Supplementary Information

Pioneering dielectric materials of Sn–doped $Nb_{0.025}Ti_{0.975}O_2$ ceramics with excellent temperature and humidity stability for advanced ceramic capacitors

Yasumin Mingmuang ¹, Narong Chanlek ², Masaki Takesada ^{3,*}, Ekaphan Swatsitang ¹, & Prasit Thongbai ^{1,*}

- ¹ Giant Dielectric and Computational Design Research Group (GD–CDR), Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
- ² Synchrotron Light Research Institute (Public Organization), 111 University Avenue, Muang District, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand
- ³ Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-0810, Japan

**E-mail address:* <u>pthongbai@kku.ac.th</u> (P. Thongbai); <u>mt@phys.sci.hokudai.ac.jp</u> (M. Takesada)

Table 1 Lattice parameters, Raman shifts of E_g and A_{Ig} modes, and bond lengths for1%Sn-NTO, 2.5%Sn-NTO, and 5%Sn-NTO ceramics.

Sample condition	1%Sn–NTO	2.5%Sn–NTO	5%Sn–NTO
a = b (Å)	4.605(9)	4.608(7)	4.611(9)
<i>c</i> (Å)	2.965(6)	2.969(2)	2.973(9)
E_g (cm ⁻¹)	438.7	438.0	436.5
$A_{lg} \left(cm^{-1} \right)$	606.1	606.6	607.2
Bond length (Å)	$\mathbf{A} = \mathrm{Ta}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Ti}$		
A _(0,0,0) - O _(0.19480, -0.19480, 0.50000)	1.952(0)	1.953(0)	1.956(0)
$\mathbf{A}_{(0,0,0)}$ - $\mathbf{O}_{(0.30520, \ 0.30520, \ 0.00000)}$	1.988(0)	1.989(0)	1.991(0)
$\mathbf{A}_{(0,0,0)} = \mathbf{O}_{(0.30520, -0.69480, 0.00000)}$	3.495(1)	3.497(1)	3.500(1)
$A_{(0,0,0)} - A_{(0,0,1)}$	2.966(1)	2.969(1)	2.974(1)



Fig. S1 SEM mapping of (a) Ti, (b) O, (c) Sn, and (d) Nb for 5%Sn–NTO ceramic.

Fig. S1 presents mapping images of all elements in 5%Sn–NTO ceramic sintered at 1200 °C for 3h. Generally, a second phase was observed in specific regions, such as grain boundaries, which were indicated by lighter areas in the elemental mapping. Notably, a homogeneous distribution of Sn, Nb, Ti, and O elements was detected along both grains and grain boundaries on the surface morphology of the 5% Sn–NTO ceramic. There was no accumulation of several phases in specific regions, indicating the absence of secondary phases. The result is similar to other works in co–doped rutile TiO₂ ceramics [1, 2].



Fig. S2 Rietveld profile fitting for (a) 1%Sn–NTO, (b) 2.5%Sn–NTO, and (c) 5%Sn–NTO ceramics.

Fig. S2 illustrates the Rietveld refinement fitting for the 1%Sn–NTO, 2.5% Sn–NTO and 5% Sn–NTO ceramics, repectively, using X'pert High Score Plus program. A rutile TiO₂ phase was observed in all samples. Lattice parameters (*a* and *c*) and bond lengths (A–O and A–A, where A= Ta, Sn, Ti) were calculated and summarized in Table 1. These values increased with higher Sn⁴⁺ concentrations. The results were attributed to the effect of Nb⁵⁺ and Sn⁴⁺ radii, where $r_6(Nb^{4+}) = 64.0$ pm and $r_6(Sn^{4+}) = 69.0$ pm, which are larger than the Ti⁴⁺ host ions ($r_6(Ti^{4+}) = 60.5$ pm) by approximately 5.8% and 14.0%, respectively [2, 3]. The variance in dopant radii is was associated with the expansion of lattice parameters and bond lengths, influenced by the presence of Sn⁴⁺ ions. Importantly, the absence of a second phase in the 1–5%Sn–NTO ceramics indicated that both dopants completely replaced Ti⁴⁺ sites [2].



Fig. S3 Raman spectra of Sn–NTO ceramics sintered at 1200 °C for 3h. The inset shows Raman shift of E_g and A_{Ig} modes of Sn–NTO ceramics as a function of Sn⁴⁺ concentrations.

Fig. S3 shows Raman peaks of rutile TiO₂ include B_{Ig} , E_g and A_{Ig} modes, as well as the multi–phonon peak of a second–order (2nd order) effect for Sn–NTO ceramics [4, 5]. In the inset of Fig. S3, the Raman peaks of E_g were revealed to shift toward the low energy side in the range from 439 to 437 cm⁻¹ with increasing Sn⁴⁺ concentrations. The result can be attributed to the lattice distortion and the movement of oxygen along c–axis [4]. In contrast, the A_{Ig} mode exhibited a slight shift to higher frequency side from 601 to 607 cm⁻¹ with increasing Sn⁴⁺ concentrations, as a result of the influence of the Ti–O stretch modes [6]. Both E_g and A_{Ig} peak shift values were determined using the Lorentz model, as listed in Table 1.

Reference

[1] M. Zhong, J. Li, J. Shao, Y. Cao, K. Li, W. Zhao, An investigation into the enhanced permittivity properties of Zr co-doped (Ga_{0.5}Nb_{0.5})_{0.03}Ti_{0.9702} ceramics, Ceramics International, 45 (2019) 14983-14990.

[2] J. Fan, T. Yang, Z. Cao, Colossal permittivity and multiple effects in (Zn + Ta) codoped TiO₂ ceramics, Journal of Asian Ceramic Societies, 8 (2020) 1188-1196.

[3] J. Fan, Y. Chen, Z. Long, L. Tong, G. He, Z. Hu, Giant dielectric response and relaxation behavior in (Tm + Ta) co-doped TiO₂ ceramics, Phys Chem Chem Phys, 24 (2022) 4759-4768.

[4] G. Liu, H. Fan, J. Xu, Z. Liu, Y. Zhao, Colossal permittivity and impedance analysis of niobium and aluminum co-doped TiO₂ ceramics, RSC Advances, 6 (2016) 48708-48714.

[5] J. Fan, Z. Long, Z. Hu, High dielectric performance and multifarious polarizations in (Lu + Ta) co-doped TiO₂ ceramics, Journal of Asian Ceramic Societies, 9 (2021) 1255-1264.

[6] W. Tuichai, S. Danwittayakul, J. Manyam, N. Chanlek, M. Takesada, P. Thongbai, Giant dielectric properties of Ga³⁺–Nb⁵⁺Co-doped TiO₂ ceramics driven by the internal barrier layer capacitor effect, Materialia, 18 (2021) 101175.