Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter	Content	Construct	Discriminant/ ivergent	D Convergent	Predictive	Factor Analysis/Prino pal Component	ci Confirmatory Factor	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Corre ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding of Lie Scale	Total Psychometric
Behavioural/Expert Coder	Reidfence	Study Type		Deviation [SD])	Gender	consistency	renability	Reliability	validity	vandity	validity	vandity	vandity	*	Analysis	modeling	Desirability	Statistics	Lie SCale	Assessments
Affective Sensitivity Scale [Unnamed]	Campbell et al. (1971)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	240 Master's-level counseling/guidance students	N/A	N/A	×	x		x	x		×	x							
	Greenslade & Coggins (2022)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	20 children with autism spectrum disorder	r with ASD: 49-79 months; TD: 37-77 months		×		x	x	×	x	×								
Assessment of Motivational Interviewing Groups – Observer Scales (AMIGOS)	Wagner & Ingersoll (2017)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	Adults in 18 group therapy sessions rated by experts (total number not reported)		N/a	×		x	~		~	x								
Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory Observer Form (BLRI Obs-40)	Bhatti et al. (2023)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	19 counselors and 69 young people	counelor: M=45, SD=9; young people: M=13.7, SD=.8	84% female; young people: 30.4% male, 66.7% female	×	x	x		x		x		x	x	x				
Behavioral Assessment of Empathy	Stover et al. (1971)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	51 mother-child play sessions rated by researchers	Children: R: 4-10	Female (100%) Male (0%)			x		x		×								
Callous-Unemotional Problems and Impairment (CUPI)	Castagna & Waschubusch (202		265 children ages 4 to 14 years old	M=8.04, SD=2.07 (range 4-14)		x				×	×	×		x						
Collaborative Behaviors Observational Assessment	Cavir et al. (2020)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	33 interprofessional health care providers		01.070 0090			x	x											
Contingency Management Competence Scale (CMCS)	Petry et al. (2020)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	35 substance abuse clinicians, 181 patients receiving substance abuse treatment (N=216)		Female (48.2%) Male (51.8%)	×		×		~	~	~	~	~						
(5863)	Peuyeral (2010)	Benavioura/Expert Coder	19 adults with a diagnosis of Asperger's Syndrome (AS) or High-Functioning Autism (HFA) according to established		(31.878)	^		^	^	^	~	~	~	^						
Edinburgh Social Cognition Test (ESCoT)	Baksh et al. (2021)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	DSM-IV criteria	M = 38.47, SD = 15.63	12 males, 7 females	х		x	x	x	х	x	x							
Empathetic Understanding Assessment (EUA)	Coyne et al. (2007)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	128 mother-child dyads (N=256)		Female (77%) Male (23%)			x												
Empathic Counselor Response Scale (ECRS)	Bayne & Hankey (2020)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	290 counselors	R: 17-74	84.9% female, 14.8% male	x		x	x	x	x	x		x	x					
Empathic Understanding Rating Scale	Avery & Danish (1976)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	150 therapist-client interactions rated by trained individuals		N/a			x												
Empathy and Clarity Rating Scale (ECRS)	Terregino et al. (2019)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	65 medical students		N/a			x	x											
Empathy Clinical Evaluation Exercise (ECEX)	Stackhouse et al. (2020)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	11 veterinary students rated by independent evaluators		N/a			x		x										
			481 yoga students, instructors, and researchers (Sample 1a), 329 active-duty military and veterans (Sample 1b), 491 yoga instructors (Sample 2), 144 yoga students, instructors, researchers and active-duty military and veterans (Sample 3, Phase IV) (N=1445)		Sample 1a: Female (90%) Male (10%) Sample 1b: Female (50%) Male (50%) Sample 2: Female (92%) Male (8%) Phase 4: Female (79% Male (21%)	)														
Essential Properties of Yoga Questionnaire (EPYQ)		Behavioural/Expert Coder	3, Phase IV) (N=1445)		Male (21%) Female (50%) Male		X	x	x	x	х	x		x	x					9
Four Habits Coding Scheme (4HCS) Global Interprofessional Therapeutic Communication	Krupat et al. (2006)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	50 physicians		(50%)	x		х		x		x								
Global Interprofessional Therapeutic Communication Scale© (GITCS©)		Behavioural/Expert Coder				x		х	х	х				x	x			x		
	Campbell et al. (2022) Campbell & Aredes (2019)	Behavioural/Expert Coder Behavioural/Expert Coder	426 nursing students 592 unique crowd source viewers		N/a	x		x	x	x				x	X			x		
			42 trainees who completed a National Board for Health and Wellness Coaching- approaved training program and coached a standardized patient																	
Health Coaching Index (HCI)	Sohl et al. (2021)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	a standardized patient	not indicated	41 female Female (80 5%) Male			х	x	x		x								
Helpful Responses Questionnaire (HRQ)	Miller et al. (1991)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	190 adults		Female (80.5%) Male (19.5%)			х												,
Helpful Responses to Refugees Questionnaire (HRRQ)	Potocky & L Guskovict (2016)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	90 service providers working with refugees		N/a	х		x	x	x	х	x								
Jefferson Scale for Physician Empathy for Observers (JSEO)	Mallory et al. (2021)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	4 raters, 24 videos of 12 interns	not indicated	not indicated Female (48%) Male	x		х	x	x		x								(
Kids' Empathic Development Scale (KEDS)	Reid et al. (2013)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	220 children 30 patients with depression and their		(52%) Female (73.9%) Male	х				х	х	х		х						1
Measure of Expressed Empathy	Malin & Pos (2015)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	therapists (n=16)	R: 18-65	(26.1%)	х			x	x		х	x	x	x	x				,
Multiple Mini-Interview (MMI)			225 students who were offered and accepted a place on one of the nursing or			x		x	x	X	x	x	x	x				x		
	Callwood et al. (2020)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	midwifery programmes	18-35	Female (63.5%) Male			x		x		x	x							
	Cox et al. (2015) Callwood et al. (2014)	Behavioural/Expert Coder Behavioural/Expert Coder	253 pharmacy school candidates 62 midwife students	M = 22.17, SD = 3.41	(36.5% N/a	~		x	×	~										
	Sebok et al. (2014)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	444 medical students		N/a	x		x	^	^								x		
	Hecker et al. (2009)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	110 veterinary medicine applicants		Female (79.1%) Male (20.9%)	x			x	x	x			x				×		
Parent Affective and Cognitive Empathy Scale (PACES)	Stern et al. (2015)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	60 primariy caregivers and their children (N=120)	R: 7-57, M = 23.35, SD = 4.05	Female (90%) Male (10%)			x	x	x	×	x						x		,
Performance of Empathic Expression Rating Scale (PEERS)	Gagen et al. (2017)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	60 individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia, 51 healthy adult controls (N=111) 00 shullon execut doubt (family)		N/a	×			x	x	x	x								
Physician-Caregiver Relationship Scales (PCRS)	Cox et al. (2008)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	96 physican-parent dyads (family medicine or pediatrician physicians and parents of a child patients) (N=192)			×		x	x	x	x		x		x			x		
Quality of Interactions Schedule (QuIS)	Young et al. (2022)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	107 patients 76 providers in emergency departments,	not indicated	not indicated				x											-
Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment Checklist for Observation in Real-time (SCORe)	Vendetti et al. (2017)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	hospital outpatient/ambulatory clinics, and hospital inpatient settings rated by trained individuals	1	N/a				x											
Social Cognition and Functioning (SCAF)	Olbert et al. (2013)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	173 patients with schizophrenia		N/a		x	х			х		x					x		
Staff-Patient Interaction Response Scale for Palliative Care Nursing (SPIRS-PCN)	Yates et al. (1998)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	181 nurses		N/a	x	x	x												
Tape Excerpt Response Procedure (TERPs)	Wallston & Weitz (1975)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	56 undergraduate counseling students	mean = 28.4	Female (64.3%) Male (35.7%)		x	x		x										
Taxonomy of Compassionate Physician Behaviours [Unnamed]	Cameron et al. (2015)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	49 interactions between oncologists (n=23) and patients with advanced cancer		Female (52.6%) Male (47.4%)			х												
Therapist Empathy Scale (TES) Therapists' Systemic Response Rating Scale	Decker et al. (2014)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	91 therapists		Female (65%) Male (45%)	x		x	x	x	x	x			x					
[Unnamed]	Kung (2000)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	15 clients in therapy for marital distress		N/a			х		x			x							
Truax Accurate Empathy Scale (AE)	Wenegrat (1974)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	30 clients of therapists (n=12) rated by trained undergraduate students		Female (64.3%) Male (35.7%			х						х						
Warmth/Affection Coding System (WACS) Peer/Corollary Report	Prasad et al. (2023)	Behavioural/Expert Coder	2 expert coders	not indicated	N/A	x		x		x		x								(
		Development P		R: 18-93	Female (55%) Male															-
5-Item Compassion Measure 24-Item Rating Form of Clinical Competence	Sabapathi et al. (2019)	Peer/Corollary Report		rc 18-93	(45%) Female (28%) Male	x						x			x					
[Unnamed] Adapted Health Communication Assessment Tool©	Hojat et al. (2007)	Peer/Corollary Report	4560 medical college graduates		(72%)	х						x		x						
(adapted HCAT©)	Baird et al. (2021)	Peer/Corollary Report	48 senior nursing students	N/A	N/A Female (46%) Male	х		х	х	x				х						(
Adapted SERVQUAL	Asiamah et al. (2021)	Peer/Corollary Report	520 patients	N/A	(53%)	x			x	x	x	x		x	x					
Altruism Scale	Friedman et al. (2015)	Peer/Corollary Report	300 people who inject drugs 481 patients from Veterans Affairs	N/A	N/A	X				X		x								
ASPIRE Coaching Fidelity Checklist (ACFC)	Damschroder et al. (2016)	Peer/Corollary Report	481 patients from Veterans Affairs medical centers enrolled in a weight management intervention trial		N/a	×		x		x				x				×		

														Factor			Control/Correl	1		
Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal	Test Re-Test	Interratter	Content	Construct	Discriminant/D ivergent Validity	Convergent	Predictive	Analysis/Princ pal Component	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation	ation with Social Desirability	Other Oth Advanced Re	ther Biased esponding or e Scale	Total Psychometric
Instrument Augmented Stanford Faculty Development Program		Study Type	84 medical residents and medical	Deviation [SD])	Female (23.5%) Male	consistency	Reliability	reliability	validity	validity	validity	validity	validity	•	Arialysis	modeling	Desirability	Statistics Lie	scale	Assessments
Questionnaire (SFDPQ)	Owolabi (2014)	Peer/Corollary Report	students		(76.5%) Female (33.33%) Male	х			x	x				х						4
Beech Brook Therapy Assessment Instrument	Layman et al. (2013)	Peer/Corollary Report	6 low-income children 51 adults in Motivational Interviewing (MI)	R: 9-12	(66.66%)			x	x	x										3
Behavior Change Counseling Index (BECCI)	Lane et al. (2005)	Peer/Corollary Report	consultations, ratings of 132 simulated M consultations	1	N/a	x		x		x										3
Brief Patient Satisfaction Scale [Unnamed]	Hojat et al. (2011)	Peer/Corollary Report	535 patients with at least two physician office visits in prior 3 years		Female (66%) Male (44%) Female (83%) Male	x			x	x		x		x						E
Caring Nurse-Patient Interaction Scale (CNPI)	Cossette et al. (2005)	Peer/Corollary Report	332 nursing students		(17%)	х			х	x		х					x			ε
Caring Nurse-Patient Interaction - Short Scale (CNPI-Short Scale)	Cossette et al. (2006)	Peer/Corollary Report	377 nursing students		Female (80%) Male (20%)				x	x		x								3
Charge Nurse Support Scale (CNSS)	McGilton (2003)	Peer/Corollary Report			Female (84%) Male (16%)	x	x		x	x				x						6
Child and Adolescent Dispositions Scale (CADS)	Mikolajewski et al. (2014)	Peer/Corollary Report	686 twin pairs (MZ and DZ) (N=1372)		Female (50.7%) Male (49.3%)	x									x					2
Children's Concentration and Empathy Scale (CCES)	Golino et al. (2021)	Peer/Corollary Report	273 students, 15 teachers	M=5.11	not indicated	x			×					x	×	x		x		E
Compassion Focused Therapy Therapist Competence Rating Scale (CFT-TCRS)	Horwood et al. (2020)	Peer/Corollary Report	20 clinicians		N/a				x											,
Countertransference Management Scale (CMS)	Pérez-Rojas et al. (2017)	Peer/Corollary Report	286 therapy supervisors		Female (75.2%) Male (24.8%)	x			x	x		x		x						E
Dental Home Scale (DHS)	Rozier et al. (2019)	Peer/Corollary Report	795 mothers (Development Study), 781 mothers (Validation Study) (N=1576)		N/a	x						×		×	×					
Dietrich Object Relations and Object Representations Scale (DOROS)	Porcerelli & Dietrich (1994)	Peer/Corollary Report	150 individuals who lost a parent to death		Female (51%) Male (49%)			×	×		x	x		x						
Emotional Intimacy Scale (EIS)	Sinclair & Dowdy (2005)	Peer/Corollary Report	90 women with rheumatoid arthritis		Female (100%) Male (0%)	×	~		~	~				x						
Empathy Construct Rating Scale (ECRS)	Ginadal & Dowdy (2000)	r concoronary report	so wonen with meanatolic ardinus			×	^		x	x	x	x		x				x		7
	Layton & Wykle (1990)	Peer/Corollary Report			Female (88%) Male (12%)					x										1
	La Monica (1981)	Peer/Corollary Report	300 nurses and graduate nursing students	20+	Female (100%) Male (0%)	x			x	x	x	x		x				x		7
Faculty Evaluation Instrument [Unnamed]	Colletti et al. (2010)	Peer/Corollary Report	31 medical residents		N/a Female (53.4%) Male	х			х	х					х					4
Global Rating of Empathy Scale (GRE)	O'Connor et al. (2014)	Peer/Corollary Report	163 final-year medical students		Female (53.4%) Male (46.6%)			x	x											2
Griffith Empathy Measure (GEM)	Murphy (2019)	Peer/Corollary Report	3496 children		N/a	x	x	x		x	x	x		x				x		8
	Dadds et al. (2008)	Peer/Corollary Report	155 Australian parents and their children (N=310)		Female (44%) Male (56%)	x	x	x		x	x	x		x						7
Interpersonal Quality of Family Planning Scale (IQFP)	Dehlendorf et al. (2018)	Peer/Corollary Report	346 women receiving contraceptive counseling		Female (100%) Male (0%)	x				×	×	×	x							
Inventory of Callous-Unemotional (ICU) Parent-			201 mother, shild duade requited from		Female (47%) Male	~						~	~							
Report Form	McDonald et al. (2018)	Peer/Corollary Report	community based intimate partner violence services (N=582)		(53%) Female (50%) Male	x				x		x		x						4
Inventory of Callous-Unemotional Traits (ICU) Jefferson Scale of Empathy for Observers (JSEO)	Willoughby et al. (2015) Mallory et al. (2020)	Peer/Corollary Report Peer/Corollary Report	1078 first-grade children 45 medical interns		(50%) N/a	~		~				~	х		х	x				3
Kiersma-Chen Empathy Scale Patient Scale (KCES-																				3
PV) Measure of Empathy in Early Childhood (MEEC)	Blakely et al. (2022) Kimonis et al. (2023)	Peer/Corollary Report Peer/Corollary Report	94 second-year student pharmacists 1226 parents	not indicated 25-34	38% male 694 male, 532 female	x	x	x		x		x		x	x		x			6
Nurse 5-item Compassion Measure	Roberts et al. (2022)	Peer/Corollary Report	431	1 M=63, SD=18	60% female	x				x	х	х		х	х	х		~		7
Objective Structured Clinical Evaluation (OSCE)	Lu et al. (2011)	Peer/Corollary Report	316 social workers		N/a	x			x	x								X		4
	Hodges & McIlroy (2003)	Peer/Corollary Report	57 medical students		N/a	x			x	x										3
Observed Mindfulness Measure (OMM) Patient Centeredness Index (PCI)	Bartlett et al. (2022) Rose et al. (2022)	Peer/Corollary Report Peer/Corollary Report	681 adults 3622 patients	R: 18-65+ M=62, SD=13	76% female 63% female, 37% male	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x					9
Patient's Assessment of Quality Scale - Acute Care Version (PAQS-ACV)	Lynn et al. (2007)	Peer/Corollary Report	1470 patients		Female (50%) Male (50%)		¥		Y	¥		¥		Y						
Peer Group Caring Interaction Scale (PGCIS)	Hughes (1998)	Peer/Corollary Report	853 undergraduate nursing students		Female (90.5%) Male (9.5%)	×	~		Y	Y	¥	~		~				x		
		. server and y report	111 purchasing agents (Development Study), 568 purchasing agents (Validation		(9.5%) Female (66.5%) Male	^				^	^							~		
Perceived Empathy Measure	Plank et al. (1996)	Peer/Corollary Report	Study) (N=679)		(33.5%)	x			x	x			х	x	x			-		e
Physician 5-Item Compassion Measure Schwartz Center Compassionate Care Scale (SCCCS)	Roberts et al. (2022)	Peer/Corollary Report	424	0 M=63, SD=18	60% female	×				x	x	x		X	x	x				7
(SCCCS)					Psychometric sample: Female (74.7%) Male	x	x		x	x		x		x	x			x		8
	Rodriguez & Lown (2019)	Peer/Corollary Report	23 patients (Qualitative Study), 167 patients (Quantitative Study) (N=190)		(25.3%) Cognitive debriefing sample: Female (34.8% Male (65.2%)	5) ¥	×		×	×		x		¥				x		
	Lown et al. (2015)	Peer/Corollary Report	801 patients who had been recently hospitalized for at least three days		N/a	×	^		Ŷ	^		×		x	x			x		
			501 recently hospitalized and non-		Female (25.3%) Male		v					^			~					5
	Lown et al. (2017)	Peer/Corollary Report	hospitalized adults in Ireland and the U.S		(3.8%) Other (70.9%) Female (94%) Male	x	x							x				x		4
Screening Tool for Care Coordinator Assistants	Cottingham et al. (2014)	Peer/Corollary Report	62 care coordinator assistant candidates		(6%) Study 1: Female				x	x			х							3
County of 10 Accounty of County	Illumo & Danasi	Developments D	195 undergraduate students (Study 1), 158 undergraduate students (Study 2) (N=353)		(61.3%) Male (38.7%) Study 2: Female (69.3%) Male (30.7%)															
Security of "I" Assessment (SofIA) Self-Care Counseling Rubric (SCCR)	Huang & Berenbaum (2017) Chen et al. (2019)	Peer/Corollary Report Peer/Corollary Report	(N=353) 47 pharmacy students		(69.3%) Male (30.7%) N/a	x	x	x						x						2
			113 customers after a purchase at a retai store (Development Study), 212	I																
SERVPERF-R	Fogarty et al. (2000)	Peer/Corollary Report	customers after a purchase at a retail store (Validation Study) (N=325)		N/a	×				x					x	×				,
					phase 1: 180 (59-4%) female; 123 (40-6%)															
Sinclair Compassion Questionnaire (SCQ)	Sindair et al. (2021)	Peer/Corollary Report	phase 1: 303 patients phase 2: 330 patients	phase 1: 73 ± 14 years phase 2: 74 ± 14 years	male phase 2: 182 (55·0%) female; 148 (44·8%) male	x	x		x	x	x	x		x	x					٤
Social Competence Interview Social-Emotional Assets and Resilience Scale -	Ewart et al. (2002)	Peer/Corollary Report	130 high school students 2356 parents/guardians of children and	Children and adolescents	N/a Female (41%) Male	x	x			x		х				х				5
Social-Emotional Assets and Resilience Scale - Parent Form (SEARS-P)	Merrell et al. (2011)	Peer/Corollary Report	adolescents	ages 5-19	(59%)	x		x		x		x		x	x					e
Social Skills Imporvement System Rating Scales Parent Form (SSIS)	Channell et al. (2023)	Peer/Corollary Report	124 children with down syndrome	M = 11.61, SD =3.48	47.6% male, 52.4% female					x	x	x								3
Solidarity Scale Survey of Physician Humanistic Approaches to	Friedman et al. (2015)	Peer/Corollary Report	300 people who inject drugs		N/a Female (64%) Male	x				x		x								3
Patient Care	Glaser et al. (2007)	Peer/Corollary Report			(36%) Female (84%) Male						x	x								2
Unit Manager Support Scale (UMSS)	McGilton (2003)	Peer/Corollary Report			(16%) Childron: Eomolo (25%	x	x		x	x				x						5
					Male (65%) Parents: Female (59%)															
Visual CARE Measure 5Q	Place et al. (2016)	Peer/Corollary Report	149 children, 220 parents (N=369)		Male (41%)	х			х											2

