Supplemental Online Content

Yeh RW, Shlofmitz R, Moses J, et al; AGENT IDE Investigators. Paclitaxel-coated balloon vs uncoated balloon for coronary in-stent restenosis: the AGENT IDE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2024.1361

eTable 1. AGENT IDE Investigators, Study Support, and Enrollment by Site Name

eTable 2. AGENT IDE Committees

eTable 3. Agent IDE Study Inclusion Criteria

eTable 4. Agent IDE Study Exclusion Criteria

eTable 5. Procedural and Postprocedural Outcomes

eTable 6. Antiplatelet Medication Usage Through 1-Year

eTable 7. One-Year Primary End Point Results in the Analysis Cohort

eTable 8. Stratified Primary End Point Results

eTable 9. Competing Risk Analysis for the Primary Endpoint

eTable 10. EQ-5D Scores Through 1-Year

eReferences

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

AGENT IDE Investigators and Committees

Clinical	City, State,	Primary	Primary	Site Staff (italicized names	Patients
Center	Country	Investigator (Last Name)	Investigator (First Name)	are Sub-Investigators)	Enrolled
St. Francis Hospital	Roslyn, NY, USA	Shlofmitz	Richard	Allen Jeremias, Evan Shlofmitz, George Petrossian, William Chung, Ziad Ali, Ayyaz Sultan, Elizabeth Haag, Joan Jennings, Linda Bongiovanni, Lyn Santiago, Marion Cyriac, Meghan Murray, Patricia Krug, Sierra Beck, Tatiana Potylitsina	79
Columbia University Medical Center	New York, NY, USA	Moses	Jeffrey	David Brogno, Megha Prasad, Michael Collins, Sahil Parikh, Tamin Nazif, Torsten Vahl, Vivian Ng, Ajay Kirtane, Gloria Weisz, Matthew Finn, Sanjum Sethi, Alex Kantor, Andy Morales, Candido Batres, Jeimy Rosado, Kartik Kodali, Kate Dalton, Mildrid Duran, Sarah Myoung, Torsten Vahl	49
Pinnacle Health Cardiovascular Institute	Wormleysburg , PA, USA	Bachinsky	William	Anay Pradhan, David Chang Cleon Hubbard, Torrey Schmidt, Anisa Powis, Eric Diehl, Gretchen Meise, Joanna Miller, Laura Wells, Megan Alexander	41
Cedars - Sinai Medical Center	Los Angeles, CA, USA	Dohad	Suhail	Keren Sanchez-Cervantes, Kirin Bhatia	34
Lindner Center for Research and Education at Christ Hosp	Cincinnati, OH, USA	Rudick	Steven	Puvi Seshiah, Dean Kereiakes, James Corl, James Kong, Jarrod Frizzell, Santiago Garcia, Satya Shreenivas, Timothy Henry, Timothy Smith Allison Parvizi, Anne Voorhorst, Caroline Reed, Darlene Rock, David White, Deborah Garza, Debra Paige, Denise Krabbe, Elisabeth Schwartz, Emma Ries, Jessica Ackerman, Julianne O'Brien, Katherine Gloria, Linda Pennington, Mary Kreimer, Michael Weber, Samantha Tribble, Susan Reilly, Terri Sikora, Trent Rissover, Wendy Parker	31

