Superior efficacy of secreted over somatic antigen display in recombinant Salmonella vaccine induced protection against listeriosis

(antigen compartmentalization/aroA⁻ Salmonella typhimurium)

JURGEN HEss*, Ivo GENTSCHEVt, DIANA MIKO*, MANUELA WELZEL*, CHRISTOPH LADEL*, WERNER GOEBELt, AND STEFAN H. E. KAUFMANN**

*Department of Immunology, University of Ulm, Albert-Einstein Allee 11, D-89070 Ulm, and tLehrstuhl fur Mikrobiologie, University of Wurzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Wurzburg, Germany

Communicated by Emil C. Gotschlich, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, October 18, 1995

ABSTRACT Vaccination provides the most potent measure against infectious disease, and recombinant (r) viable vaccines expressing defined pathogen-derived antigens represent powerful candidates for future vaccination strategies. In a new approach we constructed r-aroA⁻ Salmonella typhimurium displaying p60 or listeriolysin (Hly) antigen of Listeria monocytogenes in secreted or somatic form in the host cell. Vaccination of mice with r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium induced protection against the intracellular pathogen L. monocytogenes only with secreted and not with somatic antigen. Secreted Hly was slightly more potent in inducing protective immunity than secreted p60. Both r-aro A^- S. typhimurium secreting p60 in the endosome and r -aro A^- S. typhimurium secreting Hly in the cytosol induced protective CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells suggesting CD8+ T-cell stimulation independent from intracellular residence of r -aro $A^- S$. typhimurium carriers. Hence, not only the type of antigen but also its display by the r-carrier within the host cell critically influences vaccine efficacy.

Although efficacious vaccines exist for numerous viral and bacterial pathogens, several infectious agents of medical importance have evaded their satisfactory control by conventional vaccination strategies (1). Because efficient protection against intracellular pathogens crucially depends on T lymphocytes, administration of soluble proteins may be insufficient and live vaccines are considered essential (2). Expression of defined antigens from pathogens in recombinant (r)-form by live vectors provides an innovative strategy for inducing T-cellmediated protection (3, 4). Identification of T-cell antigens and selection of appropriate carriers are generally accepted as necessary prerequisites for rational design of efficacious rvaccines. In contrast, the impact on protective efficacy of (i) antigen compartmentalization in and (ii) intrahost cell localization of r-vaccine carriers has not been studied satisfactorily.

As long as intracellular microorganisms resist phagolysosomal killing and degradation, secreted proteins are the first stimulatory antigens in infection (5). Hence, the concept emerged that secreted antigens are potent vaccine candidates against intracellular pathogens, including Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Listeria monocytogenes, and Legionella pneumophila, the causative agents of tuberculosis, listeriosis, and Legionnaires disease, respectively $(6-9)$. CD4⁺ as well as CD8+ T cells are required for efficient protection against various intracellular bacterial pathogens (2). Therefore, microbial antigens must have access to both major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II and class ^I pathways. Originally, it was thought that antigens from microbial pathogens that remain in the endosome stimulate MHC class II-restricted

 $CD4+$ T cells only (2). The unusual capacity of L. monocytogenes to escape from the phagocytic vesicle into the cytosol of the infected cell (10), therefore, seemed to be a unique feature of this pathogen, which led to the preferential stimulation of MHC class I-restricted CD8⁺ T cells. However, more recently, pathogens such as M. tuberculosis and Salmonella typhimurium, which persist in the endosome, have also been found to stimulate MHC class I-restricted CD8⁺ T cells (2).

Two secreted proteins of L. monocytogenes have been identified as protective T-cell antigens (11, 12). These are the p60 protein, which participates in listerial invasion (13, 14), and listeriolysin (Hly), which is central to listerial egression from the endosome into the cytosol (15). We expressed these two listerial proteins in r-aro A^- S. typhimurium either in secreted or in somatic form and compared vaccine efficacy of the two modes of antigen display. In this model, we identified secretion as essential and somatic antigen display as insufficient prerequisite for protection. Moreover, we found only a minor, if any, influence of r-carrier escape from the endosome into the cytosol on activation of protective CD8+ T lymphocytes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. C57BL/6 mice were kept under specific-pathogenfree conditions in isolators and fed autoclaved food and water ad libitum at the central animal facilities of the University of Ulm. In a given experiment, mice were age and sex matched. Breeding pairs of mutant mice with β_2 -microglobulin deficiency (β_2 m^{-/-}) and their heterozygous littermates (β_2 m^{+/-}) were kindly provided by R. Jaenisch (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston) and breeding pairs for the H-2I-A β deficient mutants $(A\beta^{-/-})$ and their heterozygous littermates $(A\beta^{+/-})$ were kindly obtained from D. Mathis (INSERM, Strasbourg, France).

Bacteria. L. monocytogenes EGD Sv 1/2a was originally obtained from G. B. Mackaness. The aroA⁻ S. typhimurium SL7207 strain (16) was kindly provided by B. Stocker (Department of Medical Microbiology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA). The r-S. typhimurium strain SL7207 pMOhlyl (termed SL7207 control) expresses a secreted peptide (95 aa) consisting of N-terminal (34 aa) and C-terminal (61 aa) Escherichia coli hemolysin (HlyA) derived fusion components. These HlyA components have no significant homology to Hly or p60 as assessed by sequence comparison [computer program WORDSEARCH (Genetics Computer Group)]. The S. typhimurium SL7207 control and SL7207 p6Os constructs have been described (14). The strain S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys is analogous to the aroA⁻ Salmonella dublin/pILH-1 construct (17).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Abbreviations: β_2 m, β_2 -microglobulin; CFU, colony-forming unit(s); MHC, major histocompatibility complex; MLN, mesenteric lymph node; p.i., postinfection; p.o., per os; r, recombinant. *To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

Construction and Characterization of r-S. typhimurium. The previously described plasmids pIPH-1 and pILH-1, which encode the secreted fusion proteins p60-HlyA (66 kDa) and Hly-HlyA (64 kDa) each carrying 34 aa (N-terminal) and 61 aa (C-terminal) specific for HlyA amino acid sequence, were used for the following genetic modifications (14, 17): By means of Apa ^I digestion [position 7601 (18), T4 polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim)] reaction and religation, the correct reading frame of the $hlyD$ gene was altered to inactivate the pIPH-1or pILH-1-encoded HlyB/HlyD/TolC export apparatus (19, 20). The resulting plasmids pIPH-10 and pILH-10 were isolated from E. coli JM109, analyzed, and transformed in S. typhimurium LB5000, a restriction-negative and modificationproficient strain, by a standard electroporation protocol for E. coli (Bio-Rad). Subsequently, plasmids purified from S. typhimurium LB5000 were introduced into S. typhimurium SL7207 by electroporation. The resulting r-S. typhimurium SL7207 strains harboring the plasmid pIPH-10 or pILH-10 were termed SL7207 p60c or SL7207 Hlyc, respectively. The supernatants and bacterial lysates were obtained from bacterial cultures grown under logarithmic conditions at 37°C (A_{600} = 0.6) in $2 \times$ yeast tryptone medium (Difco) supplemented with 10 μ g each of p-aminobenzoic acid (PABA; Sigma) per ml, 10 μ g of 2,3-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB; Sigma) per ml, and 100 μ g of ampicillin (Sigma) per ml. The colony-forming units (CFU) of the different bacterial cultures were determined. Bacterial pellets were directly lysed in Laemmli buffer (21) and bacterial supernatants were concentrated (22). Protein concentrations of these bacterial lysates were determined under reducing conditions by a modified bicinchoninic acid test (23). The following samples were applied to SDS/10% polyacrylamide gel (21): (*i*) r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium lysates [5 μ g of protein (equivalent of 3.5×10^9 lysed bacteria) per lane]; (*ii*) concentrated supernatants harvested from 7×10^9 r-S. typhimurium SL7207. For immunodetection of proteins on RAD-FREE transfer membranes (24) (Schleicher & Schuell), the monoclonal antibody H14-3 against Hly $(1 \mu g/ml)$ (25) and polyclonal rabbit anti-p60 antiserum (final dilution, 1:1000) (14) were used. Immunostainings were performed with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch). The washing procedure and the chemiluminescent immunodetection protocol were according to the manufacturer's descriptions (Schleicher & Schuell). The signal development on x-ray film (Kodak, XO-MAT-AR) was performed for ¹ min.

Infection Studies. Mice at 8-10 weeks of age were infected per os (p.o.) with S. typhimurium SL7207 r-strains at 5×10^9 bacteria per $200 \mu l$ of PBS. CFU in mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) of infected mice were determined by plating serial dilutions of organ homogenates on nutrient agar containing 10 μ g of PABA per ml, 10 μ g of DHB per ml, and 100 μ g of ampicillin per ml at 37°C. Previous studies on in vivo plasmid stability showed that all r-S. typhimurium SL7207 strains (SL7207 control, SL7207 p6Os, SL7207 p6Oc, SL7207 Hlys, and SL7207 Hlyc) still harbored their plasmids pMOhlyl, pIPH-1, pIPH-10, pILH-1, and pILH-10, respectively, by day 21 postinfection (p.i.).

p.o. Immunization of Mice with r -aro A^- S. typhimurium. C57BL/6 mice were immunized p.o. three times with 5×10^9 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p6Os, SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p6Oc, SL7207 Hlyc, and SL7207 control at 5-day intervals. Ten weeks after the last injection, vaccinated mice were completely cured from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 infection as verified by CFU analysis on brilliant green Salmonella selective agar (Difco). At day 100 after the last vaccination, these mice were challenged i.v. with 10⁵ L. monocytogenes EGD bacteria (10 \times LD₅₀). L. monocytogenes CFU in spleens of r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hly or SL7207 p60s vaccinated mice challenged with L. monocytogenes EGD were determined by plating serially diluted organ homogenates on PALCAM Listeria selective agar (Merck).

i.v. Vaccination of Mice. Unless otherwise indicated, five mice per group were immunized i.v. with 5×10^5 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p6Os, SL7207 Hlyc, SL7207 p6Oc, or SL7207 control. After 7 wk, mice were treated twice with 10 mg of ampicillin at weekly intervals, and after another 2 wk these mice were challenge infected i.v. with the indicated doses of L. monocytogenes EGD. Ten days after challenge, CFU were determined by plating serial dilutions of spleen homogenates on PALCAM Listeria sp. selective agar (Merck) and on brilliant green Salmonella sp. selective agar (Difco). The r-S. typhimurium SL7207 strains persisted in $\mathbf{A}\beta^{-/-}$ mice, unless ampicillin-treated, whereas $\beta_2 m^{-1}$ mice were capable of clearing salmonellosis without antibiotic treatment (J.H. and S.H.E.K., unpublished results).

Adoptive Transfer of Selected T Lymphocytes. C57BL/6 mice were immunized i.v. once with 5×10^5 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p6Os, or SL7207 control. After 10 wk, mice were completely cured from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 infection as shown by CFU determination of liver organs on brilliant green Salmonella sp. selective agar (Difco). Spleen cells of these mice were passed over nylon wool columns. Afterwards, 1×10^7 nylon wool-purified spleen cells were labeled with either Lyt-2-MACS beads or L3T4-MACS beads and depleted of the respective subset by MACS sorting (Miltenyi Biotech, Sunnyvale, CA). Depletion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells was >98%. Ten mice per experimental group received i.v. 5×10^5 unsorted cells as a source of CD4⁺/CD8⁺ T cells or MACS-sorted cells as a source of selected CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells. Immediately thereafter, mice were challenged with 1.5 LD₅₀ L. monocytogenes EGD (1.5 \times 10⁴ CFU). Mortality was recorded daily.

RESULTS

Characterization of r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p6Oc and SL7207 Hlyc. The r-pBR322 based vectors pIPH-1 from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p6Os and pILH-1 from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys carry the gene fusions iap-hlyA and hly-hlyA from L. monocytogenes EGD and E. coli pHly152 plasmidencoded hemolysin system (Table 1; Fig. 1A), respectively. During their logarithmic growth r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p6Os and SL7207 Hlys secrete $\approx 30\%$ of the expressed p60-HlyA and Hly-HlyA fusion antigens into the supernatant by means of the HlyB/HlyD/TolC hemolysin export machinery (J.H. and I.G., unpublished results). The uncleaved C-terminal HlyA fusion component (61 aa) (26) of the chimeric proteins represents the signal peptide directing transport across the bacterial cell wall of E. coli or Salmonella ssp. This HlyAderived transport signal is recognized by the plasmid-encoded inner membrane proteins HlyB, ^a member of the ABC (ATPbinding cassette)-transporter superfamily, and HlyD (19, 27, 28). The chromosomally encoded membrane protein TolC completes this export complex (20). The C-terminal part of HlyD is required for secretion, suggesting that this protein conformation may contain contact sites for HlyB or TolC recognition (28). The plasmids pIPH-1 and pILH-1 were used for the construction of the h/yD -deficient plasmid derivatives to retain the corresponding and otherwise secreted fusion

Table 1. Antigen expression by and microbial host-cell localization of the r-S. typhimurium constructs used for vaccination against L. monocytogenes

S. typhimurium	Plasmid	Listerial antigen display	Preferential bacterial host-cell localization
SL7207 control	pMOhly1		Endosomal
SL7207 Hlys	$DILH-1$		Secreted/somatic Endosomal/cytosolic
SL7207 Hlyc	pILH-10	Somatic	Endosomal
SL7207 p60s	$pIPH-1$	Secreted/somatic	Endosomal
SL7207 p60c	pIPH-10	Somatic	Endosomal

