nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Kai Ruggeri
Last updated by author(s):	Sep 29, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

				•	
< ∙	トつ	+1	ist	т.	\sim
.)	ıa	u	וכו	- 11	

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
\boxtimes		The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
\times		A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
\boxtimes		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
\times		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
\boxtimes		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
\boxtimes		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	'	Our was collection an etaticities for biologists contains articles on many of the points above

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

All data utilized in this study came from qualitative summaries of detailed reviews of published evidence. Studies were compiled using online search engines (Google Scholar, NCBI, PsycINFO, EconLit), crowdsourcing on social media (primarily Twitter and LinkedIn), and through a Qualtrics upload document. Study information were then stored on a shared spreadsheet (Google Sheets) before downloading to Excel. Interactive data were eventually uploaded on the Tableau Public software platform. Some methods details were extracted from each study, such as the country or countries where the study took place, sample size, general method, and source of publication.

Data analysis

The goal of this paper was to synthesize evidence, therefore the primary methods involved to analyze claims are described qualitatively. No inferential statistical analyses are presented in the main text. The only quantitative analyses are presentation of raw numbers, typically divided by 19 (the number of claims assessed). A small number of mean ratings are also produced but no NHST is presented with them. There are two mentions of t-tests in supplemental information, but these are only for indications of internal consistency and rely on small-n analyses.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All data and study material is provided either in the supplementary information or through the two online repositories (OSF and Tableau Public, both accessible via https://psyarxiv.com/58udn). The precise OSF link will be provided with the final publication (we will upload all material once there are final versions of the manuscript and supplement). It will also be accessible via the current page (https://psyarxiv.com/58udn), where the pre-print and interactive tool are already available.

Human research participants

Policy	information	n about ,	<u>studies</u>	<u>involving</u>	<u>human</u>	<u>research</u>	participa	<u>ants and</u>	Sex and	<u>Gender</u>	<u>in Resear</u>	<u>·ch.</u>

Reporting on sex and gender	Not applicable
Population characteristics	Not applicable
Recruitment	Not applicable
Ethics oversight	Not applicable

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your re	esearch. If you are not sure, read the	ne appropriate sections before making your	r selection.
--	--	--	--------------

Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences	Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences
---	---

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description

This study involved compiling and assessing all publications related to behavioral science during the pandemic to inform scientists and policymakers about the accuracy of expectations made in April 2020. Studies were then rated based on the level of evidence they provided, ranging from pure theory/opinion to large-scale, real-world impact.

Research sample

All data used involved publicly available scientific materials that related to or directly tested claims made the Van Bavel et al., 2020 article. No human participants were involved. All sampling involved reviewing studies published from late 2019 through May 2022. A final repository of 747 articles was used for the analyses in this study.

Sampling strategy

Articles and reports were identified and considered for the assessment through extensive systematic and manual searches by all evaluators, with the primary criterion being that they were publicly available prior to June 1, 2022. Searches included using the systematic review criteria produced by PubMED-NCBI for research specifically on COVID-19, as well as checking preprint servers, multiple repository search engines, crowdsourcing (on social media and targeted email lists), and snowballing of relevant articles (including articles that cited the original paper). There was no restriction for locations or language (see later for a discussion of the ways in which diversity of authorship enabled broader searching).

Data collection

All data utilized in this study came from qualitative summaries of detailed reviews of published evidence. Studies were compiled using online search engines (Google Scholar, NCBI, PsycINFO, EconLit), crowdsourcing on social media (primarily Twitter and LinkedIn), and through a Qualtrics upload document. Study information were then stored on a shared spreadsheet (Google Sheets) before downloading to Excel. Interactive data were eventually uploaded on the Tableau Public software platform.

Timing

The search for articles begin formally in February 2022 and was stopped on May 31, 2022. Some papers that met the full criteria were identified through snowballing or brought to the attention of the research team through other means (e.g., news reports, social media posts, papers identified in the review of studies included originally). However, studies were only permitted to be added after the 1st of June, 2022, if they met all other inclusion criteria. This approach was taken to ensure we minimized the possibility of missed studies due to the short turnaround for search engines to identify papers produced in a generally narrow timeline.

7 7	
	j
=	5
7	7000

March 2021

Data exclusions	No studies published on/after June 1, 2022, were permitted. Studies published in late 2019 were permitted, provided that they specifically focused on COVID-19. Some studies were published as pre-prints prior to June 1, 2022, but were later identified as having been published through peer-review after the deadline. When this was identified, reviewers were encouraged to use the peer-reviewed version as it was presumed to be more reliable evidence.
Non-participation	Not applicable.
Randomization	Not applicable.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Ma	terials & experimental systems	Methods		
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study		
\boxtimes	Antibodies	ChIP-seq		
\boxtimes	Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry		
\boxtimes	Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging		
\boxtimes	Animals and other organisms	·		
\boxtimes	Clinical data			
\boxtimes	Dual use research of concern			