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/D ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	<sup>i</sup> Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Correl ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
					Children: Female (35%) Male (65%) Parents: Female (59%)															
Visual CARE Measure 10Q	Place et al. (2016)	Peer/Corollary Report	149 children, 220 parents (N=369)		Male (41%) Children: Female (35%)	х			x											2
Visual CARE Measure 100 Parent	Place et al. (2016)	Peer/Corollary Report	149 children, 220 parents (N=369)		Male (65%) Parents: Female (59%) Male (41%)	×			×											
Self-Report	11000 01 01. (2010)	recirculary report	140 children, 220 parchis (14-505)			~			~											
1-Item Brief Burnout Screening [Unnamed]	Kemper et al. (2019)	Self-Report	3906 pediatric residents		Female (72%) Male (28%)					x										+
2-Item Brief Burnout Screening [Unnamed]	Kemper et al. (2019)	Self-Report	3906 pediatric residents		Female (72%) Male (28%)					x										f
6-item brief short form Coping with Children's Negative Emotions Scale (CCNES)	King et al. (2022)	Self-Report	938 parents	M=37.37, SD=6.9	556 female, 341 male, 2 non-binary/transgender	x	x		x	x		x		x	x	x		x		5
10-Item Remembered Relationship with Parents (RRP10) Scale	Denollet et al. (2007)	Self-Report	664 adults		Female (52%) Male (48%)	×						x		x						1
15-item Mutualism Scale	Lin & Bates (2022)	Self-Report	902 participants (study 1: 400; study 2: 502)	study 1: M=40, SD=12. 54; study 2: M=36, SD=12.12	study 1: 252 females, study 2: 381 females	x	x			x	x		×	x	×	x				1
18-Item short form Coping with Children's Negative Emotions Scale (CCNES)	King et al. (2022)	Self-Report	937 parents	M=37.37, SD=6.8	556 female, 341 male, 2 non-binary/transgender	x	x		×	x		x		x	x	x		x		\$
20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20)	Carnovale et al. (2021)	Self-Report	1930 adults	M= 35.46, SD 12.55 (range 18-81)	1063 women, 865 men	×			×	x	×	x		x	×					,
30-Item Rating Scale of Parent Satisfaction with Pediatric Neuropsychological Evaluations			117 parents/guardians of children at a		Patients: Female (45%)															
[Unnamed]	Bodin et al. (2007)	Self-Report	children's hospital	study 1: M=46.66, SD=17.23; study 2: M=44.42; SD=16.52; study 3: M=46.43,	Male (55%) study 1: 241 female, 242 male, 1 other, study 2: 389 female, 376 male, 5 other, study 3: 131	2			x	x	x	x		x						6
Accountability Scale	Witvliet et al. (2022)	Self-Report	1257 participants (study 1: 484 adults, study 2: 773 adults, study 3: 234 adults)	study 3: M=46.43, SD=16.32	female, 101 male, 2 other Study 1: Females (68%)	×	х		x	x	x	x		x	x					8
Address and Facilities Over 11 11 11 11 11		0-# D	278 adults (Study 1), 20 right-handed		Males (32%) Study 2: Females (50%)															
Actions and Feelings Questionnaire (AFQ) Active Empathetic Listening (AEL) Scale	Williams et al. (2016b)	Self-Report	adults (Study 2) (N=298)		Males (50%)	x	x			x	x	x		x	x	x		x		4
	Gearhart et al. (2011)	Self-Report	345 undergraduate students		Female (55%) Male (45%) Female (23%) Male	x				x	x	x			x					5
Ad Response Empathy (ARE)	Drollinger et al. (2006) Escalas & Stern (2003)	Self-Report Self-Report	151 salespeople 22 undergraduate students	N/A	(77%) N/A	×				x		х		х	x	x		×		6
Ad Response Sympathy (ARS)	Escalas & Stern (2003)	Self-Report	22 undergraduate students	N/A	N/A	x				X						x		x		1
	Droutman et al. (2018)	Self-Report	588 older adolescents	R: 18-25	Female (79%) Male (21%)	x	x			x		x	x		x			x		7
Adolescent Attitudes towards Dementia Scale (A- ADS)	Griffiths et al. (2018)	Self-Report	262 high school students	R: 14-18	Female (59%) Male (41%)	x			×	x		x		x						,
Adult-Adolescent Parenting Inventory (AAPI)	Lutenbacher (2001)	Self-Report	225 low-income single mothers		Female (100%) Male (0%)	x				x	×	×		×			×			(
Affective and Cognitive Measure of Empathy (ACME)							×			x	×		x			x	x			e
	Murphy et al. (2020)	Self-Report			Female (53%) Male (47%)		x			x	x		х			х	х			e
	Vachon & Lynam (2016)	Self-Report	1287 undergraduate psychology students		Female (48.5%) Male (51.5%) Female (75.7%) Male		x									x				2
Affective Forecasting Situation Questionnaire	Qian et al. (2020)	Self-Report	272 medical students		(24.3%)	x			×			x								1
AIDS Attitude Scale	Froman & Owen (1997)	Self-Report	21 practicing nurses (Study 1), 64 practicing nurses (Study 2) (N=85)	N/A	N/A	×				x	x									5
AIDS Attitude Scale-Generic (AAS-G)	Froman & Owen (2001)	Self-Report	318 adults		Female (57%) Male (43%)	x	x		x	x				x						ŧ
Altruism Scale for Children (ASC)	Swank et al. (2020)	Self-Report	576 elementary to high school students	R: 8-19, M = 11.52, SD = 2.58	Female (35%) Male (62%) Other (3%)	x	x			x		x		x	x					f
Anasakti Scale [Unnamed]	Singh & Raina (2015)	Self-Report	366 undergraduate, graduate, and research students in India	M = 23.55	Female 64.5%, Male 35.5%							×		×						
Appreciative Joy Scale (AJS)	Zeng et al. (2017)	Self-Report	631 Chinese adults (Study 1), 281 Chinese adults (Study 2), 294 Chinese adults (Study 3), 255 American adults (Study 4), 301 Chinese undergraduate students (Study 5) (N=1762)		Females (66.2%) Male (33.8%)	×	×		x	x					x		x	×		
Arab Muslim Perceptions of Perinatal Loss Care (AMPPLC)	Alaradi et al. (2022).	Self-Report	79 Arab Muslim adults	M = 40.3 years, SD = 13.5	Male (54.4%), female (45.6%)	x														
Arble Estimate of Selfobject Pursuits (AESOP)	Arble & Barnett (2017)	Self-Report	738 undergraduate students		Female (71%) Male (29%)	×			x	×	×	×		x	×		x	x		
	Büssing et al. (2007)	Self-Report		M = 49.2, SD = 13.9	Female (63%) Male (37%)	x			x	×	~	~		×	~		~	~		
ASP ["Expressions of Spirituality"] Questionnaire Attitudes About Reality Scale (AAR)	Evans (2000)	Self-Report	488 German hospital staff members		(37%) Female (75.4%) Male (24.6%)	^			^	~				^						4
Attitudes About Reality Scale (AAR) Attitudes Related to Trauma-Informed Care Scale	Evans (2000)	Seil-report	110 employees of a mental health agency	rc 21-81	Female = 565 Male = 175					x										1
(ARTIC)	Baker et al. (2021)	Self-Report	1395 human services/health providers	R: 18-65+	Other = 2 Transgender = 1	x	х		x	x	х	x	x	x	х					ç
Attitudes toward Suicidal Patients	Aish et al. (2002)	Self-Report	1010 medical staff members		Female (71.8%) Male (28.2%) Female (81%) Male										x	x				2
Attitudes Toward Women with HIV/AIDS Scale Attribution Questionnaire-Substance Use Disorder	O'Hea et al. (2001)	Self-Report	225 undergraduate psychology students		(19%)	х				x				x						3
(AQ-SUD)	Johnson-Kwochka et al. (2021) Domenech et al. (2022)	Self-Report Self-Report	304 participants Phase 1: 13 experts. Phase 2: 204 adults	M=37.2, SD=10.97	61.5% male 45.59% male, 50% female	x			x	x	x	x		x	×	×				6
Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory (BLRI)	Cramer (1986)	Self-Report	335 undergraduate and graduate students		Female (71.6%) Male (28.4%)	^				^	^	^		x	^	^		x		,
Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory (BLRI) (BLRI-E)	Layton & Wykle (1990)	Self-Report			Female (88%) Male (12%)					×										
Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory for Receiver (B-L RI: OS-64)	Chen et al. (2022)	Self-Report	1286 participants (658 native Chinese speakers, 330 native Spanish speakers, 298 nativ English speakers)	M=38. SD = 12.9	(English speaking sample) 87.6% female, 12.1% male				~	^				×	~	~		~		
Barriers to Physician Compassion Questionnaire	Fernando & Consedine (2014)	Self-Report	75 physicians	m-00, 0D = 12.0	N/a	x				х		x		~	~	x		x		5
Basic Empathy Scale (BES)					Female (64.1%) Male	×				x	x	x		x	x					6
	McLaren et al. (2019) Peretti et al. (2019)	Self-Report Self-Report	555 adolescents		(35.9%)					x	x	x		x						2
	Jolliffe & Farrington (2006)	Self-Report	363 adolescents	M = 14.8	Female (46.6%) Male (53.4%)	~				~	~	~		~	~					
	Jonnie & Parnington (2006)	Jen-Report	study 1: 728 individuals, study 2: 27	study 1: M = 28.38, SD = 11.92; study 2: M=21.88;	study 1: 127 males, 592 females, 9 other/rather not say; study 2: 27	^				~										4
Body Compassion Questionnaire (BCQ) Body Compassion Scale (BCS)	Beadle et al. (2021)	Self-Report	female psychology students, 45 female psychology students	study 3: M=27.87, SD=13.44	females; study 3: 45 females	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x		10

				Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])		Internal	Test Re-Test	Interratter	Content	Construct	Discriminant/D	Convergent	Predictive	Factor Analysis/Prin pal Component	ci Confirmatory Factor	Structural	Control/Corre ation with Social Desirability	Other Other Biase Advanced Respondin Statistics Lie Scale	ed Total g or Psychometric
Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Deviation [SD])	Gender	Consistency	Reliability	Reliability	Validity	Validity	Validity	Validity	Validity	Component	Analysis	Modeling	Desirability	Statistics Lie Scale	Assessments
	Van Niekerk et al. (2023)	Self-Report	513 women	M = 29.84, SD = 10.88 study 1: 20.49 mean age,	100% women	x				x		x		x	x				
	Altman et al. (2020)	Self-Report	study 1: 662 undergraduates study 2: 256 undergraduates	SD=3.86 years study 2: 24.26 mean age, SD=4.16years	study 1: Female (70.8%)									x					
	Annan et al. (2020)	Seii-Report	662 undergraduate students (Study 1),	SD=4. Toyears										^	~				
	Altman et al. (2017)	Self-Report	662 undergraduate students (Study 1), 256 undergraduate psychology students (Study 2) (N=918)		Female (71.2%) Male (28.8%)	x			x	x		x		x	x		x	x	
Body Image–Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (BIAAQ)	Basarkod et al. (2018)	Self-Report	538 adults (Sample 1), 762 adults (Sample 2) (N=1300)		Female (55.7%) Male (44.3%)	x				x				x					
Body-Image Contextualized Adaptation of the Self- Compassion Scale (BI-SCS)	Ullrich-French & Cox (2022)	Self-Report	467 students	M = 20.4, SD = 4.86	68% female, 31% male	x				x		x	x	x	x				
Bolton Compassion Strengths Indicators (BCSIs)	Durkin et al. (2020)	Self-Report	421 preregistration undergraduate nursing students	9 M = 29, SD = 1=8.3	90.7% female	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x				
Breaking Bad News (BBN) Assessment Tool [Unnamed]	Vermylen et al. (2019)	Self-Report	10 medical students		Female (0%) Male (100%)			x										x	
Brief Assessment of Social Skills - Dementia (BASS- D)	Kelly & McDonald (2020)	Self-Report	26 diagnosed with dementia, 28 healthy older adults an (N=54)	M = 81.38, SD = 9.39	N/a					×	×	×							
Brief Dimensional Apathy Scale (b-DAS)	Radakovic et al. (2020)	Self-Report	53 ALS patients, 53	M - 01.00, 00 - 0.00	N/a	×	~			~	~	~							
Burnout Measure - Short Version	Ahmed et al. (2022)	Self-Report	caregivers/partners/relatives (N=106) 375 physicians	52.43 mean age	Female (42.4%)	^	^			x	x	^		x	x				
Burns-Specific Patient-Reported Experience Measure (PREM) [Unnamed]	Hodgkinson et al. (2019)	Self-Report	27 burn patients		N/a	x													
Calm Compassionate Care Scale (CCCS)	Kemper et al. (2015)	Self-Report	213 healthcare professionals		Female (73%) Male (27%)	×						x							
Care Receiver View of Caregiver Role Enactment	Tetz et al. (2006)	Self-Report	123 patient-caregiver dyads (N=246)		Female (65%) Male (35%)				×	×				Y					
Caring Assessment for Care Givers	Steele-Moses et al. (2011)	Self-Report	54 nurses		N/a	х	х		x	x	х	х		x					
Caring Assessment Tool (CAT)	Duffy et al. (2007)	Self-Report	557 hospitalized adults		N/a	x			х										
Caring Assessment Tool - Version V (CAT-V) Caring Behavior Coding Scheme (CBCS)	Sim et al. (2019) Mårtensson et al. (2021)	Self-Report Self-Report	476 nurses 19 undergraduate nursing students	range: 21-31	N/a 4 men, 15 women	X		x	x	X		X		x	x				
Caring Behaviors Inventory (CBI)	Coulombe et al. (2002)	Self-Report	211 hospital patients discharged from a surgical unit		Female (49.6%) Male (50.4%)	x						x						x	
	,		362 hospitalized patients (Study 1), 64 patients and 42 nurses (Test-Retest		Female (60.6%) Male														
	Wu et al. (2006)	Self-Report	Study) (N=468)		(39.4%)	x	х			x		х		х					
Caring Culture Survey Caring Dimensions Inventory (CDI)	Mensik et al. (2019)	Self-Report	640 direct and non-direct care staff		N/a	X		x	x	x				x	X	x			
	Watson (2003)	Self-Report	38 nursing lecturers		N/a Female (86.4%) Male			x											
	Lea et al. (1998)	Self-Report	1452 nurses and nursing students		Female (86.4%) Male (13.6%)					x				х	x	х			
	Watson & Lea (1997)	Self-Report	1430 nurses		Female (88.8%) Male (11.2%)	×			×	×									
Caring Dimensions Inventory - 35-Item Version									^	^									
(CDI-35) Caring Efficacy Scale (CES)	Watson et al. (2001) Reid et al. (2015)	Self-Report Self-Report	168 Scottish nursing students 2000 nurses		N/a N/a	x				x				x	x				
Caring for Bliss Scale	Rudaz et al. (2020)	Self-Report	205 adults (Study 1), 692 adults (Study 2) (N=897)	)		×	v					x							
Calling for bills Scale	Rubaz et al. (2020)	Зентерит	(recorr) (secorr) (Study 1a, content validity), 11 institution-dwelling older adults (Study 1b, Item analysis), 300 institution-dwelling older adults (Study 1c, EFA), 216 institution-dwelling older adults (Study 1d, CFA), 216 institution-dwelling older adults		1. N/a 2. N/a 3. Female (60.3%) Male (39.7%)		~					~			~				
Caring Scale for Institutionalized Elders	Hwang et al. (2017)	Self-Report	CFA), 216 institution-dwelling older adults (Study 2) (N=751)	R: 60-97	4. Female (54.2%) Male (45.8%)	x	x		×			x		x	x				
Carkhuff Scale	Layton & Wykle (1990)	Self-Report			Female (88%) Male (12%)					x									
Carolina Opinions on Care of Older Adults (COCOA)		Self-Report	160 medical students	R: 21-34	Female (50.5%) Male (49.5%)	x			x	x		x		×		x			
	Ross & Hoeltke (1985)	Self-Report	95 child care workers		N/a				x			x	x						
Childhood Narcissism Scale (CNS)	Thomaes et al. (2008)	Self-Report	300 Dutch children (Study 1), 1020 Dutch children (Study 2), 49 American children (Study 3), 302 Dutch children (Study 4), 238 Dutch children (Study 5), 280 Dutch children (Study 6) (N=189)	R: 8-14	6 studies: M (51%) F (49%) M (51%) F (49%) M (53%) F (47%) M (57%) F (43%); M (54%) F (46%) M (47%) F (53%) M (55%) F (45%)	x	x			x					x		x		
Child-Related Values Survey (CRVS)	Sockloskie (1990)	Self-Report	436 undergradudate psychology students		Female (20%) Male (80%)	x				x	x	x		x	~	~	x	x	
Clinical Skills Assessment (CSA)	van Zanten et al. (2005)	Self-Report	7746 doctors	M = 30.5, SD = 5.3	(80%) Female (42%) Male (58%)	^				^	^	^		^	^	^	^	~	
Clinical Skills Assessment (CSA) Clinician and Group Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems Survey (CG- CAHPS)	van Zanten et dl. (2005)	odimepor		m = 30.0, 3D = 3.3	(58%) Female (65.3%) Male														
CAHPS)	Roberts et al. (2019)	Self-Report	6493 patients from an outpatient clinic 472 clinicians who work with people with	M = 60, SD = 15	Female (65.3%) Male (34.7%) Female (72%) Male	x						x		x	x				
Clinician Associative Stigma Scale (CASS)	Yanos et al. (2017)	Self-Report	4/2 clinicians who work with people with serious mental illnesses		(26%) Other (1%)	x				x	х	x		x					
Cognitive, Affective, and Somatic Empathy Scale (CASES)						x				x	х	x	x	x	x				
	Raine et al. (2022) Raine & Chen (2018)	Self-Report Self-Report	2604 community participants 428 children	M=36.95, SD=11.54 R: 11-12	51.5% female N/a	X				X	X	X	¥	х	x				
Compassion Competence Scale (CCS)	Gordon et al. (2022)	Self-Report	35 aged care workers	not indicated	not indicated	x	x	x	x	^	^	^	^						
Compassion Fatigue Self-Test (CFST)	Jenkins & Baird (2002)	Self-Report	104 sexual assault and/or domestic violence counselors		N/a	x				x	x	×		x					
Compassion Motivation and Action Scales (CMAS) for Compassion	Steindl et al. (2021)	Self-Report	621 adults	M=43.55, SD=14.68	81.3% females, 18.7% male	x				×	x	x	x	x	x				
Compassion Motivation and Action Scales (CMAS) for Self-Compassion	Steindl et al. (2021)	Self-Report	622 adults	M=43.55, SD=14.69	81.3% females, 18.7% male	x				x	x	x	x	x	x				
Compassion Scale	Martins et al. (2013)	Self-Report	310 adults		Female (66.8%) Male (33.2%)	x				×				×					
Compassion scale	Midia ans et al. (2013)	Senteput	465 adults (Study 1), 510 adults (Study 2), 60 adults (Study 1), 510 adults (Study 2), 00 adults (Study 3), 1394 adults (Study 5), 1613		(33.2.%) Study 1 - Female (65%) Male (35%) Study 2 - Female (53%) Male (45%) Study 3 - Female (46%) Male (54%) Study 4 - Female (65%) Male (28%) Study 5 - Female (45%)					~									
Compassion Scale (CS)	Pommier et al. (2020)	Self-Report	(Study 4), 172 adults (Study 5), 913 adults (Study 6) (N=3534)		Male (55%)	х	x		x	x	х	x		х	x	x	х		1
	Burnell & Agan (2013)	Self-Report	250 medical/surgical inpatients		Female (47%) Male (53%)	x			x	x		x		x					
Compassionate Engagement and Action Scales (CEAS)	Murfield et al. (2021)	Self-Report	189 family carers of older adults	M=59.4, SD=11.5	87.7% female, 11.7% male									x	x				
Compassionate Love Scale (CLS) Compassionate Love Scale for Humanity - Short Form (CLS-H-SF)	Sprecher & Fehr (2005)	Self-Report	354 undergraduate students		Female (65.3%) Male (34.7%)	x				x		x		x			x		

				Are (range ID) and/							Discriminantin	,		Factor Analysis/Princ	i Confirmatory	Structural	Control/Correl	Other	Other Placed	Total
nstrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Analysis/Princ pal Component	Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Psychometric Assessments
	Williams et al. (2022)	Self-Report	225 undergraduate paramedicine students	M = 21	65.8% female	×								x						2
	Chiesi et al. (2020)	Self-Report	790 undergraduate students		Female (66%) Male (34%)	×			×	x		×						×		5
Complementary Measure of Psychotherapy			study 1: 10 graduate students/early career psychologists and 35 experienced therapists; study 2: 264 adults currently in outpatient psychotherapy and 571 adults; study 3: 31 clients seeking psychotherapy for depression and 9 therapists	study 2: development sample M= 36.1, SD 12.7, validation sample M= 32.9, SD 13.3; study 2: dioath M=24.7, SD =	study 1: 25 females; study 2: development 207 female, 52 male, 5 other; validation 442 female, 122 male, 6 other; study 3: 24															
Measure (COMPO)	Chui et al. (2021)	Self-Report	for depression and 9 therapists	9.13 Top subjects were tested	female	x	х		x	x	х	x	х	x	x	х				10
Comprehensive Object Relations Profile (CORP)	Burke et al. (1986)	Self-Report	10 neurotic patiente, 10 bordertine patiente, am 10 schizophrenic patiente (N=30)	The subjects were tested in each of three diagnostic groups: neurofic, borderline, a schizophrenic. The neurofic patients were selected consecutively upon admission to the Emergency and Psychotherapy Program. The borderline and schizophrenic patients were selected consecutively upon admission to the Partial Hospitalization Program.	Female (57%) Male (43%)		×		x		x		x							4
Comrey Personality Scales (CPS)						х			х	х				x	х			х		6
	Rushton & Irwing (2009)	Self-Report			Female (51%) Male (49%)	x								x	x					3
	Caprara et al. (2001)	Self-Report			Female (63%) Male (37%)	x			x	х					x			x		5
	Forbes et al. (1974)	Self-Report	752 American and British undergraduate students and university employees		Female (68.2%) Male (31.9%)									x						1
Connection Index (CI12) Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale 10 (CD-RISC-	Puder et al. (2022)	Self-Report	50 medical residents	N/A	76 male, 53 female	х	х			х				х						4
10)	Heritage et al. (2021)	Self-Report	708 nursing students	M=26.43	86% female	x			x					x	x					4
Consultation and Relational Empathy (CARE) Measure	1					x		x	x	x		x		x	х			x		8
	Keulen et al. (2020)	Self-Report	84 orthopedic patients 943 adults attending consultations with sexual health nurses (n=20)	20-88	44 female Female (68%) Male (32%)	x								x						2
	Bikker et al. (2017)	Self-Report		M = 28.8	(32%) Female (57.8%) Male (42.2%)	x				x		x								3
	Bikker et al. (2015)	Self-Report	774 adult patients from GP practices	16 and older	(42.2%) Female (39%) Male	х				х		x								3
	Chen et al. (2015)	Self-Report	158 medical students		Female (39%) Male (61%) Female (58%) Male	x			х	х		x			x					5
	Kersten et al. (2012)	Self-Report	213 pain patients	M = 66.8, SD = 8.3	(42%)	x								x				x		3
	Mercer et al. (2008)	Self-Report	1582 patients being treated by anaesthetists (n=31)		Patients: Female (60.1%) Male (39.9%)	x		x												2
	Mercer & Murphy (2008)	Self-Report	1015 patients rating outpatient medical consultants (n=25) in Scotland		Female (64.8%) Male (35.2%)	x		x		x				x						4
	Mercer et al. (2004)	Self-Report	20 Scottish medical outpatients (high economic deprivation) (Study 1), 13 Scottish medical outpatients (low economic deprivation) (Study 2), 10 Scottish medical outpatients (high economic deprivation) (Study 3) (N=43)		Female (60.6%) Male (39.4%)				v	x										2
					Female (72.8%) Male				^	^										2
Consumer Orientation Questionnaire (SCOI) Counselor Behavior Analysis (CBA)	Saunders & Munro (2000)	Self-Report	136 undergraduate psychology students		(27.2%)	x	x	×	×	x	X	x	×		×		x			6
	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	24 grdauate students and PhD-Level psychologists	M = 29.36. SD = 8.23	not indicated			×	×											-
	Gabbard et al. (1986)	Self-Report	44 practicing counselors	M = 29.36, SD = 6.23	N/a	x		X	^	x	x	x	x		x					7
Curtin Vicarious Response Scales (CVRS)	Curtin & Hammond (2012)	Self-Report	664 Irish dental clinicians		Female (59.6%) Male (40.4%)	x				x		x		x						4
					Study 1: Female 37.1%, Male 62.9% Study 2: Female 50.2% Male 49.8% Study 3: Female 41.5% Male 53.6% Study 4: Female 46.4% Male 53.6%	, ,														
Decentering Scale for Sport (DSS)	Zhang et al. (2016)	Self-Report	1255 athletic persons		Male 59.6% Female (50%) Male (49%) Other (1%)				x	x	x	x		x	x					7
Defending Behaviors Scale (DBS)	Lambe & Craig (2020)	Self-Report	572 early adolescents		(49%) Other (1%) Female (77%) Male (23%)	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x		x				9
Dental Beliefs Survey - Revised (DBS-R) Dentist-Patient Relationship Skills Assessment	Kvale et al. (2004)	Self-Report	202 dental patients			х				х				x	x					4
Dentist-Patient Relationship Skills Assessment [Unnamed]	Orsini & Jerez (2014)	Self-Report	444 undergraduate dental students 198 parents of youth with Type-1		N/a Female (88%) Male	x			x	х				x						4
Diabetes-Specific Self-Compassion Scale (SCS-Dp)	Tanenbaum et al. (2020)	Self-Report	Diabetes	M = 44, SD = 8.9	(12%)					x	x	x			x					4
Driving While Impaired Therapeutic Educator Inventory (DTEI)	DeMuro et al. (2011)	Self-Report	569 adults	M = 46.39	Female (61.9%) Male (38.1%)	x				x				x						3
DSM-5 Levels of Personality Functioning Questionnaire (DLOPFQ)						x		x	x	x	x	x								6
	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	29 grdauate students and PhD-Level psyc 140 outpatient psychiatry and internal	th M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated Female (73.5%) Male			x	х											2
	Huprich et al. (2018)	Self-Report	medicine clinicians 140 patients who have been in treatment		(26.5%)	x				x	х	x								4
	Nelson et al. (2018)	Self-Report	140 patients who have been in treatment at outpatient psychiatry and internal medicine clinics	18+	Patients: Female (73.5%) Male (26.5%)							×								4
Dymond's Test of Insight and Empathy	Lindgren & Robinson (1953)	Self-Report	60 undergraduate psychology students	10-	(73.5%) Male (26.5%) N/a				x			^								1
			366 older adults free from significant physical and psychological health																	
ECRC Altruism Scale	Bhatta et al. (2021)	Self-Report	254 individuals currently practicing	M= 86.17, SD = 4.53	68% female	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				9
Effects of Meditation (EOM) Scale	Skipper et al. (2015)	Self-Report	meditation	R: 20-91	N/a autistic sample: 36.5%	х				х			x		x					4
5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Williams & Gotham (2021)	Self-Report	743 verbal autistic adults and 721 general population controls	autistic adults M=30.91, SD=7.02; control M=30. 92, SD=13.01	autistic sample: 36.5% male, 63.5% female; control 35.1% male, 64.9% female Female (58%) Male					x	x	x		x	x					5
Emotional Accuracy Research Scale (EARS)	Geher et al. (2011)	Self-Report	124 undergraduate students		(42%)	х					х	х	х							4
Emotional Intelligence (EI) Instrument	Carrothers et al. (2000)	Self-Report	147 medical school applicants		Female (56%) Male (44%)	x			x	x		x								4
Emotional Intelligence Inventory (EII)					Female (64%) Male	x	X	x	x			x		x						6
	Tapia & Marsh (2006)	Self-Report			(36%)	х						х								2
	Singh (2004)	Self-Report	263 managers		Female (27.4%) Male (72.6%)			х												1
	Tapia (2001)	Self-Report	111 Mexican high school students		Female (37%) Male (63%)	x	x		х					х						4

