

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

# **BMJ Open**

# Investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention: A mixed methods study protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-074100
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Mar-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Alkan, Erkan; University of Suffolk Cushen-Brewster, Noreen; University of Suffolk Anyanwu, Philip; University of Suffolk
Keywords:	Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Nursing Care, Organisation of health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts Investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention: A mixed methods study protocol

Erkan Alkan<sup>1\*</sup>, Noreen Cushen-Brewster<sup>1</sup>, Philip Anyanwu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, UK

\*Corresponding Author, Full Address: Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Suffolk, Waterfront Building, 19 Neptune Quay, Ipswich IP4 1QJ, UK, phone: +441473338357, email: e.alkan@uos.ac.uk

Noreen Cushen-Brewster: email: n.cushen-brewster2@uos.ac.uk

Philip Anyanwu: email: p.anyanwu2@uos.ac.uk

### **Abstract**

**Introduction:** Although the sustainability of the health workforce has been identified as essential to achieving health and wider development objectives, challenges with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce persist. In the UK, there are notable shortages across a wide range of National Health Service (NHS) staff groups, with a high staff turnover indicating retention issues in the healthcare workforce. In addition, gaps exist in understanding the root cause of individual organisation's workforce deficiencies and how their practice environment factors interact to impact workforce recruitment and retention.

Methods and analysis: An exploratory mixed-methods approach will be conducted to investigate the impact of organisational practice environment factors on healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in two Integrated Care Systems (ICS) in the East of England. We will conduct an online survey of newly qualified and established nurses and allied health professionals using a questionnaire adapted from two validated instruments. Multi-level linear regression models will be fitted to evaluate the association between organisational practice environmental factors and staff recruitment and retention. The qualitative interviews will explore the experiences and perspectives of staff and senior leaders to explain the survey results and any significant associations therein. Also, the interviews will explore how to strengthen the partnership between higher education institutions, Health Education England, health

and care service providers, NHS nursing and allied health professional staff to enhance recruiting and retaining staff. An exploratory inductive coding and analysis will follow Braun & Clarke's recommendations to generate key themes from transcribed interview data.

**Ethics and dissemination:** Ethical approval has been obtained through the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number: RETH(S)22/051). Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

**Keywords:** health-workforce, organisational aspect, turnover, retention, recruitment

Word Count: 2977

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study will provide new insight into the relationship between turnover intention and organisational climate in nurses and allied health professionals in the East Anglia region.
- The study combines qualitative and quantitative research methods to gain a grounded, multifaceted perspective on the issue of workforce recruitment and retention.
- By including healthcare workers and senior leaders, our study will approach the organisational practice environment issues from different perspectives.
- Due to the scope of the research, the study sample will be limited to the East of England. Including participants from other regions may provide a more representative perspective of healthcare workforce issues in England.

### INTRODUCTION

Appropriate staffing and skill mix are crucial determinants of performance and quality in healthcare delivery. Although the sustainability of the health workforce has been identified as essential to achieving health and wider development objectives,<sup>1</sup> a challenge with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce persists globally.<sup>2</sup> Countries at all socioeconomic development levels face workforce development, recruitment, and retention issues.<sup>1</sup> Evidence from European countries, including the UK, suggests an increase in healthcare staff quitting their posts, from 5% to 17%, over three years.<sup>3</sup> In the UK, a high staff turnover, an indicator of retention issues in the healthcare workforce, persists.<sup>2</sup>

There are notable shortages across a wide range of National Health Service (NHS) staff groups in the UK; the most challenging include nurses and allied health professionals (AHPs), who are critical to delivering aspirations for 21st-century care set out in the NHS Long-Term Plan.<sup>4,5</sup> AHPs are a diverse group of professionals who provide various high-quality care across health and social care pathways. About 230,000 AHPs in 14 professions (including paramedics, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and dieticians, among others) worked independently across the spectrum of care from primary to specialist care provision in the UK in 2021.<sup>6</sup> Recently, there has been a decline in previous achievements to increase the FTE number of allied health professionals in the UK.<sup>7</sup> A similar trend is seen across the NHS workforce, including the nursing staff.

The Nursing and Midwifery Council's register recorded that 6.5% of nurses left the register between 2012 and 2013.8 Most of those who left were of active service age; only 1.2% of registered nurses left due to retirement. However, nurses quitting rates may be underreported given that some leave work while still registered, and some take up shift jobs within the NHS away from direct clinical care.9

Studies have identified structural factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention. For instance, pay level has been reported as important in healthcare workforce retention. An interplay exists between the cost of living and healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in the UK. For example, the Royal College of Nursing members in England, Northern Ireland and Wales held strike

actions in late 2022 and early 2023 on pay dispute, worsened by the current cost of living crisis.<sup>11</sup>

Evidence from UK studies suggests that the COVID-19 pandemic further exacerbated healthcare workforce recruitment and retention issues. COVID-19 infection among frontline staff, difficult working conditions, increased workload, and burnout are reported COVID-19-related factors exacerbating healthcare workforce challenges.<sup>12–14</sup>

Despite the focus and investment by the Department of Health and Social Care in the workforce, 15,16, there remain considerable challenges in filling the roles required across both sectors to meet the demands. Many approaches have been explored to provide the most appropriate solutions; however, overwhelming challenges must be overcome to improve staff retention across Integrated Care Systems.

Recruitment and retention issues affect different aspects of health and care services. A high turnover and shortage of nurses and AHPs impact care quality, patient outcomes, and the cost of healthcare delivery. Studies have shown that a crucial time for the turnover of nurses is during the first year post-qualification. Psychological issues, including anxiety and stress due to work pressure in the early days of work, are common reasons for quitting among newly qualified nurses (NQNs). Some studies suggest that professionals, such as nurses, often feel ill-prepared for their roles and quit their profession; lack of self-confidence has been implicated in performance rate, stress levels, and the difference between expectations and reality. Negative experiences during clinical placements, such as a safe learning environment and lack of health and well-being support from colleagues, can also influence NQNs negatively. Understanding the organisational practice environment important in NQNs' decision on employment within the sector is essential in reducing turnover and increasing retention.

With the emergence of integrated care systems (ICS) in England, there is an increasing need to upskill and consolidate services across systems to provide enhanced integration of health and social care rather than just individual institutions.<sup>24</sup> In addition, given the unprecedented challenges facing the NHS, systems need healthcare professionals to work differently to meet the needs of the growing number

of people with complex and long-term conditions, many of whom rely on care and support from different services.<sup>24</sup> An appropriate number of the multi-professional healthcare workforce is needed to deliver the required care.

Although studies have suggested strategies, such as leadership initiatives<sup>23</sup> improvements in technology<sup>4,5</sup>, and incentives,<sup>25</sup> to address workforce recruitment and retention challenges, a gap still exists in the understanding of individual organisations' root cause of these deficiencies or insight as to how they relate to each other or how organisations go about implementing the appropriate strategies to manage and sustain the quality-of-care delivery.

Advancing an understanding of the elements that provide an environment for growth and job satisfaction among nurses and AHPs will inform innovative strategies to recruit and retain healthcare workers in the UK. In addressing this, the proposed study will adopt an exploratory mixed-method approach in investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in two ICS in the East Anglia region of England. Partnering with local providers, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), Health Education England (HEE), and nursing and AHPs staff, we will explore elements of organisational practice environments that contribute to workforce development, recruitment and retention in the Suffolk and North-East Essex (SNEE) ICS and the Norfolk and Waveney (NW) ICS.

### Research questions

- What factors affect healthcare workforce development, recruitment, and retention in the United Kingdom?
- What elements of organisational practice environments are associated with the intention to take up employment in healthcare among newly qualified professionals in the East Anglia region?
- What elements of organisational practice environments are associated with the retention of existing healthcare staff in the East Anglia region?
- Can strengthening the partnership between HEIs, HEE, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and AHPs staff contribute to addressing practice environmental factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention?

### **METHODS**

A sequential explanatory mixed methods design will be adopted in the proposed study. This involves an ordered combination of quantitative and qualitative research approaches to achieve a breadth and depth of understanding and corroboration/validation of results from both elements.<sup>26</sup> The methodological orientation for the mixed methods will be a quantitative survey  $\rightarrow$  qualitative interviews; this order will advance an understanding of the mechanisms behind any association from the survey.

We systematically reviewed existing literature (research question (RQ) 1) to integrate findings on the factors that impact healthcare workforce development, recruitment and retention in the United Kingdom into the survey. The review results informed the adaptation of validated measurement instruments (questionnaires) for the survey.

While the survey will enable us to establish associations and patterns concerning organisational environments implicated in workforce development, recruitment and retention, the interviews will explain the associations, especially the mechanism of impact therein. In addition, the outcome of the survey will inform the qualitative investigation. For instance, the interview guides will be designed to include questions exploring significant associations from the statistical models. Also, unexpected patterns in the results (such as no significant association where expected, an opposite direction of the association, among others) will be further explored in the interviews to help interpret their meaning and importance.

For this study, newly qualified healthcare professionals will be used to refer to those within one year of employment post-qualification; healthcare professionals with more than one-year post-qualification experience in their area of practice will be referred to as established/retained.

### **Phase 1: Quantitative strand**

The quantitative strand will involve the analysis of primary data from a cross-sectional survey.

### Data

An online survey will be launched in the second half of 2023 to collect data from newly qualified and established nurses and Allied Health professionals on organisational practice environments implicated in their job recruitment and retention. The survey link will be live for three weeks. The questionnaire will be hosted online at Questionpro<sup>27</sup>, restricting access to one response per device. The survey link will be primarily distributed through gatekeepers (practice educators working in organisations within the ICBs, these organisations will include: East Suffolk & North East Essex NHS Foundation Trust (ESNEFT), West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust (WSFT), Norfolk & Norwich University NHS Foundation Trust (NNUHT), Norfolk & Suffolk Foundation Trust (NSFT), Norfolk Community Health and Care NHS Trust (NCH&C), and East Coast Community Healthcare (ECCH),) via email correspondence. Reminders and constant communications with gatekeepers to follow up on non-responders will be used to reduce the risk of attrition bias in the survey.

### Measurement instrument

Data for the survey will be collected using a questionnaire adapted from the validated instruments from existing studies on organisational culture, support mechanisms, placement cycle, supervisory capacity, compassionate pedagogy, transition to the registrant, and general infrastructure. Based on the preliminary review conducted so far, questionnaires will include items from the Turnover Intention Scale (TIS)<sup>28</sup> and the SCORE questionnaire: Assessment of your work setting Safety, Communication, Operational Reliability, and Engagement.<sup>29</sup> The SCORE survey assesses the perception of employees/employers about their organisation. It includes 73 items measuring 12 domains constituting three subscales: Safety Culture, Work-Balance, and Engagement and Burnout; the instrument has good internal reliability ranging from  $\alpha$ =0.82 to  $\alpha$ =0.94.

TIS assesses employees' turnover intention by measuring 15 items, including statements about their frequency of seeking alternative jobs and considering leaving. The midpoint of the scale is 18 (3 x 6). If the total score is below 18, it indicates a desire to stay. If the scores are above 18, it suggests a desire to leave the organisation. Bothma and Roodt<sup>28</sup> reported a reliability coefficient of  $\alpha$  =0.80 for the TIS.

The adapted questionnaire for the proposed study, which contains 88 items, will be self-administered (see appendix 1 for a sample of the questionnaire). To ensure validity following adaptation, the questionnaire will be piloted using 10 participants who meet the inclusion criteria. These participants will be similar to the target participants for the survey. The pilot study will consider respondents' perception of the questions, question construct (including the appropriateness of the format and wording of questionnaires), and the questionnaire's ability to collect relevant data to answer research questions 2 and 3.

### Variables

The predictors will be variables on opportunities for growth and advancement, workload, teamwork climate, participation in decision-making, and burnout climate (all Likert scale measures) as indicators of organisational practice environments. The outcome variables will differ for the separate models to test RQs 2&3. For RQ2, the outcomes will be variables on the intention of newly qualified healthcare practitioners to take up or stay in new employment; while for RQ3, the outcomes will include a continuous variable on the number of years established healthcare professionals have remained in employment/practice, intention to leave their current practice and job satisfaction. Confounders will include participant age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location.

