Cell Reports, Volume 42

Supplemental information

Vaccine-mediated protection against *Merbecovirus*

and Sarbecovirus challenge in mice

David R. Martinez, Alexandra Schäfer, Tyler D. Gavitt, Michael L. Mallory, Esther Lee, Nicholas J. Catanzaro, Haiyan Chen, Kendra Gully, Trevor Scobey, Pooja Korategere, Alecia Brown, Lena Smith, Robert Parks, Maggie Barr, Amanda Newman, Cindy Bowman, John M. Powers, Erik J. Soderblom, Katayoun Mansouri, Robert J. Edwards, Ralph S. Baric, Barton F. Haynes, and Kevin O. Saunders



9	Figure S1. Structural and biophysical characterization of the trivalent SARS-CoV-
10	2/SHC014/MERS RBD scNP. (A) Analytical size exclusion chromatography with a Superose6
11	column showing a homogenous protein nanoparticle at the expected elution volume. (B)
12	Negative stain electron microscopy of trivalent RBD scNP. 2D class average is shown on the
13	bottom left and a raw image of a single nanoparticle is shown on the top left. The raw image of
14	the carbon grid is shown on the right. (C and D) Differential scanning fluorimetry of the
15	individual components and assembled trivalent RBD scNP. Melting temperature is defined as the
16	inflection temperature (T _i) on the 350 nm/330 nm ratio curve. Symbols represent values from
17	independent experiments with bars representing the mean of the two independent experiments.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	



35	Figure S2. Protective efficacy of monovalent vs trivalent RBD scNP vaccines against
36	MERS-CoV challenge in 2X vaccinated mice. (A) Weight loss following MERS-CoV
37	intranasal challenge in monovalent, trivalent, and adjuvant-only vaccinated mice. (B) Infectious
38	virus replication in the lung at day 2 post infection. (C) Infectious virus replication at day 2 post
39	infection in nasal turbinates. (D) Infectious virus replication at day 4 post infection. A Kruskal-
40	Wallis test with a Dunn's multiple comparison correction test was used for calculating statistical
41	significance in all panels. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.005$, $***P < 0.0005$, and $****P < 0.0001$
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	



69	Figure S3. Immunogenicity of monovalent vs trivalent RBD scNP vaccines in 2X vs 3X
70	vaccinated mice. (A) vaccination schema with monovalent scNP, trivalent scNP, and adjuvant
71	only (GLA-SE). (B) LogAUC IgG binding comparison of 2X (prime-boost) vs 3X (prime-boost-
72	boost) vaccinated mice with monovalent, trivalent, and adjuvant-only against genetically
73	divergent RBDs from Group 1 (canineCoV-HuPn). Group 2a (OC43), Group 2b (WIV-1, GZ02,
74	ZC45, GXP4L, and BANAL-236), Group 2c (MERS-CoV, NL140422, HKU4, and HKU5),
75	Group 2d (BtKY06), and Group 4 (Porcine deltacoronavirus Haiti).
76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 97 98 90 100 101 102 103 104	

Protein Name Lota	bundance: ot#1: Sample	Abundance: Lot#2: Sample	Abundance: Lot#3: Sample	Average	Standard Deviation	Ratio Lot#1 to MERS	Ratio Lot#2 to MERS	Ratio Lot#3 to MERS	Avg Ratio to MERS	Deviation Ratio to MERS
MERS-CoV RBD 73	739055837	909817735	894822235	847898602	94558325.36	1	1	1	1	0
SARS-CoV-2 RBD 86	868638091	938426136	844043588	883702605	48961423.89	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.1
RsSHC014 RBD 11	185580726	1054705449	948483177	1062923117	118762197.5	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.3