nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Dirk Hockemeyer

Last updated by author(s): Jun 2, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\square	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
\boxtimes		A description of all covariates tested
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

 Policy information about availability of computer code

 Data collection
 Zen 2012 (blue edition), iSeq 100 Software System Suite v2.0, Illumina BaseSpace Sequence Hub

 Data analysis
 CRISPResso2 2.0.40, R 4.0.2, Graphpad Prism 9, Image J, Morpheus, ggplot2 3.3.5, python 3.7

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The main data supporting the results in this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information. Source data for the figures are provided with this paper. The raw sequencing data of the mutation-tiling experiments are available in the GEO repository under accession number GSE233683.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation)</u>, <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race, ethnicity and racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender	The study did not involve human participants.
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	_
Population characteristics	_
Recruitment	_
Ethics oversight	_

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

We compared allele frequencies in n1 >= 6 null samples to n2 >= 3 samples under treatment. The same null samples were used for all Sample size treatments. The test employed was aimed at detecting differences in allele frequencies between null and treatment (h0: allele frequency in null = allele frequency under treatment). Because the sample sizes (number of reads) were relatively large, we used a t-test as an approximation. Assuming that reads were sampled according to a Poisson distribution, and that the number of reads was much smaller than the number of cells from which DNA was extracted, the variance in the number of reads with a mutation should equal the expected number of reads with that mutation. We used this observation for power calculations. For example, with expected 1.000 reads, the probability of detecting a systematic reduction from an allele frequency of 0.2 to 0.15 of a mutation using a one-sided t-test is 0.999 (calculated using the function pwr.t2n.test in R with Cohen's D calculated using the expected Poisson variance). The sample sizes used clearly provide high power to detect allele-frequency differences. In fact, the main reason for this level of replication is for reproducibility and to be able to accommodate increased variance caused by unknown confounders. Data exclusions Samples that did not yield PCR amplification and NGS data were excluded. Measurements were taken from replicates. Key results were replicated with different sgRNAs and in different cell lines. Replication Randomization Cells were equally split into sub-populations of 50,000 cells, which is at 250x coverage of an allele at 0.5% frequency. Those subpopulations were randomly assigned to different treatment groups. Blinding The experiments were open-labelled.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

Μ	et	ho	ds
	Ct	110	u J

n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
	Antibodies	\boxtimes	ChIP-seq
	Eukaryotic cell lines	\boxtimes	Flow cytometry
\boxtimes	Palaeontology and archaeology	\boxtimes	MRI-based neuroimaging
\boxtimes	Animals and other organisms		
\boxtimes	Clinical data		
\boxtimes	Dual use research of concern		
\boxtimes	Plants		

Antibodies

Antibodies used	PCRP-POU5F1-1D2, MC-813-70 (SSEA-4), Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488, A11001 Thermo Fisher.
Validation	PCRP-POU5F1-1D2: this antibody was characterized by the Protein Capture Reagents Program, produced by JHU/CDI, https://antibodyregistry.org/search.php?q=AB_2618968.
	MC-813-70 (SSEA-4): the use of this antibody on immunofluorescence(IF) has been shown in multiple peer reviewed papers, including The EMBO journal 2.12 (1983): 2355-61., Nature 311.5985 (1984 Oct 4-10): 469-72, Scientific reports 6. (2016 Oct 3): 34476., Scientific reports 8.1 (2018 Apr 18): 6168. (information provided by the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank)

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research</u>					
Cell line source(s)	WTC11 (iPSC, Allen institute), WIBR3 (NIH registry #0079)				
Authentication	Multiple SNPs matched the published whole-genome sequencing data.				
Mycoplasma contamination	The cells were tested for mycoplasma monthly.				
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	No commonly misidentified cell lines were used.				