MMSE is
 394 a widely used valid and reliable measure of cognitive functioning that assesses orientation to time and place,
 395 registration, attention and calculation, recall, language, Scores <24 indicate cognitive impairment. The SCID
 396 Psychotic Screen is a semi-structured clinical interview that assesses symptoms of psychotic disorders (e.g.,
 397 delusions, hallucinations) based on the DSM-V criteria. The C-SSRS is a five-item reliably and valid measure that
 398 assesses suicidal ideation, plans, and intent.

399 *Mental health history (Baseline), trauma (baseline, 8-weeks, and 16-weeks) and psychiatric symptoms and*
 400 *treatment (baseline, 8 weeks, 16 weeks).* At baseline, history of mental illness and psychiatric treatment will be
 401 assessed. At each subsequent research visit, participants will be asked if they took any psychotropic medications or
 402 received any mental health counseling since their last visit. Trauma history will be assessed with the Brief Trauma
 403 Questionnaire (BTQ).^{10,11} The BTQ is a 10-item self-report questionnaire that assesses traumatic experiences. At
 404 each research visit, symptoms of depression and anxiety will be evaluated with the Center for Epidemiologic
 405 Depression Scale and the Beck Anxiety Inventory.¹²

406 *Physical health history (Baseline) and symptoms (Baseline, 8- and 16-- weeks) and medication (Baseline, 8- and 16-*
 407 *- weeks).* At Baseline, history of illness such as HIV, cancer, heart disease, emphysema, asthma and other chronic
 408 conditions will be assessed. Daily health functioning will be evaluated at every research visit with the RAND 36-
 409 Item Health Survey 1.0 (SF-36).¹³ The reliability and validity of this self-report scale that measures overall health
 410 and ability to complete daily activities has been shown. Current pain and other medication (prescribed and over
 411 the counter) will be assessed at each research visit.

412
 413 MT dose and MT services. This information will be abstracted from participants' clinic charts, with participants'
 414 consent.

415
 416 *Intervention implementation (Week 8).* Number of sessions completed and missed will be assessed for each
 417 participant in both conditions. Reasons provided for missed sessions will also be recorded.

418 **1.10 Timetable/Schedule of Events**

419

Table 1. Timeline	Year 1				Year 2			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Protocol finalizing and staff training								
Recruitment								
Intervention period								
Follow-up data collection								
Data analyses, manuscript preparation								

420

421

422

423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469

2.0 Project Management

2.1 Research Staff and Qualifications

Dr. Nina Cooperman, the Principal Investigator on this project, is a clinical psychologist and faculty member at Rutgers-RWJ Department of Psychiatry. Dr. Cooperman has more than 20 years' of clinical and research experience with substance abusing and mentally ill populations.

All research staff will have a minimum of a Bachelor's degree or experience working with substance users. All investigators and key personnel will have undergone mandatory education in human research participant protection, including completing the Human Research Curriculum of Collaborative Institutional Training (CITI), "HIPAA Security" training, and "HIPAA Privacy" training. Research staff will participate in ongoing team meetings with the study investigators to discuss any issues that arise.

2.2 Resources Available

Facilities

Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Addiction Psychiatry Research Offices at 317 George Street, Suite 105, New Brunswick, NJ 08901. The Division of Addiction Psychiatry is located in a clinical-research unit of 3000 sq. ft. which includes faculty and staff offices, sound proofing, and a conference room (where community advisory panel meeting will be held). In addition to several faculty members, Division office space is shared by administrative personnel, research assistants, and secretaries. Fax and copy machines are available in the Division offices.

New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC) at 320 Suydam St., New Brunswick, NJ 08901. Dr. Cooperman has conducted several research studies at NBCC, and the clinic is extremely enthusiastic about being a research site for this project (see attached letter). The New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC) provides comprehensive, evidence-based, individualized, substance abuse treatment services. NBCC's staff includes a multidisciplinary team of medical, psychological, social work, and substance abuse professionals. NBCC currently approximately 500 patients receiving methadone maintenance treatment, and, in the past year, almost 90% of admissions to the center reported current cigarette smoking. The clinic is in central New Brunswick, NJ, within walking distance from where the Division of Addiction Psychiatry offices are located, making this location ideal for patient recruitment and collaboration. Office and group counseling space is available at the NBCC for the research staff to see study participants, if necessary.

Burlington Comprehensive Counseling (under the same leadership as NBCC) 75 Washington Street, Mount Holly, NJ 08060. Burlington Comprehensive Counseling provides comprehensive, evidence-based, integrated mental health and substance abuse treatment services. Services are individualized and provided in an outpatient setting for prevention, early intervention, and treatment of people with mental health, substance use and/or co-occurring disorders. Program provides access to substance abuse and mental health services addressing the bio-psycho-social consequences associated with substance use and dependence.

The Lennard Clinic. The Lennard Clinic, with two offices in Newark and Elizabeth, New Jersey (61 Frelinghuysen Ave, Newark, NJ 07114 and 850 Woodruff Lane Elizabeth, NJ 07201), exists to enrich the quality of life of opioid dependent adults in Essex, Union and surrounding counties to reduce illicit drug use, decrease criminal activities, enhance health conditions and promote social/economic stabilities by providing superior treatment services. The Lennard Clinic provides: 1) medication Assisted Treatment (methadone, suboxone), 2) individual treatment,

470 transition and discharge planning, 3) individual and group counseling, medical care for indigent clients, case
471 management, and clinic based treatment on demand (CBTOD) free for eligible clients. The Newark site services
472 approximately 700 clients on methadone maintenance treatment and the Elizabeth site serves approximately 300
473 clients on methadone maintenance treatment.