# Sample size calculation

We used G\*Power Software Package Program v3.1<sup>30</sup> to calculate the sample size. Calculations revealed that a minimum sample size of 64 for each group (AHPs and Nurses) was required (alpha 0.05, power 0.80 and an effect size 0.50) to achieve a statistically significant finding. However, we aim to exceed this number in each group to ensure statistical rigour. We will target a balanced number of newly qualified and established healthcare staff in our sample.

### Handling missing data

The rate of missing values in the dataset will be assessed to determine the pattern of missingness. Suppose values are missing at random (that is, participant characteristics do not determine missingness), we will conduct a multiple imputation

to minimise bias and retain all observed values in the dataset.<sup>31</sup> Results will be averaged across ten imputed datasets.

### Statistical analysis

To explore the relationships in RQs 2&3, we will conduct separate multi-level linear regression models for each outcome (newly qualified staff intention to stay and established staff retention) to explore their association with organisational practice environment measures (opportunities for growth and advancement, workload, teamwork climate, participation in decision-making, burnout climate), controlling for confounders (age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location). In addition, the multi-level model will account for the fact that healthcare professionals are nested within organisations, allowing the evaluation of between-and within-individual practitioner effects.

All analyses will be performed on Stata statistical software version SE 17.

### Sensitivity analysis

To ensure the imputation of missing values does not introduce bias in the dataset, a complete case analysis will be conducted to test for consistency with the results from the main analyses with the imputed dataset.

### **Phase 2: Qualitative strand**

In the qualitative strand, we will seek to understand organisational practice environment factors associated with the recruitment and retention of the health workforce. The interviews will explore the experiences and perspectives of newly qualified and established nurses, allied health professionals, and senior leaders within the NHS ICBs, including education and workforce. In addition, this phase will contribute to answering RQs 2&3 by advancing an understanding of the associations established in phase 1. Also, we will use the interviews to explore how to strengthen the partnership between HEIs, HEE, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and AHPs staff to address practice environmental factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention (RQ3).

### Sampling technique and recruitment

Newly qualified and established nurses, allied health professionals, and senior leaders within the NHS ICBs, including education and workforce leads with experiences relevant to the study, will be purposively sampled.<sup>32</sup> The characteristics of the interview participants will be informed by the survey results, ensuring those reporting specific experiences in the survey are interviewed for interpretation and clarification. The nurses and allied health professionals will be drawn from those who agreed to participate in the semi-structured interviews when they completed the initial questionnaire.

# Sample size

To achieve content validity,<sup>33</sup> the sample size will be determined after the conduct of the interviews; the experiences and perspectives of participants will be explored until saturation is achieved.<sup>34</sup> However, given the likelihood of similarities in organisational practice environments shaping the experiences of staff and providers within the ICSs included in this study, we anticipate achieving saturation within 20 interviews.

### Data collection

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted to explore and compare the experiences of healthcare professionals and senior leaders within different organisations on supporting, developing, securing and retaining the healthcare workforce. Given the sequential explanatory mixed methods design, interview questions cannot be finalised at this stage as these will depend on the survey results.

The interviews will be conducted in English via a virtual platform or face-to-face, depending on the participants' preferences, by three researchers (EA, NC and PA) with extensive experience in conducting qualitative interviews.

### Data analysis

Interview audio records will be transcribed verbatim and analysed alongside any field notes using the thematic analysis method. Inductive coding and analysis will be conducted per Braun & Clarke's recommendations to generate key themes from the interview data.<sup>35</sup> With the inductive approach to coding, we expect emerging codes to be strongly related to the dataset, identifying new concepts and constructs therein.<sup>36</sup>

Themes will be developed from clusters of linked codes of similar construct and meaning. Codes will be considered for relevance and how they connect and interact with one another. The data will be interpreted at different levels: within and between individual interviews (data units). Emerging themes will be frequently discussed among the research team and collaborators in the project.

The NVivo software will be used to facilitate the interview data analysis.

### **DISCUSSION**

We will adopt an integrated interpretation of the quantitative and qualitative strands' results. Methodological triangulation and an integrated approach in discussing the proposed research results will provide a more in-depth understanding of study findings and clarify contrasting findings by discussing them comparatively.<sup>37</sup>

Studies of other populations have reported some organisational practice environment factors associated with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce. For example, a recent study in Ghana showed that the work practice environment, including nursephysician relations and nurse-manager leadership, affected registered nurses' turnover intention, and the burnout level of nurses mediated this.<sup>38</sup> Smokrović et al. found that job satisfaction and absenteeism were the direct predictors of turnover intention in a Croatian registered nurse population.<sup>39</sup> They also showed that amotivation, identified regulation, intrinsic motivation, and nurse manager ability, leadership and support of nurses were indirect predictors of turnover intention mediated by job satisfaction. Another crucial organisational practice environment factor in workforce recruitment identified in the existing literature is support for newly qualified staff. 40,41 These findings demonstrate the need to understand how organisational practice environments shape staff experiences and employment decisions. Our proposed study will provide new insight into how region-specific organisational factors could affect the recruitment and retention of the health workforce.

Based on our results, we will develop a conceptual framework to visually present the relationship pathways between the organisational practice environment and healthcare workforce development, recruitment and retention. The framework will show how organisational structures interact in influencing outcomes; this will be a

valuable resource for designing strategies to address current issues with the healthcare workforce in the East Anglia region.

Ethics, data management and dissemination

The proposed study has been approved by the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number RETH(S)22/051). Before participating in both phases of the study, participants will be provided with an information sheet, and written informed consent will be obtained. Confidentiality will be assured to all participants throughout the study. All data will be anonymised. Interview and survey data will be securely stored on a password-protected device accessible to only the research team. After five years following the final day of the study, all data copies will be securely deleted or shredded.

While the project leadership and research governance will be undertaken by two authors who are experienced academic leads based at the University of Suffolk (PA and NC), strategic oversight will be provided by a steering group of workforce leaders across the SNEE and NW ICS'.

Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

# Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Health Education England and the steering committee members (Dr Paul Driscoll-Evans and Professor Lynne Wigens).

### Author contributions

Study Design: EA, PA, NB. Proposal Preparation: EA, PA, NB. Prior to submission, all authors read and have given approval for the final manuscript.

### Funding

This study was funded by Health Education England (RD22061).

### Competing Interest

None declared.

### Ethics Approval

Ethical approval has been obtained through the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number: RETH(S)22/051). Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

### References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030. (2016).
- Zurn, P., Dolea, C. & Stilwell, B. Nurse retainment and recruitment: developing a motivated workforce. The Global Nursing Review Initiative (2005).
- Brook, J., Aitken, L., Webb, R., MacLaren, J. & Salmon, D. Characteristics of successful interventions to reduce turnover and increase retainment of early career nurses: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 91, 47–59 (2019).
- 4. NHS. NHS Long Term Plan. (2019).
- 5. Alderwick, H. & Dixon, J. The NHS long term plan. *BMJ* **364**, l84 (2019).
- 6. NHS Improvement & NHS England. Allied health professions supporting patient flow: a quick quide. (2018).
- 7. Buchan, J., Charlesworth, A., Gershlick, B. & Seccombe, I. A critical moment: NHS staffing trends, retainment and attrition. *London: Health Foundation* (2019).
- 8. Health Education England. Growing nursing numbers: Literature review on nurses leaving the NHS. (2014).
- 9. Ford, M. 'Even more' nurses considering quitting the profession, survey reveals. *Nursing Times* (2020).
- 10. Bimpong, K. A. A., Khan, A., Slight, R., Tolley, C. L. & Slight, S. P. Relationship between labour force satisfaction, wages and retainment within the UK National Health Service: a systematic review of the literature. *BMJ Open* **10**, e034919 (2020).
- 11. Helm, T. & Ungoed-Thomas, J. Nurses pledge tougher new strikes as NHS crisis deepens. *The Observer* (2022).
- Erdem, H. & Lucey, D. R. Healthcare worker infections and deaths due to COVID-19: A survey from 37 nations and a call for WHO to post national data on their website. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 102, 239–241 (2021).
- 13. Foye, U. *et al.* How has COVID-19 affected mental health nurses and the delivery of mental health nursing care in the UK? Results of a mixed-methods study. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing* **28**, 126–137 (2021).
- 14. Wood, E. *et al.* UK advanced practice nurses' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* **11**, e044139 (2021).

- 15. Department of Health and Social Care. Workforce Recruitment and Retainment Fund for adult social care. (2022).
- House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. Workforce: recruitment, training and retainment in health and social care. Third Report of Session 2022– 23. (2022).
- 17. Audit Scotland. NHS in Scotland 2017. (2017).
- 18. Kapur, N. *et al.* Mental health service changes, organisational factors, and patient suicide in England in 1997–2012: a before-and-after study. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **3**, 526–534 (2016).
- Salt, J., Cummings, G. G. & Profetto-McGrath, J. Increasing Retainment of New Graduate Nurses: A Systematic Review of Interventions by Healthcare Organizations. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration* 38, 287 (2008).
- 20. Zhang, Y., Qian, Y., Wu, J., Wen, F. & Zhang, Y. The effectiveness and implementation of mentoring program for newly graduated nurses: A systematic review. *Nurse Education Today* **37**, 136–144 (2016).
- 21. Wu, T.-Y., Fox, D. P., Stokes, C. & Adam, C. Work-related stress and intention to quit in newly graduated nurses. *Nurse Educ Today* **32**, 669–674 (2012).
- 22. Eckerson, C. M. The impact of nurse residency programs in the United States on improving retainment and satisfaction of new nurse hires: An evidence-based literature review. *Nurse Educ Today* **71**, 84–90 (2018).
- 23. Weurlander, M. *et al.* How do medical and nursing students experience emotional challenges during clinical placements? *Int J Med Educ* **9**, 74–82 (2018).
- 24. Kline, R. Leadership in the NHS. BMJ Leader 3, (2019).
- 25. Saks, A. M. Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology* **21**, 600–619 (2006).
- 26. Creswell, J. W. & Clark, V. L. P. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. (Sage publications, 2017).
- 27. QuestionPro. Question Pro. https://www.questionpro.com/blog/research-design/ (2023).
- 28. Bothma, C. F. C. & Roodt, G. The validation of the turnover intention scale: original research. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management* **11**, 1–12 (2013).
- 29. Sexton, J. B. *et al.* Providing feedback following Leadership WalkRounds is associated with better patient safety culture, higher employee engagement and lower burnout. *BMJ Qual Saf* **27**, 261–270 (2018).
- 30. Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G. & Buchner, A. G\*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods* **39**, 175–191 (2007).
- 31. Allison, P. D. Missing data: Quantitative applications in the social sciences. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology* **55**, 193–196 (2002).
- 32. Bryman, A. Social research methods. (Oxford university press, 2016).
- 33. Fusch, P. & Ness, L. Are We There Yet? Data Saturation in Qualitative Research. *Walden Faculty and Staff Publications* **20**, (2015).
- 34. Guest, G., MacQueen, K. M. & Namey, E. E. *Applied thematic analysis*. (Sage Publications, 2011).
- 35. Braun, V. & Clarke, V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology* **3**, 77–101 (2006).
- 36. Patton, M. Q. *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice.* (Sage publications, 2014).
- 37. Mertens, D. M. & Hesse-Biber, S. Triangulation and Mixed Methods Research: Provocative Positions. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research* **6**, 75–79 (2012).

- 38. Poku, C. A., Donkor, E. & Naab, F. Impacts of Nursing Work Environment on Turnover Intentions: The Mediating Role of Burnout in Ghana. *Nurs Res Pract* **2022**, 1310508 (2022).
- 39. Smokrović, E. et al. A Conceptual Model of Nurses' Turnover Intention. Int J Environ Res Public Health 19, 8205 (2022).
- 40. Jarden, R. J. *et al.* Registered Nurses' experiences of psychological well-being and ill-being in their first year of practice: A qualitative meta-synthesis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* **77**, 1172–1187 (2021).
- 41. Freeman, M., Baumann, A., Akhtar-Danesh, N., Blythe, J. & Fisher, A. Employment goals, expectations, and migration intentions of nursing graduates in a Canadian border city: a mixed methods study. Int J Nurs Stud 49, 1531-1543 TO PER TENEN ONL (2012).