eTable 1. AGENT IDE Investigators, Study Support, and Enrollment by Site Name

Clinical Center	City, State, Country	Primary Investigator (Last Name)	Primary Investigator (First Name)	Site Staff (italicized names are Sub-Investigators)	Patients Enrolled
Baylor Heart & Vascular Hospital	Dallas, TX, USA	Stoler	Robert	James Choi, Ravi Vallabhan, Jeffrey Schussler, Zachary Rosol, Angela Mendez, Angela Roy, Emily Liable, Geoffery Gong, Janet Dunkerley, Jennifer Cruthis, Kim Waters, Leslie Wilcott, Madison Byrd, Rebecca Baker, Susan Aston	30
Centennial Medical Center	Nashville, TN, USA	Jefferson	Brian	Andrew Goodman, Ann Gage, Jeffrey Webber, Jonathan Riddick, Samuel Horr, Taral Patel, Abdullah Shamsuddin, Abigayle Hanna, Drew Quillen, Molly Harper, Paul Dalecke	30
Emory University Hospital	Atlanta, GA, USA	Nicholson	William	Chandon Devireddy, Gautam Kumar, Isida Byku, Khursow Niazi, Pratik Sanderesara, Wissam Jaber, Amanda Fiebach, Claudia Merlin, Farhad Jameel, Jessica Navas- Simbana, Kyle Nadler, Mary Mungai, Riley Meehan, Wei Xu	28
St. Anthony Hospital	Denver, CO, USA	Altman	John	Ahmad Alqaqa'a, Ashwin Murthy, David Halpin, Lawrence Laza, Nima Aghili Alec Timp, Alexandra Kaleugher, David Bailey, Morgan Kothlow	20
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	Boston, MA, USA	Yeh	Robert	Eric Osborn, Eric Secemsky, Hector Tamez Aguilar, Mandeep Dhadly, Marie- France Poulin, Hannah Hunsaker, Jenifer Kaufman, Lauren Lanuto, Patricia Tyler	16
South Denver Cardiology Associates, PC	Littleton, CO, USA	Bateman	Cinthia Tjan	<i>Erin Unger, Ira Dauber,</i> Andrea Kupser, Colleen Roccanova, Kathrin Siegel, Mary Soltau, Rebecca Wimmer	15
Cleveland Clinic Foundation	Cleveland, OH, USA	Krishnaswamy	Amar	Grant Reed, Abraham Lincoff, Chris Bajzer, Claire Raphael, Jaikirshan Khatri, Khaled Ziada, Samir Kapadia, Stephen Ellis, Rishi Puri, Aaron Jones, Adrienne Nadvornik, Aliyah Spates, Christina Stickan, Christine Comet, Emily Tylicki, Lydia Sweeney, Madalyn Espen, Marilyn Boros, Molly Savanick, Monica Branche, Nicole Boisvert.	15

Clinical Center	City, State, Country	Primary Investigator (Last Name)	Primary Investigator (First Name)	Site Staff (italicized names are Sub-Investigators)	Patients Enrolled
				Rhonda Robinson-Gunther, Rita Brienza	
St. Luke's Hospital of Kansas City	Kansas City, MO, USA	Grantham	J. Aaron	Dany Jacob, Adam Salisbury, Adnan Chhatriwalla, Anthony Hart, Chetan Huded, David Safley, Steven Laster, Amanda Nesbitt, Dana King, Jamie Hall, Lisa Lacy, Megan Warden	14
Heart Hospital of Austin	Austin, TX, USA	Zidar	Francis	Arthur Smith, Mark Picone, Matthew Selmon, Thomas McMinn, John Moscona, Juhana Karha, Amanda Carpenter, Amanda Daniels, Jackie Narro, Katherine Nicholas, Kelly Stewart	13
Overland Park Regional Medical Center	Overland Park, KS, USA	Sabapathy	Rajendran	Rajendran Sabapathy, Bangalore Deepak, George Pierson, Jayasheel Eshcol, Ujjaval Patel, Aaron Doonan, Chelsea Waller, Elizabeth Fulks, Joyce Dahlin, Shayna Segal, Suzanne Baldwin	13
Stanford University Medical Center	Stanford, CA, USA	Tremmel	Jennifer	David Lee, Brian Kim, William Fearon, Alan Yeung, Guson Kang, Rahul Sharma, Daniel Kim, Gloria Han, Jaclyn Milich, Linda Mireles, Melina Demokritou, Pallavi Vaidya, Pragya Tripathi, Yasaman Nourkhalaj	12
Northside Hospital	Lawrenceville, GA, USA	Grines	Cindy	Allison Dupont, Andrew Yen, Christopher Leach, Fredy El Sakr, Michele Voeltz, Philip Room, Pradyumna Tummala, Yuri Pride, Kathleen Sutter, Kimberly Kelly, Nancy Bryant, Nicole Rosonina, Tamara Wakhisi	12
University of Alabama at Birmingham	Birmingham, AL, USA	Ahmed	Mustafa	George Von Mering, Hussein Abu Daya, Dorothy Nieters, Jane Vines, Katherine Phillips, Sarah Houston,	11
Montefiore Medical Center	Bronx, NY, USA	Latib	Azeem	Judah Rauch, Dimitrios Bliagos, Juan Terre, Jose Wiley, Manaf Assafin, Mark Menegus, Yuhei Kobayashi, Daniela Cabral, Mollie Machado, Nadia Soberal, Noelle Manning, Samanta Baboolall, Sheila Davila	11