474
475 Jersey Shore Addiction Services (JSAS) Healthcare, Inc. JSAS HealthCare, Inc. is a private, non-profit agency that
476 provides comprehensive outpatient substance abuse treatment. Additional services provided to patients include:
477 perinatal and neonatal services; HIV counseling and testing, HIV Early Intervention and medical treatment, and
478 case management. JSAS HealthCare, Inc. currently occupies 12,400 square feet of professional space in Neptune,
479 New Jersey. They have a total census of approximately 700 patients in their methadone maintenance treatment
480 program, and enroll approximately 500 patients per year. Group counseling and office space is available at JSAS for
481 MORE intervention groups and research interviews, if necessary. JSAS receives State Targeted Response funds to
482 expand their medication assisted treatment (MAT) services.

483 484 **Medical or Psychological Resources**

485 Methadone clinic medical and psychological resources will be available to study participants. During assessment
486 sessions, participants will be told that they do not need to discuss topics or disclose any information that makes
487 them uncomfortable. The research assistant will be trained to deal with any distress related to the study
488 interviews. Referrals for counseling or psychiatric evaluation will be made if necessary. If a participant expresses
489 thoughts of harming himself or herself or others or discloses a child is at-risk, either verbally or on the study
490 measures, a written protocol has been developed and confidentiality may be broken. A participant who endorses
491 current thoughts of harming himself, herself, or others will be assessed by the methadone program clinical team to
492 determine if the participant is safe to leave the clinic, if the individual is in the clinic or by Dr. Cooperman, if
493 contact with the participant was over the phone, to determine if further action needs to be taken to ensure the
494 safety of the participant or others. Dr. Williams will be available to assess and address any medical adverse events
495 that occur during the course of the study.

496 497 **Research Staff Training-**

498 All research staff will have completed the online human subject's protection (CITI training). Staff will be trained
499 and supervised by Dr. Cooperman on unbiased recruiting of study participants, data collection, and maintaining
500 confidentiality. They will also be trained on assessing adverse events, tracking study participants, data entry, and
501 procedures if a participant expresses intent to harm him/herself or others. Procedure guides (e.g., interview
502 checklists) will be created for research staff and weekly research staff meetings will be held to assure protocol
503 adherence and address any issues.

504 505 **2.3 Research Sites**

506
507 Research will be conducted at Rutgers-RWJ Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, Division of Addiction
508 Psychiatry at 317 George St, Suite 105, New Brunswick NJ 08901, and the University of Utah, 201 Presidents Cir,
509 Salt Lake City, UT 84112 (for data analyses only). In addition, subjects will be recruited from the New Brunswick
510 Counseling Center (NBCC), 320 Suydam St., New Brunswick, NJ 08901, NBCC 2nd site Burlington Comprehensive
511 Counseling, 75 Washington St, Mt. Holly, NJ 08060, the Lennard Clinic, 61 Frelinghuysen Ave, Newark, NJ 07114
512 and 850 Woodruff Lane Elizabeth, NJ 07201, and Jersey Shore Addiction Services, 685 Neptune Blvd, Neptune City,
513 NJ 07753.

514 515 **3.0 Multi-Site Research Communication & Coordination**

516
517 N/A

518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565

4.0 Research Data Source/s

4.1 Primary Data-Subjects and Specimens

Up to 184 patients at the New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC), Burlington Comprehensive Counseling, the Lennard Clinic, Jersey Shore Addiction Services (JSAS).

4.2 Subject Selection and Enrollment Considerations

A. Recruitment Details

Up to 184 participants will be recruited from the New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC), Burlington Comprehensive Counseling, the Lennard Clinic, Jersey Shore Addiction Services (JSAS). MT clinic staff will be asked to refer appropriate patients to the study. Clinic patients who are interested and potentially eligible for the study will be provided with the study phone number to reach the research staff. Alternatively, clinic staff will get permission from potentially eligible and interested individuals for the clinic to provide contact information to study staff for study staff to reach out to them for study recruitment by phone. Clinic staff will be informed of the eligibility criteria for the study and will be asked to not refer patients that they know are actively suicidal or psychotic. Also, flyers will be posted in dosing areas and the waiting rooms of all participating clinics. Research assistants (RAs) may recruit patients in clinic waiting areas, if and when feasible. Patients expressing interest in the research will be given detailed study information by the RA and initial screening for study eligibility will be conducted over the phone, through video conference, or in-person.

B. Source of Subjects

Participants will be recruited from the New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC), Burlington Comprehensive Counseling, the Lennard Clinic, and Jersey Shore Addiction Services.

C. Method to Identify Potential Subjects

Clinic staff will be informed of the eligibility criteria for the study and will be asked to not refer patients that they know are actively suicidal or psychotic. Patients expressing interest in the research will be given detailed study information by the RA and initial screening for study eligibility will be conducted over the phone, through video conference, or in-person. Also, flyers will be posted in dosing areas and the waiting rooms of all participating clinics.

E. Subject Screening

An initial eligibility screen will be conducted over the phone. Based on the initial screening, if further screening measures are needed, they will be done after the patient consent.

