

CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).

Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group



CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/>

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923

PMID: 22209829

alexwkwong@gmail.com [Switch account](#)



Not shared



Draft saved

* Indicates required question

Your name *

First Last

Alex Wong

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Shirley Ryan AbilityLab, Chicago, United States

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

wwong@sralab.org



Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Digital Intervention to Promote Self-management Self-efficacy among Community-dwelling Individuals with Stroke (iSMART): A Feasibility Study

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

iSMART (interactive Stroke Management Augr

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Your answer

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

<https://ismart.wustl.edu>



URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- access is free and open
- access only for special usergroups, not open
- access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- app/intervention no longer accessible
- Other:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Stroke

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Feasibility of Intervention Measure (FIM); Acce



Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Participation Strategies Self-Efficacy Scale (PS-SES); PROMIS Self-Efficacy for Managing Chronic Conditions (PROMIS-SE).

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- Approximately Daily
- Approximately Weekly
- Approximately Monthly
- Approximately Yearly
- "as needed"
- Other:



Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- unknown / not evaluated
- 0-10%
- 11-20%
- 21-30%
- 31-40%
- 41-50%
- 51-60%
- 61-70%
- 71%-80%
- 81-90%
- 91-100%
- Other:

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- inconclusive: more research is needed
- Other:



Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- not submitted yet - in early draft status
- not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- published
- Other:

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- JMIR Serious Games
- JMIR Mental Health
- JMIR Public Health
- JMIR Formative Research
- Other JMIR sister journal
- Other:



Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- Pilot/feasibility
- Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- Other:

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

- yes
- Other: Our title includes "A Feasibility Study", instead of RCT because the aim



1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We used "Digital Intervention" to describe that the study intervention (iSMART) is a digital intervention. The full title is: "Digital Intervention to Promote Self-management Self-efficacy among Community-dwelling Individuals with Stroke (iSMART): A Feasibility Study"



1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes") Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We included the target group as "Community-dwelling Individuals with Stroke"

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.



1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described participants to either receive the iSMART or control (as a comparator). We also described key features/functionalities/components of the intervention. In abstract, we wrote "We conducted a randomized controlled trial with 24 participants with mild-to-moderate chronic stroke. Participants were randomized to receive three months of the iSMART or to an attention control group. The iSMART is a coach-guided, technology-supported self-management intervention targeting to support people to manage chronic conditions and maintain active participation in daily life participation after stroke."



1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale



2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described that patients after stroke received limited services,. Thus, they live with multiple chronic conditions and complications that need self-management skills. Thus, we wrote "Patients receive limited inpatient rehabilitation services after a stroke, with an average rehabilitation stay of 18.6 days [1]. Those with no major motor impairments (e.g., neurologically mild stroke) are often discharged from acute care without rehabilitation [2, 3]. Stroke survivors are at risk for developing depression [4], experience reduced quality of life [5], and have an increased chance of stroke recurrence[6, 7]. Moreover, restricted participation in home, community, work, and social activities following stroke is common [8, 9] and can last over six months [10]. Stroke survivors often manifest chronic neuropsychiatric symptoms (e.g., fatigue, depressed mood, and cognitive dysfunction), which can impact their stroke recovery and delay or prevent a return to pre-stroke social roles [11]. Thus, learning strategies to manage post-stroke symptoms and cope with challenges after transitioning back to community living is essential in stroke rehabilitation [9]. "

We also described how mHealth can harness the self-management intervention. We wrote " Self-management interventions are well suited to mobile health (mHealth) technologies [17, 18] as mHealth delivery methods offer several advantages, including increased access for patients who live in rural areas or have limited transportation options. Additionally, mHealth technologies offer the potential for real-time monitoring and feedback, the ability to tailor intervention components to individualized needs, and can reduce administration costs [19, 20]"



2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system
Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the
object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other
conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for
and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the
study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the
comparator.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the use of self-management could address the long-term consequences of stroke. We wrote "A systematic review revealed that self-management interventions based on self-efficacy principles could improve post-stroke outcomes, including quality of life, depression, daily activities, and physical functioning [15]. Targeting self-efficacy in managing symptoms and behaviors becomes a critical behavioral approach to addressing the long-term consequences of stroke [15, 16]."

We also described prior evidence of how using technology could harness the self-management intervention. We wrote:

A meta-analysis has indicated that technology-based self-management interventions are effective in enhancing self-efficacy and reducing depression, anxiety, and fatigue in people with neurological disorders [21]. To harness the benefits of the mHealth delivery, we developed a technology-supported self-management intervention, an interactive Self-Management Augmented Rehabilitation Technologies (iSMART), adapted from a face-to-face, stroke-focused psychoeducation program (Improving Participation after Stroke Self-Management; IPASS) [11, 13].