Clinical Center	City, State, Country	Primary Investigator (Last Name)	Primary Investigator (First Name)	Site Staff (italicized names are Sub-Investigators)	Patients Enrolled
Inova Fairfax Hospital	Falls Church, VA, USA	Tehrani	Behnam	Kelly Epps, Alexander Truesdell, Matthew Sherwood, Nicholas Balaji, Wayne Batchelor, Brian Moore, Diana McLean, Jean Min, Micaela Davidow, Tracy Plummer, Van Doan	10
Henry Ford Hospital	Detroit, MI, USA	Alaswad	Khaldoon	Akshay Khandelwal, Brian O'Neill, Brittany Fuller, Mir Basir, Mohammad Alqarqaz, Mohammad Zaidan, Pedro Villablanca, Tiberio Frisoli, Gerald Koenig, Margaret Fox, Melanee Schimmel, Pedro Villablanca Spinetto	9
Tufts Medical Center	Boston, MA, USA	Kimmelstiel	Carey	Noah Haroian, Michael Yin, Charlie Resor, Andrew Weintraub, Michele Esposito, Mohamad El-Zaru, Navin Kapur, Aaron Lee, Jamie Rubinstein, Paulina Baca, Richard Botto, Thayaparan Balakumar, Vilma Castaneda	9
Tallahassee Memorial Hospital	Tallahassee, FL, USA	Dixon	William	John Katopodis, Andres Estrada, Pablo Rengifo- Moreno, Brianna Everett, Dana Porter, Katherine Gearld, Nikki Robinson, Rebecca Plasay	9
Wellstar Kennestone Hospital	Marietta, GA, USA	Reitman	Arthur	Abdul Sheikh, Salvatore Mannino, Frank Corrigan, III, Jeffrey Jacob, Jennifer Cuvo, Katrina van den Brand, Michele Neese, Zamzam Kassim	9
University of California, San Diego	La Jolla, CA, USA	Ang	Lawrence	Lawrence Ang, Belal Al Khiami, Ehtisham Mahmud, Ryan Reeves, Bahman Ghannadian, David Wasserstein, Johnathan Omens, Lilian Von Husen, Melissa Suarez	8
Evanston Hospital	Evanston, IL, USA	Levisay	Justin	Mark Ricciardi, Jonathan Rosenberg, Amy Tanimoto, Bernardo Vargas, Dale Seifert, Laurene Sherman, Samantha Krause	8
Rhode Island Hospital	Providence, RI, USA	Abbott	Jinnette	Herbert Aronow, Marwan Saad, Paul Gordon, Catherine Gordon, Ellen Cerullo, Kelly Franchetti, Lori Ann DeSimone	8

Clinical Center	City, State, Country	Primary Investigator (Last Name)	Primary Investigator (First Name)	Site Staff (italicized names are Sub-Investigators)	Patients Enrolled
University of Washington Medical Center	Seattle, WA, USA	Kearney	Kathleen	Christine Chung, William Lombardi, Zachary Steinberg, Adele Stefanowicz, Emma Wampler, Jennifer Schaeffer	7
Massachusetts General Hospital	Boston, MA, USA	Jaffer	Farouc	Akl Fahed, Dahaval Kolte, Nijay Patel, Darshan Doshi, Rahul Sakhuja, Sammy Elmariah, Chethana Venkatraman, Devin Maximus	7
Wake Medical Center	Raleigh, NC, USA	Neupane	Saroj	<i>France Wood</i> , Haleigh Berst, Justin Nalley, Rhonda Norton, Taylor Wall	6
Brigham and Women's Hospital	Boston, MA, USA	Croce	Kevin	<i>Brian Bergmark,</i> Barbora Zvarova, Mickayla Royer, Taylor Munson	6
San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center	San Francisco, CA, USA	Shunk	Kendrick	Jeffrey Zimmet, Joseph Yang, Cynthia Huynh, Kathleen Stanley	6
University of Virginia Medical Center	Charlottesville , VA, USA	Taylor	Angela	Kanwar Singh, Michael Ragosta, Nishta Sodhi, Lawrence Gimple, Charlotte Pekich, Christin Henderson, Emily Guy, Linda Bryceland, Mary Knisley, Reanna Panagides, Shelly Brunk	5
Jersey Shore University Medical Center	Eatontown, NJ, USA	Saybolt	Matthew	Daniel Kiss, Edward Choi, Matthew Schoenfeld, Anne DeToro, Ian Taveras, Joanne Kushnir, Lynda Argenzio	4
Methodist North Hospital	Germantown, TN, USA	Diaz	Claro	Yenel Harper, Mohamed Morsy, Carol Jones	4
The Methodist Hospital Research Institute	Houston, TX, USA	Shah	Alpesh	Neal Kleiman, Adam Daniels, Aneesch Martin, Annalise Brisco, Carol Underwood, Danielle Gee, Deena Victor, Iris Alanis, Julie Marlatt, LaShawna Green, Padmaja Naik, Patricia Brinegar, Saba Khan, Tia McGaughy, Victoria Villanueva	3
Carondelet Medical Group	Tucson, AZ, USA	Lotun	Kapil	Lucy Pena, Rachael Taoka	3

