Supplementary Information

Table S1: On-Domain Evaluation. The results are represented as the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity percentage values averaged over all imaging findings, i.e., cardiomegaly, pleural effusion, pneumonia, atelectasis, consolidation, and pneumothorax as well as no abnormality for each dataset, utilizing the ResNet50 architecture (as the prototypical Convolutional Neural Network) and the ViT architecture (as the prototypical Transformer Network). Two training strategies were used, i.e., local training and collaborative training (i.e., federated learning). The datasets employed in this study were the VinDr-CXR, ChestX-ray14, CheXpert, MIMIC-CXR, and PadChest datasets with n=15,000, n=86,524, n=128,356, n=170,153, and n=88,480 training radiographs, and n=3,000, n=25,596, n=39,824, n=43,768, and n=22,045 test radiographs.

Test Dataset	Training Strategy	Convolu	tional Neural	Network	Transformer Network		
		Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity
VinDr-CXR	Local	84.9 ± 3.9	80.9 ± 8.7	83.8 ± 6.1	84.7 ± 7.2	84.8 ± 8.9	84.4 ± 7.5
	Collaborative	88.4 ± 5.2	88.3 ± 5.9	87.4 ± 6.1	89.1 ± 4.9	89.0 ± 5.2	88.8 ± 5.3
ChestX- ray14	Local	70.7 ± 6.4	70.7 ± 9.8	71.8 ± 7.5	70.2 ± 7.5	72.0 ± 9.3	71.0 ± 8.8
	Collaborative	71.6 ± 7.8	74.1 ± 8.7	72.4 ± 8.8	72.3 ± 6.3	73.1 ± 9.6	73.4 ± 7.4
CheXpert	Local	71.2 ± 9.4	78.3 ± 5.7	69.9 ± 10.3	73.4 ± 8.2	76.7 ± 7.7	72.5 ± 8.5
	Collaborative	73.5 ± 10.5	75.7 ± 9.6	72.5 ± 11.9	74.6 ± 7.7	75.4 ± 8.0	74.1 ± 8.0
MIMIC- CXR	Local	74.0 ± 5.6	77.9 ± 7.0	73.2 ± 6.3	74.0 ± 5.7	78.9 ± 6.7	72.9 ± 6.5
	Collaborative	74.4 ± 5.6	77.7 ± 6.4	73.6 ± 5.9	73.5 ± 6.6	78.3 ± 6.3	72.8 ± 7.3
PadChest	Local	80.8 ± 4.4	83.0 ± 6.0	80.3 ± 5.0	78.5 ± 5.7	83.2 ± 6.7	78.0 ± 6.1
	Collaborative	80.8 ± 5.5	84.4 ± 5.3	80.3 ± 6.1	81.6 ± 5.2	84.5 ± 6.0	81.0 ± 6.0

Table S2: Off-domain Evaluation of Performance of the Convolutional Neural Network – Standardized Training Data Sizes. Data are accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity, averaged over all imaging findings when trained locally or collaboratively (i.e., utilizing federated learning) and tested on another dataset. The collaborative training strategy used the remaining four datasets, each contributing n=15,000 training radiographs. Notably, the VinDr-CXR local model was trained using all available radiographs (*), i.e., n=15,000, while the local models of the other datasets were trained using n=60,000 radiographs. The test sets included n=3,000 (VinDr-CXR dataset), n=25,596 (ChestX-ray14 dataset), n=39,824 (CheXpert dataset), n=43,768 (MIMIC-CXR dataset), and n=22,045 (PadChest dataset) radiographs, respectively. OND: On-Domain.