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We put our study aims and hypotheses in the last paragraph of the Introduction section. We wrote: "To test this novel intervention's feasibility and potential benefits, the current study aimed to (1) evaluate the acceptability, appropriateness, and feasibility of iSMART in individuals with stroke and (2) establish the preliminary effect size of iSMART in improving self-management self-efficacy. We hypothesized that (1) iSMART is a feasible program acceptable to individuals with stroke; (2) participants will demonstrate a moderate effect for improving self-management self-efficacy after completing the iSMART. "

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the trial design as "We conducted a two-arm, non-blinded, randomized controlled trial of iSMART vs. attentional control in individuals who sustained a stroke."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not change any methods after trial commencement.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described eligibility criteria for participants and wrote "Potential participants (N=31) were recruited between January and March 2021 based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria are (1) mild-to-moderate stroke (NIHSS scores ≤ 13),[27] (2) ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, (3) age >18 years, (4) English-speaking, (5) \geq three months post-stroke, (6) self-identified as having ≥ 1 chronic condition, and (7) mobile phone ownership. Exclusion criteria are (1) pre-existing neurologic or psychiatric disorder (e.g., dementia or schizophrenia), (2) severe post-stroke cognitive impairment (Short Blessed Test score ≥ 9),[27] (3) history of functional problems (pre-morbid modified Ranking Scale score ≥ 2) prior to the stroke, (4) severe aphasia (Boston Naming Test <10),[28] and. or (5) visual problems that make reading words on the device difficult."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described our participants recruited from a stroke registry. We wrote "Participants were recruited from a stroke registry from a university-affiliated acute care hospital between January and March 2021 "

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described our trial is a fully-remoted trial. We wrote "This study is a fully-remoted (decentralized) trial."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described how participants completed the outcome measures online. We wrote "All outcome measures were completed online via the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) [29]. Research assistants scheduled video or phone sessions to assist participants in completing outcome measures. "

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, [webcitation.org](https://www.webcitation.org), and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described how we provided access to the study intervention (iSMART). We wrote "To help participants access the iSMART intervention, research assistants also obtained the phone's operating system (Android or iOS) and phone number used to send and receive the text messages from participants."



5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionality/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionality/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], “whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback” [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the intervention details, including mode of delivery, features/functionaliteis/components of the intervention, and its theoretcal framework of the iSMART intervention. We also described the content of our control arm. For the iSMART intervention, we wrote "Details of the intervention, including the theoretical framework, mechanisms of action, and content, are described elsewhere [22]. In short, iSMART is a 12-week, technology-supported, coach-guided, self-management intervention, including three components: psychoeducation, behavioral coaching, and text messaging. We used intervention mapping procedures to guide the intervention development [30]. We applied the behavior change wheel [31] and behavioral change technique taxonomy [32] to specify strategies that help individuals change self-management behaviors. The iSMART comprised 2.5-hour psychoeducational group sessions and .5-hour individual calls weekly with the health coach. A licensed occupational therapist served as the coach in this study. The psychoeducation sessions focused on teaching participants self-management strategies, including problem-solving, decision-making, positive thinking, communication, and accommodation, in managing symptoms and supporting participation in home, work, community, and social activities. Individual coaching sessions engaged participants to involve collaborative goal setting with the coach to identify personal activity goals. The coach then entered the selected goals into the web-based iSMART platform so participants could receive weekly goal reminders and associated self-management tips via text messaging. Participants also received weekly check-in messages to report their progress in achieving goals. As mood states varied daily, participants received daily check-in messages to report their moods. When participants were progressing toward their goal, the platform could suggest a change to the goal and alert the coach. Participants also received motivational messages to promote engagement and retention. "

For the control intervention, we wrote "Participants in the control group received a manual comprising stroke prevention information based on resources from the American Stroke Association and the Canadian Stroke Association. To control for the added attention, our study staff provided telephone calls on the same schedule as the iSMART but did not deliver any iSMART content."



5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended “doses” and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Although we sent check-in messages to iSMART participants to ask them report their progress in achieving goals and daily mood levels, we did not provide additional reminders out of our intervention procotocol.