▪ Inclusion Criteria

Only individuals meeting all of the inclusion criteria at baseline will be able to participate in the study. Subjects must be age 18 or older, English-speaking, currently on methadone, and have been experiencing non-malignant pain with an intensity level ≥ 3 out of 10 on the BPI average pain severity item for 3 months or longer.

566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613

▪ **Exclusion Criteria**

Subjects will be excluded from participation if they do not meet the inclusion criteria above, exhibit cognitive impairment (based on observation, history, clinician feedback, or score <24 on the Mini Mental Status Exam¹⁹) or psychosis (based on observation, history, clinician feedback, or positive SCID Psychotic Screen²⁰), are at suicidal risk (based on observation, history, clinician feedback, or positive score on C-SSRS), unable to attend group sessions for any reason, or participated in formal mindfulness training within the past 5 years.

F. Recruitment Materials

Flyers with study information and a phone number to reach research staff will be posted throughout the New Brunswick Counseling Center (NBCC), Burlington Comprehensive Counseling, JSAS, and the Lennard Clinic.

F. Lead Site Recruitment Methods

N/A

4.3 Subject Randomization

Since MORE is a closed group, we will randomize cohorts of 14 participants to TAU or MORE. Once we recruit 14 at a particular clinic, we will randomize participants to MORE or TAU, and the MORE group will begin. Randomization will be stratified by gender and any opioid use in the past 30 days.

4.4 Secondary Subjects

N/A

4.5 Number of Subjects

A. Total Number of Subjects

Total number of subjects to be accrued is up to 184.

B. Total Number of Subjects If Multicenter Study

N/A

C. Require Number of Subjects to Complete Research

N/A

G. Feasibility of Recruiting

NBCC serves approximately 500 MT clients per year, the Lennard Clinic serves approximately 1000 MT clients at two sites, and JSAS serves about 700. Based on the prior research studies conducted at these clinics and the high amount of clients served, there are no anticipated problems in recruiting ample subjects. Additional sites will be added to the study if necessary if recruitment targets are not being met.

614
615
616 **4.6 Consent Procedures**
617
618 **A. Consent**
619
620 **▪ Documenting Consent**
621
622 Consent for Study Participation
623
624 **▪ Waiver of Documentation Of Consent**
625
626 N/A
627
628 **▪ Waiver or Alteration of Consent Process**
629
630 **(i) Waiver or Alteration Details**
631
632 N/A
633
634 **(ii) Destruction of Identifiers**
635
636 N/A
637
638 **(iii) Use of Deception/Concealment**
639
640 N/A
641
642 **B. Consent Process**
643
644 **▪ Location of Consent Process**
645
646 Once a patient is screened as likely eligible, the RA will email or standard mail an informed consent form or send
647 an electronic link to access the consent form to the individual. Alternatively, the patient can pick up a copy of the
648 consent at their respective clinic. Once the individual has a copy of the informed consent, the research assistant
649 will review the informed consent and further assess eligibility over the phone, by secure, HIPAA compliant, video
650 meeting, or in-person. Along with the informed study consent, the participant will be asked to sign two releases of
651 information--one for the clinic to release information to the RWJMS study team and one for the RWJMS study
652 team to release information relevant to their care at the clinic.

653 **▪ Ongoing Consent**
654
655 N/A
656
657 **▪ Individual Roles for Researchers Involved in Consent**
658
659 Study recruiters/research assistants, who have been trained in the study protocol and the process for obtaining
660 consent, will consent subjects for the study.

661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708

▪ **Coercion or Undue Influence**

Those who choose to participate will complete a written, informed consent process before any study procedures are performed. Participants will be asked to sign the consent form and mail it back to the research assistant to be kept filed or sign electronically through Qualtrics or REDCap. Alternatively, the patient can drop their consent at their respective clinic. Participants will also keep a copy of the consent for their records. Research staff will read the consent form out loud to any individuals who are unable to read the consent form on their own. Topics covered in the consent form will include a description of study procedures, the time involved, the right to withdraw at any time without penalty, procedures used to protect participant anonymity, information on the use of data, the potential benefits and risks of participating in the study, and limits of confidentiality regarding expressions of suicidal ideation, homicidal ideation, or a child at risk. Research staff will be trained to note signs that suggest that the individual is unable to consent and will: 1) ask permission from the individual before questioning him/her; 2) observe for signs of illness, intoxication, and other reasons causing individuals to be unable to consent; 3) assess orientation to person, place, time, and situation; and, 4) ask the potential participant to paraphrase the study requirements.

4.7 Special Consent/Populations

A. Minors-Subjects Who Are Not yet Adults

▪ **Criteria for Consent of Minors**

N/A

▪ **Wards of the State**

1. Research in NJ Involving Minors

N/A

2. Research Outside of NJ Involving Minors

N/A

▪ **Parental Permission**

N/A

▪ **Non-Parental Permission**

N/A

▪ **Assent Process**

N/A

709 ▪ **Non-English Speaking Subjects**

710
711 N/A

712
713 **B. Adults Unable to Consent / Cognitively Impaired Adults (*for interventional studies*)**

714
715 N/A

716
717 **4.8 Economic Burden and/or Compensation for Subjects**

718
719 **A. Expenses**

720
721 Subjects will not incur any costs other than their time for participating in the study.

722
723 **B. Compensation/Incentives**

724
725 Participants will receive a \$30 gift card for completing the baseline assessments and drug screen, \$40 for the 8-
726 week assessments and drug screen, and \$50 for the 16-week assessment and drug screen. At each of the baseline
727 and 8-week assessments, participants will also receive a \$20 gift card for completing the cognitive assessments. If
728 more than 3 months since baseline survey was administered from start of group (study intervention), clients will
729 be asked to do another baseline survey and will be provided an additional \$30 gift card. Also, participants will
730 receive approximately 25¢ for completing each of 3x daily EMA assessments (e.g., \$20 for 10-25%, \$30 for 26-50%,
731 \$40 for completing 51-85%, and \$50 for completing 86-100% of EMA assessments). Payments will be made in the
732 form of a gift card. Participants randomized to the intervention condition will receive a \$5 gift card for attending
733 each online intervention session (up to \$40 total). These amounts are deemed fair compensation for the amount
734 of time participants are asked to spend, without being large enough to be considered coercive. Gift cards will be
735 standard mailed or emailed to participants.

736
737 **C. Compensation Documentation**

738
739 All participant incentive payments will be fully documented on incentive logs, which will record the date, amount,
740 subject ID number, and name of the research staff distributing the payment for each incentive paid. Participants
741 will also be asked to initial the log entry indicating that they have received their payment. If an incentive is sent via
742 mail, a copy of the envelope with patient address on it, the mail tracking number, and photocopy of the gift card
743 sent will be kept in the patient file.

744
745 **4.9 Risks to Subjects**

746
747 **A. Description of Subject Risk**

748
749 This study involves accepted forms of treatment and assessment. Risks to subjects are minimal. The main risk
750 associated with the study is discomfort related to talking about personal issues in study assessments and group
751 sessions. However, participants do not have to talk about anything they do not want to. Loss of confidentiality is a
752 risk. However, group participants will be informed about the importance of confidentiality and study staff will be
753 trained to protect participant confidentiality. While we are not actively recruiting participants with an existing
754 psychological disorder, it is possible we enroll individuals who we subsequently find to be suicidal or have other
755 mental health problems. Personal information may be disclosed to a participant's clinic counselor, program
756 director, or other public safety of healthcare personnel if study staff believes, based upon information reported

757 during intervention sessions or through research assessments, that a participant may harm himself or herself or
758 others. Protocols have been developed to manage unexpected emergencies involving individuals with mental
759 health problems, as well as to manage risks associated with participant discomfort and loss of confidentiality. If the
760 study staff determine that the participant is a harm to him/herself or others to the extent that, based on the
761 implementation of the protocol, it is a new or worsening symptom, it will be considered an adverse event. If the
762 research staff determines that loss of confidentiality is required to protect the individual or others, the event will
763 be reported to the IRB and NIH as a serious adverse event.

764

765 **B. Procedures for Risks to Embryo, Fetus, and/or Pregnant Subjects**

766

767 N/A

768

769 **C. Risks to Non-Subjects**

770

771 N/A

772

773 **D. Assessment of Social Behavior Considerations**

774

775 **▪ Reasonably Foreseeable Risks**

776

777 A risk associated with this study is discomfort related to talking about personal or sensitive issues in study
778 assessments and group sessions. However, participants do not have to talk about anything they do not want to.
779 Loss of confidentiality, in general or about sensitive information like substance use, is a risk. While we are not
780 actively recruiting participants with an existing psychological disorder, it is possible we enroll individuals who we
781 subsequently find to be suicidal or have other mental health problems. Personal information may be disclosed to a
782 participant's clinic counselor, program director, or other public safety of healthcare personnel if study staff
783 believes, based upon information reported during intervention sessions or through research assessments, that a
784 participant may harm himself or herself or others. Protocols have been developed to manage unexpected
785 emergencies involving individuals with mental health problems, as well as to manage risks associated with
786 participant discomfort.

787

788 **▪ Risk Of Imposing an Intervention on Subject with Existing Condition.**

789

790 All interventions will be voluntary, and participants can discontinue at any time.

791

792 **▪ Other Foreseeable Risks**

793

794 N/A

795

796 **▪ Observation And Sensitive Information**

797

798 N/A

799

800 **E. Minimizing Risks**

801

802 During assessment sessions, participants will be told that they do not need to discuss topics or disclose any
803 information that makes them uncomfortable. The study clinicians and research assistant will be trained to deal
804 with any distress related to the study assessments or group sessions. Referrals for additional counseling or

805 psychiatric evaluation will be made if necessary. If a client expresses thoughts of harming himself or herself or
806 others either verbally or on the study measures, a written protocol has been developed and confidentiality may be
807 broken. Participants will be made aware during the consent process that confidentiality may be broken if the study
808 staff determine that he or she may be a risk to him or herself or others. A participant who endorses current
809 thoughts of harming himself, herself, or others will be assessed by study clinical staff and, if necessary, the
810 participant's substance abuse counselor and/or the clinical director of the clinic (through a video conference,
811 phone meeting, or in-person) to determine if the participant is safe or if further action needs to be taken to ensure
812 the safety of the participant or others. If a participant discloses information about harming him or herself or others
813 during a telephone or video interaction, Dr. Cooperman or Dr. Williams will be available by phone or in-person to
814 contact the participant for assessment or help the research staff assess the participant and determine appropriate
815 course of action. Written protocols have been established for these circumstances.

