1	CLINICAL RESEARCH ARTICLE
2	Effects of dulaglutide on alcohol consumption during smoking cessation
3	Authors: Leila Probst <sup>1,2</sup> , Sophie Monnerat <sup>1,2</sup> , Deborah R. Vogt <sup>2</sup> , Sophia Lengsfeld <sup>1,2</sup> , Thilo Burkard <sup>3,4</sup> ,
4	Andrea Meienberg <sup>3,4</sup> , Cemile Bathelt <sup>1,2</sup> , Mirjam Christ-Crain <sup>1,2</sup> and Bettina Winzeler <sup>1,2</sup>
5	Affiliations
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Endocrinology, Diabetology and Metabolism, University Hospital Basel, Basel,
7	Switzerland
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Research, University of Basel and University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland
9	<sup>3</sup> Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

10 <sup>4</sup> Medical Outpatient Department, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

# 11 Table of Contents

12	Methods	. 3
13	Statistical analysis	. 3
14	Supplemental analysis	. 3
15	Correlation between weight change and alcohol	. 3
16	Model outputs	. 4
17	Alcohol consumption	. 4
18	Tables	. 5
19	Supplementary Table S1: Baseline participant characteristics	. 5
20	References	. 6
21		

22

### 23 Methods

#### 24 Statistical analysis

25 The primary outcome, differences in total amount of alcohol intake in glasses per week at week 12, was 26 analyzed using a generalized linear model with treatment (dulaglutide vs. placebo) and baseline alcohol 27 consumption as predictors (basic model). A quasipoisson error distribution was used to account for 28 overdispersion. The interaction between treatment and baseline alcohol consumption was examined 29 by adding the interaction term to the basic model. The significance of the interaction term was defined 30 as p<0.05. The basic model for the primary outcome was further adjusted for baseline demographics 31 (i.e., sex, age, level of education, baseline drug abuse, baseline BMI category) and the generalized linear 32 model with the lowest quasi Akaike Information Criterion (qAIC) by backward selection using the bbmle 33 package (1) was kept as final adjusted model. Treatment allocation and baseline alcohol consumption 34 were used as fixed predictors, further adjusting for level of education had the lowest qAIC.

35 To analyze the correlation between changes in consumption of alcohol and smoking status at week 12, 36 the point biserial correlation coefficient was calculated. Patients with missing values on smoking status 37 at week 12 were counted as "still smoking". Differences in smoking abstinence rates between baseline 38 alcohol consumers vs. non-consumers were analyzed using a Chi-squared test. For this analysis, the full 39 analysis set (n=255) was used. Baseline characteristics and abstinence rates from nicotine at week 12 of 40 patients who started drinking were summarized using descriptive statistics. Fisher's exact test was used 41 to test for group differences in proportions of participants who either started or stopped consuming 42 drugs, who did not consume at either time point (baseline and week 12) or who consumed at both time 43 points. For this analysis, a different set was used which consisted of all participants with data on drug 44 consumption at baseline and week 12 (n=230).

#### 45 Supplemental analysis

- 46 Correlation between weight change and alcohol
- 47 The correlation between changes in alcohol consumption and weight was estimated using Spearman's
- 48 rank correlation coefficient. Our data suggest that there was no correlation (rho -0.09; p=0.3)

	Generalized linear models	s: Output	
	Dependent var	riable: alcohol consum (glasses per week)	ption at week 12
	basic	adjusted	with interaction
Dulaglutide	$0.71 \ (0.52, 0.97)$	0.64 (0.47, 0.86) n = 0.004	0.58 (0.37, 0.89)
Baseline alcohol consumption	P = 0.07 1.06 (1.05, 1.07) n = 0.00	P = 0.007 1.06 (1.05, 1.07) P = 0.00	p = 0.02 1.06 (1.04, 1.07) n = 0.00
No higher Education		p = 0.63 (0.47, 0.84) p = 0.003	2
Interaction: treatment!baseline alcohol consump	tion	ſ	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
Intercept	3.56 (2.79, 4.48) p = 0.00	4.52 (3.47, 5.81) p = 0.00	p = 0.19 3.67 (2.87, 4.63) p = 0.00
Observations	151	151	151

## 49 Model outputs

50 Alcohol consumption

### 52 Tables

### **53** Supplementary Table S1: Baseline participant characteristics

54 Data describe the full set and are given as medians [IQR] or numbers (%).

	Overall (n=255)	Non-drinkers (n=96)	Drinkers (n=159)
Age	43.0 [33.0, 53.0]	43.0 [33.0, 53.0]	43.0 [33.0, 53.5]
Female sex	155 (60.8)	58 (60.4)	97 (61.0)
Ethnicity			
Caucasian	247 (98.0)	90 (96.8)	157 (98.7)
African	2 (0.8)	1 (1.1)	1 (0.6)
Hispanic	3 (1.2)	2 (2.2)	1 (0.6)
Higher education	151 (59.2)	68 (70.8)	83 (52.2)
BMI category			
normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9)	13 (5.7)	6 (6.5)	7 (5.1)
overweight (BMI 25-29.9)	12 (5.2)	6 (6.5)	6 (4.4)
obese (BMI >29.9)	204 (89.1)	81 (87.1)	123 (90.4)
Somatic comorbidities			
Pulmonal disease	57 (22.4)	20 (20.8)	37 (23.3)
Cardiovascular disease	70 (27.5)	30 (31.2)	40 (25.2)
Cancer	17 (6.7)	6 (6.2)	11 (6.9)
Gastrointestinal disease	28 (11.0)	8 (8.3)	20 (12.6)
Metabolic disease	48 (18.9)	24 (25.3)	24 (15.1)
Neurological disease	25 (9.8)	8 (8.3)	17 (10.7)
Psychiatric disease	71 (27.8)	25 (26.0)	46 (28.9)
Nicotine consumption			
Pack years	20.0 [11.0, 35.0]	19.5 [10.8, 38.0]	20.0 [11.0, 35.0]
Cigarettes per day	20.0 [15.0, 20.0]	20.0 [15.0, 25.0]	20.0 [15.0, 20.0]
Fagerstroem score	7.0 [6.0, 8.0]	7.0 [6.0, 8.0]	7.0 [6.0, 8.0]
Substance abuse	24 (9.4)	7 (7.3)	17 (10.7)
	1000000000000000000	0.031/25/25/25/20	200 TA 2017 (CON 200 )

55

# 56 References

57	1.	Bolker B, R Dev	velopment Core	Team. bbr	nle: Tools for	General Maxir	num Like	lihood
58		Estimation.	Published	2022.	Accessed	January	17,	2023.
59		https://github.c	om/bbolker/bbr	nle				