Clinical Center	City, State, Country	Primary Investigator (Last Name)	Primary Investigator (First Name)	Site Staff (italicized names are Sub-Investigators)	Patients Enrolled
Regions Hospital	St. Paul, MN, USA	Brechtken	Johannes	Amit Sharma, Lucas Christianson, Mohammad Jameel, Stephen George, William Nelson, Allison Kehren, Aneesha Andrew, Brandon Orner, Chloe Asuncion, Corrin Thorson, David Bachman, Derek Kamal, Joshua Rosenzweig, Lucas Hale, Madelyn Blake, Michelle Orellana, Morgan MacDonald, Natasha Ahrweiler, Nathan Phan, Rebecca Floden, Wiktoria Pasek, Zuzanna Pasek	2
Bergan Cardiology	Omaha, NE, USA	Agarwal	Himanshu	Ann Narmi, Arun Kanmantha Reddy, Khagendra Dahal, Michael Del Core, Nagarjuna Gujjula, Scott Carollo, Toufîk Haddad, Barbara Lapke, Melissa Romsa	2
Ochsner Clinic Foundation	New Orleans, LA, USA	Patel	Rajan	Cherie Bourgeois, Melanie Lunn, Michael Harrison, Monique Pellegrin, Shannon Williams	1

Independent Data and Safety Monitoring Committee Name Institution W. Douglas Weaver, MD Consultant New Product Development 474 Townsend St Birmingham, MI 48009 David Parker Faxon, MD Brigham & Women's Hospital Cardiovascular Division 70 Francis Street Boston, MA 02115 USA Steven R. Bailey, MD LSU Shreveport School of Medicine 1501 Kings Highway Shreveport LA 71103-3932 Amsterdam University Medical Centers - University of Amsterdam Jan G P Tijssen, PhD Meibergdreef 9 1105AZ Amsterdam The Netherlands Helen Parise, ScD Yale University School of Medicine 135 College St New Haven, CT 06510 **Clinical Events Committee** Institution Name Joseph Kannam, MD Needham Cardiology Chair Beth Israel Deaconess - Needham 148 Chestnut Street Needham, MA 02492 Germano DiSciascio, MD Campus Bio-Medico University of Rome Via Alvaro del Portillo 200 00128 Roma Italy Claude Hanet, MD UCL CHU Mont-Godinne Service Cardiologie Avenue Therasse, 1 B-5530 Yvoir Belgium Goran Stankovic, MD Department of Cardiology Clinical Center of Serbia Visegradska 26, 11000 Belgrade Serbia **AGENT IDE Steering Committee** Name Institution Robert Yeh, MD Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Ajay Kirtane, MD Columbia University Medical Center William Bachinsky, MD Pinnacle Health Cardiovascular Institute Suhail Dohad, MD Cedars - Sinai Medical Center Robert Stoler, MD Baylor Heart & Vascular Hospital Cinthia Tjan Bateman, MD South Denver Cardiology Associates, PC Jennifer A. Tremmel, MD, MS Stanford University Medical Center J. Aaron Grantham, MD St. Luke's Hospital of Kansas City Wayne Batchelor, MD Inova Fairfax Hospital Jinette Dawn Abbott, MD Rhode Island Hospital

eTable 2. AGENT IDE Committees

Study Design

Protocol and Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP)

Submitted as separate documents.

Enrollment and Study Duration

The AGENT IDE study planned an initial enrollment of at least 480 patients in up to 40 sites in the United States, with the potential for sample size re-estimation up to a maximum of 600 randomized patients if needed (see Statistical Methods). An interim analysis for the sample size re-estimation was to be performed on the 1-year data from the first 40% (192) randomized patients of the initial enrollment of 480 patients.

Clinical follow-up was required at the following time points: in-hospital, 30 days, 6 months, 1year, and annually through 5 years post index procedure.

Patient Selection and Analysis Populations

Patients meeting all inclusion criteria and no exclusion criteria were randomized in a 2:1 allocation to either paclitaxel-coated balloon or uncoated balloon, respectively.