816
817 Group participants will be informed about the importance of confidentiality and study staff will be trained to
818 protect participant confidentiality. Data will be collected in private areas to prevent disclosure of information. Also,
819 to assure confidentiality, data and recordings will be secured in a database management system, password
820 protected files, and in secure file cabinets. Data collection forms, databases and recording will not include
821 identifiers other than a study ID code. The key to the code will be kept separately in a locked or password
822 protected file. Informed consent forms will be kept separated in a locked file in the same office. Three levels of
823 security are provided to prevent unauthorized persons from accessing data: password protection, computer or file
824 cabinet locks, and a locked office. In addition, we have a Certificate of Confidentiality from the National Institutes
825 of Health that protects study data from forced disclosure.

826 827 **F. Certificate of Confidentiality**

828
829 Since all NIH studies are automatically issued a Certificate of Confidentiality (COC), the study is already covered by
830 a COC. As of October 1, 2017, NIH funded researchers will no longer have to request a CoC, nor will they receive an
831 actual certificate. The CoC will be issued automatically to NIH funded grants, cooperative agreements, contracts
832 and intramural research projects research funded wholly or in part by the NIH that collects or uses identifiable,
833 sensitive information.

834 835 **G. Potential Benefits to Subjects**

836
837 Participants in the MORE study group will receive the benefit of free online group therapy sessions. Further,
838 participants who do not use illicit drugs or are better able to manage their chronic pain as a result of this study will
839 gain important health and quality of life benefits. Because the risk of receiving free group treatment is very small,
840 and the potential benefits for individual participants and society are quite large, the risk/benefit ratio is clearly
841 weighted on the side of the benefit for those randomized to the intervention condition. Further, if MORE is
842 ultimately found to be effective, in the future, it could help improve quality of life and prevent relapse for all
843 individuals with chronic pain and in methadone treatment, including all of the participants. However, this study
844 could also have no direct benefit to study participants.

845 846 **H. Provisions to Protect the Privacy Interests of Subjects**

847
848 Group participants will be informed about the importance of confidentiality and study staff will be trained to
849 protect participant confidentiality. Data will be collected in private areas or a HIPAA compliant video/phone
850 meeting to prevent disclosure of information. Also, to assure confidentiality, data and recordings will be secured in
851 a database management system, password protected files, and in secure file cabinets. Data collection forms,
852 databases and recording will not include identifiers other than a study ID code. The key to the code will be kept

853 separately in a locked file. Informed consent forms will be kept separated in a locked file in the same office. Three
854 levels of security are provided to prevent unauthorized persons from accessing data: password protection,
855 computer or file cabinet locks, and a locked office. Transmission of EMA data will be encrypted and data will not be
856 stored on participants' mobile phones. The REDCap system, a HIPAA compliant, secure system will be utilized to
857 collect and manage all EMA data and EMA data will not contain any identifying information. In addition, we will
858 obtain a Certificate of Confidentiality (COC) from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) that protects study data
859 from forced disclosure. NIH automatically issues a COC for all NIH funded research.

860

861 ***I. Research Team Access to Subject Data***

862

863 Study investigators and the research staff will have access to all data stored on stored in Qualtrics, REDCap, and
864 Box. All of these programs are HIPAA compliant and secure programs that allow storage and management of data
865 that will be accessible only to study investigators and research staff at Rutgers and Utah. All study data will be
866 anonymous and will contain no personal identifiers. Audio or video files will not have participants' names on them
867 and will be shared only among Utah and Rutgers research investigators and staff using Office 365 OneDrive or Box,
868 HIPAA compliant, secure systems.

869

870 **4.10 Secondary Data – Records/Chart Reviews/Databases/Tissue Banks/etc.**

871

872 N/A

873

874 **4.11 Chart/Record Review Selection**

875

876 N/A

877

878 **4.12 Secondary Specimen Collection**

879

880 N/A

881

882 **5.0 Special Considerations**

883

884

885 **5.1 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)**

886

887 We will be obtaining individually identifiable health Information associated with a HIPAA-covered component or
888 entity in the course of the research.

889

890 **5.2 Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)**

891

892 N/A

893

894 **5.3 NJ Access to Medical Research Act**

895

896 N/A

897

898 **5.4 Code of Federal Regulations Title 45 Part 46 (Vulnerable Populations)**

899

900 **A. "Special" Classes Of Subjects**

901
902 N/A

903 6.0 Research Data Protection and Reporting

905 6.1 Data Management and Confidentiality

906
907 **A. Data Analyses.** Analyses will be conducted on an intent-to-treat basis. We will first examine baseline between-
908 group differences on demographic and other key variables - those that show a difference of $p < .10$ will be entered
909 as covariates in the analyses below. Given that this RCT occurs within a naturalistic treatment context (i.e. MT
910 programs in which study participants will also be receiving usual care), group differences in usual treatment (e.g.,
911 methadone dose or number of TAU psychotherapy sessions) will be treated as covariates. To handle **missing data**,
912 we will conduct a series of sensitivity analyses using pattern-mixture (PM) approaches,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ assuming missing-at-
913 random (MAR),¹⁸ not-missing-at-random (nMAR) and/or a mix of nMAR and MAR, to assess plausible treatment
914 effects in the presence of missing data. Other PM approaches such as control-based pattern imputation approach
915 or the tipping-point approach will also be considered.¹⁹ The missing data handling strategy will be carefully
916 selected depending on the distributions, type, and mechanism of missing data (informative vs. non-informative).