# **BMJ Open**

# Investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention: A mixed methods study protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-074100.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Oct-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Alkan, Erkan; University of Suffolk Cushen-Brewster, Noreen; University of Suffolk Anyanwu, Philip; University of Suffolk
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nursing
Keywords:	Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Nursing Care, Organisation of health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention: A mixed methods study protocol

Erkan Alkan<sup>1\*</sup>, Noreen Cushen-Brewster<sup>1</sup>, Philip Anyanwu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, UK

\*Corresponding Author, Full Address: Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Suffolk, Waterfront Building, 19 Neptune Quay, Ipswich IP4 1QJ, UK, phone: +441473338357, email: e.alkan@uos.ac.uk

Noreen Cushen-Brewster: email: n.cushen-brewster2@uos.ac.uk

Philip Anyanwu: email: p.anyanwu2@uos.ac.uk

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Although the sustainability of the health workforce has been identified as essential to achieving health and wider development objectives, challenges with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce persist. In the UK, there are notable shortages across a wide range of National Health Service (NHS) staff groups, with a high staff turnover indicating retention issues in the healthcare workforce. In addition, gaps exist in understanding the root cause of individual organisation's workforce deficiencies and how their practice environment factors interact to impact workforce recruitment and retention.

Methods and analysis: An exploratory mixed-methods approach will be conducted to investigate the impact of organisational practice environment factors on healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in two Integrated Care Systems (ICS) in the East of England. We will conduct an online survey of newly qualified and established nurses and allied health professionals using a questionnaire adapted from two validated instruments. Multi-level linear regression models will be fitted to evaluate the association between organisational practice environmental factors and staff recruitment and retention. The qualitative interviews will explore the experiences and perspectives of staff and senior leaders to explain the survey results and any significant associations therein. Also, the interviews will explore how to strengthen the partnership between higher education institutions, Health Education England, health

and care service providers, NHS nursing and allied health professional staff to enhance recruiting and retaining staff. An exploratory inductive coding and analysis will follow Braun and Clarke's recommendations to generate key themes from transcribed interview data.

Ethics and dissemination: Ethical approval has been obtained through the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number: RETH(S)22/051). Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

**Keywords:** health-workforce, organisational aspect, turnover, retention, recruitment

Word Count: 2977

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study will provide new insight into the relationship between turnover intention and organisational practice environment in nurses and allied health professionals in the East Anglia region.
- The study combines qualitative and quantitative research methods to gain a grounded, multifaceted perspective on the issue of workforce recruitment and retention.
- By including healthcare workers and senior leaders, our study will approach the organisational practice environment issues from different perspectives.
- Due to the scope of the research, the study sample will be limited to the East of England. Including participants from other regions may provide a more representative perspective of healthcare workforce issues in England. One limitation of our proposed study is its exclusive focus on nurses and allied health professionals. This limitation arises because the experiences and practice environment factors affecting doctors and other healthcare professionals may differ. However, it's important to note that our findings will remain highly relevant to the broader UK healthcare workforce, as nurses and allied health professionals constitute a significant majority (38.48%) of the workforce.

### INTRODUCTION

Appropriate staffing and skill mix are crucial determinants of performance and quality in healthcare delivery. Although the sustainability of the health workforce has been identified as essential to achieving health and wider development objectives(1), a challenge with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce persists globally (2). Countries at all socioeconomic development levels face workforce development, recruitment, and retention issues (1). Evidence from European countries, including the UK, suggests an increase in healthcare staff quitting their posts, from 5% to 17%, over three years (3). In the UK, a high staff turnover, an indicator of retention issues in the healthcare workforce, persists (2).

There are notable shortages across a wide range of National Health Service (NHS) staff groups in the UK; the most challenging include nurses and allied health professionals (AHPs), who are critical to delivering aspirations for 21st-century care set out in the NHS Long-Term Plan (4,5). AHPs are a diverse group of professionals who provide various high-quality care across health and social care pathways. About 230,000 AHPs in 14 professions (including paramedics, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and dieticians, among others) worked independently across the spectrum of care from primary to specialist care provision in the UK in 2021 (6). Recently, there has been a decline in previous achievements to increase the FTE number of allied health professionals in the UK (7). A similar trend is seen across the NHS workforce, including the nursing staff.

The Nursing and Midwifery Council's register recorded that 6.5% of nurses left the register between 2012 and 2013 (8). Most of those who left were of active service age; only 1.2% of registered nurses left due to retirement. However, nurses quitting rates may be underreported given that some leave work while still registered, and some take up shift jobs within the NHS away from direct clinical care (9).

Studies have identified structural factors implicated in nurses/AHP workforce recruitment and retention. For instance, pay level has been reported as important in nurses/AHP workforce retention (10). An interplay exists between the cost of living and nurses/AHP workforce recruitment and retention in the UK. For example, the Royal College of Nursing members in England, Northern Ireland and Wales held strike

actions in late 2022 and early 2023 on pay dispute, worsened by the current cost of living crisis (11).

Evidence from UK studies suggests that the COVID-19 pandemic further exacerbated nurses/AHP workforce recruitment and retention issues. COVID-19 infection among frontline staff, difficult working conditions, increased workload, and burnout are reported COVID-19-related factors exacerbating healthcare workforce challenges (12–14).

Despite the focus and investment by the Department of Health and Social Care in the workforce(15,16), there remain considerable challenges in filling the roles required across both sectors to meet the demands. Many approaches have been explored to provide the most appropriate solutions; however, overwhelming challenges must be overcome to improve staff retention across Integrated Care Systems.

Recruitment and retention issues affect different aspects of health and care services. A high turnover and shortage of nurses and AHPs impact care quality, patient outcomes, and the cost of healthcare delivery (2,17,18). Studies have shown that a crucial time for the turnover of nurses is during the first year post-qualification (3,19,20). Psychological issues, including anxiety and stress due to work pressure in the early days of work, are common reasons for quitting among newly qualified nurses (NQNs) (21). Some studies suggest that professionals, such as nurses, often feel ill-prepared for their roles and quit their profession; lack of self-confidence has been implicated in performance rate, stress levels, and the difference between expectations and reality (22). Negative experiences during clinical placements, such as a safe learning environment and lack of health and well-being support from colleagues, can also influence NQNs negatively (23). Understanding the organisational practice environment importance in NQNs' decision on employment within the sector is essential in reducing turnover and increasing retention.

Compared to retention, there is a lack of studies focusing on the factors affecting the recruitment of nurses and AHPs. These few studies mostly focus on international recruitment. For instance, according to a report by Nuffield Trust, the pull factors for moving and working as a nurse in the UK include better pay, career opportunities, improved working conditions, and long-term financial stability (24). In terms of factors

affecting the recruitment of AHPs, a scoping review revealed that the opportunity to help people was a key motivation compared to financially based motivations. The same study also identified the lack of awareness of the profession as the main barrier to choosing a career in AHP (25).

With the emergence of integrated care systems (ICS) in England, there is an increasing need to upskill and consolidate services across systems to provide enhanced integration of health and social care rather than just individual institutions (26). In addition, given the unprecedented challenges facing the NHS, systems need healthcare professionals to work differently to meet the needs of the growing number of people with complex and long-term conditions, many of whom rely on care and support from different services (26). An appropriate number of the multi-professional healthcare workforce is needed to deliver the required care.

Although studies have suggested strategies, such as leadership initiatives (27) improvements in technology (28), and incentives (29), to address workforce recruitment and retention challenges, a gap still exists in the understanding of individual organisations' root cause of these deficiencies or insight as to how they relate to each other or how organisations go about implementing the appropriate strategies to manage and sustain the quality-of-care delivery.

Advancing an understanding of the elements that provide an environment for growth and job satisfaction among nurses and AHPs will inform innovative strategies to recruit and retain healthcare workers in the UK. In addressing this, the proposed study will adopt an exploratory mixed-method approach in investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in two ICS in the East Anglia region of England. Partnering with local providers, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), NHS, and nursing and AHPs staff, we will explore elements of organisational practice environments that contribute to recruitment and retention in the Suffolk and North-East Essex (SNEE) ICS and the Norfolk and Waveney (NW) ICS.

### Research questions

 What factors affect healthcare recruitment, and retention in the United Kingdom? 

- What elements of organisational practice environments are associated with the intention to take up employment in healthcare among newly qualified professionals in the East Anglia region?
- What elements of organisational practice environments are associated with the retention of existing healthcare staff in the East Anglia region?
- Can strengthening the partnership between HEIs, NHS, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and AHPs staff contribute to addressing practice environmental factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention?

### **METHODS**

A sequential explanatory mixed methods design will be adopted in the proposed study. This involves an ordered combination of quantitative and qualitative research approaches to achieve a breadth and depth of understanding and corroboration/validation of results from both elements (30). The methodological orientation for the mixed methods will be a quantitative survey  $\rightarrow$  qualitative interviews; this order will advance an understanding of the mechanisms behind any association from the survey.

We systematically reviewed existing literature (research question (RQ) 1) to integrate findings on the factors that impact healthcare recruitment and retention in the United Kingdom into the survey. The review results informed the adaptation of validated measurement instruments (questionnaires) for the survey.

While the survey will enable us to establish associations and patterns concerning organisational environments implicated in recruitment and retention, the interviews will explain the associations, especially the mechanism of impact therein. In addition, the outcome of the survey will inform the qualitative investigation. For instance, the interview guides will be designed to include questions exploring significant associations from the statistical models. Also, unexpected patterns in the results (such as no significant association where expected, an opposite direction of the association, among others) will be further explored in the interviews to help interpret their meaning and importance.

For this study, newly qualified healthcare professionals will be used to refer to those within one year of employment post-qualification; healthcare professionals with more than one-year post-qualification experience in their area of practice will be referred to as established/retained.

# **Phase 1: Quantitative strand**

The quantitative strand will involve the analysis of primary data from a cross-sectional survey.

### Data

An online survey will be launched in the second half of 2023 to collect data from newly qualified and established nurses and Allied Health professionals on organisational practice environments implicated in their job recruitment and retention. The survey link will be live for three weeks. The questionnaire will be hosted online at Questionpro (31), restricting access to one response per device. The survey link will be primarily distributed through gatekeepers (practice educators working in organisations within Suffolk and North East Essex, and Norfolk and Waveney Integrated Care Boards (ICBs), these organisations will include: East Suffolk & North East Essex NHS Foundation Trust (ESNEFT), West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust (WSFT), Norfolk & Norwich University NHS Foundation Trust (NNUHT), Norfolk & Suffolk Foundation Trust (NSFT), Norfolk Community Health and Care NHS Trust (NCH&C), and East Coast Community Healthcare (ECCH)) via email correspondence. Reminders and constant communications with gatekeepers to follow up on non-responders will be used to reduce the risk of attrition bias in the survey.

# Measurement instrument

Data for the survey will be collected using a questionnaire adapted from the validated instruments from existing studies on organisational practice environment, support mechanisms, placement cycle, supervisory capacity, compassionate pedagogy, transition to the registrant, and general infrastructure. Based on the preliminary review conducted so far, questionnaires will include items from the Turnover Intention Scale (TIS) (32) and the SCORE questionnaire: Assessment of your work setting Safety, Communication, Operational Reliability, and Engagement (33). The SCORE survey

assesses the perception of employees/employers about their organisation. It includes 73 items measuring 12 domains constituting three subscales: Safety Culture, Work-Balance, and Engagement and Burnout; the instrument has good internal reliability ranging from  $\alpha$ =0.82 to  $\alpha$ =0.94.

TIS assesses employees' turnover intention by measuring 15 items, including statements about their frequency of seeking alternative jobs and considering leaving. The midpoint of the scale is 18 (3 x 6). If the total score is below 18, it indicates a desire to stay. If the scores are above 18, it suggests a desire to leave the organisation. Bothma and Roodt (32) reported a reliability coefficient of  $\alpha$  =0.80 for the TIS.

These scales have been used in the field of organisational psychology to show the relationship between turnover intention and job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and social support (34–36). We obtained written permissions to use both scales from the developers.

The adapted questionnaire for the proposed study, which contains 88 items, will be self-administered (see appendix 1 for a sample of the questionnaire). To ensure validity following adaptation, the questionnaire will be piloted using 10 participants who meet the inclusion criteria. These participants will be similar to the target participants for the survey. The pilot study will consider respondents' perception of the questions, question construct (including the appropriateness of the format and wording of questionnaires), and the questionnaire's ability to collect relevant data to answer research questions 2 and 3.