FIG. 1. Hly and p60 expression by and localization in r-S. typhimurium SL7207 constructs. (A) Schematic illustration of pBR322based expression vectors pILH-1 and pIPH-1 or pILH-10 and pIPH-10 for secretion or somatic display of Hly-HlyA or p60-HlyA fusion proteins by r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p60s, SL7207 Hlyc, or SL7207 p60c, respectively. hlyR, hlyC, hlyB, and hlyD, E. coli pHly152 hemolysin gene cluster (18); P, E. coli hly promoter; iap, p60 coding gene; hly, Hly coding gene. (B) Identification of Hly–HlyA and p60-HlyA protein by chemiluminescent immunostaining of r-S. typhimurium SL7207 lysates: lane 1, SL7207 control harboring plasmid $pMOhly1$ (17); lane 2, SL7207 Hlyc; lane 3, SL7207 Hlys; lane 4, SL7207 control; lane 5, SL7207 p60c; lane 6, SL7207 p60s. Lysates of 3.5×10^9 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 organisms were separated on SDS/10% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to nylon. Primary antibodies used for protein detection were (i) anti-Hly mAb to detect Hly-HlyA fusion proteins (lanes $1-3$) and (ii) rabbit anti-p60 antiserum to identify p60-HlyA antigens (lanes $4-6$). (C) Chemiluminescence detection of corresponding samples of concentrated r-S. typhimurium SL7207 supernatants with anti-Hly mAb (lanes $1-3$) and rabbit anti-p60 antiserum (lanes 4-6). Lane 1, SL7207 control; lane 2, SL7207 Hlyc; lane 3, SL7207 Hlys; lane 4, SL7207 control; lane 5, SL7207 p60c; lane 6, SL7207 p60s (lane 6). Fusion proteins p60-HlyA and Hly-Hly are 66 and 64 kDa.

proteins p60-HlyA and Hly-HlyA in the cytosol of r-S. typhimurium SL7207. By destroying the Apa I site [position 7601 (18)], the correct reading frame of the $h\nu D$ gene was altered \sim 18) and correct reading frame of the hlyD generation frame of the hlyD generation \sim

to inactivate the pIPH-1- and pILH-1-encoded HlyB/HlyD/
TolC export apparatus as described for the hemolysin gene S_{α} Toic export apparatus as described for the hemolysin generalistic cluster of E. coli (29). The resulting constructs were termed pIPH-10 and pILH-10 and the corresponding r-carriers were S. typhimurium SL7207 p60c and SL7207 Hlyc, respectively (Table 1; Fig. $1A$). As shown in Fig. 1B, chemiluminescent immunodetection of equalized amounts of extracellular and somatic proteins indicates that the somatic amounts of the fusion proteins p60-HlyA and Hly-HlyA in all r-vaccine strains were comparable. Moreover, the chimeric antigens p60-HlyA and Hly-HlyA were not detectable in culture supernatants of and Hly-HlyA were not detectable in culture supernatants of r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p6Oc and SL7207 Hlyc (Fig. 1C). We $\frac{1}{2}$ conclude that the four r-and $\frac{1}{2}$ conclude that the represent impact of entigen display valuable tools to study the relevant impact of antigen display (secreted vs. somatic) and of carrier localization (endosomal vs. cytosolic) on protective immunity in $vivo$.

Superior Vaccine Efficacy of Secreted over Somatic Antigen **Display.** C57BL/6 mice were vaccinated p.o. with the r-aro $A^ S_{all}$
Saf S_{l} , typhimurium constructs (Table 1) and then challenge in-
sected with a lethal inoculum of I , monocytogenes (10 \times I D_{co}) fected with a lettial inoculum of L. monocytogenes (10 \land LD50). All r-S. typhimurium SL7207 strains showed similar CFU in
MI No of immunized mise at days 1, 2, and 7 n i. (Eig. 2). In MLNs of immunized mice at days 1, 3, and 7 p.i. (Fig. 2). In vivo stability of the appropriate plasmids harbored by the r-carrier strains were identical (data not shown). Vaccination r-carrier strains were identical (data not shown). Vaccination Leu with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s and SL7207 Hlys displaying the two listerial antigens in secreted form rendered mice fully protected (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the r-S. typhimurium strains SL7207 p60c and SL7207 Hlyc expressing the same listerial antigens in somatic form failed to induce protection against listeriosis (Fig. $3A$). Control mice all succumbed to this high listeriosis (Fig. 3A). Control mice all succumbed to this high Leu challenge inoculum. The CFU in spiechs of survivors vacci-

FIG. 2. Oral infection of mice with r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s, SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p60c, and SL7207 Hlyc. (A) SL7207 Hlys (\square), SL7207 Hlyc. (A). (B) SL7207 p60s (\square), SL7207 p60c (\triangle). Determination of CFU in MLNs of infected C57BL/6 at days 1, 3, and 7 p.i. Results represent geometric means of five mice per group from one of three representative experiments $(\pm SD)$.

one of three representative experiments (±SD).