Clinical Inclusion Criteria	 Patient must be at least 18 years of age and eligible for PCI. Patient (or legal guardian) understands the trial requirements and the treatment procedures and provides written informed consent before any study-specific procedures are performed. Patient is willing to comply with all protocol requirements. Women of child-bearing potential must agree to use a reliable method of contraception from the time of screening through 12 months after the index procedure.
Angiographic Inclusion Criteria (Visual Estimate)	 In-stent restenosis of a lesion located in a native coronary artery with RVD >2.0 mm and ≤4.0 mm, which was previously treated with a drug-eluting or bare metal stent. Target lesion length must be <26 mm and covered by only one balloon, with stenosis >50% and <100% (symptomatic patients) or >70% and <100% (asymptomatic patients) prior to lesion pre-dilation. Target lesion must be successfully pre-dilated*. Up to 2 native coronary artery lesions in 2 major epicardial vessels may be treated; patients may have 1 target lesion, or 1 target lesion and 1 non-target lesion (in non-target vessel) treated. The non-target lesion must be treated during the index procedure prior to the treatment of the target lesion and deemed an angiographic success[†].

eTable 3. Agent IDE Study Inclusion Criteria

*Successful predilation/pretreatment refers to dilation with a balloon catheter of appropriate length and diameter, or pretreatment with directional or rotational coronary atherectomy, laser or cutting/scoring balloon with no greater than 50% residual stenosis and no dissection greater than National Heart, Lung, Blood Institute (NHLBI) type C, and TIMI flow in the target lesion must be >2

[†]Successful treatment of a non-target lesion is defined as a residual stenosis of \leq 30% in 2 near-orthogonal projections with TIMI 3 flow, as visually assessed by the physician, without the occurrence of prolonged chest pain or ECG changes consistent with MI

eTable 4. Agent IDE Study Exclusion Criteria

Clinical Exclusion Criteria	 Left ventricular ejection fraction <25%. STEMI or QWMI <72 hours prior to the index procedure. Platelet count <100,000/mm³ (risk of bleeding) or >700,000/mm³. Renal insufficiency (creatinine ≥2.0 mg/dl) or failure (dialysis dependent). Patient presently has suspected or proven COVID-19 or within the past 4 weeks with resolution of symptoms.
Angiographic Exclusion Criteria (Visual Estimate)	 Target lesion located within saphenous vein or arterial graft, or within a bifurcation with planned treatment of a side branch vessel. Unprotected left main coronary artery disease with >50% diameter stenosis. Diameter stenosis of >50% in an additional lesion proximal or distal (>2.0 mm RVD) to the target lesion. Presence of thrombus in the target vessel.

Patient Analysis Sets

All primary and additional endpoints were analyzed in an intent-to-treat (ITT) basis. All patients who signed the internal review board (IRB) approved study informed consent form (ICF) and were enrolled in the study were included in the intent-to-treat analysis according to their randomized treatment, regardless of whether or not a paclitaxel-coated balloon or an uncoated balloon was used.

Statistical Methods

Randomization

Patients were considered eligible to be enrolled in the trial after they signed the IRB approved study ICF and met all clinical inclusion and no clinical exclusion criteria. A computer-generated list of random treatment allocations (i.e., a randomization schedule) were used to assign patients to treatment in a 2:1 ratio of paclitaxel-coated balloon to uncoated balloon angioplasty. Randomization was stratified by center and single vs. multiple stent layers. Each site was allowed to enroll no more than 20% of patients of the total sample size. Investigators performing the procedure were not blinded to the assigned treatment because of different packaging of the devices. Core laboratory personnel and the clinical events committee (CEC) were blinded to a patient's treatment assignment during the trial.

Hypothesis Testing

The primary statistical hypothesis is that the rate of the primary endpoint of 1-year target lesion failure (TLF) in the paclitaxel-coated balloon group is superior to that in the uncoated balloon group. The null and alternative hypotheses for the primary endpoint are as follows:

H₀: $TLF_{PCB} \ge TLF_{UCB}$ H₁: $TLF_{PCB} < TLF_{UCB}$

where TLF_{PCB} and TLF_{UCB} correspond to the rates of 1-year TLF for the paclitaxel-coated balloon group and uncoated balloon group, respectively.

A z-test with unpooled variance for the difference of two proportions was used for testing for the primary endpoint, as described in the SAP. The primary analysis population for the primary endpoint was the ITT analysis set.

Adaptive Design and Sample Size Calculation

The statistical approach uses an adaptive group sequential design¹ with one planned formal interim analysis of the primary endpoint for the sole purpose of sample size re-estimation. The interim analysis was performed by the data and safety monitoring committee (DSMC) based on the 1-year data from the first 40% (192) of the randomized patients. As per the SAP, the study was not to be stopped early after this interim analysis; an interim alpha spending was therefore not required.

A final primary endpoint analysis with the alpha of 0.025 was performed on the final sample size from the sample size re-estimation strategy recommended by the DMSB.