# Variables

The predictors will be variables on opportunities for growth and advancement, workload, teamwork climate, participation in decision-making, and burnout climate (all Likert scale measures) as indicators of organisational practice environments. The outcome variables will differ for the separate models to test RQs 2&3. For RQ2, the outcomes will be variables on the intention of newly qualified healthcare practitioners to take up or stay in new employment; while for RQ3, the outcomes will include a continuous variable on the number of years established healthcare professionals have remained in employment/practice, intention to leave their current practice and job

satisfaction. Confounders will include participant age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location.

# Sample size calculation

We used the formula below to calculate the sample size of 374 for the survey (37,38). We will target to achieve a balanced number of newly qualified and established nurses and AHPs in our sample.

Sample size =  $\frac{N*X}{X+N-1}$ 

Where:  $X = Z_{\alpha}/2^{2} * P (1 - P) / MOE^{2}$ 

P=Proportion of sample

MOE= Margin of error

N=Population size (using 14395 as the estimated population of nurses and AHPs in the target organisations within the two ICBs as at the first quarter of 2023)(39,40)

 $Z-(\alpha/2) = 1.96$ 

# Handling missing data

The rate of missing values in the dataset will be assessed to determine the pattern of missingness. Suppose values are missing at random (that is, participant characteristics do not determine missingness), we will conduct a multiple imputation to minimise bias and retain all observed values in the dataset (41). Results will be averaged across ten imputed datasets.

### Statistical analysis

To explore the relationships in RQs 2&3, we will conduct separate multi-level linear regression models for each outcome (newly qualified staff intention to stay and established staff retention) to explore their association with organisational practice environment measures (opportunities for growth and advancement, workload, teamwork climate, participation in decision-making, burnout climate), controlling for confounders (age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location). In addition, the multi-level model will account for the fact that healthcare professionals are nested within organisations, allowing the evaluation of between-

and within-individual practitioner effects. We will perform Bonferroni corrections by dividing alpha-level by the number of comparisons.

All analyses will be performed on Stata statistical software version SE 17.

# Sensitivity analysis

To ensure the imputation of missing values does not introduce bias in the dataset, a complete case analysis will be conducted to test for consistency with the results from the main analyses with the imputed dataset.

### Phase 2: Qualitative strand

In the qualitative strand, we will seek to understand organisational practice environment factors associated with the recruitment and retention of the health workforce. The interviews will explore the experiences and perspectives of newly qualified and established nurses, allied health professionals, and senior leaders within the NHS ICBs, including education and workforce. In addition, this phase will contribute to answering RQs 2&3 by advancing an understanding of the associations established in phase 1. Also, we will use the interviews to explore how to strengthen the partnership between HEIs, NHS England, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and AHPs staff to address practice environmental factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention (RQ3).

### Sampling technique and recruitment

Newly qualified and established nurses, allied health professionals, and senior leaders within the NHS ICBs, including education and workforce leads with experiences relevant to the study, will be purposively sampled (42). We will recruit newly qualified staff members which will help us to better understand the experience of transition from a student (pre-employment) to employment. The characteristics of the interview participants will be informed by the survey results, ensuring those reporting specific experiences in the survey are interviewed for interpretation and clarification. The nurses and allied health professionals will be drawn from those who agreed to participate in the semi-structured interviews when they completed the initial questionnaire.

### Sample size

To achieve content validity (43), the sample size will be determined after the conduct of the interviews; the experiences and perspectives of participants will be explored until saturation is achieved (44). We anticipate achieving saturation within 11 interviews.

### Data collection

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted to explore and compare the experiences of healthcare professionals and senior leaders within different organisations on supporting, developing, securing and retaining the healthcare workforce. Given the sequential explanatory mixed methods design, interview questions cannot be finalised at this stage as these will depend on the survey results.

The interviews will be conducted in English via a virtual platform or face-to-face, depending on the participants' preferences, by three researchers (EA, NC and PA) with extensive experience in conducting qualitative interviews.

# Data analysis

Interview audio records will be transcribed verbatim and analysed alongside any field notes using the thematic analysis method. Inductive coding and analysis will be conducted per Braun & Clarke's recommendations to generate key themes from the interview data (45). With the inductive approach to coding, we expect emerging codes to be strongly related to the dataset, identifying new concepts and constructs therein (46). Themes will be developed from clusters of linked codes of similar construct and meaning. Codes will be considered for relevance and how they connect and interact with one another. The data will be interpreted at different levels: within and between individual interviews (data units). Emerging themes will be frequently discussed among the research team and collaborators in the project. To ensure trustworthiness and rigour of the data collection, we will share the raw transcripts with the participants and seek their feedback to validate the accuracy and interpretation of their responses. We will use a thematic analysis framework developed by Richie and Spencer (47) at the national centre for social research UK to critically examine and code the data which will provide multiple perspectives to be considered and help ensure the accuracy of

our interpretations (48). Finally, we will maintain consistency in coding by establishing clear definitions, and regular team meetings to resolve coding discrepancies.

Planned Start and End Dates: July 2023- to June 2023

### **Patient and Public Involvement**

None

### **DISCUSSION**

We will adopt an integrated interpretation of the quantitative and qualitative strands' results. Methodological triangulation and an integrated approach in discussing the proposed research results will provide a more in-depth understanding of study findings and clarify contrasting findings by discussing them comparatively (49).

Studies of other populations have reported some organisational practice environment factors associated with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce. For example, a recent study in Ghana showed that the work practice environment, including nursephysician relations and nurse-manager leadership, affected registered nurses' turnover intention, and the burnout level of nurses mediated this (50). Smokrović et al. found that job satisfaction and absenteeism were the direct predictors of turnover intention in a Croatian registered nurse population (51). They also showed that amotivation, identified regulation, intrinsic motivation, and nurse manager ability, leadership and support of nurses were indirect predictors of turnover intention mediated by job satisfaction. Another crucial organisational practice environment factor in workforce recruitment identified in the existing literature is support for newly qualified staff (52,53). These findings demonstrate the need to understand how organisational practice environments shape staff experiences and employment decisions. Our proposed study will provide new insight into how region-specific organisational factors could affect the recruitment and retention of the health workforce.

Based on our results, we will develop a conceptual framework to visually present the relationship pathways between the organisational practice environment and healthcare recruitment and retention. The framework will show how organisational

structures interact in influencing outcomes; this will be a valuable resource for designing strategies to address current issues with the healthcare workforce in the East Anglia region.

### Ethics, data management and dissemination

The proposed study has been approved by the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number RETH(S)22/051). Before participating in both phases of the study, participants will be provided with an information sheet, and written informed consent will be obtained. Confidentiality will be assured to all participants throughout the study. All data will be anonymised. Interview and survey data will be securely stored on a password-protected device accessible to only the research team. After five years following the final day of the study, all data copies will be securely deleted or shredded.

While the project leadership and research governance will be undertaken by two authors who are experienced academic leads based at the University of Suffolk (PA and NC), strategic oversight will be provided by a steering group of workforce leaders across the SNEE and NW ICS'.

Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

Our study does not require an Integrated Research Application System/Health Research Authority (IRAS/HRA) approval as it primarily involves interviews and surveys with NHS staff which does not include any clinical interventions, patient data, or direct access to patient records. On the contrary, our focus is on the staff's experience working in healthcare organisations. Given the non-clinical nature of our study and the absence of patient-related data, our research is considered low risk and falls within the category of service evaluations or staff-related studies, which typically do not require IRAS/HRA approval. In addition, we have also run the "Do I need NHS REC review algorithm" with details of our study, and the system also confirmed that an NHS ethics approval is not needed for the study.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Health Education England and the steering committee members (Dr Paul Driscoll-Evans, Mr Graham Seward and Professor Lynne Wigens).

Author contributions

Study Design: EA, PA, NB. Proposal Preparation: EA, PA, NB. Prior to submission, all authors read and have given approval for the final manuscript.

**Funding** 

This study was funded initially by Health Education England (RD22061) now merged with NHS England.

Competing Interest

None declared.

Ethics Approval

Ethical approval has been obtained through the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number: RETH(S)22/051). Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

### References

- World Health Organization. Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030 [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 Jan 12]. Available from: file:///C:/Users/e1005260/Downloads/9789241511131-eng.pdf
- Zurn P, Dolea C, Stilwell B. Nurse retention and recruitment: developing a motivated workforce. The Global Nursing Review Initiative [Internet]. 2005 Jan 1;(4). Available from: file:///C:/Users/e1005260/Downloads/Nurse\_Retention\_and\_Recruitment\_Developing\_ a\_Motiv.pdf
- 3. Brook J, Aitken L, Webb R, MacLaren J, Salmon D. Characteristics of successful interventions to reduce turnover and increase retention of early career nurses: A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2019 Mar 1;91:47–59.

- 4. NHS. NHS Long Term Plan [Internet]. NHS; 2019 [cited 2023 Jan 13]. Available from: https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/nhs-long-term-plan-version-1.2.pdf
- 5. Alderwick H, Dixon J. The NHS long term plan. BMJ. 2019 Jan 7;364:184.
- 6. NHS Improvement, NHS England. Allied health professions supporting patient flow: a quick guide [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2023 Jan 13]. Available from: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20210415190705/https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/allied-health-professions-ahps-supporting-patient-flow/
- 7. Buchan J, Charlesworth A, Gershlick B, Seccombe I. A critical moment: NHS staffing trends, retention and attrition. London: Health Foundation. 2019;
- 8. Health Education England. Growing nursing numbers: Literature review on nurses leaving the NHS. 2014;
- 9. Ford M. 'Even more' nurses considering quitting the profession, survey reveals. Nursing Times [Internet]. 2020 Jul 17 [cited 2023 Jan 13]; Available from: https://www.nursingtimes.net/news/workforce/even-more-nurses-considering-quitting-the-profession-survey-reveals-17-07-2020/
- Bimpong KAA, Khan A, Slight R, Tolley CL, Slight SP. Relationship between labour force satisfaction, wages and retention within the UK National Health Service: a systematic review of the literature. BMJ Open. 2020 Jul 21;10(7):e034919.
- 11. Helm T, Ungoed-Thomas J. Nurses pledge tougher new strikes as NHS crisis deepens. The Observer [Internet]. 2022 Dec 17 [cited 2023 Jan 13]; Available from: https://www.theguardian.com/society/2022/dec/17/nurses-pledge-tougher-new-strikes-as-nhs-crisis-deepens
- 12. Erdem H, Lucey DR. Healthcare worker infections and deaths due to COVID-19: A survey from 37 nations and a call for WHO to post national data on their website. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021 Jan 1;102:239–41.
- 13. Foye U, Dalton-Locke C, Harju-Seppänen J, Lane R, Beames L, Vera San Juan N, et al. How has COVID-19 affected mental health nurses and the delivery of mental health nursing care in the UK? Results of a mixed-methods study. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing. 2021;28(2):126–37.
- 14. Wood E, King R, Senek M, Robertson S, Taylor B, Tod A, et al. UK advanced practice nurses' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2021 Mar 1;11(3):e044139.
- 15. Department of Health and Social Care. Workforce Recruitment and Retention Fund for adult social care [Internet]. Department of Health and Social Care; 2022. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/workforce-recruitment-and-retention-fund-for-adult-social-care/workforce-recruitment-and-retention-fund-for-adult-social-care