FIG. 3. Vaccine efficacy against listeriosis of r-S. typhimurium
strains SL7207 p60s, SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p60c, SL7207 Hlyc, and SL7207 control in C57BL/6 mice. (A) Survival curves of eight mice per group vaccinated p.o. with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s \overline{CD} , SL7207 Hlys (\diamond), SL7207 p60c (\triangle), SL7207 Hlyc (\blacklozenge), and SL7207 control (\boxdot) after lethal L. monocytogenes EGD challenge $(10 \times LD_{50})$. (B) CFU in spleens of survivors at day 6 (\blacksquare) and day 10 (\square) after L. monocytogenes challenge in mice that had been vaccinated with r-S. typhi $murium$ SL7207 Hlys and SL7207 p60s. All mice immunized with r-S. *typhimurium* SL7207 control succumbed to listeriosis $(+)$. Each column represents geometric mean of eight animals per group. Vertical umn represents geometric mean of eight animals per group. Vertical bars indicate SD of the geometric mean.

nated with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s and SL7207 Hlys was determined at days 6 and 10 after challenge (Fig. 3B). Mice vaccinated with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys cleared listeriosis, whereas a significant number of listeriae still persisted in mice vaccinated with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s by day 10. As few as 5×10^3 microorganisms of r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium secreting listerial antigens p60 or Hly caused effective protection against lethal L. monocytogenes challenge after i.v. vaccination (Table 2, experiment A). Protection was more profound with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys compared with SL7207 p60s. In contrast, a 100-fold higher i.v. inoculum of 5×10^5 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60c and SL7207 Hlyc did not induce protective immunity against listeriosis (experiment A). We conclude that both proteins were protective only in secreted and not in somatic form and, moreover, that secreted Hly was slightly superior to p60.

Impact of MHC I- and II-Dependent Immunity on Vaccine-Induced Protection. To define the contribution of MHC class I- and II-dependent immune responses to vaccine-induced protection, MHC class I-deficient β_2 m^{-/-} (30) and MHC class II-deficient $A\beta^{-/-}$ (31) gene disruption mutant mice were vaccinated with the r-S. typhimurium constructs SL7207 Hlyc, SL7207 p60c, SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p60s, or SL7207 control. Due to 100% in vivo loss of plasmid constructs by these $\frac{1}{2}$ as to 100% in vivo 1000 of plasmid constructs by these r-carriers within 7 wk n i mice were treated twice weekly with r-carriers within 7 wk p.i., mice were treated twice weekly with

FIG. 4. Protection against L. monocytogenes after adoptive transfer of selected CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells from mice vaccinated with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys and SL7207 p60s. (A) T-cell transfer from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys vaccinated mice. Unselected T cells (\blacksquare) , $CD4^+$ T-cell depleted (\blacklozenge), $CD8^+$ T-cell depleted (\bigcirc), and T cells from naive mice (A) . (B) T-cell transfer from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s-vaccinated mice. (C) T-cell transfer from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 control-vaccinated mice. Mortality of 10 recipient mice per group was recorded daily after adoptive transfer and subsequent challenge of $L.$ monocytogenes (1.5 LD_{50}).

challenge of L. monocytogenes (1.5 LD5O).

ampicillin and after 2 wk these mice were challenged i.v. with L. monocytogenes. Ten days after challenge, CFU were determined. A significant proportion of the nonvaccinated and r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlyc, SL7207 p60c, and SL7207 control immunized mice succumbed to listeriosis (Table 2, experiment B). In contrast, all mice vaccinated with the r-S. typhimurium constructs SL7207 Hlys and SL7207 p60s secreting Hly and p60 survived. Determination of CFU revealed no differences between the $\beta_2 m^{-1}$ and $A\beta^{-1}$ mutants and their respective heterozygous control littermates or between the two antigens $experiment B)$. These findings suggest that both MHC class I-(experiment B). These findings suggest that both MHC class I-
and II-denendent immune responses contributed to vaccineand II-dependent immune responses contributed to vaccine-

Unless otherwise indicated, five mice per group were immunized i.v. with 5×10^5 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys, SL7207 p60s, SL7207 Hlyc, SL7207 p60c, and SL7207 control. After 7 weeks, heterozygous and homozygous β_2 m^{-/-} and A β ^{-/-} mutant mice were treated twice with 10 mg of ampicillin at weekly intervals, and after another 2 weeks these mice were challenged i.v. with the indicated doses of L. monocytogenes EGD. Vaccinated C57BL/6 mice were challenged together with the immunized mutant mice without antibiotic treatment. Ten days after L. monocytogenes challenge, CFU in spleens were determined.

*Vaccination inoculum, 5×10^5 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 i.v.; challenge dose, 10^5 L. monocytogenes EGD ($10 \times$ LD₅₀).

[†]Challenge inoculum, 10⁵ L. monocytogenes EGD (10 \times LD₅₀).

tAll mice survived. Results are means ± SD. Only CFU differences between S. typhimurium SL7207 Hlys and SL7207 p60s immunized mice are statistically significant ($P < 0.05$; Student's t test).

§Vaccination inoculum, 5×10^3 r-S. typhimurium SL7207 i.v.; challenge dose, 10⁵ L. monocytogenes EGD (10 × LD₅₀).