918 **Analyses.**

919
920 **Hypothesis 1. (Primary Outcome)** MORE will result in less risk of relapse and methadone treatment drop-out. We
921 will use survival analysis (Kaplan Meier curves and marginal model analysis for multivariate survival data)²⁰ to test
922 effects of MORE vs. TAU on time until first lapse evidenced either on EMA, Addiction Severity Index, drug screen,
923 or medical records (whichever is first) and clinic report of date for treatment drop-out. Survival analysis will be run
924 once, at 16 weeks post-baseline. We will estimate the hazard ratios of drug use and treatment drop-out when
925 comparing MORE with TAU, controlling for covariates.

926
927 **Hypotheses 2.1 and 2.2 (Secondary Outcomes)**. MORE will result in more days of opioid and other drug abstinence
928 (or less days of use) than TAU. Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM)²¹ repeated measures analysis will be used.
929 Days of use/abstinence will be assessed via EMA, and number of drug use days in the past 30 days at follow-up. In
930 cases of conflicting findings across data sources, we will assume the highest number of days of use or lowest
931 number of abstinent days recorded for that period. Analyses will include group (MORE vs. TAU), time, and group by
932 time interactions as the fixed effects independent variables. Potential confounders that meet the $p < 0.10$ criterion
933 stated above will be entered as covariates. Linear contrasts will be constructed to test between-group differences
934 in “days of use” or “days of abstinence” separately at each timepoint. In comparing days of use, if a substantial
935 proportion of subjects do not use drugs, resulting in excessive zero days of use, we will use a two-part
936 logistic/linear analysis model.^{22,23}

937
938 **Hypotheses 2.3 (Secondary Outcomes)**. MORE will result in greater MT adherence and greater decreases in pain
939 severity, depression, and anxiety over time than TAU. We will use chi-square and logistic regression to compare
940 methadone adherence and GLMM to compare pain, depression, and anxiety between the treatment groups, using
941 the same analytic strategy described above.²¹

942 943 **B. Power Analysis**

944
945 We powered the R33 study based on data from prior research. We used a study of an mindfulness-based
946 intervention for substance users,⁴ which showed that the hazard ratio of relapse to drug use for the intervention
947 compared to TAU was 0.46. We thus assumed a similar, but more conservative hazard ratio of relapse to drug use
948 of MORE compared to TAU at about 0.55. (We are using a slightly more conservative hazard ratio than in the

949 original application due to the increased power obtained by reducing the number of treatment conditions from
950 three to two.) We also assumed that the median relapse time to drug use is 99 days, based on a prior study of
951 relapse to drug use in a general MT population.⁵ With 1.5 years of subject accrual and an additional follow-up of 16
952 weeks after the accrual interval, our study needs 47 subjects per group to test a hazard ratio of 0.55 when
953 comparing MORE to TAU, with 80% power and alpha=5% (two-sided). To account for attrition, we will recruit up to
954 85 subjects per group (a total of up to 184 subjects at a 1:1 ratio for MORE vs. TAU).

955 **C. Data Security**

956 All investigators and research staff will have undergone mandatory education in human research participant
957 protection. To assure confidentiality, data will be secured in a database management system, password protected
958 files, and in secure file cabinets. Data collection forms, databases, and recordings will not include identifiers other
959 than a study ID code. The key to the code will be kept separately in a locked and/or password protected file for no
960 longer than six years. Informed consent forms will be kept separately in a locked file in the same office. Three
961 levels of security are provided to prevent unauthorized persons from accessing the data: password protection,
962 computer or file cabinet locks, and a locked office. In addition, we have a Certificate of Confidentiality from the
963 National Institutes of Health that protects study data from subpoena. Access to data will be limited study
964 investigators and staff. Research data will be kept no longer than 10 years.

965 **D. Data Quality Control**

966 As we have done in prior studies, protocols will be developed during the initial start-up period that explicitly
967 describes the specific procedures related to data collection, entry, storage, and quality assurance for both study
968 conditions. Data will be collected by research staff in strict accordance with the study's protocols. All data collected
969 will be independently reviewed for quality and consistency by a member of the research team who was not
970 responsible for collecting the source data. The research staff will be trained to avoid omissions in data collection
971 and data entry. Computer entry protocols will be programmed to avoid accidental skipping of question items. We
972 will apply conditional formatting to datasheets to remove the possibility of out of range data. Data will be entered
973 directly into a secure Qualtrics or REDCap databases. If problems are noted in data entry (e.g., out of range values,
974 missing values), the Research Assistant, Study Coordinator, and Dr. Cooperman will investigate the root cause, and
975 solutions to rectify the problem will be generated and implemented.

976 **6.2 Data Security**

977 As noted above, to assure confidentiality, anonymous data and recordings of group sessions will be secured in a
978 database management system, password protected files, and in secure file cabinets. Data collection forms,
979 databases, and recordings will not include identifiers other than a study ID code. We will include all three levels of
980 security: password protection, computer or file cabinet locks, and a locked office. Participants' encrypted cognitive
981 task data will be housed on a secure server "using Secure Sockets Layer (SSL), the same technology used by online
982 shopping and banking web sites to protect sensitive information transmitted over the web"

983 **6.3 Data and Safety Monitoring**

984 **A. Periodic Data Evaluation**

985 The Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) will meet every 6 months to monitor and evaluate the safety of
986 participants throughout the course of the research study. The DSMB will:

- 996 • Assess the performance of the study with respect to participant recruitment, retention and follow-up,
997 protocol adherence, and data quality and completeness.
- 998 • Monitor interim data regarding the safety of the study regimes.
- 999 • Review and consider any protocol modifications or ancillary studies proposed by study investigators after the
1000 main trial begins to ensure that these do not negatively impact on the main trial.
- 1001 • Advise the Institutional Review Board as to whether the protocol should continue as scheduled or undergo a
1002 modification due to a finding from the monitoring process.

1003
1004 **B. Type of Data Evaluated**
1005

1006 The study investigators and the DSMB will be responsible for data safety and monitoring. The DSMB and the
1007 investigators will monitor the cumulative safety data during the period when participants are in the study. They
1008 will: 1) assess the performance of the study with respect to participant recruitment, retention and follow-up,
1009 protocol adherence, and data quality and completeness, to help ensure the likelihood of successful and timely trial
1010 completion; 2) monitor interim data regarding the safety of the study regimens; 3) review and consider any
1011 protocol modifications by the study investigators after the trial begins to ensure that these do not negatively
1012 impact on the study; and 4) advise the Institutional Review Board and NIH as to whether the protocol should
1013 continue as scheduled or undergo a modification due to a finding from the monitoring process.

1014
1015 **C. Collection of Safety Information**
1016

1017 Safety information will be collected during participant’s assessments, telephone calls with participants,
1018 conversations with clinic staff, and MORE group sessions.

1019
1020 **D. Frequency of Data Collection**
1021

1022 Safety data collection will begin with collection of the first baseline assessment and continue through the end of
1023 the follow-up data collection period (about 2-years total). In addition to using assessment data to monitor safety,
1024 study clinicians will record any adverse events that they become aware of during MORE group sessions and study
1025 Investigators will ask NBCC, JSAS, and the Lennard Clinic personnel, with participant consent, to notify the research
1026 team should they become aware that any study participant has been hospitalized or experienced any other
1027 adverse event. Such notifications will be requested throughout the study period. All adverse events will be
1028 recorded on spreadsheets and will include details of the adverse event and whether or not it was study-related.
1029 Numbers and types of events and other quantifiable event details will be entered into a database for analysis.

1030
1031 **E. Reviewer of Data**
1032

1033 The DSMB will meet every six months to monitor the cumulative safety data during the period when participants
1034 are in the study. The DSMB will monitor the study according to the guidelines specified in the study protocol and
1035 the operating procedures established at the initial DSMB meeting, unless the DSMB determines during the course
1036 of the trial that modification of the guidelines is in the best interest of the study and its participants. Such a
1037 decision may be based on new information that emerges during the course of the study (e.g., publication of the
1038 results of a similar study), realization of inappropriate initial study assumptions, or the occurrence of an
1039 unanticipated scenario. Considering the minimal risk nature of the intervention, we do not anticipate any serious
1040 adverse events that could trigger the immediate suspension of the research. However, the Investigators and the
1041 DSMB will monitor and evaluate the safety of participants throughout the course of the research study. The
1042 Independent Monitors will advise the Investigators and IRB as to whether the protocol should continue as
1043 scheduled, undergo a modification, or halt study activities due to a finding from the monitoring process. An interim

1044 analysis of the data may be conducted when 50% of the sample is accrued. If the results show statistically
1045 overwhelming significant differences between groups, the DSMB will consider the clinical meaning of the results
1046 and determine whether the study should be stopped.

1047

1048 **F. Schedule of Review of Cumulative Data**

1049

1050 Under supervision of the investigators, a Research Assistant or the Study Coordinator will conduct monthly
1051 descriptive summaries on all data to ensure their accuracy. This will not involve completing any statistical
1052 comparisons. If problems are noted in data entry (e.g., out of range values, missing values), the Research Assistant
1053 and the investigators will investigate the root cause, and solutions to rectify the problem.

1054

1055 **G. Tests for Safety Data**

1056

1057 Basic statistical tests, including frequency distributions, Anovas and t-tests, will be carried out, if necessary, to
1058 compare the control and intervention groups on numbers and types of adverse events within specific time frames
1059 in order to insure the safety of the intervention.

1060

1061 **H. Suspension of Research**

1062

1063 Considering the minimal risk nature of the intervention, we do not anticipate any serious adverse events that could
1064 trigger the immediate suspension of the research.

1065

1066 **6.4 Reporting Results**

1067

1068 **A. Sharing of Results with Subjects**

1069

1070 Participants will be provided with the study PI's name and contact information along with an estimate of when the
1071 study results will be available. Participants may contact the PI should they be interested in obtaining results of the
1072 study.

1073

1074 **B. Individual Results**

1075

1076 N/A

1077

1078 **C. Aggregate Results**

1079

1080 As noted above, subjects will be given the PI's name and contact information and encouraged to follow-up with
1081 the PI should they be interested in obtaining results of the study.

1082

1083 **D. Professional Reporting**

1084

1085 Study results will be described in reports to the funding agency and published in peer-reviewed journals. Findings
1086 may also be presented at professional meetings.