- 16. House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. Workforce: recruitment, training and retention in health and social care. Third Report of Session 2022–23 [Internet]. 2022. Available from: chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://committees.parliament.uk/publi cations/23246/documents/171671/default/
- 17. Audit Scotland. NHS in Scotland 2017 [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/publications/nhs-in-scotland-2017
- 18. Kapur N, Ibrahim S, While D, Baird A, Rodway C, Hunt IM, et al. Mental health service changes, organisational factors, and patient suicide in England in 1997–2012: a before-and-after study. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2016 Jun 1;3(6):526–34.
- 19. Salt J, Cummings GG, Profetto-McGrath J. Increasing Retention of New Graduate Nurses: A Systematic Review of Interventions by Healthcare Organizations. JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration. 2008 Jun;38(6):287.
- 20. Zhang Y, Qian Y, Wu J, Wen F, Zhang Y. The effectiveness and implementation of mentoring program for newly graduated nurses: A systematic review. Nurse Education Today. 2016 Feb 1;37:136–44.
- 21. Wu TY, Fox DP, Stokes C, Adam C. Work-related stress and intention to quit in newly graduated nurses. Nurse Educ Today. 2012 Aug;32(6):669–74.
- 22. Eckerson CM. The impact of nurse residency programs in the United States on improving retention and satisfaction of new nurse hires: An evidence-based literature review. Nurse Educ Today. 2018 Dec;71:84–90.
- 23. Weurlander M, Lönn A, Seeberger A, Broberger E, Hult H, Wernerson A. How do medical and nursing students experience emotional challenges during clinical placements? Int J Med Educ. 2018 Mar 27;9:74–82.
- 24. Palmer W, Leone C, Appleby J, Trust N. Recruitment of nurses from overseas: Exploring the factors affecting levels of international recruitment. The Nuffield Trust. 2021;
- 25. Wallis L, Locke R, Ryall S, Harden B. Motivations for choosing an allied health profession career: findings from a scoping review. International Journal of Practice-based Learning in Health and Social Care. 2023;11(1):1–17.
- 26. Kline R. Leadership in the NHS. BMJ Leader [Internet]. 2019 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Jan 13];3(4). Available from: https://bmjleader.bmj.com/content/3/4/129
- 27. Colwell FJ. Leadership Strategies to Improve Nurse Retention [Internet]. Walden University; 2019 [cited 2023 Oct 24]. Available from: https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=8059&context=dissertati ons

- 28. Bhattacharya I, Ramachandran A. A path analysis study of retention of healthcare professionals in urban India using health information technology. Human Resources for Health. 2015 Jul 31;13(1):65.
- 29. Duru DC, Hammoud MS. Identifying effective retention strategies for front-line nurses. Nursing Management [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Oct 24];29(1). Available from: https://journals.rcni.com/nursing-management/evidence-and-practice/identifying-effective-retention-strategies-for-frontline-nurses-nm.2021.e1971/print/abs
- 30. Creswell JW, Clark VLP. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Sage publications; 2017.
- 31. QuestionPro. Question Pro [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Feb 17]. Available from: https://www.questionpro.com/blog/research-design/
- 32. Bothma CFC, Roodt G. The validation of the turnover intention scale: original research. SA Journal of Human Resource Management. 2013 Jan;11(1):1–12.
- 33. Sexton JB, Adair KC, Leonard MW, Frankel TC, Proulx J, Watson SR, et al. Providing feedback following Leadership WalkRounds is associated with better patient safety culture, higher employee engagement and lower burnout. BMJ Qual Saf. 2018 Apr 1;27(4):261–70.
- 34. Oosthuizen RM, Coetzee M, Munro Z. Work-life balance, job satisfaction and turnover intention amongst information technology employees. Southern African Business Review. 2016;20(1):446–67.
- 35. Bonds AA. Employees' organizational commitment and turnover intentions [Internet] [PhD Thesis]. Walden University; 2017 [cited 2023 Oct 19]. Available from: https://search.proquest.com/openview/58b273b383838952dbc3866b58dcee2a/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750
- 36. Chen X, Tang L, Liu L, Bai Z, Chen R. Factors related to turnover intention among staff of elderly caring social organizations in Anhui Province, China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021 Nov 23;21:1265.
- 37. Etikan I, Babtope O. A basic approach in sampling methodology and sample size calculation. Med Life Clin. 2019;1(2):1006.
- 38. Charan J, Biswas T. How to Calculate Sample Size for Different Study Designs in Medical Research? Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine. 2013 Apr;35(2):121–6.
- 39. Suffolk and North East Essex NHS Integrated Care Board (ICB). Celebrating Allied Health Professions Day [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Oct 19]. Available from: https://suffolkandnortheastessex.icb.nhs.uk/news/celebrating-allied-health-professions
  - day/#:~:text=From%20Bury%20St%20Edmunds%20to,of%20life's%20twists%20and%20turns.

- 40. NHS Digital. NHS Workforce Statistics [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Oct 19]. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/nhs-workforce-statistics/january-2023
- 41. Allison PD. Missing data: Quantitative applications in the social sciences. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology. 2002;55(1):193–6.
- 42. Bryman A. Social research methods. Oxford university press; 2016.
- 43. Fusch P, Ness L. Are We There Yet? Data Saturation in Qualitative Research. Walden Faculty and Staff Publications [Internet]. 2015 Feb 1;20(9). Available from: https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/facpubs/455
- 44. Guest G, MacQueen KM, Namey EE. Applied thematic analysis. Sage Publications; 2011.
- 45. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in psychology. 2006;3(2):77–101.
- 46. Patton MQ. Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice. Sage publications; 2014.
- 47. Ritchie J, Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: Analyzing qualitative data [Internet]. Routledge; 2002 [cited 2023 Oct 24]. p. 173–94. Available from:

  https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/odit/download?identifierName=doi8ide.
  - https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780203413081-10&type=chapterpdf
- 48. Ritchie J, Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: Analyzing qualitative data [Internet]. Routledge; 2002 [cited 2023 Oct 19]. p. 173–94. Available from:
  - https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780203413081-10&type=chapterpdf
- 49. Mertens DM, Hesse-Biber S. Triangulation and Mixed Methods Research: Provocative Positions. Journal of Mixed Methods Research. 2012 Apr 1;6(2):75–9.
- 50. Poku CA, Donkor E, Naab F. Impacts of Nursing Work Environment on Turnover Intentions: The Mediating Role of Burnout in Ghana. Nurs Res Pract. 2022 Feb 27;2022:1310508.
- 51. Smokrović E, Kizivat T, Bajan A, Šolić K, Gvozdanović Z, Farčić N, et al. A Conceptual Model of Nurses' Turnover Intention. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Jul 5;19(13):8205.
- 52. Jarden RJ, Jarden A, Weiland TJ, Taylor G, Brockenshire N, Gerdtz M. Registered Nurses' experiences of psychological well-being and ill-being in their first year of practice: A qualitative meta-synthesis. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2021;77(3):1172–87.
- 53. Freeman M, Baumann A, Akhtar-Danesh N, Blythe J, Fisher A. Employment goals, expectations, and migration intentions of nursing graduates in a Canadian border city: a mixed methods study. Int J Nurs Stud. 2012 Dec;49(12):1531–43.

 

#### **QUESTIONNAIRE\***

## \*Most of items removed because of the Copyright Issue.

Study title: Securing and retaining a local workforce: An exploration of the elements that provide an environment for growth across two integrated health systems

Instruction: The questionnaire is divided into three sections. All questions are multi-choice; please choose the response that best applies to you and your experience

## **Section 1: Demographics**

This section asks demographic questions.

## 1) Please indicate your professional qualification

- a. Certificate
- b. Undergraduate
- c. Postgraduate
- d. PhD
- e. Other
- f. NA

## 2) To which staff group do you belong?

- a. Nursing/Midwife (NMC Registered)
- b. Nursing/Midwife (N/As HCA, SHCA, Student, etc.)
- c. Qualified AHP (Art therapists, Drama therapists, Music therapists, Podiatrists, Dietitians, Occupational therapists, Operating department practitioners, Orthoptists, Osteopaths, Paramedics, Physiotherapists, Prosthetists and orthotists, Radiographers, Speech and language therapists, etc.)
- d. Senior Manager
- e. Other
- f. NA

#### 3) What is your gender?

- a. Male
- b. Female
- c. Rather not say
- d. Other

#### 4) What is your age?

5) What is your ethnicity?

- a. Asian
- b. Black British
- c. Black or African American
- d. Caucasian or White
- e. Multiracial
- f. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

- g. White British
- h. Other
- i. Prefer not to say
- 6) What is the highest level of education you have completed?
- a. CSE
- b. OLevel
- c. GCSE
- d. A Level
- e. Bachelor's degree
- f. Master's degree
- g. Doctoral degree
- h. Other
- i. NA
- 7) Which nursing field would you like to work in (for newly qualified nurses only)?
- a. Adult nursing
- b. children's nursing
- c. learning disabilities nursing
- d. mental health nursing
- e. Other
- f. NA
- 8) Which nursing field do you work in (for established nurses only)?
- a. Adult nursing
- b. children's nursing
- c. learning disabilities nursing
- d. mental health nursing
- e. Other
- f. NA
- 9) Which region do you work in?
- a. East of England
- b. London
- c. Midlands
- d. North East and Yorkshire
- e. North West
- f. South East
- g. South West
- h. Other
- i. NA
- 10) How many years of experience do you have in your field?

.....

## Section 2: Turnover intention scale (TIS)

This section explores your experience of your current job and the extent to which you intend to stay at the organisation. Please read each question and indicate your response using the scale provided:

During the past 9 months...

1	How often have you considered leaving yourjob?	Never	15	Always
2	How satisfying is your job in fulfilling yourpersonal needs?	Very satisfying	15	Totally dissatisfying
3	How often are you frustrated when not giventhe opportunity to achieve your personal work-related goals at work?	Never	15	Always
4	How often are your personal values at workcompromised?	Never	15	Always
5	How often do you consider getting another job that suits your needs better?	Never	15	Always
6	How likely are you to accept another job at the same compensation level should it be offered to you?	Highly unlikely	15	Highly likely
7	How often do you look forward to another day at work?	Always	15	Never
8		Never	15	Always
9R		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
10R		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
11		Never	15	All of the time
12		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
13R		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
14	How frequently do you scan the internet or other sources for alternative job opportunities?	Never	15	All of the time

Section 3: Assessment of your work setting Safety, Communication, Operational Reliability, and Engagement (SCORE)

You will be asked questions on organisational practice environment, your specific unit or clinical experience and expertise. Choose your responses using the scale below:

Α	В	С	D	E				Χ		
Disagree Strongly	Disagree Slightly	Neutral	Agree Slightly	Agree Strongly		Not	Ар	plica	ble	
	Improv	ement Readine	ss (Practice Enviror	ment)						
The practice enviror who work here.	nment in this work	setting utilises i	nput/suggestions f	rom the people	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
The practice environ of what we do.	ment in this work se	tting effectively	fixes defects to imp	prove the quality	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
The practice environ we do well.	ment in this work se	tting allows us t	o gain important in	sights into what	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
The practice environ	ment in this work se	etting is protecto	ed by our local mar	agement.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
		Local L	eadership							
In this work setting,	local management is				Α	В	С	D	Е	Χ
		7								
In this work setting, performance.	local management	communicates t	their expectations	to me about my	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Х
	Bu	rnout Climate a	nd Personal Burno	ut						
Events in this work sunhealthy way.	etting affect the live	s of people here	e in an emotionally		Α	В	С	D	E	Х
		Teamwo	ork Climate							
Disagreements in the what is best for the	_	appropriately r	esolved (i.e., not <i>v</i>	vho is right, but	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Х
In this work setting,	it is difficult to speal	up if I perceive	a problem with pa	itient care.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
It is easy for person understand.	inel here to ask que	stions when th	ere is something t	hat they do not	Α	В	С	D	E	Х

The people here from different disciplines/backgrounds work together as a well-coordinated team.	. А	В	С	D	E	Х
Safety Climate						
My suggestions about quality would be acted upon if I expressed them to management.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
Regarding growth opportunities in this work setting, I have						
opportunities for personal growth/development.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
influence in decisions about work activity timelines.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
Regarding the workload in this work setting, I have too much work to do.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
too mach work to do.						X
						<u>^</u> X
						X
						X
Regarding participatory decision-making at work						
the decision-making process is clear to me.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
it is clear to whom should address specific problems.	Α	В	С	D		Χ
I can discuss work problems with my direct supervisor/ physician leadership.	A	B B	C	D D		X
I can participate in decisions about the nature of my work.	A			D	E	
I have a direct influence on my organisation's decisions.  Regarding job-related uncertainty about the future in this work setting.	A	В	С	ט	<u> </u>	X
I am certain I will still be working here in one year.	<b>ів</b> А	В	С	D	E	X
I am certain I will keep my current job next year.	A	В	С	D	E	
I am certain that I will keep the same functional level as currently.	A	В	С	D	E	
Regarding advancement in this organisation	-	-				-
	Α	В	С	D	Е	v

this organisation pays good salaries.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
I am paid enough for the work I do.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
With respect to my intentions to leave this organisation						
I would like to find a better job.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Х
I often think about leaving this job.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
I have plans to leave this job within 1 yr.	Α	В	С	D	E	X

