### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# **SUPPLEMENT SECTION 1: SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS**

#### (A) INDIVIDUAL DATASET DESCRIPTIONS

#### (i) Natural History Study (NHS)

The Natural History Study (NHS) is a population-based prospective study carried out in Guanacaste Costa Rica between 1993 and 2000<sup>1</sup>. This cohort enrolled women followed in either an active cohort with visits every 6-12 months or a passive cohort screened once during follow-up between 5-7 years after enrollment. Screening visits included collection of specimens for cytology, human papillomavirus (HPV) testing, and digital images, while histology was collected among women with abnormal colposcopic evaluation. Cytology was assessed via both conventional and liquid-based methods as well as a first-generation automated approach. HPV testing by MY09/MY11 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) consensus primers was performed on samples collected by Dacron swabs, however, these results were not used for colposcopy referral during the study. Two cervical images per visit were collected at each screening visit using a Cervigram cerviscope, which were later digitized and compressed for storage <sup>2</sup>.

# (ii) ASCUS/LSIL Triage Study for Cervical Cancer (ALTS)

The ASCUS/LSIL Triage Study for Cervical Cancer (ALTS) is a multi-center randomized trial of US women conducted between 1996 and 2000. This study enrolled women attending colposcopy clinics with referral cytology of either atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS) or low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL). Women were followed for 2 years with screening visits every 6 months. Screening visit specimen collection included two cervical specimens, one for liquid-based cytology and one for HPV testing, as well as cervical images. Referral to colposcopy and histologic sampling varied by study visit, including enrollment referral following the referral cytology result as well as the randomized HPV result, referral from follow-up visit due to high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) cytology, and exit colposcopy for all women. Type-specific HPV results were not used for patient management <sup>3</sup>. Cytologic diagnosis were based on ThinPrep slides created from

cytobrush collected exfoliated cells eluted into PreservCyt-media specimens, with both clinical and quality control (QC) evaluations performed. HPV typing was performed by PCR on specimens collected in PreservCyt. A cerviscope was used to collect two images per screening visit and were later converted to a digital format in the same process used for NHS images.

## (iii) Costa Rica Vaccine Trial (CVT)

The CVT study is a double-blind, controlled, randomized, phase III study of the efficacy of an HPV16/18 virus-like particle (VLP) vaccine in the prevention of advanced cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) 2, CIN3, adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS) and invasive cervical cancer) associated with HPV 16 or HPV 18 cervical infection in healthy young adult women in Costa Rica, Guanacaste, and parts of the Puntarenas provinces <sup>4</sup>. Women were randomized to either the HPV16/18 or control group and followed up for 4 years as part of this study. Images were collected from women who were only referred for colposcopic evaluation, who remained at colposcopy until they had two consecutive results within normal limits. Images were acquired using a Nikon digital single-lens reflex (DSLR) camera with a beam splitter of colposcopy imaging and were subsequently collected using a boundary marking tool.

#### (iv) Biopsy study (Biop):

The Biopsy Study (Biop) was a population-based study of women referred to colposcopy for abnormal cervical cancer screening results conducted at the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center (OUHSC) from February 2009 to August 2011, designed with the goal of utilizing biopsies to improve detection of cervical precancer. HPV testing was conducted via the LINEAR ARRAY® multiplexed PCR-based assay. Histologic interpretation of biopsy and LEEP specimens was conducted using CIN terminologies. All women enrolled in the study had a colposcopy performed and at least one biopsy. Images were acquired using a Nikon DSLR camera with a beam splitter of colposcopy imaging and were subsequently annotated and collected using the boundary marking tool <sup>5</sup>.

# (v) Biopsy Study – Europe (D Biop)

Fifth, we used data and images from a European study (D Biop) designed to investigate high-risk HPV genotypes in women with histologic CIN2/3 referred on the basis of abnormal cytology. HPV typing was done on cytology and CIN2/3 biopsies. If the whole-tissue section of the biopsy was positive for multiple high-risk HPV types, LCM-PCR was performed. Images were acquired using a DSLR camera <sup>6</sup>.

