

Additional file 2 – Structure predictions

PSIPRED secondary structure predictions for *Dspp* and *Aqp1*, including both extant species with unrooted molars and the hypothetical ancestral nodes where ancestral sequence reconstruction showed the amino acid at the site under positive selection had changed.




Figure A2.1 – Gene tree for *Dspp* based on OrthoFinder analysis. Labeled internal nodes represent hypothetical ancestors where the amino acid at the site under branch-specific positive selection changed in the ancestral state reconstruction. Bold text indicates the internal nodes and tips for which we made secondary structure predictions.




Figure A2.2 – *Dspp* secondary structure prediction for *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. At the site under positive selection, *O. cuniculus* had a leucine and its ancestor, Anc17, had an asparagine (see Figure 6 in the main text).




Figure A2.3 – *Dspp* secondary structure prediction for Anc17, the ancestor of *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. Anc17 had an asparagine at the site under positive selection which was substituted for a leucine in *O. cuniculus* (see Figure 6 in the main text).





Figure A2.4 – *Dspp* secondary structure prediction for *Microtus ochrogaster*. At the site under positive selection, *M. ochrogaster* had a histidine and its ancestor, Anc11, had an asparagine (see Figure 6 in the main text).






Figure A2.6 – *Dspp* secondary structure prediction for *Dipodomys ordii*. At the site under positive selection, *D. ordii* had an aspartic acid and its ancestor, Anc15, had an asparagine (see Figure 6 in the main text).




Figure A2.7 – *Dspp* secondary structure prediction for Anc15, the ancestor of *Dipodomys ordii*. Anc15 had an asparagine at the site under positive selection which was substituted for an aspartic acid in *D. ordii* (see Figure 6 in the main text).




Figure A2.8 – Gene tree for *Aqp1* based on OrthoFinder analysis. Labeled internal nodes represent hypothetical ancestors where the amino acid at the site under branch-specific positive selection changed in the ancestral state reconstruction. Bold text indicates the internal nodes and tips for which we made secondary structure predictions.



Figure A2.9 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for *Oryctolagus cuniculus*. At the site under positive selection, *O. cuniculus* and its ancestor, Anc22, both had a proline (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.10 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for Anc 22. At the site under positive selection Anc 22 and its descendent *Oryctolagus cuniculus* both had a proline (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.11 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for *Microtus ochrogaster*. At the site under positive selection, *M. ochrogaster* had an arginine and its ancestor, Anc8, had a proline (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.12 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for Anc8, the ancestor of *Microtus ochrogaster*. Anc8 had a proline at the site under positive selection which was substituted for an arginine in *M. ochrogaster* (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.13 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for *Dipodomys ordii*. At the site under positive selection, *D. ordii* had an serine and its ancestor, Anc20, had a proline (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.14 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for Anc20, the ancestor of *Dipodomys ordii*. Anc20 had a proline at the site under positive selection which was substituted for an serine in *D. ordii* (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.15 – *Appl* secondary structure prediction for *Octodon degus*. At the site under positive selection, *O. degus* had an aspartic acid and its ancestor, Anc17, had a serine (see Figure 6 in the main text).




Figure A2.16 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for Anc17, the ancestor of *Octodon degus*. Anc17 had a serine at the site under positive selection which was substituted for an aspartic acid in *O. degus* (see Figure 6 in the main text).




Figure A2.17 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for *Chinchilla lanigera*. At the site under positive selection, *C. lanigera* had an asparagine and its ancestor, Anc16, had a serine (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.18 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for Anc16, the ancestor of *Chinchilla lanigera*. Anc16 had an serine at the site under positive selection which was substituted for an asparagine in *C. lanigera* (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.19 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for *Cavia aperea*. At the site under positive selection, *C. aperea* had a serine and its closest ancestor with a different amino acid, Anc18, had a proline (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.20 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for *Cavia porcellus*. At the site under positive selection, *C. porcellus* had a serine and its closest ancestor with a different amino acid, Anc18, had a proline (see Figure 6 in the main text).



Figure A2.21 – *Aqp1* secondary structure prediction for Anc18, the closest ancestor to *Cavia aperea* and *Cavia porcellus* with a different amino acid at the site under positive selection. Anc 18 had a proline at the site which was substituted for a serine in both living species (see Figure 6 in the main text).