5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All details, including technology (e.g. text messages) and non-technology (e.g. coaching) part of the intervention were described in the iSMART intervention sub-section. We wrote "Details of the intervention, including the theoretical framework, mechanisms of action, and content, are described elsewhere [22]. In short, iSMART is a 12-week, technology-supported, coach-guided, self-management intervention, including three components: psychoeducation, behavioral coaching, and text messaging. We used intervention mapping procedures to guide the intervention development [30]. We applied the behavior change wheel [31] and behavioral change technique taxonomy [32] to specify strategies that help individuals change self-management behaviors. The iSMART comprised 2.5-hour psychoeducational group sessions and .5-hour individual calls weekly with the health coach. A licensed occupational therapist served as the coach in this study. The psychoeducation sessions focused on teaching participants self-management strategies, including problem-solving, decision-making, positive thinking, communication, and accommodation, in managing symptoms and supporting participation in home, work, community, and social activities. Individual coaching sessions engaged participants to involve collaborative goal setting with the coach to identify personal activity goals. The coach then entered the selected goals into the web-based iSMART platform so participants could receive weekly goal reminders and associated self-management tips via text messaging. Participants also received weekly check-in messages to report their progress in achieving goals. As mood states varied daily, participants received daily check-in messages to report their moods. When participants were progressing toward their goal, the platform could suggest a change to the goal and alert the coach. Participants also received motivational messages to promote engagement and retention. "

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We defined clearly our primary (feasibility) outcomes and secondary (self-efficacy) outcomes in the study. We wrote:

"Feasibility Measures

Rates of retention (defined as the rate at which participants completed the full 12-week intervention) and engagement (defined as the rate at which participants responded to text messages) were automatically recorded in the web-based iSMART platform. Based on retention and engagement rates of a technology-supported behavioral intervention from which the iSMART was derived [33], our pre-defined benchmarks for retention and engagement rates were both 80%. Participants also completed three 4-item implementation measures at post-intervention: Feasibility of Intervention Measure (FIM), Acceptability of Intervention Measure (AIM), and Intervention Appropriateness Measure (IAM) [34]. Weiner et al. found that these measures had strong structural validity with .89 for FIM, .85 for AIM, and 0.91 for IAM, and test-retest reliability with .88 for FIM, .83 for AIM, and .87 for IAM [34]. We defined the benchmark for high feasibility, acceptability, and appropriateness as the mean score of 4 (out of 5) on the FIM, AIM, and IAM.

Self-efficacy Measures

Participants completed the Participation Strategies Self-Efficacy Scale (PS-SES) and Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System's Self-Efficacy for Managing Chronic Conditions (PROMIS-SE) at baseline and post-intervention. PS-SES is a 35-item measure to assess self-efficacy in using participation strategies to manage home, work, community, and communication [35]. Lee et al. found that Cronbach's alpha coefficients of internal consistency of PE-SES were high ($\alpha = .884$ to $.926$).

PROMIS-SE consists of five 4-item short forms to assess self-efficacy for managing daily activities, medications, treatment, symptoms, emotions, and social interactions [36]. Confirmatory factor analyses confirmed the multidimensional structure of the PROMIS-SE."



6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer



6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer



6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Your answer

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not make change to trial outcomes after the trial commenced.



7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We provided the CONSORT diagram as Figure 1 to describe patient disposition.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described to use a random number generator. We wrote "Using a random number generator guided by a biostatistician, participants were randomized to receive three months of either the iSMART or attentional control. "

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not use the block design. We only used a random number generator to randomize participants.



9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This study is an open-label study so we did not conceal the sequence.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described to have a biostatistician for this. We wrote "Using a random number generator guided by a biostatistician, participants were randomized to receive three months of either the iSMART or attentional control. "

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how
NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment



11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

It is an open-labl study. No one was blinded in the study.



11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not provide a placebo or sham intervention.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described our staisitcal methods. We wrote:

"Participants who completed the intervention were selected for data analyses as we did not compute any missing values of outcomes for those who did not complete the study.

Demographic characteristics between the two groups were evaluated using Fisher exact tests or Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. We compared retention and engagement rates and FIM, AIM, and IAM scores of the iSMART intervention with the pre-defined benchmarks.

Considering the small sample size, we conducted non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to evaluate any differences between the groups on FIM, AIM, and IAM scores. We reported both mean and medium scores of FIM, AIM, and AIM for resolution purposes.

