

Supplemental Table 1

*Bivariate Correlations Among Ineffective Arguing, Supportive Dyadic Coping by Partner, Joint Dyadic Coping and Partner Accommodation*

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. BL IAI	.43*	-.73***	-.76***	.53**	.57*	-.38	-.55*	.38	.83***	-.62*	-.71**	.68**
2. BL SDCptr	-.80***	.32	.85***	-.63**	-.64**	.70**	.71**	-.44+	-.57*	.84***	.78**	-.65*
3. BL JDC	-.82***	.77***	.49*	-.58**	-.56*	.51*	.74***	-.27	-.41	.54*	.73**	-.47+
4. BL SORTS	-	-	-	-	.47*	-.39	-.38	.73**	.48+	-.40	-.24	.65*
5. 1M IAI	.43+	-.27	-.27	-	.88***	-.85***	-.87***	.66**	.48+	-.62*	-.46	.43
6. 1M SDCptr	-.56*	.58*	.47+	-	-.83***	.73**	.83***	-.62**	-.45	.72**	.55*	-.53+
7. 1M JDC	-.57*	.40	.59*	-	-.82***	.82***	.69**	.41+	-.26	.54*	.59*	-.30
8. 1M SORTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.58*	-.44	-.09	.61*
9. 3M IAI	.72**	-.60**	-.71**	-	.63**	-.68**	-.73**	-	.62*	-.75**	-.62*	.77**
10. 3M SDCptr	-.51*	.55*	.57*	-	-.62**	.70**	.65**	-	-.85***	.54*	.81***	-.75**
11. 3M JDC	-.60**	.43+	.75***	-	-.62**	.66**	.86***	-	-.75***	.67**	.77**	-.51+
12. 3M SORTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Note.* BL = baseline; 1M = 1-month follow-up; 3M = 3-month follow-up; IAI = ineffective arguing; JDC = joint dyadic coping; SDCptr = supportive dyadic coping by partner; SORTS = partner accommodation; bivariate correlations for patient and partner were presented on the lower and upper diagonal, respectively; cross-partner bivariate correlations were presented on the diagonal ( $N_s = 14 - 24$ ).

+  $p < .10$ . \*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ . \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

Fredman, S. J., Le, Y., Macdonald, A., Monson, C. M., Rhoades, G. K., Dondanville, K. A., Blount, T. H., Hall-Clark, B. N., Fina, B. A., Mintz, J., Litz, B. T., Young-McCaughan, S., Jenkins, A. I. C., Yarvis, J. S., Keane, T. M., & Peterson, A. L. for the Consortium to Alleviate PTSD. A closer look at relational outcomes from a pilot study of abbreviated, intensive, multi-couple group cognitive-behavioral conjoint therapy for PTSD with military dyads. *Family Process*. Accepted March 8, 2021.