Q14: Wishing that TM services were offered by a rheumatologist	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.6 (0.61; 3.7)
Q23: living in a provincial town (5000-20000 inhabitants)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.74 (0.07; 2.3)
Q23: living in a city (>100000 inhabitants)	5-6-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-8-	0.67 (0.04; 2.5)
Q2: living 5-10 km from the GP's office	a a state and a set from the set from the set of the set	0.57 (0.18; 2.3)
14: Not wishing that TM services were offered by a rheumatologist	18-16 (MAR) - 16 (MAR)	0.55 (0.08; 1.9)
Q19a: being diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis		0.5 (0.02; 1.4)
Q3: had prior electronic contact with a physician's office	a de la construction de	0.44 (0.02; 1.9)
Q23: living in a town (20000-100000 inhabitants)		0.44 (0.03; 2.1)
Q8: not having prior TM knowledge	- Construction and an and and	0.43 (0.01; 1.3)
Q1: living 30-40 km from the rheumatologist's office		0.4 (0.12; 2)
Q16: documenting one's health status on paper		0.39 (0.03; 1.6)
Q1: living 20-30 km from the rheumatologist's office	Contraction of the State State of the state	0.39 (0.03; 2.1)
Q5: having internet access at home	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0.36 (0.09; 2.2)
Q19c: being diagnosed with psoriasi arthritis	a and a statement of a	0.36 (0.05; 1.8)
Q20: self reporting a bad health status	and the state of a second s	0.35 (0.01; 1.2)
Q17: being >70 years	te a ser deve de processa de la ser de ser ser ser ser ser ser ser ser ser se	0.33 (7.7e-03; 1.4)
Q18: being a male	The Transit of the second s	0.29 (7.4e-03; 1.1)
Q19e: being diagnosed with arthrosis	An grant and have been been and the second	0.29 (7.0e-03; 1.3)
Q16: digitally documenting one's health status	and the second	0.26 (0.04; 1.8)
Q1: living more than 40 km from the rheumatologist's office		0.22 (5.3e-03; 1.1)
Q1: living 10-20 km from the rheumatologist's office	The second second particular second second	0.22 (5.2e-03; 1.1)
Q19i: being diagnosed with other unclassified RMD	المتواطعة (من المراجعة العن المراجع ال	0.22 (8.1e-03; 1.1)
Q17: being 60-69 years	· ····································	0.13 (4.8e-03; 0.69)
Q2: living 10−15 km from the GP's office		0.11 (0.03; 1)
Q20: self reporting a good health status	•• 20• * .	0.1 (0.03; 0.97)
Q19b: being diagnosed with spondylo arthritis		0.09 (2.3e-03; 0.5)
Q21: having a rheumatology treatment	0 ** #**	0.04 (6.6e-03; 0.29)

Figure S2: Feature importance according to SHAP values - yes vs. not answered/do not know classification

SHAP value (x-axis) for each patient and feature are represented with a point. Positive SHAP values imply an impact to the model toward wanting to try TM, while negative values impact the model toward not answering/do not know answers regarding TM try. For each feature, the mean and 95% CI of the absolute SHAP values are reported on the right of the graph. High SHAP value (in absolute value) indicate a high impact on the model output. Red colors indicate that a patient answered yes to the considered question (y-axis) while blue colors refer to "no" answers.

Feature

Low (no)

High (yes)