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/I ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	i Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Correl ation with Social Desirability	I Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
Emotional Intelligence Scale (EIS)					Female (64%) Male	x						x	x		x					4
	Tapia & Marsh (2006)	Self-Report			(36%) Female (54.2%) Male	x						х								2
	Hakanen (2004)	Self-Report	153 undergraduate students	M = 25, SD = 4.4	(45.8%) Female (62.7%) Male								x		x					:
Emotional Logic Development Profile (ELDP)	Zahra et al. (2016)	Self-Report	118 adults		(25.4%) Other (11.9%)	x				х										
Emotional Response Questionnaire (ERQ) Emotional Skills and Competence Questionnaire	Everson et al. (2018)	Self-Report	460 nursing students		N/a	x									x	x				3
(ESCQ)	Takšić et al. (2009)	Self-Report	381 high school students 28 healthcare paraprofessionals (Study	R: 14-19	N/a	х			х	х	х	х	х	х	х					
Empathetic Care Scale (ECS)	Lamberton et al. (2015)	Self-Report	25 healthcare paraprofessionals (Study 1), 138 healthcare paraprofessionals (Study 2), 125 healthcare paraprofessionals (Study 3), 16 healthcare paraprofessionals (Study 4), 18 healthcare paraprofessionals (Study 5) (N=325)		Female (92.8%) Male (7.2%) Female (88.6%) Male (11.4%) 3rd, 4th, 5th study sex information N/A		Ū.			,	v	~		,	v		Ų	x		
			100 patient encounters with general	Study 2: M = 40.3	Female (20%) Male		^			^	~	^	~	^	~		^	~		
Empathic Communication Coding System (ECCS) Empathic Experience Scale (EES)	Bylund & Makoul (2002)	Self-Report	internists (n=20) rated by researchers		(80%)	x			x	x	×	x		×	×	×	×	x		2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Suwinyattichaipom et al. (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 151 undergraduate students; study 2: 535 undergraduate students; study 3: 659 undergraduate participants 921 (failian adults (Study 1), 504 Italian	study 2: M=21.22; study 3: M=19.54	study 1: 69 men, 81 women; study 2: 320 female, 215 male; study 3: 338 men, 319 women) Female (53.8%) Male	×			x	x	×	×		x	x	x				Ę
	Innamorati et al. (2019)	Self-Report	adults (Study 2) (N=1425)	18+	(46.2%)	х					х	х		х	х	х	х			7
Empathic Expressions Scale (EES)		Self-Report			Female (71.3%) Male															C
Empathic Tendency Scale (ETS)	Koçak & Onen (2013)	Self-Report	720 student teachers		Female (71.3%) Male (30.1%)	х	х							x	x					4
Empathy Accuracy (EA) Task Empathy Assessment Index (EAI)	Vilas et al. (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 16 targets, 59 perceivers; study 2: 71 participants	study 1: M=19.02, SD=0. 61; study 2: M=15.26, SD=1.28	study 1: (targets: 7 males, 9 females) (perceivers: 50 females, 9 males); study 2: 37 males, 34 females				,	x		x		v	x					2
Emparity Assessment muck (EAU)					Female (83%) Male	~	~								~					
	Gerdes et al. (2011)	Self-Report	312 undergraduate and graduate students		(17%) Female (74.4%) Male	x	X		x			x		x						5
	Lietz et al. (2011)	Self-Report	773 adults		(25.6%) 53.6% female, 46.4%	х	х					х			х					4
Empathy Assessment Scale (EAS)	Malakcioglu (2022)	Self-Report	651 students 101 undergraduate students and	M=20.34, SD=2.67	Female (65.34%) Male	x	х		x	х		х		x	x					7
Empathy Components Questionnaire (ECQ)	Batchelder et al. (2017)	Self-Report	101 undergraduate students and university staff		(34.66%)							x		x	x					3
Empathy-Enhancing Virtual Evolving Environment (EEVEE)	Jackson et al. (2015)	Self-Report	19 adults		N/a													x		1
Empathy for Action Pain Picture Database (EPSS- Action Picture)	Meng et al. (2023)	Self-Report	70 adults	M=22.66, SD=2.17	36 females	x			x											2
Empathy for Action Pain Video Database (EPSS- Action Video)	Meng et al. (2023)	Self-Report	70 adults		34 females	x			x											
Empathy for Face Pain Picture Database (EPSS- Face)		Self-Report	70 paid volunteers		35 women	×			×											
Empathy for Limb Pain Picture Database (EPSS-	Meng et al. (2023)																			2
Limb)	Meng et al. (2023)	Self-Report	70 paid volunteers	M=25.34, SD=1.34	35 women Study 1: Female (56%)	х			x											2
			161 pain patients. 245 adult controls		Study 1: Female (56%) Male (44%) Study 2: Female (78%)															
Empathy for Pain Scale (EPS) Empathy for Voice Pain Database (EPSS-Voice)	Giummarra et al. (2015) Meng et al. (2023)	Self-Report Self-Report	161 pain patients, 245 adult controls (Study 1), 59 adults (Study 2) (N=465) 70 adults	M=22.89, SD=2.32	Male (22%) 35 women	x			x	х	х	х	х	х	х					8
			161 undergraduate students and		Female (48.4%) Male				^											2
Empathy Gradient Questionnaire (EGQ) Empathy Index (EI)	Hollar (2017)	Self-Report	educational professionals	R: 18-60+	(51.6%)	x						x		x	X			x		3
	Murphy et al. (2018)	Self-Report	389 adults		Female (52.5%)Male (47.5%)										×			×		-
	Jordan et al.(2016)	Self-Report		M = 36.52	(47.5%) Female (51.6%) Male (48.4%)									×	^			^		
Emnathy Mageure for Parante Analon Task -	Jordan et al.(2016)	Self-Keport	95 adults recruited through MTurk	M = 36.52 study 1: M=18.77, SD=1. 56; study 2: mothers M=30.44, SD=5.8, fathers M=32.65, SD=6.75		X						x		x						3
Empathy Measure for Parents Analog Task - Emotion Audio (EMPAT-EA)	Gonzalez & Rodriguez (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 142 childless undergraduate students; study 2: 212 parents	study 1: M=18.77, SD=1.	etudy 1: 50% female:	x			x	x		x		x						5
Empathy Measure for Parents Analog Task - Emotion Scripts (EMPAT-ES)	Gonzalez & Rodriguez (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 142 childless undergraduate students; study 2: 212 parents	M=30.44, SD=5.8, fathers M=32.65, SD=6.75	study 2: 119 mothers, 93 fathers	x			x	x		x		x						ε
Empathy Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents (EmQue-CA)	Overgaauw et al. (2017)	Self-Report	1250 children/adolescents	10 or older	Female (50%) Male (50%)	x			х	х		x		x	x					e
Empathy Quotient (EQ)			215 adult individuals without concomitant			x	х			X	х	x	x	x	x		x	х		10
	Jones et al. (2022) Peretti et al. (2019)	Self-Report Self-Report	215 adult individuals without concomitant intellectula disability	M=31.18, SD=10.45	118 male					х	x		x	~						3
				online Qualtrics	Female (59%) Male							^		^						3
	Wright & Skagerberg (2012)	Self-Report	5186 adults recruited through Qualtrics 658 individuals with an autism spectrum diagnosis, 1375 family members of individuals with autism spectrum	population	(41%)	x					x									2
	Allison et al. (2011)	Self-Report	diagnosis, and 3344 typical adult controls (N=5377)		Female (60.7%) Male (39.3%) Study 1: Female (62.5%) Male (37.5%),	x									x			x		3
	Nettle (2007)	Self-Report	277 adults (Study 1), 195 adults (Study 2), 76 non-heterosexual adults (Study 3) (N=548)	people online, international	Study 2: Female (67.7%) Male (32.3%). Study 3. Female (29%) Male (71%) Study 1: Female									x						1
	Lawrence et al. (2004)	Self-Report	52 mental health professionals and staff	Study 1: M = 32.5	(52.8%) Male (47.2%) Study 2: Female (61.4%) Male (38.6%) Test group: Female (48.4%) Male (51.6%)					,		x		,			x			
	Lawrence et al. (2004)		(Study 1), 172 adults (Study 2) (N=224) 1256 parents of typically developing	parents of typically devel-						^		^		^			^			6
Empathy Quotient - Child (EQ-C)	Auyeung et al. (2009)	Self-Report	children	oping children R: 18.2–26.3, M = 21.0,	N/a Female (59%) Male	x	X													2
Empathy Quotient - Short (EQ-Short) Empathy Scale for Social Workers (ESSW)	Wakabayashi et al. (2006) King & Holosko (2012)	Self-Report Self-Report	1761 English undergraduate students 271 social workers	SD = 2.58	(41%) N/a	x			×	~	~	×		×						4
Empathy Scale for Social Workers (ESSW) Empathy Selection Task	1000800 (2012)	oca Adport	Li i Social Workers			x	x		^	x	^	x	x	^				x		6
				study 1: M age = 35.9	study 1: 18 female, 29 male															
	Ferguson & Inzlicht (2022)	Self-Report	sample 1: 47 general adult population; sample 2: 42 undergraduate students 56 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 1), 47 adults recruited through MTurk	years study 2: M age = 19 years	study 2: 34 female, 8 male	x	×													2
	Cameron et al. (2019)	Self-Report	<ol> <li>47 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 2), 196 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 3) (N=299)</li> </ol>		Female (56.5%) Male (43.5%)					x		x	x					x		4

														Factor			Control/Corre	1		
Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/E ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Analysis/Print pal Component	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	r Psychometric Assessments
Empathy Test Empathy Towards People with Intellectual	Layton & Wykle (1990)	Self-Report			Female (88%) Male (12%)					x										1
Disabilities Questionnaire (EMP-ID)	Collins et al. (2017)	Self-Report	194 employed carers		Female (74%) Male (26%)	х	х		х	х		х					х			6
English Youth Self-Report Version of the ICU	Hitti et al. (2021)	Self-Report	265 middle schoolers	11-1	5 female (52%)	x				x	X	x						X		5
Equanimity Barriers Scale (EBS)			study 1 = 453 students and staff: study 2	study 1: M=30.55, SD=11.26; study 2: M=28.70, SD=10.58;	study 1: 20.2% male, 79.1% female; study 2: 32.4% male, 64.8%	x		x	x		X	x		x	x					8
	Weber & Lowe (2021)	Self-Report	= 108 undergraduate students; study 3 = 302 undergraduate students	study 3: M=30.24, SD=9. 52	female; study 3: 21.2% male, 77.8% female	x			x	x	x	x		x	x					7
			453 undergraduate health and psychology students and online social media	y	S1: Female (79.1%) Male (20.2%) Unspecified (0.7%) S2: Female (64.8%) Male (32.4%).															
	Weber & Lowe (2018)	Self-Report	respondents (Study 1), 108 undergraduate health students (Study 2), 302 undergraduate health and psychology students (Study 3) (N=863)	y	Unspecified (2.8%) S3: Female (77.8%) Male (21.2%) Gender fluid (1%)	x				x	x	x		x	×					6
Ethical Wills Assessment	Cohen-Mansfield et al. (2009)	Self-Report	15 nursing home residents, 11 student volunteers at nursing homes (N=26)		Female (59%) Male (41%)	~			x	~	~	~		~	~					1
					Female (36.6%) Male (60.7%) Did not respon (2.7%)	d														
Ethnic Minority Patient-3 (EMP-3) Evaluating Goelman's Five Dimensions of Emotional	De Maesschalck et al. (2010)	Self-Report	112 family physicians		(2.7%) Female (49.7%) Male	х			x	X				X						4
Intelligence [Unnamed]	Branscum et al. (2013)	Self-Report	189 undergraduate students		(50.3%)	х			х	х	х	х	х		х					7
Everyday Multicultural Competencies/Revised Scale			28 key campus administrators responsible for diversity programming, residence hall peer counselors, and counseling psychology doctoral students involved in undergraduate teaching (Development Study), 1278 undergraduate psychology	e																
	Mallinckrodt et al. (2014)	Self-Report	students (Validation Study) (N=1306) 526 students and academics (Development Sample), 105 allied health		N/a	x					x	x			x					4
Evidence-Based Practice Profile (EBP2) Questionnaire	McEvoy et al. (2010)	Self-Report	(Development Sample), 105 allied health students and professionals (Validation Sample) (N=631)		Female (66.7%) Male (33.3%) Female (57%) Male	x	x				x	x		x				x		6
Executive Function Index (EFI)	Spinella (2005)	Self-Report	188 adults		(43%) Female (65.7%) Male	x				x	x	x		x						5
Experience Sampling Method (ESM)	Runyan et al. (2019)	Self-Report	200 adults		(34.3%) Femal (50%) Male	x						x	x							3
Eysenck Personality Inventory (EPI) Eysenck Personality Questionnaire - Revised (EPQ-	Kentle (1995)	Self-Report	300 undergraduate students	M = 21.14, SD = 2.8	(50%) Female (70%) Male							x								
R)	Corulla (1987)	Self-Report	307 undergraduate students		(30%)	x			x	x		x							x	5
				study 1: M=34.909, SD=9.536; study 2 M=36	49% females; study 2: 51% males, 49% females, 40% males, 58% females, .5% genderqueer/gender fluid, .5% trans x man, .															
Facets of Emotional Experiences in Everyday Life Scale (FEEELS) Facilitative Internersonal Skills for Child Therany	Chung et al. (2022)	Self-Report	study 1: 55 participants; study 2: 107 participants; study 3: 229 participants 10 mental health clinicians, either	810, SD =10.609; study 3: M=36.486, SD: 10.353	5% trans x woman, .5% "rather not say"	×			x	x	x	x		x	x	x				8
Facilitative Interpersonal Skills for Child Therapy (FIS-C)	Bate & Tsakas (2022)	Self-Report	licensed or in training	M = 29.5, SD = 8.07	9 female, 1 male Female (98.6%) Male (1.4%)	х		х	x	х		х								5
Family Nurse Caring Belief Scale (FNCBS)	Magri (2018)	Self-Report	213 nurses 78 close relatives, partners, or friends		(1.4%) Female (59%) Male (41%)	x					x	x		x	x					5
Family Response Questionnaire (FRQ)	Cordingley et al. (2001)	Self-Report	currently living with a person with CFS	study 1: median age 38.2	study 1: 369 women,	х	x		x	x		x						x		6
Fat Attitudes Assessment Toolkit (FAAT)	Cain et al. (2022)	Self-Report	study 1: 751 American adults (at least 18 years old). study 2: 390 American adults 251 Canadian patients referred for eating disorder treatment (Clinical Sample), 314	study two average age 31.47	378 men, 4 other. study 2: 195 men and women	×	x		x	x	x	x		x	x		x			9
Fears of Compassion for Self Scale	Geller et al. (2019)	Self-Report	Canadian female undergraduate psychology students (Student Sample) (N=565)		Female (98.5%) Male (1%) Other (0.5%)									×		x				2
				study 1 (sample 1: M=27 75, SD=8.94; sample 2: M=24.28, SD=7.79), study 2 M=22.87, SD=2.	study 1 (sample 1: 167 male, sample 2: 131															
Fears of Compassion in Sport Scale (FCSS)	Zhang & McEwan (2023)	Self-Report	792 athletes 222 undergraduate students, 59 therapists attending a three-day	33	male), study 2: 78 male	X	x		x	x	X	X	x	x	x					9
Fears of Compassion Scales	Gilbert et al. (2011)	Self-Report	therapists attending a three-day Compassion-Focused Therapy workshop (N=281)		Female (77%) Male (23%) Female (80%) Male	x			x	x	x	x		x						6
Figley's Compassion Fatigue Scale - Revised Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ)	Adams et al. (2006)	Self-Report	236 social workers		(20%)	x				x		x		x	x					3
Five-Factor Narcissism Inventory - Short Form (FFNI-SF)			166 undergraduate psychology students (Sample 1), 288 undergraduate students (Sample 2), 287 adults recruited through MTurk (Sample 3), 98 adults currently receiving psychological/psychiatric treatment (Sample 4) (N=839)		Female (64.6%) Male (35.4%)															
Forms of Responding to Self-Critical Thoughts Scale	Sherman et al. (2015)	Self-Report	254 adults (Study 1), 110 adults (Study 2)	Study 1: M = 31	Female (69.5%) Male									x				×		2
(FoReST)	White et al. (2020)	Self-Report	(N=364) 463 Australian volunteer rural ambulance	Study 2: M = 21.6	(29.7%) Other (.8%) Female (51%) Male	x			x			x		x	x			x		6
Four-Dimensional Connectedness Scale (4DCS)	Huynh et al. (2012)	Self-Report	officers study 1: 669 English-speaking participants; study 2a: 1999 English- speaking adults; study 2b: 116 students; study 3a: 500 English-speaking participants; study 3b: 102 adults with a confirmed clinical diagnosis of autism & 183 non-autistic individuals	study 1b: M=36.39, SD=12.14; study 2a: M=35.77, SD=12.82; study 2b: range 18=-20; study 3a: M=37.29, SD=11.74; study 3b: M=41.74, SD=12.15	(49%) study 1b: 342 females; study 2a: 1286 females study 2b: 93 females; study 3a: 254 females;	×					x		x	x	x					5
Four-Item Mentalising Index	Clutterbuck et al. (2021)	Self-Report	183 non-autistic individuals	M=41.74, SD=12.15	study 3a: 254 temales; study 3b: 62 females) Female (49.6%) Male	х	х		х	х	х	х	x	x	х	х				10
General Anesthesia Satisfaction Questionnaire	Hawkins et al. (2014)	Self-Report	125 military persons		(49.6%) Others (0.8%)									x						1
Genuine Happiness Scale (GHS) Global Rating Form for ACGME	Rudaz et al. (2023) Silber et al. (2004)	Self-Report Self-Report	679 undergraduate students 1295 medical residents	M=20.23, SD=2.33	90% female, 9.7% male N/a	x X	х		x	×	х	x	x	×	х	х				10
Grid Measure of Empathy [Unnamed]	Small (1972)	Self-Report	6 patients in group therapy, 1 therapist (N=7)	R: 22-37. M = 29	Female (85.7%) Male (14.3%)	^				×		x		^						
			538 medical students and medical teachers (Study 1), 1029 medical students and medical teachers (Study 2)																	2
Groningen Reflection Ability Scale (GRAS)	Aukes et al. (2007)	Self-Report	(N=1567)		N/a Female (59%)Male (41%)	x			x					x						3
Group-Analysis-Empathy 1 (GA-Em1)	Pavlović & Vlastelica (2008)	Self-Report			(41%) Female (59%)Male (41%)	x			X	x				x			x			5
Group-Analysis-Empathy 2 (GA-Em2) Group Questionnaire (GQ)	Pavlović & Vlastelica (2008) Thaver (2014)	Self-Report Self-Report	290 patients in group psychological treatment		(41%) Female (49%) Male (51%)	×			x	×		x		x	~		X			5
Hate Crime Beliefs Scale (HCBS)		Self-Report				x				^		X		x	x					4
	Kehn et al. (2022)	Self-Report	463 general adult popultion	age = 25.55 average	340 female	х						х		х	х					4

