

#### Fig. S1 - Relationship between stemness and early embryo scores

- A. **Correlation between stemness and early embryo scores:** Stemness score (y-axis) as a function of early embryo signal (x-axis), for bulk cancer transcriptomes (dots).
- **B.** Significance of S phase genes in stemness score: The distribution across many randomly selected gene sets (y-axis) of average coefficients for that gene set in determining the stemness score (x-axis). Values further away from zero mean that a set of genes has a consistent contribution to determining the stemness value. The value corresponding to the set of genes linked to S-phase of the cell cycle is marked with a red line.
- **C.** Significance of G2M phase genes in stemness score: The same as **B.** but using genes associated with G2 and M phase of the cell cycle.
- D. Shift in stemness score with cell cycle phase: The single cell fetal liver reference data was used to construct pseudo-bulk counts from which stemness scores were calculated. For each cell type, a separate pseudo-bulk was constructed for cells in each phase of the cell cycle. The difference in stemness score for each cell type (y-axis) was calculated between other phases of the cell cycle (x-axis) and G1 phase. Horizontal lines indicate the median shift across all cell types, while the vertical lines indicate the 1st and 3rd quartiles.

	EarlyEmbryo
Blastoids	
Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma	
Breast invasive carcinoma	
Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma	
Colon adenocarcinoma	
Glioblastoma multiforme	
Head and Neck squamous cell carcinoma	
Kidney Chromophobe	
Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma	
Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma	
Acute Myeloid Leukemia	
Brain Lower Grade Glioma	
Liver hepatocellular carcinoma	
Lung adenocarcinoma	
Lung squamous cell carcinoma	
Ovarian serous cystadenocarcinoma	
Pancreatic adenocarcinoma	
Prostate adenocarcinoma	
Rectum adenocarcinoma	
Skin Cutaneous Melanoma	
Stomach adenocarcinoma	
Thyroid carcinoma	
Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma	
0.	00 0.25 0.50





### Fig. S2 - Cell signal analysis of all TCGA samples

Relative contribution of single cell reference populations (x-axis) in explaining all TCGA bulk adult cancer transcriptomes (y-axis) using cell signal analysis for different combinations of reference populations (columns splits, with heading indicating reference combination). Individual cancer transcriptomes (rows) are split into groups based on cancer types, as indicated by the row split titles. Colours indicate the contribution to each transcriptome of each reference type, as described by the legend on the right.





# Signal Type

Intercept Fetal Adult Gastrulation EarlyEmbryo

#### Fig. S3 - Cell signal analysis of all TARGET samples

Relative contribution of single cell reference populations (x-axis) in explaining all TARGET bulk childhood cancer transcriptomes (y-axis) using cell signal analysis for different combinations of reference populations (columns splits, with heading indicating reference combination). Individual cancer transcriptomes (rows) are split into groups based on cancer types, as indicated by the row split titles. Colours indicate the contribution to each transcriptome of each reference type, as described by the legend on the right.

		EarlyEmbryo			
St. Jude Samples	Adrenocortical Tumor				
	Brain Tumor				
	Choroid Plexus Carcinoma				
	Desmoplastic tumor(DSRCT)				
	Ependymoma				
	High Grade Glioma				
	Low Grade Glioma				
	Medulloblastoma				
	Neuroblastomas				
	Osteosarcoma				
	Retinoblastoma				
	Rhabdomyosarcoma				
	Wilms Tumor				
	0.0	00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0	0 0.	00	0.2







0.75 1.00 0.00 0. Proportion of Signal type

0.50

0.75





#### Fig. S4 - Cell signal analysis of all StJudes samples

Relative contribution of single cell reference populations (x-axis) in explaining all StJudes bulk childhood cancer transcriptomes (y-axis) using cell signal analysis for different combinations of reference populations (columns splits, with heading indicating reference combination). Individual cancer transcriptomes (rows) are split into groups based on cancer types, as indicated by the row split titles. Colours indicate the contribution to each transcriptome of each reference type, as described by the legend on the right.



#### Fig. S5 - Cell signal analysis of all liver samples

Relative contribution of single cell populations from the fetal and adult liver, gastrulation, and early embryo (y-axis) in explaining bulk transcriptomes (y-axis) using cell signal analysis. Bulk transcriptomes (columns) are grouped together into the categories indicated by the top labels and the relative contribution in explaining the signal is indicated by the intensity of the greyscale as indicated by the legend. For each bulk transcriptome, a goodness of fit (pseudo R squared) was calculated and is indicated by the intensity of the orange colour in the bottom row, as described by the legend.



#### Fig. S6 - Cell signal analysis of all gut samples

Relative contribution of single cell populations from the fetal and adult gut, gastrulation, and early embryo (y-axis) in explaining bulk transcriptomes (y-axis) using cell signal analysis. Bulk transcriptomes (columns) are grouped together into the categories indicated by the top labels and the relative contribution in explaining the signal is indicated by the intensity of the greyscale as indicated by the legend. For each bulk transcriptome, a goodness of fit (pseudo R squared) was calculated and is indicated by the intensity of the orange colour in the bottom row, as described by the legend.



## Fig. S7 - Survival prediction for TCGA and TARGET cancers

Kaplan–Meier curves showing survival for first and fourth quartile of dedifferentiation fraction(p<0.05, exact p-values and results are deposited in TableS3) suggesting tumour samples with high stemness signal have worse survival outcome. A. TARGET NBL, B. TCGA-SKCM C. TCGA-COAD D. TCGA-HNSC