1Challenge inoculum, 7×10^3 L. monocytogenes EGD ($1 \times LD_{50}$).

 \parallel All mice survived. Results are means \pm SD. CFU differences are not statistically significant (P > 0.05; Student's t test).

**Challenge inoculum, 10^4 L. monocytogenes EGD ($1 \times LD_{50}$).

induced protective immunity. Because r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s induced protection in $A\beta^{-/-}$ mice lacking CD4⁺ T cells, antigen delivery from the endosome into the cytosolic MHC class ^I pathway, independent from bacterial egression, appears likely.

Adoptive Protection by Selected CD4+ and CD8+ T Cells. To directly determine activation of these two T-cell subpopulations by r-aro A^- S. typhimurium secreting p60 or Hly in the endosome or cytosol, respectively, adoptive transfer experiments were performed (Fig. 4). Selected CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (5×10^5) from donor mice vaccinated with r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s and SL7207 Hlys secreting p60 and Hly, respectively, transferred protection against L. monocytogenes at a dose of 1.5×10^4 bacteria (1.5 LD₅₀). In contrast, T lymphocytes from naive mice or selected and unselected T cells from r-S. typhimurium SL7207 control-immunized donor mice did not confer protection and a significant proportion of recipient mice succumbed to listeriosis. We conclude that both vaccine constructs induced CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes, which were capable of mediating antilisterial protection by themselves in the absence of the alternative T-cell subset. Consistent with our finding, selected or cloned CD8+ and CD4+ T lymphocytes from L. monocytogenes immune mice have been shown to adoptively transfer antilisterial protection (32-35). Induction of protective CD4⁺ T cells by the r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium constructs secreting Hly and p60 does not argue against ^a superior role of CD8+ over CD4+ T cells in naturally acquired resistance against listeriosis (36, 37).

DISCUSSION

The present report describes efficient protection against the intracellular pathogen, L. monocytogenes by vaccination with r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium constructs secreting two defined listerial antigens, p60 and Hly. First, our findings reveal a crucial impact of antigen display on protection. Antigen secretion was an essential, and somatic expression was an insufficient, prerequisite for successful vaccination. Second, our data reveal that carrier localization was not critical for stimulation of $CD8⁺$ T cells, because r-constructs residing in either compartment were capable of introducing secreted antigens into the MHC class ^I pathway.

The r-aro A^- S. typhimurium system described here allows comparison of different expression modes of identical proteins by the same plasmid with the exception of a minor manipulation in the hlyD gene. In contrast to surface-associated epitope localization (38), the hemolysin-derived export machinery allows secretion of full-length antigenic proteins by $r-aroA^-$ S. typhimurium, thus avoiding limitation to single epitopes. For both secreted and somatic display in r -aro A^- S. typhimurium, copy number and in vivo stability of the plasmids, regulation of the hemolysin operon as well as synthesis and stability of heterologous mRNA were comparable (J.H., unpublished results). In addition, p60-HlyA and Hly-HlyA fusion proteins retained inside r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p6Oc and SL7207 Hlyc, respectively, were probably equally abundant as their secreted cognates in r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60s and SL7207 Hlys. The impact of the Apa ^I mutation in the hlyD gene on protein export has been thoroughly studied in the hemolysin system of E. coli (29). These data suggest that the proteins are not exported into the bacteria-free supernatant or exposed on the bacterial surface, although deletion of the C-terminal part of HlyD allows initiation of secretion. Therefore, we are confident that p60 and Hly were not available to antigen processing prior to in vivo killing and degradation of r-S. typhimurium SL7207 p60c and SL7207 Hlyc, respectively.

The major finding of our experiment is that secreted antigens rather than somatically displayed ones induced protective immunity. We do not know whether differential antigen display influenced protein conformation. Because both p60 and Hly are secreted naturally, it is possible that the conformation of the fusion proteins resembles that of their natural cognates more precisely in the secreted form than in the somatic one. Conformational differences could influence antigen processing and availability of protective T-cell epitopes. Two lines of indirect evidence argue against this assumption. First, hemolysin when retained in the cytoplasm of E. coli, maintains its biological activity, suggesting similar protein folding in the somatic and secreted form (29). Second, processing and presentation of T-cell antigens are generally less conformation dependent than antigen recognition by antibodies (39). Although we cannot formally exclude an impact of conformational differences, we consider this possibility less

likely. Rather, we assume direct influence of antigen display on vaccine efficacy in this system.