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/E ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Corre ation with Social Desirability	d Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
	Bacon et al. (2020)	Self-Report	438 undergraduate students		Female (82%) Male (18%)	×								x	×					
				study 1: 20.79 mean age,	study 1: 186 female; 22 male: 3 non binary; 1															
Hate Crime Beliefs Scale - UK (HCBS-UK)	Bacon et al. (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 438 undergraduate students study 2: 134 general public	SD = 4.14 study 2: 36.44 mean age, SD = 11.32	transgender study 2: 102 female; 29 male; 3 gender fluid					x								x		
					82.5% female, 12.7% male, 4.8%															
Health Care Providers Confidence in FGM/C Care		Self-Report	534 health care providers	not indicated	other/missing Female (90%) Male	х			x	х	х	х		x						
Health Communication Assessment Tool© (HCAT©) Hogan Empathy Scale (HES)	Campbell et al. (2013)	Self-Report	350 nursing students		(10%)	x	×	x		×	x	×	×	x						
	Stosic et al. (2022)	Self-Report	183 undergraduates	M=22.1, SD = 4.79	69 male, 113 female Female (59%) Male					х	х	х	х							
	Froman & Peloquin (2001)	Self-Report	320 therapy students		(13%) Other (28%)	x	x			x	х	x		x						
	Hornblow et al. (1977)	Self-Report	30 Australian medical students		Female (33.3%) Male (66.7%)			x				x								
	Wallston & Weitz (1975)	Self-Report	56 undergraduate counseling students	mean = 28.4	Female (64.3%) Male (35.7%)		x			x										
Hospice Volunteer as Patient Advocate (HVPA) Scale	Savery & Egbert (2010)	Self-Report	136 hospice volunteers		Female (80.8%) Male (18.5%) Other (0.7%) Female (80.8%) Male								x							
Hospital Nurse Interpersonal Empathy Questionnaire (HNEIQ)	Montazeri et al. (2020)	Self-Report	250 nurses		(19.2%)	x	x	x	x	x				x						
Huddersfield Service User Pedagogy Scale	Tobbell et al. (2018)	Self-Report	365 undergraduate health/social care students		Female (81.9%) Male (18.1%)	x				x					x					
Human Empathetic Listening Test (HELT)	Gray et al. (1976)	Self-Report	90 undergraduate students, graduate students, and telephone crisis workers		N/a	x			x	х										
Human Factors Skills for Healthcare Instrument - Auxiliary Version (HuFSHI-A)	Lavelle et al. (2019)	Self-Report	188 non-clinical professionals working in healthcare contexts		Female (59%) Male (41%)	x			x					x				x		
Humanised Care Assessment Tool (HCAT)	Galvin et al. (2018)	Self-Report	10 nursing students		Female (80%) Male (20%)				x											
Humanistic Relationship Importance Scale (HRIS)	Boscart et al. (2016)	Self-Report	249 patients residing in five nursing facilities	patients residing in five nursing facilities	Female (57.5%) Male (42.5%)	x	×		x	x				x				x		
Illness Invalidation Inventory (3*1)	Kool et al. (2014)	Self-Report	6057 patients with rheumatic disease		Female (88%) Male (12%)										x					
Impact of Cancer Scale - Version 2 (IOCv2)	Crespi et al. (2008)	Self-Report	1188 early-stage breast cancer survivors		Female (100%) Male (0%)	x				x	x	x		x				x		
Implicit Relational Assessment Procedure (IRAP)			81 Irish undergraduate and postgraduate			х						х	х					x		
	Bast (2015) Bast et al. (2015)	Self-Report Self-Report	students 26 undergraduate students		N/a N/a	x						х	×					x		
Impulsiveness-Venturesomeness-Empathy Questionnaire [Unnamed]	Eysenck & Eysenck (1978)	Self-Report	1189 adults	M = 24.63, SD = 9.00	Female (66.2%) Male (33.8%)	x						×	^			×		~	×	
desionare (onnamed)	Eysence a Eysence (1970)	Seinnepüit	88 undergraduate students (Validation Sample), 230 twin pairs (Twin Sample,	W = 24.03, 3D = 3.00	Female (0%) Male	^						~				^			^	
Index of Empathetic Concern	Matthews et al. (1981)	Self-Report	MZ and DZ) (N=548)		(100%) Female (50%) Male					x		х		x						
Index of Responding	Cummings & Murray (1990)	Self-Report	70 elementary and secondary teachers		(50%)	x				х				х						
(Inner) Strength-Based Inventory (SBI)	Wongpakaran et al. (2020)	Self-Report	556 medical students		Female (51.2%) Male (48.8%)			х						х						
Insanity Defense Attitude - Revised (IDA-R) Scale	Adjorlolo et al. (2017)	Self-Report	253 undergraduate students		Female (56%) Male (44%)	x				x	x		x	x	x			x		
Integrity Scale Interaction with Disabled Persons Scale (IDP)	Hart et al. (2022)	Self-Report	309 students	M=19.01, SD=1.5	81.2% female	x				x	x	x		x	x		x			
	Thomas et al. (2003)	Self-Report	358 undergraduate students	R: 18-55, M = 22.4, SD = 6.2	Female (68.2%) Male (31.8%)	x				x	x			x	x		x			
	MacLean & Gannon (1995)	Self-Report	343 undergraduate students	17-above	Female (65%) Male (35%)	x						×		x						
			325 undergraduate and postgraduate students (Study 1, PCA), 581 undergraduate and postgraduate students (Study 1, CFA), 194 undergraduate and postgraduate students (Study 2), 170		study 1: PCA: Female 57.8% male 42.2%; CFA: Female 66.4% male 33.6% study 2: Female 56.2%, Male 43.8%															
Interconnectedness Scale	Yu et al. (2020)	Self-Report	postgraduate students (Study 2), 170 undergraduate and postgraduate students (Study 3) (N=1270)		Male 43.8% study 3: female 63.1%, male 36.9%							x		x	x					
Intercultural Effectiveness Scale (IES)	Cui & Van Den Berg (1991)	Self-Report	84 American business people working in China		N/a					x					x					
International Personality Item Pool (IPIP)	Miller (2009)	Self-Report	382 adults (Sample 1), 455 adults (Sample 2) (N=837)		Female (51.6%) Male (48.4%)										x	x		x		
Interpersonal and Social Empathy Index (ISEI)	Segal et al. (2013)	Self-Report	450 undergraduate students		Female (66%) Male (34%)	x				x				x	x					
Interpersonal Communication Assessment Scale (ICAS)	Klakovich & Dela Cruz (2006)	Self-Report	578 undergraduate and graduate nursing students		Female (92%) Male (8%)	x				x				x						
Interpersonal Communication Competence Scale (ICCS)						x				x		x			x			x		
	Hald et al. (2015)	Self-Report	17 adults with ABI		Female (35%) Male (65%)	x				x					x			x		
					Female (52%) Male (48%)															
Interpersonal Guilt Rating Scale -15 Self-Report	Rubin & Martin (1994)	Self-Report	477 adults		Female (60.7%) Male	х						х								
(IGRS-15s) Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI)	Faccini et al. (2020)	Self-Report	448 adults	18 or older	(39.3%)	x	×		×	x	Y	X	×	×	X	X	X	×		1
inciperoonal reasonity index (iro)	Bonfils et al. (2022)	Self-Report	218 undergraduate students who endorsed a high level of schizotypal traits	M = 10.0 (SD = 2.2)	20.6% male, 79.4% female	x	~		~	~	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	~		
	Stosic et al. (2022)	Self-Report	182 undergraduates	M=22.1, SD = 4.79	69 male, 113 female	~				х	x	x	x		~					
	Murphy et al. (2020)	Self-Report			Female (53%) Male (47%)	x			x	x		x			x	x				
	Rajput et al. (2020)	Self-Report	100 dental students	M=24.45, SD=1.95	61 female, 39 male Female (100%) Male	x	x			x				x	x					
	Leinweber et al. (2019) Peretti et al. (2019)	Self-Report Self-Report	705 midwives		(0%)	x			x	x	x	x		x						
	Chrysikou & Thompson (2016)	Self-Report	417 adults recruited through MTurk		Female (56.8%) Male (43.2%)										×			x		
					Study 1 - Female (54%) Male (46%) Study 2 - Female (41%) Male (59%) Study 3 - Female (49%)															
	Saleem et al. (2015)	Self-Report	162 adults (Study 1), 177 adults (Study 2), 146 adults (Study 3) (N=485)		Male (51%)						x	x					x			
	Alterman et al. (2003)	Self-Report	241 opioid dependent patients undergoing methadone maintenance		Female (18.3%) Male (81.7%)	x				x	x	x		x	x					
	Yarnold et al. (1996)	Self-Report	114 physicians, 95 undergraduate psychology students (N=209)		N/a		x			x	x				x					
	Thornton & Thornton (1995)	Self-Report	206 undergraduate students		Female (50.5%) Male (49.5%)									x						
	Gurtman (1992)	Self-Report	279 undegraduate students		Female (66%) Male (34%)				×	x								x		

				Age (range IR1 and/or							Discriminant/I	D		Factor Analysis/Princ	i Confirmatory	Structural	Control/Corre ation with	Other C	Other Biased	Total
Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Analysis/Princ pal Component	Factor Analysis	Equation Modeling	ation with Social Desirability	Other C Advanced F Statistics L	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Psychometric Assessments
Interpersonal Reactivity Index - Brief Form (B–IRI)	Ingoglia et al. (2016)	Self-Report	1104 Italian adolescents	R: 14-30	Female (62%) Male (38%)	x				x				x	x					
					(3%) S1: Female (41.4%) Male (58.6%) S2: Female (47.8%) Male (52.2%) S3: Female (41%) Male															
					S2: Female (47.8%) Male (52.2%)															
			285 undergraduate students (Study 1), 347 undergraduate students (Study 2).		S3: Female (41%) Male (59%)															
			240 undergraduate students (Study 2), 400 undergraduate students (Study 2), 787 undergraduate students (Study 3), 787 undergraduate students (Study 4), 193 undergraduate students (Study 5)		s4: Female (46.4%) Male (53.6%)															
Interpersonal Regulation Questionnaire (IRQ)	Williams et al. (2018)	Self-Report	193 undergraduate students (Study 5) (N=2012)		(59%) s4: Female (46.4%) Male (53.6%) S5: Female 52.3%) Male (47.7%)		x			x	Y	x	×	Y	x		x			
					Female (40%) Male		^			^	^	^	^	^	^		^			· · · · ·
Interview Satisfaction Questionnaire (ISQ)	Grayson-Sneed et al. (2016)	Self-Report	105 medical residents 675 students (Study 1), 1091 adults		(60%)	x				x		x			x					· · · ·
			(undergraduate students, nurses,																	
			abusing women, women advocates for																	
			abusing women, women advocates for abused women, and individuals from a separate study on attitudes about woman abuse) (Study 2), 719 adults																	
			advocates for abused women, and men entering treatment for abusing women) (Study 3) (N=2485)																	
Inventory of Beliefs about Wife Beating (IBWB)	Saunders et al. (1987)	Self-Report	(Study 3) (N=2485)		N/a Japanese group:	x				X		x		x			x			
			273 Jananese undergraduate teaching		Japanese group: Female (69%) Male (31%) Canadian group: Female (42%) Male															
			273 Japanese undergraduate teaching students (Sample 1), 211 Canadian undergraduate management students		Canadian group: Female (42%) Male															
Inventory of Cross-Cultural Sensitivity (ICCS)	Loo & Shiomi (1999)	Self-Report	undergraduate management students (Sample 2) (N=484)		(58%)	х								х	х					
Inventory of Motivations for Palliative Care Volunteerism (IMPCV)			141 undergraduate students (Study 1), 141 hospital palliative care volunteers (Study 2) (N=282)		Female (80.1%) Male (19.9%)															
	Claxton-Oldfield et al. (2011)	Self-Report	(Study 2) (N=282) 27 ordauate students and PhD-Level			x		x		x		x			x					'
Inventory of Personality Organization (IPO)	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	27 grdauate students and PhD-Level psychologists	M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated Female (85%) Male			х	x											
	Ward et al. (2008)	Self-Report	333 undergraduate students		Female (85%) Male (15%)	x				x				х						-
Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE)					Female (47%) Male	x				х				х	x	х			х	-
	Hojat et al. (2018)	Self-Report	6009 osteopathic medicine students		(53%)	x				x				х	х	х			х	
	Stansfield et al. (2016)	Self-Report	4797 medical students		Female (49.5%) Male (50.5%)	x				x				x	x					
	Hojat & LaNoue (2014)	Self-Report	2612 medical students		Female (51%) Male (49%)	x				×				×	×	×				
Jefferson Scale of Empathy - Health Profession					(10.17)															
Students (JSE-HPS)			150 undergraduate students, interns, and			x		x		X				x	x	x				
	Shekhawat et al. (2017)	Self-Report	postgraduate students		N/a Female (65.2%) Male			х		х				х						
	Williams et al. (2013a)	Self-Report	330 undergraduate paramedic students		(34.8%)	х				x				х	х					
Jefferson Scale of Empathy - Law Students (JSE-	Fjortoft et al. (2011)	Self-Report	187 pharmacy students		N/a	х				X						X				
LS)						х				х				х						
	Spivak et al. (2018)	Self-Report	3072 undergraduate law students	M = 21.14, SD = 2.8	Female (72.8%) Male (27.2%)	x				x				x						
	Williams et al. (2016a)	Self-Report	275 undergraduate law students		Females (66.9%) Males (33.1%)	x				×				×						
Jefferson Scale of Empathy - Medical Student	Hojat & Gonnella (2015)	Self-Report	2637 medical students		Female (51%) Male (49%)	x			x											
Jefferson Scale of Patient's Perception of Physician	Hojat & Gonnella (2015)	Self-Report	2637 medical students		(49%)	X			X											-
Empathy (JSPPPE)	Keulen et al. (2020)	Self-Report	84 orthopedic patients	20-88	44 female	x					х	х		x						-
			64 ormopedic patients	20-00	Female (64%) Male	x								~						
	Glaser et al. (2007) Kane et al. (2007)	Self-Report Self-Report	225 internal medicine patients		(36%) N/a	×					X	x		Y						
Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy (JSPE)	Kane et al. (2007)	Sell-Nepult	220 Internal medicine patients			x	x		x	x	x	x		x	x	x		×		1
	Osim et al. (2019)	Self-Report	120 Nigerian doctors		Female (41.7%) Male (58.3%)	x				×		x		x						
	Aggarwal et al. (2016)	Self-Report	978 Indian dental students		Female (68.4%) Male (31.6%)	x									~					
	Aggarwal et al. (2016) Spasenoska et al. (2016)	Self-Report	978 Indian dental students 193 Malaysian medical students		(31.6%) N/a	X				x				x	X			×		-
	O'Connor et al. (2014)	Self-Report			Female (53.4%) Male (46.6%)			x	x											
			163 final-year medical students		Female (55%) Male			~	^											
	Tavakol et al. (2011)	Self-Report	853 medical students in the UK		(45%) Female (85%) Male	x								x	x	X				
	Ward et al. (2009)	Self-Report	333 undergraduate students		(15%)	х				x		x		x						
	Glaser (2007)	Self-Report			Female (64%) Male (36%)						х	x								-
	Sherman & Cramer (2005)	Self-Report	130 dental students		Female (34.6%) Male (65%)	×				x	x	x		x						
	Hojat et al. (2002a)	Self-Report	704 physicians		Female (26%) Male (74%)				×	×										
	Hojat et al. (2002b)	Self-Report	704 physicians		N/a	x	x		Â	x				x						
	Hojat et al. (2001)	Self-Report	193 physicians, medical residents, and medical students		Female (40%) Male (60%)	x				×		x		x						
Kiersma-Chen Empathy Scale (KCES)						x	х			x		x		x	х					
			7712 student pharmacists, student nurses, physical therapy students, nurses																	
	Aronson et al. (2022)	Self-Report	and physicians	NA	NA Female (100%) Male	x	х			x				х	x					
	Kiersma et al. (2013)	Self-Report	216 pharmacy and nursing students		(0%) (0%) (00%) (0%)	x				х		x			х					
	Blakely et al. (2022)	Self-Report	94 second-year student pharmacists	not indicated	38% male	x	x	x		x		x		x						
Kindness Scale	Youngs et al. (2021)	Self-Report	1039 adults	M = 50, SD = 16.57	50% male, 50% female	х			х	х	х	х		х	х					-
	La at al. (2024)	Cold Decent		wave 1: Mean child age = 7.8, wave 2: mean child age = 15.7	100%															
Kirk Adoption Questionnaire (KAQ)	Lo et al. (2021)	Self-Report	190 adoptive mothers 10 experts (Development Study), 524	age = 15.7	100% mothers	x		x			x			x	x					
Knee Osteoarthritis Expectations Questionnaire	Benhamou et al. (2013)	Self-Report	10 experts (Development Study), 524 knee osteoarthritis patients (Validation Study) (N=534)	Phase 2: M = 68.2		x	x			x	x	×		x		x				
	Hill et al. (1992)	Self-Report	70 patients with rheumatology arthritis		N/a	x	x		х	~	~					~				
	Morey (2017)	Self-Report	306 adults		Female (43%) Male (57%)	×				×										
Level of Personality Functioning Scale (LPFS)	14.1.1.1		23 grdauate students and PhD-Level	M = 29.36. SD = 8.23	not indicated			×	×											
Level of Personality Functioning Scale (LPFS) Level of Personality Functioning Scale - Brief Form	Maurich et al. (2024)																			
Level of Personality Functioning Scale - Brief Form 2.0 (LPFS-BF 2.0)	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	psychologists	M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated			~												
Level of Personality Functioning Scale - Brief Form	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report			norindicated	x	x	x	x	x	х	x		x						
Level of Personality Functioning Scale - Brief Form 2.0 (LPFS-BF 2.0) Level of Personality Functioning Scale - Self Report (LPFS-SR)	Waugh et al. (2021) Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report Self-Report	psychologists 30 grdauate students and PhD-Level psychologists	M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated Female (46.4%) Male	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x						