Α	В		С	D			Х		
Rarely or none of	Some or a little	Occa	asionally or a	All of the time				ot	
the time	of the time		erate amount	(5-7 days)		Αp	plic	abl	e
(less than 1 day)	(1-2 days)	of ti	<b>me</b> (3-4 days)						
Skipped a meal	A B C D	Χ	Had difficulty s	sleeping	Α	В	С	D	Х



# **BMJ Open**

## Investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention: A mixed methods study protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-074100.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Dec-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Alkan, Erkan; University of Suffolk Cushen-Brewster, Noreen; University of Suffolk Anyanwu, Philip; University of Suffolk
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nursing
Keywords:	Health policy < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT, Nursing Care, Organisation of health services < HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention: A mixed methods study protocol

Erkan Alkan<sup>1\*</sup>, Noreen Cushen-Brewster<sup>1</sup>, Philip Anyanwu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, UK

\*Corresponding Author, Full Address: Institute of Health and Well-being, University of Suffolk, Waterfront Building, 19 Neptune Quay, Ipswich IP4 1QJ, UK, phone: +441473338357, email: erkan.alkan@open.ac.uk

Noreen Cushen-Brewster: email: n.cushen-brewster2@uos.ac.uk

Philip Anyanwu: email: p.anyanwu2@uos.ac.uk

## **Abstract**

**Introduction:** Although the sustainability of the health workforce has been identified as essential to achieving health and wider development objectives, challenges with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce persist. In the UK, there are notable shortages across a wide range of National Health Service (NHS) staff groups, with a high staff turnover indicating retention issues in the healthcare workforce. In addition, gaps exist in understanding the root cause of individual organisation's workforce deficiencies and how their practice environment factors interact to impact workforce recruitment and retention.

Methods and analysis: An exploratory mixed-methods approach will be conducted to investigate the impact of organisational practice environment factors on healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in two Integrated Care Systems (ICS) in the East of England. We will conduct an online survey of newly qualified and established nurses and allied health professionals using a questionnaire adapted from two validated instruments. Our calculation suggests a sample size of 373 participants; we will aim to surpass this in our recruitment to strengthen the statistical analyses. Multi-level linear regression models will be fitted to evaluate the association between organisational practice environmental factors and staff recruitment and retention. The qualitative interviews will explore the experiences and perspectives of staff and senior leaders to explain the survey results and any significant associations therein. Also, the

interviews will explore how to strengthen the partnership between higher education institutions, Health Education England, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and allied health professional staff to enhance recruiting and retaining staff. An exploratory inductive coding and analysis will follow Braun & Clarke's recommendations to generate key themes from transcribed interview data.

**Ethics and dissemination:** Ethical approval has been obtained through the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number: RETH(S)22/051). Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

**Keywords:** health-workforce, organisational aspect, turnover, retention, recruitment

Word Count: 2977

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study will provide new insight into the relationship between turnover intention and organisational practice environment in nurses and allied health professionals in the East Anglia region.
- The study combines qualitative and quantitative research methods to gain a grounded, multifaceted perspective on the issue of workforce recruitment and retention.
- By including healthcare workers and senior leaders, our study will approach the organisational practice environment issues from different perspectives.
- The study is confined to the East of England, and while focusing exclusively
  on nurses and allied health professionals may limit the generalisation of
  findings to other healthcare professions, it remains relevant to the broader UK
  healthcare workforce due to the substantial representation of these groups
  (38.48%).

## INTRODUCTION

Appropriate staffing and skill mix are crucial determinants of performance and quality in healthcare delivery. Although the sustainability of the health workforce has been

identified as essential to achieving health and wider development objectives[1], a challenge with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce persists globally[2]. Countries at all socioeconomic development levels face workforce development, recruitment, and retention issues[1]. Evidence from European countries, including the UK, suggests an increase in healthcare staff quitting their posts, from 5% to 17%, over three years[3]. In the UK, a high staff turnover, an indicator of retention issues in the healthcare workforce, persists[2].

There are notable shortages across a wide range of National Health Service (NHS) staff groups in the UK; the most challenging include nurses and allied health professionals (AHPs), who are critical to delivering aspirations for 21st-century care set out in the NHS Long-Term Plan[4,5]. AHPs are a diverse group of professionals who provide various high-quality care across health and social care pathways. About 230,000 AHPs in 14 professions (including paramedics, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and dieticians, among others) worked independently across the spectrum of care from primary to specialist care provision in the UK in 2021[6]. Recently, there has been a decline in previous achievements to increase the FTE number of allied health professionals in the UK[7]. A similar trend is seen across the NHS workforce, including the nursing staff.

The Nursing and Midwifery Council's register recorded that 6.5% of nurses left the register between 2012 and 2013[8]. Most of those who left were of active service age; only 1.2% of registered nurses left due to retirement. However, nurses quitting rates may be underreported given that some leave work while still registered, and some take up shift jobs within the NHS away from direct clinical care[9].

Studies have identified structural factors implicated in nurses/AHP workforce recruitment and retention. For instance, pay level has been reported as important in nurses/AHP workforce retention[10]. An interplay exists between the cost of living and nurses/AHP workforce recruitment and retention in the UK. For example, the Royal College of Nursing members in England, Northern Ireland and Wales held strike actions in late 2022 and early 2023 on pay dispute, worsened by the current cost of living crisis[11].

Evidence from UK studies suggests that the COVID-19 pandemic further exacerbated nurses/AHP workforce recruitment and retention issues. COVID-19 infection among frontline staff, difficult working conditions, increased workload, and burnout are reported COVID-19-related factors exacerbating healthcare workforce challenges[12–14].

Despite the focus and investment by the Department of Health and Social Care in the workforce[15,16], there remain considerable challenges in filling the roles required across both sectors to meet the demands. Many approaches have been explored to provide the most appropriate solutions; however, overwhelming challenges must be overcome to improve staff retention across Integrated Care Systems.

Recruitment and retention issues affect different aspects of health and care services. A high turnover and shortage of nurses and AHPs impact care quality, patient outcomes, and the cost of healthcare delivery[2,17,18]. Studies have shown that a crucial time for the turnover of nurses is during the first year post-qualification[3,19,20]. Psychological issues, including anxiety and stress due to work pressure in the early days of work, are common reasons for quitting among newly qualified nurses (NQNs)[21]. Some studies suggest that professionals, such as nurses, often feel ill-prepared for their roles and quit their profession; lack of self-confidence has been implicated in performance rate, stress levels, and the difference between expectations and reality[22]. Negative experiences during clinical placements, such as a safe learning environment and lack of health and well-being support from colleagues, can also influence NQNs negatively[23]. Understanding the organisational practice environment importance in NQNs' decision on employment within the sector is essential in reducing turnover and increasing retention.

Compared to retention, there is a lack of studies focusing on the factors affecting the recruitment of nurses and AHPs. These few studies mostly focus on international recruitments. For instance, according to a report by Nuffield Trust, the pull factors for moving and working as a nurse in the UK include better pay, career opportunities, improved working conditions, and long-term financial stability[24]. In terms of factors affecting the recruitment of AHPs, a scoping review revealed that the opportunity to help people was a key motivation compared to financially based motivations. The

same study also identified the lack of awareness of the profession as the main barrier to choosing a career in AHP[25].

With the emergence of integrated care systems (ICS) in England, there is an increasing need to upskill and consolidate services across systems to provide enhanced integration of health and social care rather than just individual institutions[26]. In addition, given the unprecedented challenges facing the NHS, systems need healthcare professionals to work differently to meet the needs of the growing number of people with complex and long-term conditions, many of whom rely on care and support from different services[26]. An appropriate number of the multi-professional healthcare workforce is needed to deliver the required care.

Although studies have suggested strategies, such as leadership initiatives[27] improvements in technology[28], and incentives[29], to address workforce recruitment and retention challenges, a gap still exists in the understanding of individual organisations' root cause of these deficiencies or insight as to how they relate to each other or how organisations go about implementing the appropriate strategies to manage and sustain the quality-of-care delivery.

Advancing an understanding of the elements that provide an environment for growth and job satisfaction among nurses and AHPs will inform innovative strategies to recruit and retain healthcare workers in the UK. In addressing this, the proposed study will adopt an exploratory mixed-method approach in investigating healthcare workforce recruitment and retention in two ICS in the East Anglia region of England. Partnering with local providers, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), NHS, and nursing and AHPs staff, we will explore elements of organisational practice environments that contribute to recruitment and retention in the Suffolk and North-East Essex (SNEE) ICS and the Norfolk and Waveney (NW) ICS.

#### Research questions

- What factors affect healthcare recruitment, and retention in the United Kingdom?
- What elements of organisational practice environments are associated with the intention to take up employment in healthcare among newly qualified professionals in the East Anglia region?

- What elements of organisational practice environments are associated with the retention of existing healthcare staff in the East Anglia region?
- Can strengthening the partnership between HEIs, NHS, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and AHPs staff contribute to addressing practice environmental factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention?

#### **METHODS**

## Design

A sequential explanatory mixed methods design will be adopted in the proposed study. This involves an ordered combination of quantitative and qualitative research approaches to achieve a breadth and depth of understanding and corroboration/validation of results from both elements[30]. The methodological orientation for the mixed methods will be a quantitative survey  $\rightarrow$  qualitative interviews; this order will advance an understanding of the mechanisms behind any association from the survey.

We systematically reviewed existing literature (research question (RQ) 1) to integrate findings on the factors that impact healthcare recruitment and retention in the United Kingdom into the survey. The review results informed the adaptation of validated measurement instruments (questionnaires) for the survey.

While the survey will enable us to establish associations and patterns concerning organisational environments implicated in recruitment and retention, the interviews will explain the associations, especially the mechanism of impact therein. In addition, the outcome of the survey will inform the qualitative investigation. For instance, the interview guides will be designed to include questions exploring significant associations from the statistical models. Also, unexpected patterns in the results (such as no significant association where expected, an opposite direction of the association, among others) will be further explored in the interviews to help interpret their meaning and importance.

For this study, newly qualified healthcare professionals will be used to refer to those within one year of employment post-qualification; healthcare professionals with more

than one-year post-qualification experience in their area of practice will be referred to as established/retained.

#### Phase 1: Quantitative strand

The quantitative strand will involve the analysis of primary data from a cross-sectional survey.

## Sample size calculation

The sample population will include nurses and Allied health professionals representing the six organisations as outlined above, which consists of a population of approximately 12,500.

We used the formula below to calculate the sample size of 373 for the survey[31,32].

Sample size =  $\frac{N*X}{X+N-1}$ 

Where:  $X = Z_{\alpha}/2^{2} * P (1 - P) / MOE^{2}$ 

P=Proportion of sample

MOE= Margin of error

N=Population size

 $Z-(\alpha/2) = 1.96$ 

Calculation:

N (Population size) = 12,500

 $Z_{\alpha/2}$  (Z-score for a 95% confidence level) = 1.96

P=0.5 for a conservative estimate.

MOE=0.05 for a 5% margin of error.

 $X=(1.96)^2\times0.5\times(1-0.5)/(0.05)^2$ X=384.16

Sample Size= 12,500×384.16/ (384.16=12,500-1)

Sample Size ≈372.39

The calculated sample size is approximately 372.39. Since the sample size should be a whole number the recommended sample size is approximately 373.

#### Procedure

An online survey will be launched in the second half of 2023 to collect data from newly qualified and established nurses and Allied Health professionals on organisational practice environments implicated in their job recruitment and retention. The survey link will be live for three weeks. The questionnaire will be hosted online at Questionpro[33], restricting access to one response per device. The survey link will be primarily distributed through gatekeepers (practice educators working in organisations within the ICBs, these organisations will include: East Suffolk & North East Essex NHS Foundation Trust (ESNEFT), West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust (WSFT), Norfolk & Norwich University NHS Foundation Trust (NNUHT), Norfolk & Suffolk Foundation Trust (NSFT), Norfolk Community Health and Care NHS Trust (NCH&C), and East Coast Community Healthcare (ECCH),) via email correspondence. Reminders and constant communications with gatekeepers to follow up on non-responders will be used to reduce the risk of attrition bias in the survey.