Train on:			Test on:					
Training Strategy	Dataset [Size]	Evaluation Metric	VinDr- CXR	ChestX- ray14	CheXpert	MIMIC- CXR	PadChest	
	VinDr-CXR [n=15000] (*)	Accuracy		54.3 ± 10.4	64.0 ± 12.6	63.0 ± 6.7	71.3 ± 7.3	
		Sensitivity	OND	68.9 ± 11.4	65.4 ± 16.9	71.4 ± 9.3	71.0 ± 12.2	
		Specificity		53.6 ± 14.6	62.5 ± 14.8	61.5 ± 9.0	70.6 ± 7.7	
	ChestX-ray14 [n=60000]	Accuracy	79.2 ± 7.7	OND	65.0 ± 10.8	67.1 ± 7.1	75.2 ± 7.6	
		Sensitivity	76.8 ± 8.0		72.9 ± 7.3	71.9 ± 9.1	74.1 ± 10.3	
		Specificity	79.4 ± 8.0		63.7 ± 12.2	66.0 ± 7.6	74.5 ± 8.3	
	CheXpert [n=60000]	Accuracy	79.1 ± 9.8	66.6 ± 7.1	OND	71.1 ± 6.1	76.4 ± 7.2	
Local Training		Sensitivity	78.5 ± 9.8	69.8 ± 9.2		71.4 ± 11.4	74.1 ± 10.6	
		Specificity	78.6 ± 10.3	67.3 ± 9.2		70.7 ± 6.9	76.2 ± 7.4	
	MIMIC-CXR [n=60000]	Accuracy	81.3 ± 6.7	67.6 ± 8.2	68.3 ± 9.8	OND	74.4 ± 8.4	
		Sensitivity	78.8 ± 9.2	67.8 ± 9.7	74.0 ± 6.5		78.3 ± 8.7	
		Specificity	80.5 ± 8.1	68.3 ± 9.8	67.4 ± 10.8		73.5 ± 9.1	
	PadChest [n=60000]	Accuracy	77.9 ± 9.9	62.9 ± 10.6	68.5 ± 9.7	66.8 ± 7.2	OND	
		Sensitivity	77.9 ± 8.9	68.8 ± 9.8	68.2 ± 12.7	72.1 ± 9.3		
		Specificity	77.3 ± 10.3	63.2 ± 12.6	67.5 ± 10.6	65.6 ± 8.2		
Collaborative Training	All Datasets [n=4 x 15000]	Accuracy	82.5 ± 6.5	65.9 ± 9.0	69.1 ± 10.0	67.1 ± 6.8	73.3 ± 9.1	
		Sensitivity	77.0 ± 9.3	70.3 ± 8.2	70.2 ± 11.5	75.2 ± 6.4	79.2 ± 9.4	
		Specificity	82.5 ± 6.8	66.4 ± 10.7	68.0 ± 11.2	65.8 ± 7.3	72.8 ± 9.1	

 Table S3: Off-domain Evaluation of Performance of the Vision Transformer – Standardized Training

 Data Sizes. Data organization as in Table S2.

Train on:			Test on:					
Training Strategy	Dataset [Size]	Evaluation Metric	VinDr-CXR	ChestX- ray14	CheXpert	MIMIC- CXR	PadChest	
	VinDr-CXR [n=15000] (*)	Accuracy	OND	54.0 ± 11.7	64.1 ± 13.8	63.4 ± 7.2	69.5 ± 9.7	
		Sensitivity		72.8 ± 12.2	67.9 ± 17.4	75.0 ± 7.6	79.2 ± 8.0	
		Specificity		53.3 ± 16.3	62.2 ± 16.6	61.4 ± 8.7	68.7 ± 9.7	
	ChestX-ray14 [n=60000]	Accuracy	79.4 ± 10.9	OND 71	67.7 ± 8.9	67.1 ± 6.5	74.7 ± 8.3	
		Sensitivity	78.2 ± 9.1		71.7 ± 8.1	74.6 ± 7.5	78.0 ± 8.6	
		Specificity	79.8 ± 11.4		66.8 ± 9.8	65.9 ± 7.2	74.1 ± 8.9	
	CheXpert [n=60000]	Accuracy	82.4 ± 6.2	67.77 ± 8.6	OND	71.3 ± 6.1	75.8 ± 6.5	
Local Training		Sensitivity	76.7 ± 13.7	71.3 ± 10.6		73.2 ± 10.2	76.8 ± 11.5	
		Specificity	82.6 ± 6.8	68.6 ± 10.5		70.5 ± 7.2	75.3 ± 7.0	
	MIMIC-CXR [n=60000]	Accuracy	84.1 ± 5.9	67.8 ± 6.4	69.6 ± 8.7	OND	77.1 ± 6.4	
		Sensitivity	82.1 ± 9.6	69.9 ± 7.6	74.5 ± 6.3		80.1 ± 7.9	
		Specificity	83.1 ± 7.9	68.7 ± 7.9	68.6 ± 9.6		76.5 ± 6.8	
	PadChest [n=60000]	Accuracy	82.3 ± 6.3	64.0 ± 9.1	67.3 ± 10.6	67.2 ± 7.9	OND	
		Sensitivity	82.1 ± 7.0	70.3 ± 8.8	71.2 ± 12.1	75.1 ± 9.8		
		Specificity	82.1 ± 6.5	64.6 ± 11.2	65.9 ± 11.7	65.8 ± 9.2		
Collaborative Training	All Datasets [n=4 x 15000]	Accuracy	83.5 ± 6.1	66.1 ± 8.4	69.3 ± 10.3	69.7 ± 6.1	76.5 ± 6.1	
		Sensitivity	84.7 ± 7.7	71.5 ± 9.5	72.5 ± 10.0	75.0 ± 6.4	80.9 ± 6.8	
		Specificity	83.2 ± 6.5	67.2 ± 10.8	68.3 ± 11.4	68.9 ± 6.8	75.9 ± 6.4	