Histolog	Catalana	T TDX/	Study										
Histology	Cytology	HPV	NHS	ALTS	CVT	Biop	D Biop						
Cancer			Cancer	Cancer	Cancer	Cancer	Cancer						
CIN3/AIS			Precancer	Precancer	Precancer	Precancer	Precancer						
		Onco+	Precancer	Precancer	Precancer	Precancer	Precancer						
CIN2		Onco-	Gray High										
		Missing	Gray High	Gray High		Gray High	Gray High						
CIN1		Onco+	Gray Middle										
Normal or	Multiple HSH	HPV16+	Precancer	_									
	Multiple HSIL	Onco+, not HPV16	Gray High										
		Onco+	Gray Middle	Gray High	Gray High	Gray High	Gray High						
	HSIL	Onco-	Gray Low										
		Missing	Gray Low	Gray High	Gray High		Gray High						
	ASCUS/LSIL	Onco+	Gray Middle										
	LSIL	Onco-	Gray Low										
no histology	ASCUS	Onco-	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal						
	ASCUS	Missing	Normal	Gray Low	Gray Low		Gray Low						
		Onco+	Gray Low										
	NILM	Onco-	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal						
		Missing		Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal						
	Missing	Onco+					Gray Low						
	Missing	Onco-					Normal						

**Supplementary Table 1.** Detailed breakdown of ground truth definitions by study.

		S	uppleme	ntary Table 2:					set (train	, validation, tes	st 1 or te	est 2), study an				
	GROUND TRUTH CATEGORIES										GRAND TOTAL BY STUDY					
STUDY	no. (%) (n=17013, n=9462)												2)			
STODI		Normal (n=1)	1630, n <b></b>	6092)	Gray Zone (n=3586, n=2314)				Precancer+ (n=1797, n=1056)				no. (%)			
	#1	# images # women		# images			# women		# images		# women		# images		# women	
								Train Set								
NHS	5407	(77.4%)	2711	(74.2%)	330	(15.3%)	165	(11.9%)	206	(19.0%)	104	(16.4%)	5943	(58.1%)	2980	(52.4%)
ALTS	1129	(16.2%)	566	(15.5%)	853	(39.6%)	430	(30.9%)	434	(40.1%)	218	(34.3%)	2416	(23.6%)	1214	(21.4%)
CVT	253	(3.6%)	253	(6.9%)	336	(15.6%)	335	(24.1%)	121	(11.2%)	119	(18.7%)	710	(6.9%)	707	(12.4%)
Biop	93	(1.3%)	40	(1.1%)	192	(8.9%)	88	(6.3%)	164	(15.2%)	79	(12.4%)	449	(4.4%)	207	(3.6%)
D Biop	105	(1.5%)	85	(2.3%)	444	(20.6%)	374	(26.9%)	157	(14.5%)	116	(18.2%)	706	(6.9%)	575	(10.1%)
TOTAL	6987	(100.0%)	3655	(100.0%)	2155	(100.0%)	1392	(100.0%)	1082	(100.0%)	636	(100.0%)	10224	(100.0%)	5683	(100.0%)
(a)	68.3% 64.3%		21.1% 24.5%				10.6%		11.2%	100.0%		100.0%				
(b)												60.1%		60.1%		
								Validation Se								
NHS	903	(77.6%)	452	(73.6%)	55	(15.1%)	28	(12.3%)	34	(19.2%)	17	(16.7%)	992	(58.2%)	497	(52.6%)
ALTS	187	(16.1%)	94	(15.3%)	142	(39.0%)	71	(31.1%)	72	(40.7%)	36	(35.3%)	401	(23.5%)	201	(21.3%)
CVT	48	(4.1%)	48	(7.8%)	53	(14.6%)	53	(23.2%)	17	(9.6%)	17	(16.7%)	118	(6.9%)	118	(12.5%)
Biop	10	(0.9%)	6	(1.0%)	35	(9.6%)	14	(6.1%)	29	(16.4%)	13	(12.7%)	74	(4.3%)	33	(3.5%)