#### Measurement instrument

Data for the survey will be collected using a questionnaire adapted from the validated instruments from existing studies on organisational practice environment, support mechanisms, placement cycle, supervisory capacity, compassionate pedagogy, transition to the registrant, and general infrastructure. Based on the preliminary review conducted so far, questionnaires will include items from the Turnover Intention Scale (TIS)[34] and the SCORE questionnaire: Assessment of your work setting Safety, Communication, Operational Reliability, and Engagement[35].

TIS

TIS assesses employees' turnover intention by measuring 15 items, including statements about their frequency of seeking alternative jobs and considering leaving. The midpoint of the scale is 18 (3 x 6). If the total score is below 18, it indicates a desire to stay. If the scores are above 18, it suggests a desire to leave the organisation. Bothma and Roodt[34] reported a reliability coefficient of  $\alpha$  =0.80 for the TIS.

#### SCORE

The SCORE survey assesses the perception of employees/employers about their organisation. It includes 73 items measuring 12 domains constituting three subscales: Safety Culture, Work-Balance, and Engagement and Burnout; the instrument has good internal reliability ranging from  $\alpha$ =0.82 to  $\alpha$ =0.94.

These scales have been used in organisational psychology field to show the relationship between turnover intention and job satisfaction, organisational commitment, and social support [36–38]. We obtained written permissions to use both scales from the developers.

The adapted questionnaire for the proposed study, which contains 88 items, will be self-administered (see appendix 1 for a sample of the questionnaire). To ensure validity following adaptation, the questionnaire will be piloted using 10 participants who meet the inclusion criteria. We will aim to capture a representative and inclusive range of perspectives in our study. This will enable us to deliberately include voices from various demographic categories, such as age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location. The pilot study will consider respondents' perception of the questions, question construct (including the appropriateness of the format and wording of questionnaires), and the questionnaire's ability to collect relevant data to answer research questions 2 and 3.

#### Variables

The predictors will be variables on opportunities for growth and advancement, workload, teamwork climate, participation in decision-making, and burnout climate (all Likert scale measures) as indicators of organisational practice environments. The outcome variables will differ for the separate models to test RQs 2&3. For RQ2, the outcomes will be variables on the intention of newly qualified healthcare practitioners to take up or stay in new employment; while for RQ3, the outcomes will include a continuous variable on the number of years established healthcare professionals have remained in employment/practice, intention to leave their current practice and job satisfaction. Confounders will include participant age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location.

## Handling missing data

The rate of missing values in the dataset will be assessed to determine the pattern of missingness. Suppose values are missing at random (that is, participant characteristics do not determine missingness), we will conduct a multiple imputation to minimise bias and retain all observed values in the dataset[39]. Results will be averaged across ten imputed datasets.

## Statistical analysis

To explore the relationships in RQs 2&3, we plan to conduct separate multi-level linear regression models for each outcome variable: newly qualified staff intention to stay and established staff retention. In these models, we aim to examine their association with various organisational practice environment measures, including opportunities for growth and advancement, workload, teamwork climate, participation in decision-making, and burnout climate. Additionally, we will control for potential confounding variables, such as age, gender, ethnicity, qualification, area of practice, and geographical location.

## Assumptions about Variables:

- Linearity: We will assume a linear relationship between the predictor variables (organisational practice environment measures (measured as scale variables) and age (confounder)) and the outcome variables (staff intention to stay and staff retention, measured as scale variables).
- 2. Independence of Errors: We will assume that the errors of the regression model are independent of each other.
- 3. Homoscedasticity: We will assume constant variance of the errors across all levels of the predictor variables.
- 4. Normality of Residuals: We will assume that the residuals of the regression model are normally distributed.

To ensure the reliability of our results, we plan to adhere to the general guideline of having a minimum of 10 to 20 cases per predictor variable. Given the number of predictor variables in our models, our target sample size exceeds this minimum threshold.

All analyses will be performed on Stata statistical software version SE 17.

## Sensitivity analysis

To ensure the imputation of missing values does not introduce bias in the dataset, a complete case analysis will be conducted to test for consistency with the results from the main analyses with the imputed dataset.

#### Phase 2: Qualitative strand

#### Procedure

In the qualitative strand, we will seek to understand organisational practice environment factors associated with the recruitment and retention of the health workforce. The interviews will explore the experiences and perspectives of newly qualified and established nurses, allied health professionals, and senior leaders within the NHS ICBs, including education and workforce. In addition, this phase will contribute to answering RQs 2&3 by advancing an understanding of the associations established in phase 1. Also, we will use the interviews to explore how to strengthen the partnership between HEIs, NHS England, health and care service providers, NHS nursing and AHPs staff to address practice environmental factors implicated in healthcare workforce recruitment and retention (RQ3).

#### Sample

Newly qualified and established nurses, allied health professionals, and senior leaders within the NHS ICBs, including education and workforce leads with experiences relevant to the study, will be purposively sampled[40]. We will recruit newly qualified staff members which will help us to better understand the experience of transition from a student (pre-employment) to employment. The characteristics of the interview participants will be informed by the survey results, ensuring those reporting specific experiences in the survey are interviewed for interpretation and clarification. The nurses and allied health professionals will be drawn from those who agreed to participate in the semi-structured interviews when they completed the initial questionnaire.

The experiences and perspectives of participants will be explored until saturation is achieved[41]. We anticipate achieving saturation within 20 interviews based on the sufficient information power suggestion[42].

## Data collection

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted to explore and compare the experiences of healthcare professionals and senior leaders within different organisations on supporting, developing, securing and retaining the healthcare workforce. Given the sequential explanatory mixed methods design, interview questions cannot be finalised at this stage as these will depend on the survey results.

The interviews will be conducted in English via a virtual platform or face-to-face, depending on the participants' preferences, by three researchers (EA, NC and PA) with extensive experience in conducting qualitative interviews.

## Data analysis

Interview audio records will be transcribed verbatim and analysed alongside any field notes using the thematic analysis method. Inductive coding and analysis will be conducted per Braun & Clarke's recommendations to generate key themes from the interview data[43]. With the inductive approach to coding, we expect emerging codes to be strongly related to the dataset, identifying new concepts and constructs therein[44]. Themes will be developed from clusters of linked codes of similar construct and meaning. Codes will be considered for relevance and how they connect and interact with one another. The data will be interpreted at different levels: within and between individual interviews (data units). Emerging themes will be frequently discussed among the research team and collaborators in the project. To ensure trustworthiness and rigour of the data collection, we will share the raw transcripts with the participants and seek their feedback to validate the accuracy and interpretation of their responses. We will also incorporate the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) into our methodology[45]. This 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups provides a robust framework for reporting qualitative research methods, ensuring transparency and methodological rigor. By adhering to the COREQ guidelines, we aim to strengthen the credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability of our qualitative research findings. Finally, we will

maintain consistency in coding by establishing clear definitions, and regular team meetings to resolve coding discrepancies.

The NVivo software will be used to facilitate the interview data analysis.

Patient And Public Involvement: None

Planned Start and End Date: 31st January-2024-31st January 2025

#### DISCUSSION

Studies of other populations have reported some organisational practice environment factors associated with securing and retaining the healthcare workforce. For example, a recent study in Ghana showed that the work practice environment, including nursephysician relations and nurse-manager leadership, affected registered nurses' turnover intention, and the burnout level of nurses mediated this[46]. Smokrović et al. found that job satisfaction and absenteeism were the direct predictors of turnover intention in a Croatian registered nurse population[47]. They also showed that amotivation, identified regulation, intrinsic motivation, and nurse manager ability, leadership and support of nurses were indirect predictors of turnover intention mediated by job satisfaction. Another crucial organisational practice environment factor in workforce recruitment identified in the existing literature is support for newly qualified staff[48,49]. These findings demonstrate the need to understand how organisational practice environments shape staff experiences and employment decisions. Our proposed study will provide new insight into how region-specific organisational factors could affect the recruitment and retention of the health workforce.

Based on our results, we will develop a conceptual framework to visually present the relationship pathways between the organisational practice environment and healthcare recruitment and retention. The framework will show how organisational structures interact in influencing outcomes; this will be a valuable resource for designing strategies to address current issues with the healthcare workforce in the East Anglia region.

Ethics, data management and dissemination

The proposed study has been approved by the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number RETH(S)22/051). Before participating in both phases of the study, participants will be provided with an information sheet, and written informed consent will be obtained. Confidentiality will be assured to all participants throughout the study. All data will be anonymised. Interview and survey data will be securely stored on a password-protected device accessible to only the research team. After five years following the final day of the study, all data copies will be securely deleted or shredded.

While the project leadership and research governance will be undertaken by two authors who are experienced academic leads based at the University of Suffolk (PA and NC), strategic oversight will be provided by a steering group of workforce leaders across the SNEE and NW ICS'.

Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

Our study does not require an Integrated Research Application System/Health Research Authority (IRAS/HRA) approval as it primarily involves interviews and surveys with NHS staff which does not include any clinical interventions, patient data, or direct access to patient records. On the contrary, our focus is on the staff's experience working in healthcare organisation. Given the non-clinical nature of our study and the absence of patient-related data, our research is considered low risk and falls within the category of service evaluations or staff-related studies, which typically do not require IRAS/HRA approval. In addition, we have also run the "Do I need NHS REC review algorithm" with details of our study, and the system also confirmed that an NHS ethics approval is not needed for the study.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Health Education England and the steering committee members (Dr Paul Driscoll-Evans and Professor Lynne Wigens).

#### Author contributions

Study Design: EA, PA, NB. Proposal Preparation: EA, PA, NB. Prior to submission, all authors read and have given approval for the final manuscript.

## **Funding**

This study was funded initially by Health Education England (RD22061) now merged with NHS England.

Competing Interest

None declared.

Ethics Approval

Ethical approval has been obtained through the University of Suffolk Research Ethics Committee (approval number: RETH(S)22/051). Findings from our work will be disseminated through publications in peer-reviewed journals; presentations at stakeholders' events, professional and academic conferences; and short reports for stakeholders, including participating ICSs.

#### References

- 1 World Health Organization. Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030. 2016. file:///C:/Users/e1005260/Downloads/9789241511131eng.pdf (accessed 12 January 2023)
- 2 Zurn P, Dolea C, Stilwell B. Nurse retention and recruitment: developing a motivated workforce. *The Global Nursing Review Initiative*. Published Online First: 1 January 2005.
- 3 Brook J, Aitken L, Webb R, *et al.* Characteristics of successful interventions to reduce turnover and increase retention of early career nurses: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2019;91:47–59.
- 4 NHS. NHS Long Term Plan. 2019. https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/nhs-long-term-plan-version-1.2.pdf (accessed 13 January 2023)
- 5 Alderwick H, Dixon J. The NHS long term plan. *BMJ*. 2019;364:184.
- 6 NHS Improvement, NHS England. Allied health professions supporting patient flow: a quick guide. 2018. https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20210415190705/https://impro

- vement.nhs.uk/resources/allied-health-professions-ahps-supporting-patient-flow/ (accessed 13 January 2023)
- 7 Buchan J, Charlesworth A, Gershlick B, *et al.* A critical moment: NHS staffing trends, retention and attrition. *London: Health Foundation*. 2019.
- 8 Health Education England. Growing nursing numbers: Literature review on nurses leaving the NHS. 2014.
- 9 Ford M. 'Even more' nurses considering quitting the profession, survey reveals. Nursing Times. 2020. https://www.nursingtimes.net/news/workforce/even-more-nurses-considering-quitting-the-profession-survey-reveals-17-07-2020/ (accessed 13 January 2023)
- 10 Bimpong KAA, Khan A, Slight R, et al. Relationship between labour force satisfaction, wages and retention within the UK National Health Service: a systematic review of the literature. BMJ Open. 2020;10:e034919.
- 11 Helm T, Ungoed-Thomas J. Nurses pledge tougher new strikes as NHS crisis deepens. The Observer. 2022. https://www.theguardian.com/society/2022/dec/17/nurses-pledge-tougher-newstrikes-as-nhs-crisis-deepens (accessed 13 January 2023)
- 12 Erdem H, Lucey DR. Healthcare worker infections and deaths due to COVID-19: A survey from 37 nations and a call for WHO to post national data on their website. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2021;102:239–41.
- 13 Foye U, Dalton-Locke C, Harju-Seppänen J, et al. How has COVID-19 affected mental health nurses and the delivery of mental health nursing care in the UK? Results of a mixed-methods study. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*. 2021;28:126–37.
- 14 Wood E, King R, Senek M, et al. UK advanced practice nurses' experiences of the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2021;11:e044139.
- 15 Department of Health and Social Care. Workforce Recruitment and Retention Fund for adult social care. 2022. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/workforce-recruitment-and-retention-fund-for-adult-social-care/workforce-recruitment-and-retention-fund-for-adult-social-care
- 16 House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee. Workforce: recruitment, training and retention in health and social care. Third Report of Session 2022–23. 2022. chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/23246/documents/171671/default/
- 17 Audit Scotland. NHS in Scotland 2017. 2017. https://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/publications/nhs-in-scotland-2017