The sample size calculation for the primary endpoint was based on the following assumptions:

- Expected mean TLF_{PCB} = 10.6% (based on meta-analysis of historical trials² and including an adjustment to account for the occulo-stenotic reflex³)
- Expected mean $TLF_{UCB} = 21.2\%$ (based on meta-analysis of historical trials² and including an adjustment to account for the occulo-stenotic reflex³)
- Test significance level (α) = 2.5% (1-sided)
- $Power^* = 85\%$
- Randomization ratio = 2 PCB: 1 UCB
- Number of evaluable patients per arm = 310 (paclitaxel-coated balloon) and 155 (uncoated balloon)
- Expected attrition rate = 3%
- Total planned enrollment = 480 patients

where TLF_{PCB} and TLF_{UCB} correspond to the rates of 1-year TLF for the paclitaxel-coated balloon group and uncoated balloon group, respectively.

*the power is the overall study power for the sample size re-estimation.

The sample size increase was limited to a maximum of 600 and the calculation was performed by using the Chen, DeMets, and Lan (CDL) method⁴ such that the conventional z-test with unpooled variance for the difference of two proportions would be used for the final analysis. The sample space of the possible interim outcome was partitioned into 3 zones: unfavorable, promising, and favorable. The sample size increase was only to be performed if the conditional power (CP), defined as the probability of obtaining a positive outcome at the end of the trial, lay in the promising zone. The unfavorable zone, promising zone and favorable zone in this trial were defined as the observed conditional power at the interim analysis being less than the minimum CP (CP_{min} = 0.46), in the interval [CP_{min}, CP_{target} = 0.85] and being greater than the CP_{target} = 0.85, respectively.

AGENT IDE Endpoints

Primary Endpoint

The primary endpoint was the rate of 1-year TLF, defined as any ischemia-driven revascularization of the target lesion (TLR), myocardial infarction (MI) related to the target vessel, or cardiac death. The MI events include the periprocedural MI according to the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Intervention definition⁵ (SCAI), and spontaneous MI occurring 48 hours after the index procedure was adjudicated according to the 4th Universal definition of MI⁶.

Additional Prespecified Endpoints

Clinical endpoints:

- TLR, TLF and target vessel revascularization (TVR)
- Target vessel failure (TVF)
- MI (Q-wave and non–Q-wave); periprocedural MI per the SCAI definition⁵ and spontaneous MI per the 4th Universal definition⁵
- Cardiac death
- Non-cardiac death
- All-cause death
- Stent Thrombosis (per Academic Research Consortium [ARC] definitions⁷)

These endpoints were measured in hospital and at 30 days, 6 months, 12 months, then annually through 5 years. All reported events of death, MI, target vessel revascularization (TVR) and stent thrombosis (ST) were adjudicated by an independent CEC.

Periprocedural endpoint:

- Technical success was defined as successful crossing and dilation of the lesion, without balloon rupture, and post-procedure diameter stenosis of <30% in 2 near-orthogonal projections with TIMI 3 flow in the target lesion, as visually assessed by the physician.
- Clinical procedural success was defined as post-procedure diameter stenosis <30% in 2 near-orthogonal projections with TIMI 3 flow in the target lesion, as visually assessed by the physician, without the occurrence of in-hospital MI, TVR, or cardiac death.

Change in Quality of Life:

• Functional status of general health-related quality of life was measured by changes in EQ-5D scores at hospital discharge, 1-year, 2 years, and 3 years.

Supplementary Results

eTable 5. Procedural and Postprocedural Outcomes^a

Characteristic	Paclitaxel-Coated	Uncoated Balloon	P Value
	Balloon (n = 406)	(n = 194)	
No. of Lesions	407	194	
Procedural Outcomes			
Clinical Procedural Success, no. (%)	374 (92.1)	172 (88.7)	.17
Technical Success, no./total no. (%) ^b	380/407 (93.4)	174/194 (89.7)	.12
Procedure Time, Mean (SD) (n), min	56.2 (29.8) (402)	53.2 (27.1) (193)	.23
Patients with only target lesion treated, no.	355 (87.4)	168 (86.6%)	.77
(%)			
Patients with both target and non-target lesion	51 (12.6%)	26 (13.4%)	.77
treated, no. (%)			
Intravascular imaging usage any time during	294 (72.4)	149 (76.8)	.30
procedure ^b , no. (%)			
Bailout Stenting, no./total no. (%)	3/407 (0.7)	1/194 (0.5)	>.99
Ancillary device usage per lesion ^c ,			
no./total no. (%)			
Balloon angioplasty catheter	359/407 (88.2)	167/194 (86.1)	.46
Cutting balloon	102/407 (25.1)	46/194 (23.7)	.72
Scoring balloon	71/407 (17.4)	17/194 (8.8)	.01
Drug eluting stent	2/407 (0.5)	3/194 (1.5)	.34
Bare metal stent	0/407 (0.0)	0/194 (0.0)	Undefined
Angiographic Post-Procedural Outcomes,			
Mean (SD) (no.)			
Hospital length of stay ^d , days	0.59 (0.93) (406)	0.72 (1.75) (194)	.24
Minimum Lumen Diameter, mm			
In-lesion ^e	2.10 (0.45) (400)	2.13 (0.49) (193)	.56

Characteristic	Paclitaxel-Coated	Uncoated Balloon	P Value
	Balloon (n = 406)	(n = 194)	
In-segment ^f	2.24 (0.45) (384)	2.28±0.49 (181)	.41
% Diameter Stenosis			
In-lesion ^e	22.64±10.30 (399)	22.47±10.32 (192)	.85
In-segment ^f	17.16±11.69 (383)	16.41±12.07 (180)	.48
Acute Gain ^g , mm			
In-lesion ^e	1.15±0.45 (398)	1.22±0.50 (189)	.12
In-segment ^f	1.30±0.44 (382)	1.37±0.50 (177)	.07

All entries are n (%) or n/N (%) [when N differs from column N due to missing values], unless otherwise noted. ^aTwo-sided P values calculated with χ^2 or Fisher exact tests or t test.

^bOne patient in the DCB arm had two target lesions treated with DCB that was counted as a protocol deviation.

^ePer lesion values (194 lesions in the uncoated balloon group and 407 lesions in the paclitaxel-coated balloon group) ^dSite reported.

^eIn-lesion refers to treated segment.

^fIn-segment refers to total treated segment (including proximal and distal 5 mm edges to the treated segments).

^gAcute Gain = Post-procedure MLD – Pre-procedure MLD.

Medication	Paclitaxel-Coated Balloon	Uncoated Balloon	P Value
	n = 406	n = 194	
	no./total n	10. (%)	
Aspirin			
Discharge	390/406 (96.1)	185/194 (95.4)	.69
30 Days	368/400 (92.0)	174/190 (91.6)	.86
6 Months	349/391 (89.3)	168/190 (88.4)	.76
12 Months	324/373 (86.9)	152/176 (86.4)	.87
Clopidogrel			
Discharge	269/406 (66.3)	128/194 (66.0)	.95
30 Days	269/400 (67.3)	124/190 (65.3)	.63
6 Months	252/391 (64.5)	119/190 (62.6)	.67
12 Months	237/373 (63.5)	105/176 (59.7)	.38
Ticlopidine			
Discharge	0/406 (0.0)	0/194 (0.0)	Undefined
30 Days	0/400 (0.0)	0/190 (0.0)	Undefined
6 Months	0/391 (0.0)	0/190 (0.0)	Undefined
12 Months	0/373 (0.0)	0/176 (0.0)	Undefined
Prasugrel			
Discharge	86/406 (21.2)	36/194 (18.6)	.45
30 Days	83/400 (20.8)	35/190 (18.4)	.51
6 Months	79/391 (20.2)	32/190 (16.8)	.33
12 Months	66/373 (17.7)	27/176 (15.3)	.49
Ticagrelor			
Discharge	51/406 (12.6)	33/194 (17.0)	.14
30 Days	46/400 (11.5)	30/190 (15.8)	.15
6 Months	44/391 (11.3)	28/190 (14.7)	.23

eTable 6. Antiplatelet Medication Usage Through 1-Year^a

Medication	Paclitaxel-Coated Balloon	Uncoated Balloon	P Value
	n = 406	n = 194	
12 Months	38/373 (10.2)	28/176 (15.9)	.05
Clopidogrel, Ticlopidine, Prasugrel			
or Ticagrelor			
Discharge	404/406 (99.5)	194/194 (100.0)	>.99
30 Days	397/400 (99.3)	187/190 (98.4)	.39
6 Months	375/391 (95.9)	178/190 (93.7)	.24
12 Months	340/373 (91.2)	159/176 (90.3)	.76
Aspirin and one of Clopidogrel,			
Ticlopidine, Prasugrel or Ticagrelor			
Discharge	389/406 (95.8)	185/194 (95.4)	.79
30 Days	365/400 (91.3)	172/190 (90.5)	.77
6 Months	335/391 (85.7)	158/190 (83.2)	.43
12 Months	297/373 (79.6)	137/176 (77.8)	.63

All entries are n (%) or n/N (%) [when N differs from column N due to missing values], unless otherwise noted.

 $^aTwo\mbox{-sided}$ P values are calculated with χ^2 or Fisher exact tests.

	Paclitaxel-	Uncoated	Difference	One-sided	Superiority
	Coated balloon	Balloon	(95% CI)	97.5% UCB	P Value
	n = 321	n = 159			
Target Lesion			11 1%		
Failure, no./total	18.2% (55/302)	29.3% (44/150)	-11.170	-2.6%	.0051
no. (%)			(-19.07010-2.0%)		

eTable 7. One-Year Primary End Point Results in the Analysis Cohort (N=480 Patients)

The primary study hypothesis pre-specified binary analyses.

The primary end point of 1-year target lesion failure is defined as the composite of ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization, target vessel-related myocardial infarction, or cardiac death.

One-sided P-value and confidence interval (CI) are from z-test with unpooled variance for the difference of two proportions.

Abbreviations: UCB, upper confidence bound.

Target Lesion Failure	Hazard Ratio	95% Hazaro	d Ratio	P Value
at 1-Year	(Paclitaxel-coated balloon	Confidence Limits		
	vs. uncoated balloon)			
Without adjustment	0.59	0.42	0.84	.0037
Adjusted by stent layer and clinical sites	0.62	0.43	0.89	.0102

eTable 8. Stratified Primary End Point Results (N=600 Patients)

Time to event analysis. P-value from Cox Regression.

The primary end point of 1-year target lesion failure is defined as the composite of ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization, target vessel-related myocardial infarction, or cardiac death.

Target Lesion Failure at	Paclitaxel-coated balloon	Uncoated balloon	P Value
1-Year	(n=406)	(n=194)	
Cumulative Incidence Function	17.9% (14.3%, 21.8%)	28.5% (22.2%, 35.1%)	.004

eTable 9. Competing Risk Analysis for the Primary Endpoint (N=600)

Primary endpoint results based on the cumulative incidence function to account for the competing risk of noncardiac death.

P-value from Gray's test.

The primary end point of 1-year target lesion failure is defined as the composite of ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization, target vessel-related myocardial infarction, or cardiac death.

Measure	Paclitaxel-Coated Balloon	Uncoated Balloon	P Value
	n = 426	N = 194	
	Mean (SD) (n)		
Baseline			
EQ-5D Index Score Summary	0.83 (0.15) (404)	0.82 (0.15) (194)	.37
EQ-5D VAS Score Summary	68.68 (19.12) (404)	69.82 (19.36) (194)	.49
Discharge			
EQ-5D Index Score Summary	0.87 (0.15) (400)	0.85 (0.16) (192)	.22
% Change from Baseline	7.08 (27.74) (398)	5.66 (22.44) (192)	.54
EQ-5D VAS Score Summary	75.47 (18.82) (400)	74.53 (19.48) (192)	.57
% Change from Baseline	13.67 (34.51) (398)	11.25 (34.58) (191)	.43
12 Months			
EQ-5D Index Score Summary	0.86 (0.15) (363)	0.84 (0.19) (172)	.22
% Change from Baseline	5.07 (23.81) (361)	3.08 (30.65) (172)	.41
EQ-5D VAS Score Summary	75.45 (16.66) (363)	73.40 (18.04) (172)	.19
% Change from Baseline	23.39 (121.90) (361)	13.56 (56.11) (171)	.32

eTable 10. EQ-5D Scores Through 1-Year^a

^aTwo-sided P values are calculated with t test.

Abbreviation: VAS, visual analog scale

eReferences

- 1. Mehta CR, Pocock SJ. Adaptive increase in sample size when interim results are promising: A practical guide with examples. Stat Med 2011;30:3267–84.
- 2. Jeger RV, Eccleshall S, Wan Ahmad WA, et al. Drug-Coated Balloons for Coronary Artery Disease: Third Report of the International DCB Consensus Group. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2020;13:1391–402.
- 3. Uchida T, Popma J, Stone GW, et al. The Clinical Impact of Routine Angiographic Follow-Up in Randomized Trials of Drug-Eluting Stents: A Critical Assessment of "Oculostenotic" Reintervention in Patients With Intermediate Lesions. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2010;3:403–11.
- 4. Chen YHJ, DeMets DL, Lan KKG. Increasing the sample size when the unblinded interim result is promising. Stat Med 2004;23:1023–38.
- Moussa ID, Klein LW, Shah B, et al. Consideration of a New Definition of Clinically Relevant Myocardial Infarction After Coronary Revascularization: An Expert Consensus Document From the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions (SCAI). J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:1563–70.
- 6. Thygesen Kristian, Alpert Joseph S., Jaffe Allan S., et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:2231–64.
- 7. Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, et al. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. Circulation 2007;115:2344–51.