D Biop	15	(1.3%)	14	(2.3%)	79	(21.7%)	62	(27.2%)	25	(14.1%)	19	(18.6%)	119	(7.0%)	95	(10.1%)
TOTAL	1163	(100.0%)	614	(100.0%)	364	(100.0%)	228	(100.0%)	177	(100.0%)	102	(100.0%)	1704	(100.0%)	944	(100.0%)
(a)	6	8.3%		65.0%		21.4%		24.2%		10.4%		10.8%		)0.0%		100.0%
<i>(b)</i>													1	0.0%		10.0%
	4=00	(== 0)	0.00	(= 1 - 1 - 1)	100	(17.0)		Test Set 1	= 0	(10.1)	0	(1.2.2)	10=0	(50.4)	0.00	(********
NHS	1798	(77.3%)	903	(74.1%)	108	(15.3%)	55	(11.9%)	70	(19.1%)	35	(16.2%)	1976	(58.1%)	993	(52.3%)
ALTS	376	(16.2%)	189	(15.5%)	285	(40.3%)	143	(31.0%)	146	(39.8%)	73	(33.8%)	807	(23.7%)	405	(21.3%)
CVT	86	(3.7%)	86	(7.1%)	110	(15.6%)	110	(23.8%)	42	(11.4%)	42	(19.4%)	238	(7.0%)	238	(12.5%)
Biop	30	(1.3%)	13	(1.1%)	60	(8.5%)	29	(6.3%)	55	(15.0%)	27	(12.5%)	145	(4.3%)	69	(3.6%)
D Biop	35	(1.5%)	28	(2.3%)	144	(20.4%)	125	(27.1%)	54	(14.7%)	39	(18.1%)	233	(6.9%)	192	(10.1%)
TOTAL	2325	(100.0%)	1219	(100.0%)	707 (100.0%) 462 (100.0%)				367 (100.0%) 216 (100.0%)				3399 (100.0%)		1897 (100.0%)	
(a) (b)	68.4% 64.3%		20.8% 24.4%				10.8% 11.4%			100.0% 20.0%		100.0% 20.0%				
(D)								Test Set 2					2	0.0%		20.0%
NHS	902	(78.1%)	452	(74.8%)	54	(15.0%)	27	(11.6%)	34	(19.9%)	17	(16.7%)	990	(58.7%)	496	(52.9%)
ALTS	902 187	(76.1%) (16.2%)	432 94	(74.8%) (15.6%)	54 144	(40.0%)	72	(31.0%)	54 72	(19.9%)	36	(35.3%)	403	(38.7%) (23.9%)	490 202	(32.9%) (21.5%)
CVT	37	(3.2%)	94 37	(6.1%)	56	(40.0%) (15.6%)	56	(24.1%)	17	(42.1%)	17	(16.7%)	110	(23.9%) (6.5%)	110	(21.5%)
Biop	14	(3.2%)	7	(0.1%) (1.2%)	28	(13.0%)	15	(24.1%) (6.5%)	27	(9.9%)	17	(10.7%)	69	(0.5%) (4.1%)	35	(3.7%)
D Biop	14	(1.2%) (1.3%)	14	(1.2%)	28 78	(7.8%)	62	(0.5%)	27	(12.3%)	13	(12.7%) (18.6%)	114	(4.1%) (6.8%)	95	(10.1%)
TOTAL	1155	(1.0%)	604	(100.0%)	360	(100.0%)	232	(100.0%)	171	(12.3%)	102	(100.0%)	1686	(100.0%)	938	(100.0%)
(a)		(100.0%) 68.5%		64.4%		21.4%	232 (100.0%)		10.1%		10.9%		100.0%		100.0%	
(a) (b)									10.070	-	)0.0% ).9%	9.9%				
						GRA	ND TO	TAL BY CRC		RUTH						5.570
	GRAND TOTAL BY GROUND TRU   11630 6092 3586 2314 179							1797 1056				7013	9462			
no. (%)		68.4%)	(64.4%)		(21.1%) $(24.5%)$		(	(10.6%) $(11.2%)$			(100.0%)		(100.0%)			
Supplement		. ,			-study dataset by set (train_validation_test 1									( /··/		

Supplementary Table 2: Detailed breakdown of full 5-study dataset by set (train, validation, test 1, test 2), study and ground truth. n=total # images;  $n_*=$ total # women; (a) Ground truth ratios (by images or women) within each set (train/validation/test 1/test 2) = Total # (images or women) in the ground truth category of set  $\div$  Total # (images or women) in the set; (b) Proportion of total (images or women) in each set (train/validation/test 1/test 2) = Total # (images or women) in the set;  $\div$  Total # (images or women) in the full dataset.