- 18 Kapur N, Ibrahim S, While D, *et al.* Mental health service changes, organisational factors, and patient suicide in England in 1997–2012: a before-and-after study. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3:526–34.
- 19 Salt J, Cummings GG, Profetto-McGrath J. Increasing Retention of New Graduate Nurses: A Systematic Review of Interventions by Healthcare Organizations. *JONA: The Journal of Nursing Administration*. 2008;38:287.
- 20 Zhang Y, Qian Y, Wu J, *et al.* The effectiveness and implementation of mentoring program for newly graduated nurses: A systematic review. *Nurse Education Today.* 2016;37:136–44.
- 21 Wu T-Y, Fox DP, Stokes C, et al. Work-related stress and intention to quit in newly graduated nurses. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2012;32:669–74.
- 22 Eckerson CM. The impact of nurse residency programs in the United States on improving retention and satisfaction of new nurse hires: An evidence-based literature review. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018;71:84–90.
- 23 Weurlander M, Lönn A, Seeberger A, *et al.* How do medical and nursing students experience emotional challenges during clinical placements? *Int J Med Educ.* 2018;9:74–82.
- 24 Palmer W, Leone C, Appleby J, *et al.* Recruitment of nurses from overseas: Exploring the factors affecting levels of international recruitment. *The Nuffield Trust.* 2021.
- 25 Wallis L, Locke R, Ryall S, *et al.* Motivations for choosing an allied health profession career: findings from a scoping review. *International Journal of Practice-based Learning in Health and Social Care*. 2023;11:1–17.
- 26 Kline R. Leadership in the NHS. *BMJ Leader*. 2019;3. doi: 10.1136/leader-2019-000159
- 27 Colwell FJ. Leadership Strategies to Improve Nurse Retention. 2019. https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=8059&context=dissertations (accessed 24 October 2023)
- 28 Bhattacharya I, Ramachandran A. A path analysis study of retention of healthcare professionals in urban India using health information technology. *Human Resources for Health*. 2015;13:65.
- 29 Saks AM. Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. 2006;21:600–19.
- 30 Creswell JW, Clark VLP. *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Sage publications 2017.
- 31 Etikan I, Babtope O. A basic approach in sampling methodology and sample size calculation. *Med Life Clin*. 2019;1:1006.

- 32 Charan J, Biswas T. How to Calculate Sample Size for Different Study Designs in Medical Research? *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*. 2013;35:121–6.
- 33 QuestionPro. Question Pro. 2023. https://www.questionpro.com/blog/research-design/ (accessed 17 February 2023)
- 34 Bothma CFC, Roodt G. The validation of the turnover intention scale: original research. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*. 2013;11:1–12.
- 35 Sexton JB, Adair KC, Leonard MW, et al. Providing feedback following Leadership WalkRounds is associated with better patient safety culture, higher employee engagement and lower burnout. BMJ Qual Saf. 2018;27:261–70.
- 36 Oosthuizen RM, Coetzee M, Munro Z. Work-life balance, job satisfaction and turnover intention amongst information technology employees. *Southern African Business Review.* 2016;20:446–67.
- 37 Bonds AA. *Employees' organizational commitment and turnover intentions*. 2017. https://search.proquest.com/openview/58b273b383838952dbc3866b58dcee2a/1 ?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750 (accessed 19 October 2023)
- 38 Chen X, Tang L, Liu L, *et al.* Factors related to turnover intention among staff of elderly caring social organizations in Anhui Province, China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2021;21:1265.
- 39 Allison PD. Missing data: Quantitative applications in the social sciences. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*. 2002;55:193–6.
- 40 Bryman A. Social research methods. Oxford university press 2016.
- 41 Guest G, MacQueen KM, Namey EE. *Applied thematic analysis*. Sage Publications 2011.
- 42 Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample Size in Qualitative Interview Studies: Guided by Information Power. *Qual Health Res.* 2016;26:1753–60.
- 43 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*. 2006;3:77–101.
- 44 Patton MQ. Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice. Sage publications 2014.
- 45 Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007;19:349–57.
- 46 Poku CA, Donkor E, Naab F. Impacts of Nursing Work Environment on Turnover Intentions: The Mediating Role of Burnout in Ghana. *Nurs Res Pract*. 2022;2022:1310508.
- 47 Smokrović E, Kizivat T, Bajan A, *et al.* A Conceptual Model of Nurses' Turnover Intention. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19:8205.

- 48 Jarden RJ, Jarden A, Weiland TJ, *et al.* Registered Nurses' experiences of psychological well-being and ill-being in their first year of practice: A qualitative meta-synthesis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2021;77:1172–87.
- 49 Freeman M, Baumann A, Akhtar-Danesh N, *et al.* Employment goals, expectations, and migration intentions of nursing graduates in a Canadian border city: a mixed methods study. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2012;49:1531–43.



#### **QUESTIONNAIRE\***

## \*Most of items removed because of the Copyright Issue.

Study title: Securing and retaining a local workforce: An exploration of the elements that provide an environment for growth across two integrated health systems

Instruction: The questionnaire is divided into three sections. All questions are multi-choice; please choose the response that best applies to you and your experience

## **Section 1: Demographics**

This section asks demographic questions.

## 1) Please indicate your professional qualification

- a. Certificate
- b. Undergraduate
- c. Postgraduate
- d. PhD
- e. Other
- f. NA

## 2) To which staff group do you belong?

- a. Nursing/Midwife (NMC Registered)
- b. Nursing/Midwife (N/As HCA, SHCA, Student, etc.)
- c. Qualified AHP (Art therapists, Drama therapists, Music therapists, Podiatrists, Dietitians, Occupational therapists, Operating department practitioners, Orthoptists, Osteopaths, Paramedics, Physiotherapists, Prosthetists and orthotists, Radiographers, Speech and language therapists, etc.)
- d. Senior Manager
- e. Other
- f. NA

#### 3) What is your gender?

- a. Male
- b. Female
- c. Rather not say
- d. Other

#### 4) What is your age?

5) What is your ethnicity?

- a. Asian
- b. Black British
- c. Black or African American
- d. Caucasian or White
- e. Multiracial
- f. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

- g. White British
- h. Other
- i. Prefer not to say
- 6) What is the highest level of education you have completed?
- a. CSE
- b. OLevel
- c. GCSE
- d. A Level
- e. Bachelor's degree
- f. Master's degree
- g. Doctoral degree
- h. Other
- i. NA
- 7) Which nursing field would you like to work in (for newly qualified nurses only)?
- a. Adult nursing
- b. children's nursing
- c. learning disabilities nursing
- d. mental health nursing
- e. Other
- f. NA
- 8) Which nursing field do you work in (for established nurses only)?
- a. Adult nursing
- b. children's nursing
- c. learning disabilities nursing
- d. mental health nursing
- e. Other
- f. NA
- 9) Which region do you work in?
- a. East of England
- b. London
- c. Midlands
- d. North East and Yorkshire
- e. North West
- f. South East
- g. South West
- h. Other
- i. NA
- 10) How many years of experience do you have in your field?

.....

## Section 2: Turnover intention scale (TIS)

This section explores your experience of your current job and the extent to which you intend to stay at the organisation. Please read each question and indicate your response using the scale provided:

During the past 9 months...

1	How often have you considered leaving yourjob?	Never	15	Always
2	How satisfying is your job in fulfilling yourpersonal needs?	Very satisfying	15	Totally dissatisfying
3	How often are you frustrated when not giventhe opportunity to achieve your personal work-related goals at work?	Never	15	Always
4	How often are your personal values at workcompromised?	Never	15	Always
5	How often do you consider getting another job that suits your needs better?	Never	15	Always
6	How likely are you to accept another job at the same compensation level should it be offered to you?	Highly unlikely	15	Highly likely
7	How often do you look forward to another day at work?	Always	15	Never
8		Never	15	Always
9R		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
10R		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
11		Never	15	All of the time
12		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
13R		To no extent	15	To a very large extent
14	How frequently do you scan the internet or other sources for alternative job opportunities?	Never	15	All of the time

Section 3: Assessment of your work setting Safety, Communication, Operational Reliability, and Engagement (SCORE)

You will be asked questions on organisational practice environment, your specific unit or clinical experience and expertise. Choose your responses using the scale below:

Α	В	С	D	E				Х		
Disagree Strongly	Disagree Slightly	Neutral	Agree Slightly	Agree Strongly		Not	Ар	plica	ble	
	Improv	ement Readine	ss (Practice Environ	ment)						
The practice enviror who work here.	nment in this work s	setting utilises i	nput/suggestions f	rom the people	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
The practice environ of what we do.	ment in this work set	tting effectively	fixes defects to imp	prove the quality	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
The practice environ we do well.	ment in this work se	tting allows us t	o gain important in	sights into what	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
The practice environ	ment in this work se	tting is protecto	ed by our local man	agement.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
		Local L	eadership							
In this work setting,	local management is	available at pr	edictable times.		Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
		(V)								
In this work setting, performance.	local management of	communicates	their expectations	to me about my	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
•	Bu	rnout Climate a	nd Personal Burno	ut						
Events in this work s unhealthy way.	etting affect the live	s of people her	e in an emotionally		Α	В	С	D	Е	Χ
		Teamwo	ork Climate							
Disagreements in the what is best for the	•	appropriately r	esolved (i.e., not v	vho is right, but	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
In this work setting,		up if I perceive	a problem with pa	itient care.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
It is easy for person understand.	nel here to ask que	stions when th	ere is something t	hat they do not	A	В	С	D	E	Х

The people here from different disciplines/backgrounds work together as a well-coordinated team.	. А	В	С	D	E	Х
Safety Climate						
My suggestions about quality would be acted upon if I expressed them to management.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
Regarding growth opportunities in this work setting, I have						
opportunities for personal growth/development.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
influence in decisions about work activity timelines.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
Regarding the workload in this work setting, I have too much work to do.	Α	В	С	D	E	Х
too mach work to do.						X
						<u>^</u> X
						X
						X
Regarding participatory decision-making at work						
the decision-making process is clear to me.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
it is clear to whom should address specific problems.	Α	В	С	D		Χ
I can discuss work problems with my direct supervisor/ physician leadership.	A	B B	C	D D		X
I can participate in decisions about the nature of my work.	A			D	E	
I have a direct influence on my organisation's decisions.  Regarding job-related uncertainty about the future in this work setting.	A	В	С	ט	<u> </u>	X
I am certain I will still be working here in one year.	<b>ів</b> А	В	С	D	E	X
I am certain I will keep my current job next year.	A	В	С	D	E	
I am certain that I will keep the same functional level as currently.	A	В	С	D	E	
Regarding advancement in this organisation	-	-				-
	Α	В	С	D	Е	v

this organisation pays good salaries.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Χ
I am paid enough for the work I do.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
With respect to my intentions to leave this organisation						
I would like to find a better job.	Α	В	С	D	Ε	Х
I often think about leaving this job.	Α	В	С	D	E	Χ
I have plans to leave this job within 1 yr.	Α	В	С	D	E	X

Α	В		С	D			Х		
Rarely or none of	Some or a little	Occa	asionally or a	All of the time				ot	
the time	of the time		erate amount	(5-7 days)		Αp	plic	abl	e
(less than 1 day)	(1-2 days)	of ti	<b>me</b> (3-4 days)						
Skipped a meal	A B C D	Χ	Had difficulty s	sleeping	Α	В	С	D	Х