To establish the effect sizes for change in self-efficacy, we computed change scores from baseline to post-intervention. We then compared change scores between the two groups using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Considering the small size of this study, any demographic differences between groups at baseline may have artificially inflated the group difference in study outcomes. Thus, we also examined any significant changes for each group using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Due to the small sample size, we employed Cohen's effect sizes (small = .3; medium = .5; and large = .8) to interpret the intervention effect instead of statistical significance (i.e., $p < .05$) [37]. "



12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not compute any missing values. We wrote:

"Participants who completed the intervention were selected for data analyses as we did not compute any missing values of outcomes for those who did not complete the study. "

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we examined both between- and within-group effects. We wrote:

"To establish the effect sizes for change in self-efficacy, we computed change scores from baseline to post-intervention. We then compared change scores between the two groups using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Considering the small size of this study, any demographic differences between groups at baseline may have artificially inflated the group difference in study outcomes. Thus, we also examined any significant changes for each group using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Due to the small sample size, we employed Cohen's effect sizes (small = .3; medium = .5; and large = .8) to interpret the intervention effect instead of statistical significance (i.e., $p < .05$) [37]"

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome
NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We reported those numbers. We wrote: "Participation disposition is represented in Figure 1 (see above). Thirty-one participants were screened, 24 were randomized, and 22 (iSMART n=13; control n=9) completed the study. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of participants. No significant differences between groups were observed ($p>.05$)."

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We provided Figure 1 to provide participant disposition from enrollment, allocation, follow-up and analysis.



13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We described our recruitment period. We wrote:

"We described our participants recruited from a stroke registry. We wrote "Participants were recruited from a stroke registry from a university-affiliated acute care hospital between January and March 2021."

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the trial end date. We wrote "The trial ended on June 2021."

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. See Table 1. We reported baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants.



15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. See Table 1, we described age, education, income, financial responsibilities, and race. Unfortunately, we did not collect ehealth literacy to report.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups



16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N’s (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We followed the instruction to author's guides to report those numbers



16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We reported the effect size for both primary and secondary outcomes. See Table 2 and Table 3.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not report any binary outcomes.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We reported both between- and within-group differences on self-efficacy outcomes. See Table 3



18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not report any harms vor unintended effects.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group



22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We reported this information in the first paragraph of the Discussion section. We wrote:
"Principal Results

This pilot study evaluated the feasibility and established preliminary effect sizes of iSMART, a mHealth intervention for improving self-efficacy for chronic stroke management in a group of community-dwelling stroke survivors. Our results showed that the iSMART is feasible and acceptable to mild-to-moderate chronic stroke survivors. Participants also showed moderate improvements in most self-efficacy measures after completing the iSMART."



22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses



20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential



Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We described the limitations of the study in the discussion section. We wrote:

"Limitations and Future Directions

The current study had several limitations. In addition to constraints associated with a small sample size, participants were recruited from a single institution, restraining the generalizability of the findings. There was a trend towards statistical significance for greater stroke severity in the active treatment group at baseline, which may have artificially inflated the difference between groups on study outcomes. We examined any intervention score changes using within-group models to avoid this potential bias and found results favoring the iSMART group. Additionally, this study did not collect information on how social support and the built environment impact intervention engagement in individuals with neuropsychiatric conditions, including stroke [44, 45]. Future studies should explore if social support or other environmental factors may mediate the impact of iSMART on post-stroke participation. Our study only examined the effect of iSMART on self-efficacy over 12 weeks. Future studies of the iSMART will need to engage clinicians and caregiver stakeholders in user-centered design activities to identify which characteristics of the intervention, individual users, and the care environment best facilitate iSMART implementation and effectiveness [46]. Additional studies will be needed to assess how built environment and social support impact intervention engagement and to study the longer-term effects of iSMART on health outcomes in underrepresented communities and over a longer time frame. One specific approach, the Multiphase Optimization Strategy (MOST) framework [47], has been used to test the performance of individual intervention components in the development of technology-supported interventions, such as weight loss [48], palliative care [49], and physical activity promotion [50], a future study is needed to identify the iSMART components (main effects/interactions) that contribute meaningfully to improvement in intervention engagement and health outcomes in people after stroke. "

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial



21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We added our trial registration in the abstract page. We wrote:
"Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov ID: 202004137"

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not share the full study protocol in the manuscript. However, the study protocol can be found in the ClinicalTrial.gov, which authors provided this information in the manuscript.

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders



Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We provided information about sources of funding and other support. We wrote:

"Contents of this publication and the writing effort of the last author were supported by grants from the American Occupational Therapy Foundation (AOTFIRG20Wong) and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute Of Child Health & Human Development (NICHD)/ National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research (NCMRR) (#K01HD095388). Research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH, R34 MH118395) and the Washington University Mobile Health Research Core (MHRC), part of the Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences, funded by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS, UL1TR002345). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official view of funding agencies."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)



X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

subitem not at all important

1

2

3

4

5

essential

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist



As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- yes, major changes
- yes, minor changes
- no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

Your answer

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making * changes in your manuscript

We spent about 3 to 4 hours to go through the checklist and make changes in the manuscript.

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- yes
- no
- Other:



Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- yes
- no
- Other:

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Your answer

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Submit

Clear form

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#).

Google Forms