Supplem	nentary T	able 3: Detail	ed break	down of reba	lanced d	ataset after app			<mark>s" balan</mark>	cing strategy, h	<mark>by set (</mark> t	rain, validatio	n, test 1 o	or test 2), stud	ly and gr	ound truth	
	Ground truth categories											GRAND TOTAL BY STUDY					
STUDY					no. (%)										=17013, n <b>.=</b> 9462)		
STODI	Normal (n=11630, n=6092)			Gray Zone (n=3586, n=2314)				Precancer+ (n=1797, n=1056)				no. (%					
	# images		# women		# images		# women		# images		# women		# images		# women		
			-				-	Train Set									
NHS	1887	(77.6%)	946	(74.4%)	330	(15.3%)	165	(11.9%)	206	(19.0%)	104	(16.4%)	2423	(42.7%)	1215	(36.8%)	
ALTS	387	(15.9%)	194	(15.3%)	853	(39.6%)	430	(30.9%)	434	(40.1%)	218	(34.3%)	1674	(29.5%)	842	(25.5%)	
CVT	88	(3.6%)	88	(6.9%)	336	(15.6%)	335	(24.1%)	121	(11.2%)	119	(18.7%)	545	(9.6%)	542	(16.4%)	
Biop	35	(1.4%)	13	(1.0%)	192	(8.9%)	88	(6.3%)	164	(15.2%)	79	(12.4%)	391	(6.9%)	180	(5.5%)	
D Biop	35	(1.4%)	31	(2.4%)	444	(20.6%)	374	(26.9%)	157	(14.5%)	116	(18.2%)	636	(11.2%)	521	(15.8%)	
TOTAL	2432	(100.0%)	1272	(100.0%)	2155	(100.0%)	1392	(100.0%)	1082	(100.0%)	636	(100.0%)	5669	(100.0%)	3300	(100.0%)	
(a)	42.9% 38.5%		38.0% 42.2%				19.1% 19.3%			100.0%		100.0%					
<i>(b)</i>										i i	33.3%	34.9%					
								Validation Set	1								
NHS	291	(76.0%)	146	(71.6%)	55	(15.1%)	28	(12.3%)	34	(19.2%)	17	(16.7%)	380	(41.1%)	191	(35.8%)	
ALTS	65	(17.0%)	33	(16.2%)	142	(39.0%)	71	(31.1%)	72	(40.7%)	36	(35.3%)	279	(30.2%)	140	(26.2%)	
CVT	19	(5.0%)	19	(9.3%)	53	(14.6%)	53	(23.2%)	17	(9.6%)	17	(16.7%)	89	(9.6%)	89	(16.7%)	
Biop	4	(1.0%)	2	(1.0%)	35	(9.6%)	14	(6.1%)	29	(16.4%)	13	(12.7%)	68	(7.4%)	29	(5.4%)	
D Biop	4	(1.0%)	4	(2.0%)	79	(21.7%)	62	(27.2%)	25	(14.1%)	19	(18.6%)	108	(11.7%)	85	(15.9%)	
TOTAL	383	(100.0%)	204	(100.0%)	364	(100.0%)	228	(100.0%)	177	(100.0%)	102	(100.0%)	924	(100.0%)	534	(100.0%)	
(a)	4	41.5%		38.2%		39.4%		42.7%		19.2%		19.1%		00.0%		100.0%	
(b)														5.4%		5.6%	
			00=1	(= 1, 4,)	100	(17.0)		Test Set 1	<b>—</b> •	(10.1)	<u> </u>	(1.2.2)	0100	(20.0)	0.0.0.4	(27.2.3)	
NHS	5930	(77.4%)	2974	(74.1%)	108	(15.3%)	55	(11.9%)	70	(19.1%)	35	(16.2%)	6108	(69.9%)	3064	(65.3%)	
ALTS	1240	(16.2%)	622	(15.5%)	285	(40.3%)	143	(31.0%)	146	(39.8%)	73	(33.8%)	1671	(19.1%)	838	(17.9%)	
CVT	280	(3.7%)	280	(7.0%)	110	(15.6%)	110	(23.8%)	42	(11.4%)	42	(19.4%)	432	(4.9%)	432	(9.2%)	
Biop	94	(1.2%)	44	(1.1%)	60	(8.5%)	29	(6.3%)	55	(15.0%)	27	(12.5%)	209	(2.4%)	100	(2.1%)	
D Biop TOTAL	116 7660	(1.5%)	92 4012	(2.3%)	144	(20.4%)	125	(27.1%)	54 367	(14.7%)	39 216	(18.1%)	314 8734	(3.6%)	256	(5.5%)	
					707 (100.0%) 462 (100.0%)					4.2% 4.6%				8734 (100.0%) 100.0%		4690 (100.0%) 100.0%	
(a) (b)	87.7% 85.5%		83.3%	8.1% 9.9%			4.2% 4.0%			51.3%		49.6%					
(D)								Test Set 2					, i	01.3%		49.0%	
NHS	902	(78.1%)	452	(74.8%)	54	(15.0%)	27	(11.6%)	34	(19.9%)	17	(16.7%)	990	(58.7%)	496	(52.9%)	
ALTS	902 187	(16.2%)	432 94	(74.6%) (15.6%)	54 144	(13.0%)	72	(31.0%)	54 72	(19.9%) (42.1%)	36	(35.3%)	990 403	(38.7%) (23.9%)	490 202	(32.9%) (21.5%)	
CVT	37	(3.2%)	94 37	(13.0%)	144 56	(40.0%) (15.6%)	56	(31.0%)	17	(42.1%)	- 30 - 17	(35.3%) (16.7%)	403 110	(23.9%) (6.5%)	110	(21.5%) (11.7%)	
Biop	- 37 - 14	(3.2%) (1.2%)	37 7	(0.1%) (1.2%)	28	(13.0%)	15	(24.1%) (6.5%)	27	(9.9%) (15.8%)	17	(10.7%) (12.7%)	69	(0.5%) (4.1%)	35	(3.7%)	
D Biop	14	(1.2%) (1.3%)	14	(1.2%)	20 78	(7.8%)	62	(0.5%)	27	(12.3%)	13	(12.7%) (18.6%)	114	(4.1%)	95	(10.1%)	
TOTAL	1155	(1.3%)	604	(100.0%)	360	(100.0%)	232	(100.0%)	171	(12.3%)	102	(100.0%)	1686	(100.0%)	938	(10.1%)	
(a)		58.5%		64.4%		21.4%		24.7%		10.1%	102	10.9%		00.0%		100.0%	
(a) (b)	00.0/0 01.1/0 21.1/0 21.1/0 10.1/0 10.3/0								10.370	9.9%		9.9%					
						CRAN	ID TOT	AL BY GRO		RUTH				5.570		5.570	
	-	11630		6092		3586		2314		1797		1056	-	17013		9462	
no. (%)	(68.4%) (64.4%)		(21.1%) $(24.5%)$				(10.6%) $(11.2%)$						100.0%)				
Supplementer	、 、	1.57						ontrols" halancing strategy by set (train validation test 1 test 9									

