nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Chirag Patel, Alicia Martin
Last updated by author(s):	10/06/2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Please do not complete any field with "not applicable" or n/a. Refer to the help text for what text to use if an item is not relevant to your study. For final submission: please carefully check your responses for accuracy; you will not be able to make changes later.

_				
5	ta:	t١	c†	ics

For	all statistical an	alyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed	
	X The exact	sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🔀 A stateme	nt on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statist Only comm	tical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided on tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	X A descript	ion of all covariates tested
	🔀 A descript	ion of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full desc	cription of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) tion (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hy Give P value	pothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted as as exact values whenever suitable.
X	For Bayesi	ian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
X	For hierar	chical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	X Estimates	of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	'	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
So	ftware and	d code Text
Poli	cy information a	about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Da	ata collection	No software for data collection was used
Da	ata analysis	We conducted all analyses in R version 3.5.1. No custom code was developed for this project. To derive SERS, we used the previously developed PXStools software download from https://github.com/yixuanh/PXStools. To calculate PGS, we used PLINK1.90 downloaded from https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/.
		custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

UK Biobank data are available by application via https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/. Individual-level genotype data from the UK Biobanka are available under restricted access to preserve participant privacy. Access can be obtained by researchers through the UK Biobank Data Analysis Platform. The data for this project were accessed through approved protocol 22881. Weights for the composite polygenic risk score were downloaded from http://www.copdconsortium.org/polygenic-risk-score

and the second s	· ·			
esearch involving	human nartici	nanta thair a	lata or biologica	l matarial
-2641 (11 11170171118	HUHIAH DALUCI	oanis, men o	iara. Or Diologica	rmarenar

	studies with <u>human participants or human data</u> . See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation</u> d race, ethnicity and racism.
Reporting on sex and	ender Biological sex was included as a covariate in our analysis
Reporting on race, et other socially relevan groupings	icity, or We compared the performance of risk models between different populations baces on derived genetic ancestry.
Population character	We used data from the UK Biobank, which recruited 502,617 people aged 40 to 69 years of age from the general population across the United Kingdom. In total, we considered 320,115 indviduals (52.8% female) without COPD at baseline.
Recruitment	Participants were recruited at UK Biobank recruitment sites.
Ethics oversight	All UKB participants provided written informed consent. The study was granted ethical approval by the North West Multi Center Ethics committee
Note that full information	the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
-ield-speci	c reporting
•	w that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selections
✓ Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences
or a reference copy of the do	ment with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>
<u>-ife scienc</u>	s study design
All studies must disclos	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size V	considered 320,115 unrelated participants without COPD at baseline.
Data exclusions Inc	luals were excluded from our analyses if they had a previous/current diagnosis of COPD, missing covariate data, missing diagnosis or followup time, or were re-
Replication	olication cohort is included in this study. We evaluated the performance of our scores in a held-out sample of 84,998 individuals of diverse ancestry background
Randomization Inc	luals of European ancestry were randomly assignemtn for association testing, derivation, or evaluation. All non-Europen ancestry indvivduals were used for evaluation.
Blinding	ng behavior factors were blinded when assigned to association testing, derivation, or evaluation subgroups.
3ehavioura	& social sciences study design
	on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Study description	
Research sample	
Sampling strategy	
Data collection	
Timing	
Data exclusions	
Non-participation	
Randomization	

Fcological e	volutionary	& environmental sciences study design
All studies must disclose on		
Study description		
Research sample		
Sampling strategy		
Data collection		
Timing and spatial scale		
Data exclusions		
Reproducibility		
Randomization		
Blinding		
Did the study involve field	d work? Yes	No
Field work, collect	tion and transpo	rt
Field conditions		
Location		
Access & import/export		
Disturbance		
	<u> </u>	aterials, systems and methods materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material,
		e not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.
Materials & experime	ntal systems	Methods
n/a Involved in the study		n/a Involved in the study
Antibodies		X ChIP-seq
Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry
Antibodies Eukaryotic cell lines Palaeontology and a Animals and other o Clinical data		MRI-based neuroimaging
Animals and other o	ı Barlısırıs	
Dual use research of	f concern	
Plants		

Antibodies

Antibodies used	
Validation	

Eukaryotic cell line	S
Policy information about <u>cell</u>	lines and Sex and Gender in Research
Cell line source(s)	
Authentication	
Mycoplasma contaminatio	n
Commonly misidentified lir (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	nes
Palaeontology and	Archaeology
Specimen provenance	
Specimen deposition	
Dating methods	
Tick this box to confirm	that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.
Ethics oversight	
Note that full information on the	e approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
Animals and other	research organisms
Policy information about <u>stud</u> <u>Research</u>	dies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in
Laboratory animals	
Wild animals	
Reporting on sex	
Field-collected samples	
Ethics oversight	
Note that full information on the	e approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
Clinical data	
Policy information about <u>clin</u> All manuscripts should comply w	ical studies vith the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.
Clinical trial registration	
Study protocol	
Data collection	
Outcomes	

Dual use research of concern

Policy information about <u>dual use research of concern</u>

Hazards

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:

No Yes	
Public health	
National security	
Crops and/or livestock	
Ecosystems	
Any other significant a	rea
Experiments of concern	
Does the work involve any of	f these experiments of concern:
No Yes	
Demonstrate how to re	ender a vaccine ineffective
	nerapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents
	of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent
Increase transmissibilit Alter the host range of	
	rnostic/detection modalities
	tion of a biological agent or toxin
	narmful combination of experiments and agents
Plants	
Seed stocks	
Novel plant genotypes	
Authentication	
ChIP-seq	
-	
Data deposition	nd final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as <u>GEO</u> .
	eposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.
Data access links May remain private before publicatio	on.
Files in database submission	
Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u>)	
Methodology	
Replicates	
Sequencing depth	
Antibodies	
Peak calling parameters	
Data quality	
Software	

low Cytometry
Confirm that: The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC). The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers). All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots. A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.
1ethodology
Sample preparation
Instrument
Software
Cell population abundance
Gating strategy
Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.
As a still resonance imaging
Magnetic resonance imaging
xperimental design
Design type
Design specifications
Behavioral performance measures
Imaging type(s)
Field strength
Sequence & imaging parameters
Area of acquisition
Diffusion MRI Used Not used
reprocessing
Preprocessing software
Normalization
Normalization template
Noise and artifact removal
Volume censoring
tatistical modeling & inference
Model type and settings
Effect(s) tested
Specify type of analysis: Whole brain ROI-based Both

nature
portfolio
o reporting sur
summa

ŧ	
Ξ	
Ņ	
u	w

Statistic type for inference	
(See Eklund et al. 2016)	
Correction	
Models & analysis	
n/a Involved in the study	
Functional and/or effective connectivity	
Graph analysis	
Multivariate modeling or predictive ana	lysis
Functional and/or effective connectivity	
Graph analysis	

Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis