S3 Table. Influencing elements of a successful therapeutic alliance based on the literature search.

Main	Secondary elements	Statements	References
elements			
Relationship	Emotional and	• The personal, emotional, and professional relationship represent the	[1, 2]
between the	professional	core components of the therapeutic alliance (TA).	
patient and	relationship	• The therapist's empathy and responsiveness play an important role.	
therapist		Mutual sharing of emotions and self-revelation is a foundation of	
		personal relationship	
		Common focus between all involved	
		• The patient should be valued with his/her individual physical,	[3-6]
		psychological, cultural, and social characteristics and needs in relation	
		to personal goals and therapy contents.	
		• The patient is in the foreground, not the disease.	
		For the transmission of knowledge at a professional level	[7]
		Shared responsibility	[8]

	Roles and	•	Client-centred approach, which means active participation of the	[9, 10]
	responsibilities		patient.	
		•	Defined distribution of roles to avoid conflict	
		•	Balance between patient autonomy and therapist support is a	[11]
			challenge	
	Humor	•	Humor puts the illness in the background and improves mood.	[1]
	Congruence of the	•	Being genuine, open, and authentic during the interactions with the	[1]
	therapist		patient is a significant factor of the TA at a professional level	
	Physical presence of	•	Challenge to ensure safety in telerehabilitation	[12]
	a therapist	•	Psychological and physical support by the therapist is relevant and can	[8, 13]
			be guaranteed by his/her presence.	
		•	To enable a comprehensive physical examination	[12, 14]
		•	Lack of physical presence is a barrier to TA in telerehabilitation	[15]
Trust	Aspects of trust	•	Exchange of information is important to develop mutual trust.	[3, 16]
		•	Positive feedback, empathy and respect promote trust building	[3]

		•	A sense of safety strengthens trust	[12]
		•	Safety is not directly guaranteed in telerehabilitation and thus a challenge for trust	[17]
Communi-	Exchange of	•	Exchange of information is essential for the development of TA	[8]
cation	information	•	Significant for interaction, satisfaction, and therapy success	[18]
	Effective	•	Effective communication improves the rehabilitation process	[19]
	communication	•	Getting to know each other: shared decision-making, congruence	
			between patient and therapist, person-centred communication,	
			respect, active listening, understanding, sympathy and the recognition	
			of the patient as a person with individual needs.	
		•	Effective sharing of information, and education of patients:	
			understanding is essential and should be ensured	
		•	Joint goal setting and treatment planning is relevant and promoting	
			self-awareness on both sides	
	Communication	•	Through the body (non-verbal): Facial expression, posture, gestures	[20]
	channels		and / or movements	

		Verbal and para-verbal: Voice, intonation, flow of speech	[21]
		 General: (1) words and speech, (2) facial expressions and gestures, (3) eyes and gaze, (4) ears and sound of voice, (5) skin and touch, and (6) posture and movement. 	[22]
	Communication in telerehabilitation	In telerehabilitation, non-verbal communication is limited due to the distance	[23]
		In telerehabilitation, non-verbal communication is limited due to technical aspects	[24]
		Importance of face-to-face communication for non-verbal aspects of communication	[25]
	Technical aspects	Time-delay has a negative impact on communication	[25, 26]
Agreement on tasks and	Agreement on goals	Agreement between therapist and patient plays an important role in goal setting and decision making in therapy.	[9]
goals		Important for the development of TA and thus also for the implementation of therapy	[20]

	Motivation	•	Goal setting and consideration of needs increases motivation	[27]
		•	The patient's expectation of success and achievement of goals	[7, 28]
			strengthen motivation	
	Autonomy	•	Self-management leads to long-term therapy success	[29]
		•	Patient engagement is an important aspect especially in	[30-32]
			telerehabilitation	
External	Relatives and/or	•	Relatives and/or caregivers are part of the TA; it is spoken of as a triad	[33]
influencing	caregivers as part of	•	Relatives and/or caregivers support patients and thus promote the	[1]
factors	the TA		rehabilitation process	
		•	Shared relationship between therapist, child and parents	[3]
	Relatives and/or	•	Support during the therapy preparation, such as switching on the	[10]
	caregivers provide		device or the software programme.	
	technical support	•	Support during the therapy procedure to position the camera correctly	
			and/or ensure safety.	
		•	Clinical environment counteracts development of deep TA	[15, 33]

Preferred	Home environment is perceived as a relaxed atmosphere and	
surroundings for	promotes the building of TA	
developing TA	• Some patients want a separation between home and the rehabilitation	[15]
	environment.	
	• Some patients find exercising in the home environment enjoyable.	
Time as a resource	Time is a significant factor in building trust.	[34]
	Time is essential for getting to know each other and for	[35]
	communication.	
	• A sufficient exchange of information makes it easier to take needs into	
	account.	
	In telerehabilitation, more time is spent with the patient, which	[12]
	promotes TA.	

References for S3 Table

1. Bishop M, Kayes N, McPherson K. Understanding the therapeutic alliance in stroke rehabilitation. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2021;43(8):1074-83. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2019.1651909.

2. Bordin E. The generalizability of the psychoanalytic concept of the working alliance. Psychotherapy. 1979;16:252-60.

3. Crom A, Paap D, Wijma A, Dijkstra PU, Pool G. Between the Lines: A Qualitative Phenomenological Analysis of the Therapeutic Alliance in Pediatric Physical Therapy. Physical & Occupational Therapy In Pediatrics. 2020;40(1):1-14. doi: 10.1080/01942638.2019.1610138.

4. Moore AJ, Holden MA, Foster NE, Jinks C. Therapeutic alliance facilitates adherence to physiotherapy-led exercise and physical activity for older adults with knee pain: a longitudinal qualitative study. J Physiother. 2020;66(1):45-53. Epub 2019/12/18. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2019.11.004. PubMed PMID: 31843425.

5. Lawford BJ, Bennell KL, Campbell PK, Kasza J, Hinman RS. Therapeutic Alliance Between Physical Therapists and Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis Consulting Via Telephone: A Longitudinal Study. Arthritis Care & Research. 2020;72(5):652-60. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23890.

6. Gómez Penedo JM, Babl AM, Grosse Holtforth M, Hohagen F, Krieger T, Lutz W, et al. The Association of Therapeutic Alliance With Long-Term Outcome in a Guided Internet Intervention for Depression: Secondary Analysis From a Randomized Control Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(3):e15824. Epub 2020/03/25. doi: 10.2196/15824. PubMed PMID: 32207689; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7139432.

7. Vestøl I, Debesay J, Pajalic Z, Bergland A. The importance of a good therapeutic alliance in promoting exercise motivation in a group of older Norwegians in the subacute phase of hip fracture; a qualitative study. BMC Geriatrics. 2020;20(1):118. doi: 10.1186/s12877-020-01518-7.

8. Miciak M, Mayan M, Brown C, Joyce AS, Gross DP. A framework for establishing connections in physiotherapy practice. Physiotherapy Theory and Practice. 2019;35(1):40-56. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2018.1434707.

9. Ranner M, Guidetti S, von Koch L, Tham K. Experiences of participating in a client-centred ADL intervention after stroke. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2019;41(25):3025-33. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2018.1483434.

10. Wilms IL. The computerized cognitive training alliance - A proposal for a therapeutic alliance model for home-based computerized cognitive training. Heliyon. 2020;6(1):e03254. Epub 2020/02/12. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03254. PubMed PMID: 32042977; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7002830.

11. Cott C. Client-centred rehabilitation: client perspectives. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2004;26(24):1411-22. doi: 10.1080/09638280400000237.

12. Shulver W, Killington M, Morris C, Crotty M. 'Well, if the kids can do it, I can do it': older rehabilitation patients' experiences of telerehabilitation. Health Expectations. 2017;20(1):120-9. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.12443</u>.

13. Miciak M, Mayan M, Brown C, Joyce AS, Gross DP. The necessary conditions of engagement for the therapeutic relationship in physiotherapy: an interpretive description study. Archives of Physiotherapy. 2018;8(1):3. doi: 10.1186/s40945-018-0044-1.

14. Kairy D, Tousignant M, Leclerc N, Côté A-M, Levasseur M, Researchers TT. The patient's perspective of in-home telerehabilitation physiotherapy services following total knee arthroplasty. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2013;10(9):3998-4011. doi: 10.3390/ijerph10093998. PubMed PMID: 23999548.

15. Cranen K, Drossaert CHC, Brinkman ES, Braakman-Jansen ALM, Ijzerman MJ, Vollenbroek-Hutten MMR. An exploration of chronic pain patients' perceptions of home telerehabilitation services. Health Expectations: An International Journal of Public Participation in Health Care & Health Policy. 2012;15(4):339-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1369-7625.2011.00668.x.

16. Price B. Developing patient rapport, trust and therapeutic relationships. Nurs Stand. 2017;31(50):52-63. Epub 2017/08/10. doi: 10.7748/ns.2017.e10909. PubMed PMID: 28792344.

17. Held JP, Ferrer B, Mainetti R, Steblin A, Hertler B, Moreno-Conde A, et al. Autonomous rehabilitation at stroke patients home for balance and gait: safety, usability and compliance of a virtual reality system. European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine 2018;54(4):545-53. Epub 2017/09/28. doi: 10.23736/s1973-9087.17.04802-x. PubMed PMID: 28949120.

18. O'Keeffe M, Cullinane P, Hurley J, Leahy I, Bunzli S, O'Sullivan PB, et al. What Influences Patient-Therapist Interactions in Musculoskeletal Physical Therapy? Qualitative Systematic Review and Meta-Synthesis. Physical Therapy. 2016;96(5):609-22. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20150240.

19. Jesus TS, Silva IL. Toward an evidence-based patient-provider communication in rehabilitation: linking communication elements to better rehabilitation outcomes. Clinical Rehabilitation. 2015;30(4):315-28. doi: 10.1177/0269215515585133.

20. Babatunde F, MacDermid J, MacIntyre N. Characteristics of therapeutic alliance in musculoskeletal physiotherapy and occupational therapy practice: a scoping review of the literature. BMC health services research. 2017;17(1):375. doi: 10.1186/s12913-017-2311-3.

21. Fuchs T. Non-verbale Kommunikation: Phänomenologische, ent-wicklungspsy-chologi-sche und therapeutische Aspekte. Zeitschrift für Klinische Psychologie und Psychotherapie. 2003;51:333-45.

22. Geuter U. Praxis Körperpsychotherapie – 10 Prinzipien der Arbeit im therapeutischen Prozess. Berlin, Deutschland: Springer; 2019.

23. Egolf DB. Nonverbal Communication and Telerehabilitation. In: Kumar S, Cohn ER, editors. Telerehabilitation. Health Informatics. London: Springer; 2013. p. 41–54.

24. Wade SL, Raj SP, Moscato EL, Narad ME. Clinician perspectives delivering telehealth interventions to children/families impacted by pediatric traumatic brain injury. Rehabilitation Psychology. 2019;64(3):298-306. doi: 10.1037/rep0000268.

25. Lie SS, Karlsen B, Graue M, Oftedal B. The influence of an eHealth intervention for adults with type 2 diabetes on the patient– nurse relationship: a qualitative study. Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences. 2019;33(3):741-9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12671.

Oftedal B, Kolltveit B-CH, Graue M, Zoffmann V, Karlsen B, Thorne S, et al. Reconfiguring clinical communication in the electronic counselling context: The nuances of disruption. Nursing Open. 2019;6(2):393-400. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.218</u>.
 Oyake K, Suzuki M, Otaka Y, Tanaka S. Motivational Strategies for Stroke Rehabilitation: A Descriptive Cross-Sectional Study. Frontiers in Neurology. 2020;11. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00553.

28. Lequerica AH, Kortte K. Therapeutic engagement: a proposed model of engagement in medical rehabilitation. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;89(5):415-22. Epub 2010/04/22. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0b013e3181d8ceb2. PubMed PMID: 20407308.

29. Dobkin BH. Behavioral self-management strategies for practice and exercise should be included in neurologic rehabilitation trials and care. Current opinion in neurology. 2016;29(6):693-9. doi: 10.1097/wco.000000000000380. PubMed PMID: 00019052-201612000-00005.

30. Dinesen B, Nielsen G, Andreasen JJ, Spindler H. Integration of Rehabilitation Activities Into Everyday Life Through Telerehabilitation: Qualitative Study of Cardiac Patients and Their Partners. Journal of medical Internet research. 2019;21(4):e13281. Epub 2019/04/16. doi: 10.2196/13281. PubMed PMID: 30985284; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6487348.

31. Graffigna G, Barello S, Bonanomi A, Menichetti J. The Motivating Function of Healthcare Professional in eHealth and mHealth Interventions for Type 2 Diabetes Patients and the Mediating Role of Patient Engagement. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2016;2016:2974521. doi: 10.1155/2016/2974521.

32. Grünloh C, Myreteg G, Cajander Å, Rexhepi H. "Why Do They Need to Check Me?" Patient Participation Through eHealth and the Doctor-Patient Relationship: Qualitative Study. Journal of medical Internet research. 2018;20(1):e11. Epub 2018/01/18. doi: 10.2196/jmir.8444. PubMed PMID: 29335237; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5789160.

33. Lawton M, Sage K, Haddock G, Conroy P, Serrant L. Speech and language therapists' perspectives of therapeutic alliance construction and maintenance in aphasia rehabilitation post-stroke. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders. 2018;53(3):550-63. doi: 10.1111/1460-6984.12368.

34. Wilson S, Chaloner N, Osborn M, Gauntlett-Gilbert J. Psychologically informed physiotherapy for chronic pain: patient experiences of treatment and therapeutic process. Physiotherapy. 2017;103(1):98-105. doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2015.11.005.

35. Gard G. Factors important for good interaction in physiotherapy treatment of persons who have undergone torture: A qualitative study. Physiotherapy Theory and Practice. 2007;23(1):47-55. doi: 10.1080/09593980701209584.