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/D ivergent C Validity V	onvergent alidity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Correl ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
Levenson Self-Report Psychopathy Scale Limited Prosocial Emotions Questionnaire (LPEQ)	Salekin et al. (2014)	Self-Report	1257 undergraduate students		Female (69%) Male (31%)	x			×	x	x x	x		x	x					2
	Castagna et al. (2021)	Self-Report	1050 caregivers of children	20-72	470 male, 580 female Female (48%) Male	x				x		x		x						4
	Castagna et al. (2020)	Self-Report	1050 children	R: 5-12	(52%)	х			x	х		х								4
Machiavellian Personality Scale (MPS)	Miller et al. (2015)	Self-Report	282 adults		Female (57.7%) Male (42.3%)									x						1
	Schumm et al. (1983)	Self-Report	238 women		Female (100%) Male (0%)	x				x		x					x			
Measure of Caring in Nursing as Communicative Action [Unnamed]	Sumner & Fisher (2008)	Self-Report	82 nurses	Almost 60% were aged between 31 and 50	Female (85.4%) Male (7.3%) Other (7.3%)	x								x						;
				Third-year medical students at Jefferson Medical College responded to four clinical																
Measure of Orientations Toward Empathic and Sympathetic Care	Hojat et al. (2011)	Self-Report	258 medical students 93 adults recruited through MTurk	vignettes in 2010.	N/a	x				x		х		x						4
			(Sample 1), 287 employed adults recruited through MTurk (Sample 2, EFA), 359 employed adults recruited through MTurk (Sample 3, CFA), 354 employed adults recruited through MTurk (Sample 4) (MTurk (Sample 3), 254 (Sample 4), 255 (Sample																	
Measure of Relational Climate [Unnamed]	Boyatzis & Rochford (2020)	Self-Report	<ul> <li>adults recruited through MTurk (Sample 4) (N=1093)</li> </ul>		Female (55%) Male (45%)	x			х	х	x	x		x	x	x			x	ę
Medical Condition Regard Scale (MCRS)	Williams et al. (2013b)	Self-Report	783 undergraduate paramedic students		Female (57.3%) Male (42.7%)	x				x				x	x				x	f
Medical Humanities Questionnaire	Wang (2011)	Self-Report	449 adults	R: younger than 20 to over 51	Female (58.6%) Male (41.4%)	x			x	x				x						4
			470 French Canadian undergraduate and graduate students (Study 1), 298 Canadian undergraduate students (Study	Study 1: M = 27.27 Study 2: M = 26.61																
	Beaulieu-Pelletier et al. (2013)	Self-Report	2) (N=768)		N/a Female (55%) Male (42%) Other (2%)									x	x					2
Mentalized Affectivity Scale (MAS)	Greenberg et al. (2017)	Self-Report	2840 adults study 1: 200 treatment-seeking active duty service members; study 2: 1116 all	R: 18-65	(42%) Other (2%) study 1: 77% male.	x			x	x		х	x	x						6
Military Suicide Attitudes Questionnaire Short-Form (MSAQ-SF)	Cramer et al. (2022)	Self-Report	active duty members within the statewide Army National Guard group	study 1: M=26.99 (SD=6. 41)	study 1: 77% male, 19.5% female; study 2: 86.1% male, 13.9% female	x								x	x	x				4
Millennial Organizational Citizenship Behavior			study 1: 191 full-time Millennial workers enrolled in business programs; study 2: 345 full-time Millennial employees enrolled in business programs; study 3: 416 full-time Millennial workers	study 1 & 2: M = 28.34	study 1: 102 females, study 2: 203 females,															
Millennial Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) Scale	Chou et al. (2021)	Self-Report	416 full-time Millennial workers 150 participants with remitted first-	study 1 & 2: M = 28.34, study 3: M = 31.2,	study 2: 203 females, study 3: 189 females	х			x	х	x	x		x	x	х				8
	González-Blanch et al. (2022)	Self-Report	episode psychosis	M=20.8, SD=2.9	55.3% male	x	х			х	x	х		x	x	x		х		F
	Acet & Oliver (2023) Acet & Oliver (2023)	Self-Report Self-Report	90 children 135 birth mothers	28-57	Female (51.9%) Female (100%)	X				x		х		X	X					3
Mindful Self-Care Scale (MSCS)					Females (60.7%) Male	x			x	х		х			x					ε
	Hotchkiss & Cook-Cottone (2019)	Self-Report	858 hospice and healthcare professionals 448 adults recruited from university class, social media, and MTurk (Sample 1), 452 adults recruited from university class.		(39.3%)	x				x		x			x					4
	Cook-Cottone & Guyker (2018)	Self-Report	social media, and MTurk (Sample 2) (N=900)		Female (74.7%) Male (25.3%)	x			x	x		×			×					
Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 (MMPI-2)		Self-Report				×				x		x		x	×					
	Sweeney et al. (2021)	Self-Report	179 mental health patients	M = 46.6	61.5% female	x				x		x		x	x					ŧ
	Williams, Dalgleish, Karl, & Kuyken (2014)	Self-Report			Female (68%) Male (32%)	x									x					5
	Rushton & Irwing (2009)	Self-Report			Female (56%) Male (44%)	x								x	x					5
			93 undergraduate students (Study 1), 111 undergraduate students (Study 2), 153 undergraduate students (Study 3), 135		Study 1: Female 28%, Male 72% Study 2: Female 30%, Male 70% Study 3: Female 35%, Male 65%															
Miville-Guzman Universality-Diversity Scale	Miville et al. (1999)	Self-Report	undergraduate students (Study 4) (N=492)		Study 4: Female 71%, Male 29%	x	x				x									5
Modified Version of Empathic Accuracy Task (EAT)	Mackes et al. (2018)	Self-Report	94 adults		Female (58.6%) Male (41.4%)					x		×						x		5
Moral Courage Scale for Physicians (MCSP)	Martinez et al. (2016)	Self-Report	352 medical interns and residents		Female (49%) Male (50%)	x				x				x						1
			117 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 1), 119 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 2), 289 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 3), 91 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 4), 87 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 5), 89 Australian undergraduate psychology students (Study 6) (N°72)		Female (47 62%) Male															
	Crimston et al. (2016)	Self-Report			Female (47.62%) Male (52.38%) Female (37%) Male							x	x				x			4
	Graham et al. (2011)	Self-Report	34476 adults study 1: 428 participants; study 2: 322	study 1: M = 33.54. SD=14.13; study 2: M=39.79, SD=14.80	Female (37%) Male (63%)	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x				10
Moral Foundations Questionnaire - Revised (MFQ-r) Moral Inclusion/Exclusion of Other Groups Scale		Self-Report	participants	M=39.79, SD=14.80	study 1: 70% male; study 2: 61% female	x							x	x	х					4
(MIEG)	Passini & Morselli (2017)	Self-Report	1345 adults		Female (56.1%) Male (43.9%)	x			x	х	х	х	x	x	x					e
Moral Mosaic - Short Form Multicultural Personality Questionnaire (MPQ)	Bell & Showers (2021)	Self-Report	449 undergraduates	M=18.7 (SD=1.56)	333 female	x				x			^	X	×	*				4
	Rushton & Irwing (2009)	Self-Report			Female (69%) Male (31%)	x								x	x					;
	Van Oudenhoven & Van Der Zee (2002)	Self-Report	171 international business school students		Female (54%) Male (46%)	×				x										-
Multicultural Personality Questionnaire Short Form	Hofhuis et al. (2020)	Self-Report	519 first-year students of an international English-language bachelor program	M=19.1. SD=1.58	60.5% female, 14.5% male, 25% other		x							x		x				
	Neuling & Winefield (1988)	Self-Report	58 breast cancer patients with no previous life threatening illness		Female (100%) Male (0%)	×														
Multifaceted Empathy Test (MET)			providuo ine uneaterning inness		(0.0)	x				x	x	х		x						E
	Peretti et al. (2019)	Self-Report	19 undergraduate students (Development								x	х		x						3
	Foell et al. (2018)	Self-Report	19 undergraduate students (Development Study), 80 undergraduate students (Validation Study) (N=99)		Female (72.5%) Male (27.5%)	x				x		x								
My Emotions Questionnaire						х				x	x	×	x	x	×					7
	Leerkes & Qu (2020)	Self-Report	259 primiparous mothers	M=25	100% women Female (100%) Male	x				x	x	х	x	x	x					7
	Klamann et al. (2019)	Self-Report	240 first-time mothers		(0%) Female (55.3%) Male	x								x	x					3
Namian Character Virtue Scales (NCVS)	Francis et al. (2017)	Self-Report	56 adolescent students 20 undergraduate or postgraduate nursing students (Lay Expert Sample), 3 pursing sourcests (Responsed Execution	R: 12-13	(44.7%)	x				x										2
Questionnaire	Mcelroy & Esterhuizen (2017)	Self-Report	nursing experts (Research Expert Sample) (N=23)		N/a				x											1

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/E ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	<sup>i</sup> Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Correl ation with Social Desirability	l Other Other Bia: Advanced Respondi Statistics Lie Scale	ang or Psychometric Assessments
Nurse-Nursing Assistant-Caregiver Reciprocity Scale (NNA-CRS)	Ameia Yen-Patton et al. (2013)	Self-Report	1050 nursing assistant caregivers		N/a	x			x					x					5
Nurses' Confidence Scale: Unique Families (NCSUF)	Grasslev et al. (2020)	Self-Report	62 nurses		N/a	×			x	×		×		×					
Nursing Dimensions Inventory (NDI)	Watson (2003)	Self-Report	38 nursing lecturers		N/a	^		x	~	^		^		^					
Nursing Motives for Helping Scale (N-MHS)	Nassar (2021)	Self-Report	361 nurses	M=33.4 (SD=7.4)	81.4% females	x				x		x		x	×				5
Nursing Shared Decision-Making Attitude Scale																			
(NSDMA)	Hsu et al. (2021)	Self-Report	451 nursing staff	M=42.01, SD=10.38	not indicated Female (47.7%) Male	x			x	x	x	x		x	x	x			8
Open-Minded Cognition Scale [Unnamed]	Price et al. (2015)	Self-Report	219 adults		(52.3%)	х					х	х	x		x				5
Orientation Model	Wilkinson (2019)	Self-Report	375 undergraduate students		Female (66.9%) Male (33.1%)	x				x								x	3
OSPA Inventory	Washburne (1935)	Self-Report	3114 adults		N/a	x			x										2
Other Dyadic Perspective-Taking Scale (ODPT)	Long (1990)	Self-Report				x	x			x				х				x	5
Parental Empathy Measure (PEM)	Kilpatrick (2005)	Self-Report	103 parents		Female (88%) Male (12%)	x		×		×	×	x					×		6
			54 couples presenting for marital therapy																
Partner Synchrony Inventory (PSI)	Kahans et al. (1997)	Self-Report	(N=108) 10 patients receiving radiation therapy treatment (Development Study), 86 patients receiving and light therapy		N/a	x						x		x					3
Patient Experience Survey in Radiation Therapy	Hashmi et al. (2019)	Self-Report	patients receiving radiation therapy treatment (Validation Study) (N=96)		N/a														c
Patient-Physician Relationship Scale - Physician	Feingold et al. (2021)	Self-Report	1113 physicians	M=55.1	85% male	x				x		x		x					
(PPRS-Physician)	Heingold et al. (2021)	Self-Report	1113 physicians	M=55.1	SME: 62.5% male,	X			x	x		X		×					5
Patient-Reported Compassion Measure [Unnamed]	Sindairetal (2020)	Self-Report	33 (8 SME, 9 PAG, 16 patients)	not indicated	37.5% female; PAG: 44.4% male, 55.5% female, patients 62.5% male, 37.5% female				×										
					Female (66,1%) Male														
Patient Satisfaction Scale Pediatric-Hematopology Opcology Fellowship	Westaway et al. (2002)	Self-Report	263 black diabetic outpatients		(33.9%)	x					х	х		x					
Pediatric-Hematogoloy Oncology Fellowship Training Skills Measure [Unnamed]	Kesselheim et al. (2017)	Self-Report	90 hematology-oncology fellows		N/a	х						х							2
Peer Edmonton Empathy Recruitment Scale (PEERS)	Dubue et al. (2018)	Self-Report	1439 Canadian undergraduate students		Female (65.5%) Male (34.5%)	x	x					x		x			×		
Perceived Empathic and Social Self-Efficacy Scale			194 adults with primary diagnosis of		Female (53,1%) Male	~	~					~		~					
(PESE)	Sánchez et al. (2016)	Self-Report	serious mental illness	18+	(46.9%)	X				х					х				3
Person-Centered Care Assessment Tool (P-CAT)	Gordon et al. (2022) Strachan et al. (2020)	Self-Report	35 aged care workers	not indicated M = 76, SD = 14	not indicated 37% male.62% female	x	х	X	X	~									- 4
Person-Centred Caring: Participation [Unnamed] Person-Centred Caring: Personalization [Unnamed]		Self-Report Self-Report	705 patients 796 patients	M = 76, SD = 14 M = 68, SD=17	37% male,62% female 37% male, 62% female	X			×	X		X		X					5
Person-Centred Caring: Personalization [Unnamed] Person-Centred Caring: Responsiveness [Unnamed]	Strachan et al. (2020)	Self-Report	644 patients	M = 70, SD = 17	45% male, 51% female	x			x	x		x		X					
Personal Orientation Inventory (POI)										х									1
	Leak (1984)	Self-Report		R: 18-28	N/a					x									1
	Fischer & Knapp (1977)	Self-Report	30 graduate social work students 410 adolescents in Singapore (Study 1), 598 adolescents in Singapore (Study 2) (N=1008)		N/a		X	x										x	3
Personal Strengths Inventory (PSI) Personal Trust and Connections scale (PerTC)	Kienfie Liau et al. (2011) Symvoulakis et al. (2022)	Self-Report Self-Report	(N=1008) 218 health care providers	M = 14.8, SD = 10.5	72.9% women	X			×	~		X		x	X				4
Personality Assessment Inventory Perdeding			28 ordauate students and PhD-Level			^			^	^		^		^					
Features Scale (PAI-BOR)	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	psychologists	M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated			х	х										2
Peace Attitude Scale (PAS)	Broccoli et al. (2021)	Self-Report	499 adolescents and adults	M = 23.04, SD = 2.345	199 females, 274 males 26 not specified	з, х	x		×	×	×	×		×					7
				study 1: 32.17 mean age	study 1: Female 84.8%,														
Danta Franchis Carla (DFC)	Brett et al. (2023)	Self-Report	study 1: 316 undergraduates	SD = 12.41 study 2: 16-56, M =	Male 15.2% study 2: Female 55.6%, Male 44 1%	. x				~	~							×	
Perth Empathy Scale (PES)			study 2: 331 undergraduates	20.82, SD = 5.33	Female (38.3%) Male	^				^	^	~		^	~			~	/
Pharmacists' Care of Migraineurs Scale (PCMS)	Skomo et al. (2009)	Self-Report	580 pharmacists study 1: 350 women participants; study 2: 241 women psychology students; study 3:	study 1: M=31.14,	(61.7%)	x				x									2
Phenomenological Body Shame Scale - Revised (PBSS-R)	Siegel et al. (2021)	Self-Report	235 women participants	M=19.27, SD=1.43; study 3: M=37.51, SD=11.07	Female (0%) Male	x				x	x	x		x	x				e
Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI)	Morris et al. (2013)	Self-Report	514 prostate cancer survivors		(100%)							x		х	x				3
Pregnant Women with HIV Attitude Scale	Tyer-Viola & Duffy (2010)	Self-Report	209 obstetric nurses		Female (97.6%) Male (2.4%)	x			x					×					,
	. ,		study 1: 388 undergraduates; study 2:	study 1: M=19.29, SD=2. 48; study 2: M=18.84, SD=1.46; study 3: M=18.	atudu 1: 50 5% waman														
Prejudice Confrontation Styles (PCS) Scale	Chaney & Sanchez (2022)	Self-Report	276 undergraduates; study 3: 248 US undergraduate women	SD=1.46; study 3: M=18. 52, SD=1.13)	ment; study 3: 100% women	x	x		х	x	х	x	x	x	x	x			10
Prejudice toward People with Borderline Personality Disorder (PPBPD) Scale	Sheppard et al. (2023)	Self-Report	sample1: 217 Australian and New Zealand students; sample 2: 303 Australian undergraduate psychology students; sample 3: 314 general public	study 1: M=26.8, SD=8. 13; study 2: M=21.6, SD=2.57; study 3: M=29. 75, SD=10.57	sample 1: 82% female; sample 2: 59% female;					,					U				
Prejudice toward People with Depression (PPD)	Bizumic & Gunningham (2022)	Self-Report	406 participants	/5, SD=10.57 M = 27.43, SD = 10.76	sample 3: 51% female 64% female	×			×	^ X	×	×		×	×	x			- 4
Prejudice toward People with Schizophrenia (PPS)	Bizumic & Gunningham (2022)	Self-Report	406 participants	M = 27.43, SD = 10.76	64% female	x			x	x	x	x		x	x	x			8
Princess Margaret Hospital Patient Satisfaction with	Loblaw et al. (1999)	Self-Report	277 cancer patients		Female (74%) Male				×		×	~		~					
Doctor Questionnaire (PMH/PSQ-MD) Professional Quality of Life Scale (ProQoL)	Louiaw et al. (1999)	Seii-Report	277 cancer patients		(26%)	x			^	x	x	x		x	x				
and a second to add the	Keesler & Fukui (2020)	Self-Report	495 direct support professionals		Female (79.8%) Male (19.5)	x								x					1
					Female (84.5%) Male														-
Professional Quality of Life Deviced (DevOnt and	Geoffrion et al. (2019) Ahmed et al. (2022)	Self-Report Self-Report	310 child protection workers 375 physicians	52.43 mean age	(15.5%)	X				X	X	х		~	X				5
Professional Quality of Life - Revised (ProQOL-21)	Annied et al. (2022)	Sell-Report	273 Australian nurses (Sample 1) 303	uz.45 mean age	Female (42.4%)					^	^			^	^				4
Professional Quality of Life Scale - Version 5 (ProQoL 5)	Hemsworth et al. (2018)	Self-Report	273 Australian nurses (Sample 1), 303 Canadian nurses (Sample 2), 503 Canadian palliative care workers (Sample 3) (N=1079)		Female (87.2%) Male (12.8%)	x				x	x	x		x	x				e
	Managa Mala Anto a managa	Cold Decent	12 medical students (Focus Groups), 20 family medicine experts (Delphi Method), 122 medical students (Psychometrics) (N=154)															,	
Professionalism Assessment Scale (PAS) Prosocial and Antisocial Behavior in Sport Scale (PABSS)	Klemenc-Ketis & Vrecko (2014)	Jell-Report	(N=104)			x	~		×	×	~	×		×	×			x	5
······					Female (43.4%) Male		~			~	~	~		~				~	
	Kavussanu et al. (2013)	Self-Report	129 active athletes 1213 team-sport athletes (Study 1), 106		(56.6%) Female (46%) Male	X	х			x	х	х							5
	Kavussanu & Boardley (2009)	Self-Report	1213 team-sport athletes (Study 1), 106 team-sport athletes (Study 2) (N=1319)		(54%)				x	x	х	х		x	x			x	7
Prosocial Personality Battery (PSP)	Makietal (2017)	Self-Report	363 adults		Female (43.6%) Male (56.4%)	~				~	v	~		~	~				
Prosocial Personality Battery (PSP) Prosocial Risk-Taking Scale (PSRT)	Maki et al. (2017) Armstrong-Carter et al. (2021)	Self-Report Self-Report	363 adults 867 adolescents	40.4	(56.4%) Female (50.11%)	x	¥		¥	X	X	X		X	X			x	- 7
Prosocial Risk-Taking Scale (PSRT) Psychopathic Personality Inventory - Short Form (PPI-SF)					Mole (30 1%) Female	^	^		^	^		^		^	^				
(PPI-SF)	Adams et al. (2020)	Self-Report	1257 undergraduate introductory psychology students	17-51	(69.1%)					х	х	х		х	х				ε
Psychosocial Costs of Racism to Whites Scale (PCRW)						x	x			x	x	x		x	x		x		5
					Female (51%) Male														
	Poteat & Spanierman (2008)	Self-Report Self-Report	284 employed white adults 393 undergraduate students		(49%) N/a	X				X	x	X			X				5
	Spanierman & Heppner (2004)									X		X		X			X		F