The second important finding is that p60 secretion by r -aro A^- S. typhimurium in the endosome of infected host cells induced $CD8⁺$ T cells, which mediated protection against L . $monocy to genes.$ Thus, localization of r -aro A^- S. typhimurium inside the host cell had a minor influence on protection. Induction of protective $CD8⁺$ T cells by viable L. monocytogenes requires bacterial release from the phagosome into the cytosol of host cells (40). Nonhemolytic, Hly-deficient L. monocytogenes strains fail to induce protection against listeriosis. These avirulent listeriae are rapidly killed in the phagolysosomal vacuole, suggesting that they fail to introduce their antigens into the MHC class ^I processing pathway. In contrast, the $ar_O- S.$ typhimurium persist in the phagosome for a considerable period of time, and we assume that this longevity promoted introduction of secreted antigens from the endosome into the MHC class ^I processing pathway. As ^a corollary, induction of protective MHC class I-dependent immune mechanisms by r-L. monocytogenes strains depends on endosomal escape of the carrier (41), whereas endosomal persistence of r-aroA⁻ S. typhimurium allows MHC class I presentation. Recent evidence suggests the existence of alternative processing pathways (42), which allow endosomal loading of empty MHC class ^I molecules with antigenic peptides (43) or release of free antigens from the endosome into the cytoplasm with subsequent processing and presentation by the classical MHC class ^I pathway through the endoplasmic reticulum (44).

The r-aro A^- S. typhimurium secreting Hly expressed slightly improved vaccine efficacy compared to the p60-secreting construct. At least two possibilities could account for this difference. First, we have shown recently that Hly not only promotes egression of L. monocytogenes from the endosome into the cytosol but also confers this capacity to r-aro A^- S. typhimurium and aro A^- S. dublin (17). Hence, it is possible that the cytosolic residence of r-aroA- S. typhimurium improved vaccine efficacy against L. monocytogenes, which primarily replicates in the cytosol. Alternatively, it is possible that p60 is a weaker immunogen than Hly and, therefore, less efficacious in inducing protective immunity independent from carrier localization. Experiments with constructs secreting biologically inactivated Hly, which is still immunogenic, could help decide between these two alternatives.

Secreted antigens produced by metabolically active microbial pathogens are available to the immune system at the outset of infection, whereas presentation of somatic antigens is delayed because it depends on prior microbial killing and degradation. Recently, the importance of secreted proteins as protective antigens has been emphasized by successful vaccination of guinea pigs against tuberculosis and Legionnaires disease (6, 9). Antigen secretion by r-carriers mimics the natural infection more precisely and hence should be considered an important criterium of "protective T-cell antigens" in the rational design of future vaccines against intracellular microbial pathogens.

We thank R. Mahmoudi for secretarial help, A. Dreher and A. Zobywalski for technical assistance, and P. Conradt for helpful discussions. We are grateful to B. A. D. Stocker and P. Cossart for donating aroA⁻ S. typhimurium SL7207 strain and monoclonal antilisteriolysin antibody H14-3, respectively. We also thank Drs. R. Jaenisch and D. Mathis for breeding pairs of mutant mice. This work was supported by the German Science Foundation Project Ka 573/3-1 to S.H.E.K. and J.H.; I.G. and W.G. received financial support by grants from the German Science Foundation (SFB 176-B8 and Go 168/16-1).

- 1. Ada, G. L. (1993) in Fundamental Immunology, ed. Paul, W. E. (Raven, New York), pp. 1309-1352.
- Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1993) in Fundamental Immunology, ed. Paul, W. E. (Raven, New York), pp. 1251-1286.
- 3. Stover, C. K., de la Cruz, V. F., Fuerst, T. R., Burlein, J. E., Benson, L. A., Bennett, L. T., Bansal, G. P., Young, J. F., Lee,

M. H., Hatfull, G. F., Snapper, S. B., Barletta, R. G., Jacobs, W. R., Jr., & Bloom, B. R. (1991) Nature (London) 351,456-460.

