# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Wilson Wong
Last updated by author(s):	Jan 11, 2024

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

_				
Ç.	tっ	+1	c+	ICC
٠,	ı a		<b>^</b> 1	11 >

n/a	Coi	nfirmed
	×	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	×	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	X	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
x		A description of all covariates tested
×		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	×	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	×	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
x		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
×		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
×		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
Sof	ftw	vare and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

Attune NxT Software for flow cytometry data collection; Gen5 for fluorescence microscopy data collection, PerkinElmer's Living Image software for in vivo luminescence data collection

Data analysis

FlowJo\_v10.8 for flow cytometry data processing; Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism for data analysis

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Plasmids are available upon requests Source data of main figures are provided with this paper. Raw .fcs files and data for supplementary figures are available upon request to the corresponding author.

#### Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies	with <u>human participants or human data</u> . See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation),</u>
and sexual orientation and race,	ethnicity and racism.
Reporting on sex and gender	Donor identity disguised.

Reporting on sex and gender	Donor identity disguised.
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	Donor identity disguised.
Population characteristics	Donor identity disguised.
Recruitment	Boston Children's Hospital Blood Donation Center
Ethics oversight	Boston University IBC protocol: 18-1537

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Field-specific reporting

Please select the one belo	w that is the best fit for your research	. If you are not sure	, read the appropriate sections	before making your selection.
X Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences	Ecological, e	volutionary & environmental so	ciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a>

## Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

For all in vitro assays, sample size was determined by the number of wells or independent replicates included in the data. All data represents a sample size of three or larger. For all in vivo data, sample size was determined by the number of mice (N=1 for each mouse). All data represents a sample size of three or larger. A sample size of n = 3 (three experimental repeats) was chosen because (1) three is the minimum number to estimate variance in sample statistics and (2) in order to ensure individual measurements were not artifacts. For Figure 5E and F, and Supplementary Fig. 16E, as the number of conditions in the experiment is so big that if including more than one replicates for each condition, we would not be able to generate data every 2 hours, thus, the resolution of the experiment would have been reduced. Instead, the same experiment was repeated 3 times, and a representative experiment is displayed. All experiment showed same trend as we interpreted in the manuscript.

Data exclusions

No data were excluded.

Replication

In vitro assays were performed at least 2 times with similar results. Live cell imaging for the dual-regulated circuit experiment were performed once with triplicates (Figure 5e, f, and Supplementary Figure 16e), but the same experiment was performed multiple times with a single well for each drug concentration, and the same trend in adaptation topology across concentration variations was observed. In vivo experiments (Figure 6b, c, and d) were performed in multiple batches with N=4--6 for each batch. Each batch includes at least one sample for each condition and the results were similar between each batch and combined to form the final data set. All experiments were performed with at least 3 replicates. For Figure 5E and F, and Supplementary Fig. 16E, as the number of conditions in the experiment is so big that if including more than one replicates for each condition, we would not be able to generate data every 2 hours, thus, the resolution of the experiment would have been reduced. Instead, the same experiment was repeated 3 times, and a representative experiment is displayed. All experiment showed same trend as we interpreted in the manuscript.

Randomization

For in vitro experiment, samples are prepared all at once and run plates by plates with no special allocation of samples within or outside of a testing group. For in vivo experiments, after hydrodynamic tail vein injection for the delivery of plasmid DNA, mice were randomly selected for inducer or vehicle control administration. For in vitro experiments, within replicates transected or transduced with the same DNA mix, randomly selected triplicates are supplemented with inducers or vehicle control. : Experiments were performed on immortalized cell lines which can reasonably be assumed to be identical when split into multiple wells for transfection/infection. Thus, cells being input into different experimental conditions are effectively randomized without explicitly controlling covariates.

Blinding

For all experiments, Success metrics for our controller design vs the unregulated system were pre-defined (Relative MFI, leakiness, and inducibility). During in vivo luminescence imaging, the investigators are blinded to treatment mouse groups.

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

١	
ζ	9
	٥
۸	
C	1
	Ş
۲	۸

Materials & experime	ntal systems Methods	
/a Involved in the study n/a Involved in the study		
Antibodies		
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and a		
Animals and other o	rganisms	
X Clinical data		
Dual use research of	concern	
X Plants		
Antibodies		
Antibodies used	HLA-ABA FITC conjugated antibody BD Bioscience 555552 HLA-A2 PE conjugated antibody BD Biosciences 560964	
	CD46 Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated Antibody R&D systems FAB2005T	
	ImmunoCult Human CD3/CD28 T Cell Activator STEMCELL 10971	
Validation	All antibodies were used based on the validation statements on the manufacturer's website, including the specie and applications.	
Eukaryotic cell lin	es	
Policy information about <u>ce</u>	Il lines and Sex and Gender in Research	
Cell line source(s)	Lenti-X 293T (Takara Biosciences)	
	HEK293FT N/A Jurkat clone E6-1 ATCC TIB-152	
	Neuro-2a ATCC CCL-131	
	U87MG N/A	
Authentication	Cells were purchased and used directly from the source with no additional authentication.	
Mycoplasma contamination	All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma.	
Commonly misidentified (See ICLAC register)	No commonly misidentified cells were used in this study.	
Animals and othe	r research organisms	
Policy information about <u>st</u> <u>Research</u>	udies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in	
Laboratory animals	Balb/c (female 5-6 weeks) Jackson Laboratory 005557 12 hours light /dark cycles; temp 68-79F, humidity 30-70%	
Wild animals	The study did not involve wild animals	

Laboratory animals	Balb/c (female 5-6 weeks) Jackson Laboratory 005557 12 hours light /dark cycles; temp 68-79F, humidity 30-70%
Wild animals	The study did not involve wild animals
Reporting on sex	All mice involved in this study were female.
Field-collected samples	The study did not involve field collected samples
Ethics oversight	Our studies were overseen by the IACUC at Boston University

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

1)	$\sim$	0	+-
$\mathbf{r}$	_		

Seed stocks	N/A
Novel plant genotypes	N/A
Authentication	N/A

### Flow Cytometry

#### Plots

Confirm that:

- The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- ${\color{red} \textbf{\emph{x}}}$  All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- 🗶 A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

#### Methodology

Sample preparation	Adherent cells were trypsinized and neuralized and suspended before flowcytometry. When surface protein expression were to be detected, cells were washed with FACS buffer (PBS without calcium $\pm$ 0.1% NaN3 $\pm$ 1% BSA $\pm$ 2mM EDTA), stained with antibody, and washed with FACS buffer at least twice before flow cytometry.
Instrument	ThermoFisher Scientific Attune NxT Flow Cytometer
Software	FlowJo
Cell population abundance	All reported samples include at least 10,000 events.
Gating strategy	A polygonal FSC-A/SSC-A gate was used to remove debris and dead cells from the mammalian cell populations. Gates for successfully transfected or transduced populations were drawn based on the marker expression in non-transfected or notransduced (negative) controls.

**x** Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.