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/I ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	<sup>i</sup> Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Corre ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
			244 undergraduate students (Study 1), 153 undergraduate students (Study 2)		Study 1: Female (100%) Male (0%) Study 2: Female (59%)															
Psychosocial Inventory of Ego Strengths (PIES)	Markstrom et al. (1997)	Self-Report	(N=397)		Male (41%) Female (50%) Male	x			X			х								
Public Attitudes Towards Homelessness (PATH) Questionnaire of Cognitive and Affective Empathy	Guzewicz & Takooshian (1992)	Self-Report	222 adults		(50%) Male	x				x				x			x	x		
QCAE)	Gomez et al. (2022)	Self-Report	203 adults	M=30.99. SD=11.79	43 men, 160 women	x		x		x	х	x	x	x	x	x		x		
			143 natients with schizonhrenia or																	
	Brunet et al. (2021)	Self-Report	schizoaffective disorder	M = 31.4, SD =8.2	77.6% male Study 1: Female (68.2%) Male (31.8%)	x		x		x	x	x	x							
	Reniers et al. (2011)	Self-Report	640 adults (Study 1), 318 (Study 2) (N=958)		(68.2%) Male (31.8%) Study 2: Female (72.3%) Male (27.7%) Female (58%), Male					x		x		x	x					
Questionnaire Measure of Empathic Tendency	Mehrabian & Epstein (1972)	Self-Report	88 undergraduate students		(42%)				x	×										
Range and Differentiation of Emotional Experience Scale (RDEES)	Kang & Shaver (2004)	Self-Report	400 undergraduate psychology students (Study 1), 729 undergraduate psychology students (Study 2) (N=1129)	R: 17-51, M = 19.6 years, SD = 2.38)	N/a	x		x		x	x	x		x			x			
Rating Scales for the Assessment of Empathic Communication in Medical Internviews (REM)	Nicolai et al. (2010)	Self-Report	118 German undergraduate students (Study 1), 23 German physicians (Study 2) (N=141)	Study 1: M = 25.4 Study 2: R: 35-58	Female (70.8%) Male (29.2%)			x				×		x						
Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (RMET)						×		×		x	x	х	×	x	×	×				
	Kittle et al. (2022)	Self-Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	x		x		x	x	х	×	x		x		x		
	Olderbak et al. (2015)	Self-Report	484 adults recruited through MTurk		Female (50%) Male (50%)	x						~								
	Olderbak et al. (2015)	Sell-Report	108 natients with comorbid Borderline			~						~		~	~					
Reflective Functioning Questionnaire	Fonagy et al. (2016)	Self-Report	Personality Disorder and Eating Disorders, 295 undergraduate students and university staff (N=403)		Female (66.4%) Male (33.6%)	x	x	v	~	x	v	×			x	x				
Relational Care Scale (RCS)	Andersen & Havaei (2015)	Self-Report	62 nursing home residents		N/a	×	^	~	^	×	×	×		x						
	McGilton et al. (2005)	Self-Report	30 nursing staff members (Sample 1), 50	nursing staff members (San		2 X	х	х	x	x	x	^		x						
Relational Competence for Community-Dwelling			291 low-income, community-dwelling		Female (100%) Male															
Elderly	Hogg & Heller (1990)	Self-Report	elderly women 189 therapists, 152 clients, 2		(0%) Female (74.9%) Male	X			X		х	X	х	х						
Relational Depth (RD) Inventory	Wiggins et al. (2012)	Self-Report	uncategorized (N=343)		(23.3%) Other (1.2%)	x		х		x	×	x		×				х		
Relational Engagement of the Sacred for a Transgression (REST) Scale	Davis et al. (2010)	Self-Report	200 undergraduate students		Female (59%) Male (41%)	×				x			x	x	x	x	x	×		
Relationship Inventory (RI)					Female (68.6%) Male									x				х		
	Cramer (1986)	Self-Report	169 guraduate students		(31.4%) Female (50%) Male									x				х		
	Schumm et al. (1981)	Self-Report	98 urban married couples (N=196)		(50%) (50%) (10%)									х						
Response Empathy Rating Scale	Elliott et al. (1982)	Self-Report	28 counselor-client interactions rated by trained undergraduate students		N/a	x						х				х				
			study 1: 315 participants; study 2: 335	study 1: M = 35.08, SD = 12.45; study 2: M = 34.87, SD = 15.8	study 1: 21% man, 66% woman; study 2: 22%															
Restorative Justice Attitudes Scale (RJAS)	Taylor & Balley (2022)	Self-Report	participants	34.87, SD = 15.8	Female (47%) Male				X	X		x		X	X					
Revised Humane Caring Scale (RHCS)	Goh et al. (2015)	Self-Report	235 patients	study 1: students: M=33.	(53%) study 1: 72.3% female	x	x		x	x										
Revised Sense of Purpose Scale (SOPS-2)	Yukhymenko-Lescroart & Sharma (2020	Self-Report	study 1: 511 staff and students; study 2: 403 university students; study 3: 297 instructors and staff	6, SD=7.99, staff: M=49. 71, SD=12.27; study 2: M=32.75, SD=9.72; study 3: M=46.87, SD=12.11	students, 64.8% female staff: study 2: 66%	×				×	×	×		×	×	×				
Safety Skills Questionnaire [Unnamed]	Ahmed et al. (2014)	Self-Report	24 general practitioner educational supervisors		N/a				x											
					Female (46%) Male															
San Diego Wisdom Scale (SD-WISE) San Diego Wisdom Scale (SD-WISE-7)	Thomas et al. (2019) Thomas et al. (2022)	Self-Report Self-Report	39 adults 2093 participants	R: 27-76 M = 46.3, SD = 14.6	(54%) 55% women	x				~		~		~	~			х		
San Diego Wisdom Scale (SD-WISE-7) Santa Clara Brief Compassion Scale (SCBCS)	Thomas et al. (2022)	Self-Report	2093 participants	M = 46.3, SD = 14.6		x	x			x	х	X		x	×					
	Plante & Mejia (2016)	Self-Report	6763 undergraduate students		Female (62.7%) Male (37.3%)	x	x			x	x	x		x						
	Hwang et al. (2008)	Self-Report	223 undergraduate students		Female (75%) Male (25%)	×								~						
					Female (70%) Male	^								^						
Santa Clara Ethics Questionnaire (SCES) Satisfaction with Simulation Experience Scale	Plante & McCreadie (2019)	Self-Report	329 undergraduate students		(30%) Female (88.1%) Male													x		
(SSES) Scale of Ethnocultural Empathy (SEE)	Courtney-Pratt et al. (2015)	Self-Report	497 nursing students		(11.9%)	X				×	×	×		x	X	×		X		
contrast contrast contrast (occ)	Fleming et al. (2015)	Self-Report	136 nursing and dental students		N/a	x				~	~	^				~		~		
	Albiero & Matricardi (2013)	Self-Report	610 Italian undergraduate students		Female (63.1%) Male (36.9%)	x				x	x	x			x	x		x		
	Wang et al. (2003)	Self-Report	323 undergraduate students		Female (66%) Male (34%)										x					
Scale of Humanistic Nursing Behaviors	Fenton (1987)	Self-Report	316 nursing staff		N/a	х	х			х	х									
Scale of Teacher Empathy for African American Males (S-TEAAM)	Warren (2015)	Self-Report	73 practicing classroom teachers		N/a	x								x						
			802 undergraduate students and adults recruited through MTurk (Study 1), 192 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 2), 28 undergraduate psychology students (Study 3), 261 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 1), 304 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 0) (N=1587)																	
Schadenfreude Scale	Crysel & Webster (2018)	Self-Report	MTurk (Study 4), 304 adults recruited through MTurk (Study 5) (N=1587)	R: 18-71	Female (48.5%) Male (49.3%) Other (2.2%)		x					x		x	x					
Schema of Partner Empathic Responses to Anger (SOPERA)	Sanford (1998)	Self-Report	74 married individuals		Female (50%) Male (50%) Female (100%) Male		x													
School Support Scale	McLaughlin & Randolph (2012)	Self-Report	240 student-mothers		(0%)	х			х	х	х	х	х	х	х					
Secondary Traumatic Stress Scale (STSS)	Ahmed et al. (2022)	Self-Report	375 physicians 280 Canadian adults (Community	52.43 mean age	Female (42.4%)					x	x			x	x					
			280 Canadian adults (Community Sample), 106 individuals seeking personality disorder treatment at an outpaitent psychiatric clinic (Clinical Sample) (N=386)																	
Self and Interpersonal Functioning Scale (SIFS)	Gamache et al. (2019)	Self-Report	Sample) (N=386) 413 adults (Study 1), 90 adults (Study 2)		N/a Female (61.6%) Male				х					x						
Self-Compassion and Self-Criticism Scales (SCCS)	Falconer et al. (2015)	Self-Report	(N=503) (N=503)		(38.4%) Male	х				х		х				х				
Self-Compassion Scale (SCS)				M age = 39.1 years: SD =	196 men; 287 women; 2 gender-nonconforming	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		1
	Rakhimov et al. (2023)	Self-Report	486 172 community participants, over-	M age = 39.1 years; SD = 5 19.2; range 18 to 85	people	-						x		x	x	x				
	Biehler & Naragon-Gainey (2022)	) Self-Report	172 community participants, over- sampled for individuals receiving or seeking mental health treatment		71% female	x				x	x	x	×	x	x	x				
	Finaulahi et al. (2021)	Self-Report	7443 participants	M=30.44, SD=11.33	31.8% male	х				х				х		х				
	Tóth-Király & Neff (2020)	Self-Report	10997 international respondents	R: 15-83, M = 31.16, SD = 13.45	Female (69.4%) Male (30.6%)											х				
			248 individuals with a recent suicide		Female (56%) Male	~						~			~					
	Zhang et al. (2019)	Self-Report	attempt		(44%)	х						х			Х					

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/D ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princ pal Component	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Correl ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding o Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
	Neff et al. (2019)	Self-Report	11685 adults	R: 18-83	Female (71.6%) Male (28.2%) Other (0.19%)	x									x					
	Cleare et al. (2018)	Self-Report	526 adults	R: 16-64	Female (76%) Male (24%)	x	x		x	x					x					
	Tanenbaum et al. (2018)	Self-Report	542 adults diagnosed with Typer 1 Diabetes	M = 41, SD = 15.7	Female (65%) Male (35%)	x				x		x			x					
			222 undergraduate students (Student Sample), 1394 adults recruited through MTurk (Community Sample), 215 individuals practicing Buddhist meditation (Meditator Sample), 390 individuals with a history of recurrent depression (Clinical	a	Female (60.5%) Male															
	Neff et al. (2017)	Self-Report	Sample) (N=2221) 322 undergraduate students exposed to a	3	(39.5%) Female (72%) Male	x								X				x		
	Pinciotti et al. (2017) Williams, Dalgleish, Karl, &	Self-Report	past traumatic event		(28%)	х				x	х	x			x	х				
	Kuyken (2014)	Self-Report			Female (27.2%) Male	х									х					
	Kemppainen et al. (2013)	Self-Report	1967 adults living with HIV disease 68 undergraduate students (Pilot Phase 1), 71 undergraduate students (Pilot Phase 2), 391 undergraduate educational-psychology students (Study 1), 322 undergraduate educational- psychology students (Study 2), 43 Buddhist metations (Study 2), 43		(72.6%) Pilot phase 1: Female (44%) Male (56%), phase 2: Female (66%) Male (34%). Study 1: Female (52.5%) Male (37.5%). Study 2: Female (63%) Male (37.5%). Study 3: Female (63%) Male	x )								X						
Self-Compassion Scale - Short Form (SCS-SF)	Neff (2003)	Self-Report	3) (N=805)		(37%)	x	x		x	x	x	X		X	X	×	x	x		
	Finaulahi et al. (2021)	Self-Report	743 participants	M=30.44, SD=11.33	31.8% male	x				x				x		x				
	Medvedev et al. (2021) Kotera & Sheffield (2020)	Self-Report Self-Report	124 educators 333 undergraduate students in the U.K.	M=45.39, SD=12	85 female N/a	x	x					×						х		
	Kotera & Sheffield (2020) Babenko & Guo (2019)	Self-Report Self-Report	333 undergraduate students in the U.K. 200 medical students		N/a Female (60%) Male (40%)	×				X	X	X		×	x					
	Haves et al. (2016)	Self-Report	1609 psychotherapy clients		(40%) Female (69%) Male (31%)	^				x				Ŷ	^					
	Trayes et al. (2010)	Seinnepur		Study 1: R: 18-28, M = 18.14, SD = 1.25	Study 1: Female (78%) Male (22%)					^				^						
	Raes et al. (2011)	Self-Report	271 adults (Study 1), 185 adults (Study 2) (N=456)	) Study 2: R: 18-64, M = 33.04 years, SD = 10.60	Study 2: Female (20%) Male (30%)	x								x						
Self-Compassion Scale - Youth Version (SCS-Y)	Neff et al. (2021)	Self-Report	279 middle school students (Study 1), 40 middle school students (Study 2), 102 middle school students (Study 3), 212 middle school students (Study 4) (N=995)	2 ) R: 11-16	Study 1: Female (56.7%) male (43.3%). Study 2: Female (48.8%), Male (51.2%) Study 3: Female (51%) Male (49%). Study 4: Female (42.5%), Male (57.5%)									x						
Self-Connection Scale (SCS)	Klussman et al. (2022)	Self-Recort	1469 participants (study 1: 308, study 2: 164, study 3: 997)	study 1: M=37.62, SD=12.21; study 2: M=36.01, SD=11.35; study 3: M=33.72, SD=12.01	study 1: 47.73% male, 48.70% female; study 2 51.83% male, 39.02% female; study 3: 40.62 male, 55.97% female, 3.41% non-binary	2: %			×	¥	¥	¥		×	¥					
Self Dyadic Perspective-Taking Scale (SDPT)	Long (1990)	Self-Report	104, study 3. 887 )	30=12.01		x	x		^	x	^	^		x	^			x		
Self-Efficacy for Mindfulness Meditation Practice Scale	Birdee et al. (2020)	Self-Report	199 mindfulness meditation practitioners		Female (74%) Male (26%)	×	×			×				×						
Self-focused Practice Questionnaire (SfPQ)	Chigwedere et al. (2017)	Self-Report	112 trainee therapists		Female (75%) Male (25%)	×	^			~				^	×					
			124 undergraduate students (Sample 1), 12 experienced meditators (Sample 2)		Female (52%) Male															
Self-Other Four Immeasurables Scale (SOFI) Self versus Other Interest Implicit Association Test	Kraus & Sears (2008)	Self-Report	(N=136)	R: 19-77, M = 41.7, SD = 15.5	(48%) Female (40%) Male	x				x	X	x		X			x			
(SOI-IAT) Semi-Structured Interview for Personality Functioning DSM-5 (STIP-5.1)	Thornton & Aknin (2020)	Self-Report	80 Dutch adults seeking treatment for personality pathology (Clinical Sample), 18 Dutch adults (Community Sample)	15.5	(60%) Female (66.3%) Male (33.7%)					×		x								
Functioning DSM–5 (STIP-5.1) SERVQUAL (SERVice QUALity)	Hutsebaut et al. (2017)	Self-Report	(N=98)			x		x		x		x	x	x	x					
	Kang et al. (2002)	Self-Report	120 university employees		Female (33%) Male (67%)										x					
	Konerding et al. (2019)	Self-Report	1884 European adults diagnosed with Type 2 Diabetes or a Stroke 20 hospitalized patients (Pilot Sample),	18+	N/a							x								
	Tomes & Chee Peng Ng (1995)	Self-Report	132 hospitalized patients (Validation Sample) (N=152)		N/a									x						
	Soliman (1992)	Self-Report	463 employed adults	R: 25 and older	N/a					х		x	х							
Severity Indices of Personality Problems-Short Form (SIPP-SF)	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	26 grdauate students and PhD-Level psychologists	M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated			x	x											
Sex Trafficking Attitudes Scale (STAS)	Houston-Kolnik et al. (2016)	Self-Report	601 undergraduate psychology students		Female (71.4%) Male (28.6%)	x						x		x	x		x			
			299 undergraduate psychology students (Study 1), 378 male undergraduate psychology students (Study 2) (N=677)		Study 1: Female (49.2%) Male (50.8%) Study 2: Female (0%)															
Sexual Narcissism Scale	Widman & McNulty (2010)	Self-Report	psychology students (Study 2) (N=677)		Study 2: Female (0%) Male (100%)	х								х						
Shared Care Instrument (SCI)	Sebern (2005)	Self-Report	110 patients, 109 family members (N=219)		N/a	x			х	x		x		х						
Shared Care Instrument-Revised (SCI-R) Short-Form Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire	Sebern (2008)	Self-Report	223 patients, 220 caregivers (N=443)		N/a Female (49%) Male	x				x	x	×			x					
(FFMQ-SF) Single-Item Measure of Depersonalization	Abujaradeh et al. (2020) West et al. (2009)	Self-Report Self-Report	599 high school adolescents 287 medical students	R: 13-19	(51%) Female (54%) Male (46%)					×		x	X					X		
Single-Item Measure of Depersonalization	West et al. (2009) West et al. (2009)	Self-Report	287 medical students 287 medical students		(46%) Female (54%) Male (46%)					x		x								
				(SD=1.19), study 6: M=15.88(SD=1.15), study 7: M=22.66(SD=4.05), study 8: M=45.36(SD=16.	study 1: 108 female(F) 64 male(M); study 2: 124F, 174W; study 3: 199F, 180M, 8 no- binary; study 4: 131F, 69M, 1 non-binary; study 5: 118F, 75M, 1 gender queer; study 6: 400M, 213 F, 31 nonbinary/other; study 7: 88F, 70W; study 8:						ž									
Single-Item Mindfulness Scale (SIMS)	Meier et al. (2022)	Self-Report	3125 adult and adolescent participants study 1:192, study 2: 401, study 3:312,	21)	543F, 517M		^		^	*	*	^					^			
Single-Item Self-Compassion Scale (SISC)	Zhang et al. (2022)	Self-Report	study 1:192, study 2: 401, study 3:312, study 4: 386, study 5: 126, study 6: 282, study 9: 110, study 10: 152	not indicated	not indicated		x			x	-	x	x							
Single Item Trait Empathy Scale (SITES)	Konrath et al. (2018)	Self-Report	3289 adults recruited through MTurk		Female (52.5%) Male (47.5%)		x			x	x	x	x							
Situational Judgment Tests (SJTs)	Goss et al. (2017)	Self-Report	316 medical students 160 undergraduate psychology students (Study 1), 84 undergraduate students (Crudy 1), 80 (M=204)		N/a	x			x											
			(Study 1) 84 undergraduate students		Female (55%), Male															