- 4. Aggarwal, A., Kumar, S., Jaffe, R., Hone, D., Gross, M. & Sadoff, J. (1990) J. Exp. Med. 172, 1083-1090.
- Daugelat, S., Ladel, C. H., Schoel, B. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1994) Infect. Immun. 62, 1881-1888.
- 6. Horwitz, M. A., Lee, B.-W. E., Dillon, B. J. & Harth, G. (1995) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 1530-1534.
- 7. Pamer, E. G. (1994) J. Immunol. 152, 686-694.
- 8. Pamer, E. G., Harty, T. & Bevan, M. J. (1991) Nature (London) 353, 852-855.
- 9. Blander, S. J. & Horwitz, M. A. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 169, 691-705.
10. Berche, P., Gaillard, J. L. & Sansonetti, P. J. (1987) J. Immunol. Berche, P., Gaillard, J. L. & Sansonetti, P. J. (1987) J. Immunol.
- 138, 2266-2271.
- 11. Harty, J. T. & Bevan, M. J. (1992) J. Exp. Med. 175, 1531-1538. 12. Harty, J. T. & Pamer, E. G. (1995) J. Immunol. 154, 4642-4650.
-
- 13. Kuhn, M. & Goebel, W. (1989) Infect. Immun. 57, 55–61.
14. Hess, J., Gentschev, I., Szalay, G., Ladel, C. H., Buber
- 14. Hess, J., Gentschev, I., Szalay, G., Ladel, C. H., Bubert, A., Goebel, W. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1995) *Infect. Immun.* 63, 2047-2053.
- 15. Gaillard, J.-L., Berche, P., Mounier, J., Richard, S. & Sansonetti, P. (1987) Infect. Immun. 55, 2822-2829.
- 16. Hoiseth, S. K. & Stocker, B. A. D. (1981) Nature (London) 291, 238-241.
- 17. Gentschev, I., Sokolovic, Z., Mollenkopf, H.-J., Hess, J., Kaufmann, S. H. E., Kuhn, M., Krohne, G. F. & Goebel, W. (1995) Infect. Immun. 63, 4202-4205.
- 18. Hess, J., Wels, W., Vogel, M. & Goebel, W. (1986) FEMS Lett. 34, 1-11.
- 19. Wagner, W., Vogel, M. & Goebel, W. (1983) J. Bacteriol. 154, 200-210.
- 20. Wandersman, C. & Delepelaire, P. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 4776-4780.
- 21. Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Nature (London) 227, 680-685.
- 22. Wessel, D. & Flugge, U. (1984) Anal. Biochem. 138, 141-148.
23. Schoel, B., Welzel, M. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1995) J. Biochem
- Schoel, B., Welzel, M. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1995) J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods 30, 199-206.
- 24. Towbin, H., Staehelin, H. & Gordon, J. (1979) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76, 4350-4354.
- 25. Nato, F., Reich, K., Lhopital, S., Rouye, S., Geoffroy, C., Mazie, J. C. & Cossart, P. (1991) Infect. Immun. 59, 4641-4646.
- 26. Hess, J., Gentschev, I., Goebel, W. & Jarchau, T. (1990) Mol. Gen. Genet. 224, 201-208.
- 27. Gentschev, I. & Goebel, W. (1992) Mol. Gen. Genet. 232, 40-48.
- 28. Schiilein, R., Gentschev, I., Mollenkopf, H.-J. & Goebel, W. (1992) Mol. Gen. Genet. 234, 155-163.
- 29. Oropeza-Wekerle, R. L., Speth, W., Imhof, B., Gentschev, I. & Goebel, W. (1990) J. Bacteriol. 172, 3711-3717.
- 30. Zijlstra, M., Bix, M., Simister, N. E., Loring, J. M., Raulet, D. H. & Jaenisch, R. (1992) Nature (London) 344, 742-746.
- 31. Cosgrove, D., Gray, D., Dierich, A., Kaufman, J., Lemeur, M., Benoist, C. & Mathis, D. (1991) Cell 66, 1051-1066.
- 32. Kaufmann, S. H. E. & Hahn, H. (1982) J. Exp. Med. 155, 1754- 1765.
- 33. Kaufmann, S. H. E., Hug, E., Väth, U. & Müller, I. (1985) Infect. Immun. 48, 263-266.
- 34. Kaufmann, S. H. E., Rodewald, H. R., Hug, E. & DeLibero, G. (1988) J. Immunol. 140, 3173-3179.
- 35. Rakhmilevich, A. L. (1994) Immunology 82, 249-254.
- 36. Mielke, M. E. A., Niedobitek, G., Stein, H. & Hahn, H. (1989)J. Exp. Med. 170, 589-594.
- 37. Ladel, C. H., Flesch, I. E. A., Arnoldi, J. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1994) J. Immunol. 153, 3116-3122.
- 38. Verma, N. K., Ziegler, H. K., Wilson, M., Khan, M., Safley, S., Stocker, B. A. D. & Schoolnik, G. K. (1995) Vaccine 13, 142-150.
- 39. Germain, R. N. (1993) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 11, 403-450.
40. Bouwer, H. G. A., Gibbins, B. L., Jones, S. & Hinrichs,
- Bouwer, H. G. A., Gibbins, B. L., Jones, S. & Hinrichs, D. J. (1994) Infect. Immun. 62, 1039-1045.
- 41. Ikonomidis, G., Frankel, F. R., Portnoy, D. A. & Paterson, Y. (1995) in Vaccines 95 (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), pp. 317-326.
- 42. Szalay, G., Hess, J. & Kaufmann, S. H. E. (1994) Eur. J. Immunol. 24, 1471-1477.
- 43. Pfeifer, J. D., Wick, M. J., Roberts, R. L., Findlay, K., Normark, S. J. & Harding, C. V. (1993) Nature (London) 361, 359-362.
- 44. Kovacsovics-Bankowski, M. & Rock, K. L. (1995) Science 267, 243-246.