## **ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY CONTENT**

| Patients | Diagnosis of $\delta$ -SPD by Lumi- LTA | Diagnosis of $\delta$ -SPD by T-TAS (OT >10 min) | Test agreement   |  |
|----------|---|--|------------------|--|
| 1        | PFDs                                    | yes  |                  |  |
| 2        | PFDs                                    | no   | 1                |  |
| 3        | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 4        | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 5        | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 6        | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 7        | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 8        | PFDs                                    | no   | 7                |  |
| •        | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 10       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 11       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 12       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 13       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 14       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 15       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 16       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 17       | PFDs                                    | yes  |                  |  |
| 18       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 19       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 20       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 21       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 22       | PFDs                                    | no   | K Cohen<br>0,695 |  |
| 23       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 24       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 25       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 26       | PFDs                                    | yes  |                  |  |
| 27       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 28       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 29       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 30       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 31       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 32       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 33       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 34       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 35       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 36       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 37       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 38       | PFDs                                    | no   |                  |  |
| 39       | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | yes  |                  |  |
| 40       | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | no   | $\neg$           |  |
| 40       | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | no   | -                |  |
| 41 42    | PFDs (δ-SPD)<br>PFDs (δ-SPD)            |  | $\neg$           |  |
| 42       | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | yes  | -                |  |
| 43<br>44 |   | yes  |                  |  |
| 44       | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | yes  |                  |  |
|          | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | yes  |                  |  |
| 46<br>47 | PFDs (δ-SPD)                            | yes  |                  |  |
| 47       | PFDs (δ-SPD)<br>PFDs (δ-SPD)            | yes<br>Yes                                       | _                |  |

 Table SI - Diagnosis of δ-storage pool disease (δ-SPD) subgroup, based on lumi-LTA or T-TAS when using as parameter the OC with cut-off >10 min

Test agreement (lumi-LTA-vs-T-TAS) is also reported. Significance of K Choen value: <0, no agreement; 0-0.4, poor agreement; 0.4-0.6, discrete agreement.

| Response to antiplatelet therapy |          |          |                |  |  |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|--|--|
| Patients                         | Lumi-LTA | T-TAS    | Test agreement |  |  |
| 1                                | absent   | complete |                |  |  |
| 2                                | partial  | absent   |                |  |  |
| 3                                | absent   | absent   |                |  |  |
| 4                                | partial  | absent   |                |  |  |
| 5                                | absent   | complete |                |  |  |
| 6                                | absent   | complete |                |  |  |
| 7                                | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 8                                | absent   | absent   |                |  |  |
| 9                                | absent   | absent   |                |  |  |
| 10                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 11                               | complete | absent   |                |  |  |
| 12                               | complete | complete | K Cohen=0.150  |  |  |
| 13                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 14                               | complete | absent   |                |  |  |
| 15                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 16                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 17                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 18                               | partial  | complete |                |  |  |
| 19                               | partial  | complete |                |  |  |
| 20                               | partial  | complete |                |  |  |
| 21                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 22                               | complete | complete | ]              |  |  |
| 23                               | complete | absent   |                |  |  |
| 24                               | complete | complete |                |  |  |
| 25                               | complete | complete | ]              |  |  |
| 26                               | complete | Absent   | ]              |  |  |

 Table SII - Response to antiplatelet therapy for patients on treatment when tested by lumi-LTA and T-TAS and between-test agreement

**Complete response**: Percent LTA below the 5<sup>th</sup> centile of the distribution of healthy subjects. T-TAS AUC, below the 5<sup>th</sup> centile; OT, OST above the 95<sup>th</sup> centile of the distribution of controls. **Absent response**: Percent LTA above the 5<sup>th</sup> centile of the distribution of healthy subjects. T-TAS AUC higher than the 5<sup>th</sup> centile; OT, OST smaller the 95<sup>th</sup> centile of the distribution of controls. For the significance of K Choen values, see legend to Table III.