														Factor			Control/Corre	L		
Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])		Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/D ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Analysis/Princ pal Component	i Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	r Psychometric Assessments
Social Emotional Health Survey Higher Education (SEHS-HE)	Furlong et al. (2017)	Self-Report	1413 undergraduate students		Female (64%) Male (36%)	~	×		~	~		×	~	A	~			~		
(SERS-RE)	Punong et al. (2017)	Seit-Report		sample 1: M=6.55, SD=2	(36%)	^	~		~	^		^	^		~			^		c
Social-Emotional Responding Task (SERT)	Malti et al. (2021)	Self-Report	sample 1: 291 children; sample 2: 282 children	sample 1: M=6.55, SD=2 02; sample 2: M=6.57, SD=1.56	sample 1: 50% boys, sample 2: 49% boys			x		x	x	ĸ		x	x	x				;
			278 undergraduate and graduate students	s	study 1: Female (78%) Male (22%)															
	Nilseen et al. (2014)	Cold Decent	and professionals (Study 1), 509 undergraduate and graduate students		study 2: Female (76%) Male (23.2%) Other		~				J	~						~		
Social Issues Advocacy Scale (SIAS)	Nilsson et al. (2011)	Self-Report	(Study 2) (N=787)	Private university: M =	(0.8%)	x	X			X	X	X		X				x		′
				Private university: M = 26.7, SD = 5; Public university: M = 24.7, SD = 3.4	Private university: 55.5% male; Public															
Social Justice and Empathy Assessment (SJEA)	Vaffis et al. (2021)	Self-Report	404 PharmD students 409 high school and undergraduate	3.4	university: 59% male Female (50%) Male	x	x		x	x			x	x						e
Social Relations Survey (SRS) Social Self-Compassion Scale (SSCS)	Lorr et al. (1991)	Self-Report	students		(50%)	×				x	~	×	×	x	×	×				2
Social Self-Compassion Scale (SSCS)	Rose & Kocovski (2021)	Self-Report	221 undergraduate participants	M=19.5	142 women, 79 men	^				x	x	x	^	x	x	^				1
			221 adults (Sample 1), 227 adults (Sample 2), 271 adults (Sample 3) (N=719)		Female (72.5%) Male															
Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS)	Rose & Kocovski (2020) Panaviotou et al. (2022)	Self-Report Self-Report	(N=719) 3331 children	8-11	(27.5%) 0 male; n = 1,720, 51.6%	x				x	x	х	x	x	x	x				8
Spatial Perspective Task (SPT)	Chiu & Yeh (2018)	Self-Report	158 undergraduate students		Female (57%) Male (43%)							~								
Spiritual Modeling Inventory of Life Environments (SMILE)					(43.6) Female (71.5%) Male (28.5%)							<u>.</u>								
(SMILE) Sports Injury Clinic Athlete Satisfaction Scale	Oman et al. (2009)	Self-Report	1010 undergraduate students 160 patients from five sports injury clinics		(28.5%) Female (37%) Male	x	x			x	X	x					x			6
(SICASS) Staff Attitudes Towards Patients who Attempt	Taylor & May (1995)	Self-Report	in the UK		(63%) Female (71.8%) Male	х				x										2
Standardized Assessment of Severity of Personality	Aish et al. (2002)	Self-Report	1010 medical staff members 25 grdauate students and PhD-Level		(28.2%)										x	x				2
Standardized Assessment of Severity of Personality Disorder (SASPD)	Waugh et al. (2021)	Self-Report	25 grdauate students and PhD-Level psychologists	M = 29.36, SD = 8.23	not indicated			x	x											
State-Empathic Concern Scale	Johnson et al. (2019)	Self-Report	199 counseling students	R: 22-69, M = 32.04	Female (81.4%) Male (18.6%)	x			x	x	x	x			x					(
			289 undergraduate students in speech communication classes (Study 1), 189																	
State Empathy Scale	Shen (2010)	Self-Report	adults (Study 2) (N=478) 240 mental health professionals and		N/A 75.4% female, 8.8% male, 1.3% other	x				X	X	х								4
State-Interpersonal Reactivity Index (S-IRI)	Johnson et al. (2021)	Self-Report	graduate-level trainees		male, 1.3% other 55.38% male, 44.52%	x			x	x	X	x		x	x					7
State Moral Elevation Scale (SMES)	McGuire et al. (2022)	Self-Report	930 adult participants	M=39.27, SD=11.98	female	x			x	x	х	x		х	x	x				8
				study 1: M=35.2, SD 10.1; study 2: M=20.6, SD=1.96; study 3: M=20.	study 1: 58% male, 40.6% female; study 2: 31.1% male, 67.2%															
State Self-Compassion Scale-Long (SSCS-L)	Neff et al. (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 588 participants; study 2: 411 participants; study 3: 139 participants	SD=1.96; study 3: M=20. 49. SD=1.81	female; study 3: 36.7% male, 62.6% female	x							x	x	x	×				
			,,.	atudu 1: Ma25 2, SD	study 1: 58% male, 40.6% female; study 2:															
			study 1: 588 participants: study 2: 411	study 1: M=35.2, SD 10.1; study 2: M=20.6, SD=1.96; study 3: M=20. 49, SD=1.81	40.6% lemale, study 2: 31.1% male, 67.2% female; study 3: 36.7%															
State Self-Compassion Scale-Short Form (SSCS-S)	) Neff et al. (2021)	Self-Report	study 1: 588 participants; study 2: 411 participants; study 3: 139 participants	49, SD=1.81	male, 62.6% female study 1: 85% women;	х							х	х	х	х				5
State-Trait Scales for Distinct Positive Emotions (STS-DPE)	Weidman & Tracy (2020)	Self-Report	study 1 = 150 participants; study 2 = 1372 participants; study 3 = 1962 participants	2 study 1: M = 20.4, SD=	study 1: 85% women; study 2: 72% women; 77% women				×	~	~	~		~						
	Aish et al. (2002)			2.47, study 3. W = 22.25	Female (71.8%) Male				^	^	^	<b>`</b>		^						-
Suicidal Patients Scale (USP Scale)		Self-Report	1010 medical staff members		(28.2%) Female (48%) Male										x	x				2
Suicide Attitude Vignette Experience (SAVE) Scale Suiliman Scale of Social Interest (SSSI)	Stillion et al. (1984) Watkins & St. John (1994)	Self-Report Self-Report	198 high school students 207 undergraduate students	M = 18.2	(52%) N/a	x	х		×			x		х						3
Sussex-Oxford Compassion for Others Scale (SOCS-O)	Gu et al. (2020)	Self-Report			Female (79.6%) Male (20.4%)	x				~		×			U					
Sussex-Oxford Compassion for the Self Scale	Gu et al. (2020)				(20.4%)	^			~	~	~	^			~					
(SOCS-S)		Self-Report	1256 parents of typically developing	parents of typically devel- oping children																
Systemizing Quotient - Child (SQ-C)	Auyeung et al. (2009)	Self-Report	children 524 undergraduate students, nursing students, medical students, and internal	oping children	N/a	x	x													2
Test of Accurate Perception of Patients' Affect (TAPPA)	Hall et al. (2014)	Self-Report	students, medical students, and internal medicine residents	M = 24.25	Female (61.7%) Male (38.3%)	x	x			x	x									
Test of Self-Conscious Affect - Adolescent (TOSCA- A)	Watson et al. (2016)	Self-Report	931 children and adolescents		Female (73.8%) Male (26.2%)	x			×			x			x					
Therapeutic Environment Scales (TESS)	Veale et al. (2016)	Self-Report	81 British patients in treatment for anxiety disorders	/	Female (68%) Male (32%)	×	×					×								
Thought Impact Scale (TIS)		Self-Report	216 university staff, students, and faculty		Female (81.9%) Male															
Thought Impact Scale (TIS) Tool to Assess Inpatient Satisfaction with Care from Hospitalists (TAISCH)	Palsson (2020)				(28.1%) Female (56%) Male (44%)	x	X			x		x						x		
Hospitalists (TAISCH)	Torok et al. (2014)	Self-Report	203 hospitalized patients 200 Canadian female undergraduate	M = 60 Study 1: M = 18.8 years,	Study 1: Econolo (E0%)									×	x					2
			students (Study 1), 79 Canadian undergraduate students (Study 2) (N=279)	Stody 1: M = 10:0 years, SD = 1.2 Study 2: M = 18.9 years, SD = 3.0	Male (50%) Study 2: Female (69.6%) Male															
Toronto Empathy Questionnaire (TEQ) Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire Short	Spreng et al. (2009)	Self-Report		3.0	(30.4%) Female (83.7%) Male (15.9%) Other (.4%)	x	x			x	х	x		x				x		7
Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire Short Form (TEIQue-SF)	Snowden et al. (2015)	Self-Report	938 nursing and computing students		(15.9%) Other (.4%) Study 1: Female					x				x	x			х		
			732 undergraduate and graduate students (Study 1), 272 undergraduate students	s	Study 1: Female (69.4%) Male (30.3%) Study 2: Female															
Trait Sympathy Scales (TSS)	Lee (2009)	Self-Report	(Study 2), 77 undergraduate students (Study 2), 78 undergraduate students (Study 3) (N=1081)		(76.6% female) Male (23.4%)	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			
					Study 1:F= Female 37. Male 62.9	1,														
			105 undergraduate students (Study 1), 80 undergraduate students (Study 2), 68	2	Study 1:F= Female 37. Male 62.9 Study 2: Female 50%, Male 50%															
Transactional Analysis Ego States	Williams et al. (1983)	Self-Report	undergraduate students (Study 3) (N=253)		Study 3: Female 45.69 Male 54.4%	6,			x					x						
					Study 1: Female (71.3%) Male (28.7%)															
Transpersonal Gratitude Scale	Elfers et al. (2014)	Self-Report	314 adults (Study 1), 385 adults (Study 2) (N=699)	)	(71.3%) Male (28.7%) Study 2: Female (57.1%) Male (42.9%)	x			x					x	x					
Trauma-Informed Self-Care Measure	Salloum et al. (2018)	Self-Report	177 child welfare workers		Female (87%) Male (11.9%) Other (0.6%)	x				×				×	×					
Trauma-Related Shame Inventory - Short Form			sample 1: 228 patients who voluntarily	sample 1: M=35.9, SD=12.5; sample 2: M=34.78, SD=11.6		~				~				~						
(TRSI-SF)	Grau et al. (2022)	Self-Report	sought treatement, sample 2: 199	M=34.78, SD=11.6	sample 1: 86% female, sample 2: 85% female	x				x	х	х		х	x					f
Twelve Step Facilitation Adherence Competence Empathy Scale (TSF ACES)	Campbell et al. (2013)	Self-Report	471 outpatients diagnosed with a substance use disorder	older than 18	Female (59%) Male (41%)	x			x			x								;
Unconditional Self-Kindness Scale	Smith et al. (2018)	Self-Report	1452 undergraduate students	18+	Female (68.8%) Male (31%)	x	x			x	x	x		x	x					
					phase ii: NA phase iv: female (88.5%), male (11.4),															
Undergraduate Nursing Palliative Care Knowledge	Davis et al. (2020)	Self-Report	Phase II: 20 Phase IV: 262	phase ii: NA phase iv: M=23.6	(88.5%), male (11.4), other (0.40)	x								x						
Survey (UNPCKS)																				
Undergraduate Nursing Palliative Care Knowledge Survey (UNPCKS)			345 adults (Study 1), 345 adults (Study 2), 133 undergraduate students (Study 3)																	
Universal Worth Scale (UWS) Verona Coding Definitions of Emotional Sequences	Rouse (2012)	Self-Report Self-Report	345 adults (Study 1), 345 adults (Study 2), 133 undergraduate students (Study 3) (N=823)		Female (42.5%) Male		x			x		x			x		x			٤

Instrument	Reference	Study Type	Study Population	Age (range [R] and/or Mean [M] with Standard Deviation [SD])	Gender	Internal Consistency	Test Re-Test Reliability	Interratter Reliability	Content Validity	Construct Validity	Discriminant/D ivergent Validity	Convergent Validity	Predictive Validity	Factor Analysis/Princi pal Component	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	Structural Equation Modeling	Control/Correl ation with Social Desirability	Other Advanced Statistics	Other Biased Responding or Lie Scale	Total Psychometric Assessments
Vicarious Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (VPTGI)	Deaton & Ohrt (2023)	Self-Report	651 helping professionals and helping professionals-in-training working with clients impacted by trauma	M=39.6, SD=10.95	90.2% women	x				x	x	x		x		x				
Vicarious Resilience Scale (VRS)	Killian et al. (2017)	Self-Report	190 helping professionals working with severe trauma		Female (72%) Male (28%)	x								x						
Vicarious Trauma Organizational Readiness Guide (VT-ORG)	Hallinan et al. (2019)	Self-Report	3018 employees of first responder agencies and victim assistance agencies		Female (28.9%) Male (65.1%) Other (6%)	x									x	x		x		
Vicarious Trauma Scale (VTS)	Aparicio et al. (2013)	Self-Report	157 social workers			х								x	х			x		
Victim Concern Scale (VCS)	Clements et al. (2006)	Self-Report	219 undergraduate students	R: 18-36	Female (54%) Male (46%)	x			x					x						
Victim Empathy Response Assessment (VERA)	Terry et al. (2009)	Self-Report	103 adult males		Female (0%) Male (100%)					x				x						
Visual CARE Measure	Murphy et al. (2013)	Self-Report	99 patients attending routine appointments in a GP practice		N/a	x														
Working Alliance Inventory - General Pracitce (WAI- GP)	Hunik et al. (2021)	Self-Report	146 patients	52.1% were aged older than 45 years	62.3% female	x				x		x		x						
Workplace Compassion Scale	Mandliya & Pandey (2023)	Self-Report	406 adults		198 (48.8%) were male, 207 (51%) were females				x		x	x	x	x	x					
Young Children's Empathy Measure (YCEM)	Poresky (1990)	Self-Report	38 children		Female (55%) Male (45%)	x		x		×	x	x								
Youth Suicide Scale (YSS)	Range et al. (1987)	Self-Report	191 undergraduate psychology students (Sample 1), 152 undergraduate psychology students (Sample 2) (N=343)		N/a		x							x						

S1 Table. Measure populations and psychometric assessments. S1 Table includes all compassion and empathy measures identified categorized by type (behavioriexpert coder, peericorollary report, self-report), then sorted alphabetically by measure name, and for measures with mroe than one articles, from newest to oldest.