Supplementary Table 3: Detailed breakdown of rebalanced dataset after "remove controls" balancing strategy, by set (train, validation, test 1, test 2), study and ground truth. n=total # images; n=total # women; (a) Ground truth ratios (by images or women) within each set (train/validation/test 1/test 2) = Total # (images or women) in the ground truth category of set ÷ Total # (images or women) in the set; (b) Proportion of total (images or women) in each set (train/validation/test 1/test 2) = Total # (images or women) in the set ÷ Total # (images or women) in the full dataset.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Herrero, R. *et al.* Design and methods of a population-based natural history study of cervical neoplasia in a rural province of Costa Rica: the Guanacaste Project. *Rev. Panam. Salud Publica* **1**, 411–425 (1997).
- 2. Rodriguez, A. C. *et al.* Longitudinal study of human papillomavirus persistence and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2/3: critical role of duration of infection. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **102**, 315–324 (2010).
- 3. ASCUS-LSIL Traige Study (ALTS) Group. A randomized trial on the management of low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion cytology interpretations. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **188**, 1393–1400 (2003).
- 4. Herrero, R. *et al.* Prevention of persistent human papillomavirus infection by an HPV16/18 vaccine: a community-based randomized clinical trial in Guanacaste, Costa Rica. *Cancer Discov.* **1**, 408–419 (2011).
- 5. Wentzensen, N. *et al.* Performance of p16/Ki-67 immunostaining to detect cervical cancer precursors in a colposcopy referral population. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **18**, 4154–4162 (2012).
- Van Der Marel, J. *et al.* Attributing oncogenic human papillomavirus genotypes to high-grade cervical neoplasia: which type causes the lesion? *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **39**, 496–504 (2015).