1087

1088 **6.5 Data Sharing**

1089

1090 Analyses of data generated from this project will be shared with the scientific community through publications in
1091 peer-reviewed journals and presentations at scientific meetings. Because we will be following study participants,

1092 we will be collecting identifying information. Even though the final dataset will be stripped of identifiers prior to
1093 release for sharing, we believe that there remains the possibility of deductive disclosure of participants with
1094 unusual characteristics. Thus, we will make the data and associated documentation available to research
1095 community scientists under a data-sharing agreement that provides for: 1) a commitment to using the data only
1096 for research purposes and not to identify any individual participant; 2) a commitment to securing the data using
1097 appropriate computer technology; and 3) a commitment to destroying or returning the data after analyses are
1098 completed. The study will be registered with clinicaltrials.gov
1099

1100 7.0 Data and/or Specimen Banking

1101 N/A
1102
1103

1104 8.0 Other Approvals/Authorizations

1105 N/A
1106
1107

1108 9.0 Bibliography

- 1109
1110 1. Bao YP, Liu ZM, Epstein DH, Du C, Shi J, Lu L. A meta-analysis of retention in methadone maintenance by dose
1111 and dosing strategy. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2009;35(1):28-33. doi:10.1080/00952990802342899
1112 2. Naji L, Dennis BB, Bawor M, et al. A prospective study to investigate predictors of relapse among patients with
1113 opioid use disorder treated with methadone. *Substance abuse: research and treatment*. 2016;10:9.
1114 3. Eyler EC. Chronic and acute pain and pain management for patients in methadone maintenance treatment. *The*
1115 *American journal on addictions*. 2013;22(1):75-83.
1116 4. Brewer DD, Catalano RF, Haggerty K, Gainey RR, Fleming CB. RESEARCH REPORT A meta-analysis of predictors of
1117 continued drug use during and after treatment for opiate addiction. *Addiction*. 1998;93(1):73-92.
1118 5. Mancino M, Curran G, Han X, Allee E, Humphreys K, Booth BM. Predictors of attrition from a national sample of
1119 methadone maintenance patients. *The American journal of drug and alcohol abuse*. 2010;36(3):155-160.
1120 6. Garland EL. *Mindfulness-Oriented Recovery Enhancement for Addiction, Stress, and Pain*. NASW Press; 2013.
1121 7. Cleeland C. *Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form (BPI-SF)*. 1994.
1122 8. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of
1123 patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*. Nov 1975;12(3):189-98.
1124 9. First M, Williams J, Karg R, Spitzer R. *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5—Research Version (SCID-5 for DSM-*
1125 *5, Research Version; SCID-5-RV)*. American Psychiatric Association; 2015.
1126 10. Schnurr P, Vielhauer M, Weathers F, Findler M. The Brief Trauma Questionnaire (BTQ) [Measurement
1127 instrument]. 1999;
1128 11. Schnurr PP, Spiro AI, Vielhauer MJ, Findler MN, Hamblen JL. Trauma in the lives of older men: Findings from the
1129 Normative Aging Study. *Journal of Clinical Geropsychology*. 2002;8:175-187.
1130 12. Brown TA, Chorpita BF, Korotitsch W, Barlow DH. Psychometric properties of the Depression Anxiety Stress
1131 Scales (DASS) in clinical samples. *Behav Res Ther*. Jan 1997;35(1):79-89.
1132 13. Brazier JE, Harper R, Jones NM, et al. Validating the SF-36 health survey questionnaire: new outcome measure
1133 for primary care. *BMJ*. Jul 18 1992;305(6846):160-4.
1134 14. Holm S. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*. 1979;6(2):65-
1135 70.
1136 15. Siddique J, Harel O, Crespi CM. Addressing missing data mechanism uncertainty using multiple-model multiple
1137 imputation: application to a longitudinal clinical trial. *The annals of applied statistics*. 2012;6(4):1814.

1138 16. Siddique J, Harel O, Crespi CM, Hedeker D. Binary variable multiple-model multiple imputation to address
1139 missing data mechanism uncertainty: application to a smoking cessation trial. *Statistics in medicine*.
1140 2014;33(17):3013-3028.
1141 17. Hedeker D, Mermelstein RJ, Demirtas H. Analysis of binary outcomes with missing data: missing= smoking, last
1142 observation carried forward, and a little multiple imputation. *Addiction*. 2007;102(10):1564-1573.
1143 18. Little RJ, Rubin DB. *Statistical analysis with missing data*. John Wiley & Sons; 2014.
1144 19. Carpenter J, Kenward M. *Multiple imputation and its application*. John Wiley & Sons; 2012.
1145 20. Spiekerman CF, Lin DY. Marginal regression models for multivariate failure time data. *Journal of the American*
1146 *Statistical Association* 1998;93(443):1164-1175.
1147 21. Fitzmaurice GM, Laird NM, Ware JH. *Applied longitudinal analysis*. vol 998. John Wiley & Sons; 2012.
1148 22. Lachenbruch PA. Comparisons of two-part models with competitors. *Statistics in medicine*. 2001;20(8):1215-
1149 1234.
1150 23. Lu SE, Lin Y, Shih WCJ. Analyzing excessive no changes in clinical trials with clustered data. *Biometrics*.
1151 2004;60(1):257-267.
1152