Stem Cell Reports, Volume <sup>19</sup>

#### Supplemental Information

# MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb mesenchyme-like cells as an efficient stem cell

#### source for human cartilage regeneration

Yuansong Liao, Fanchen Kang, Jingfei Xiong, Kun Xie, Mingxu Li, Ling Yu, Yuqing Wang, Hanyi Chen, Guogen Ye, Yike Yin, Weihua Guo, Haoyang Cai, Qing Zhu, and Zhonghan Li

### Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells isolated from the selected stages could form bone-like tissues under the kidney **S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong expenerative capability.** (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5 The expression of MSX1 was located in the interdigit Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located **MSX1<sup>+</sup>** mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong gitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5 cated in the interdigital regions. Scale bars: 200  $\mu$ m. (B) I stages could form bone-like tissues under the kid Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>the interdigital regions. Scale bars: 200 µm. (B)<br>could form bone-like tissues under the kidney<br>ors by cell sorting; Right: representa Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bare regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells. Representative images of HE, Alcian blue, and alizarin red staining were shown. **S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong enerative capability.** (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5 The expression of MSX1 was located in the interdigital Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bane regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located nal **progenitors exhibited strong**<br>the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>tal regions. Scale bars: 200 µm. (B)<br>bone-like tissues under the kidney<br>vrting; Right: representative images of<br>from the corresponding stages. Scale<br>d b Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors e<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at Estages. The expression of MSX1<sup>+</sup> acelected stages could form bone-like ti imb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>pability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at  $E13.5/E14.5/E16.5$ <br>from the selected stages could form bone-like tissues under the kidney<br>of primary Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br> **Elone-like tissues.** The expression of MSX1 was located in the interdigital regions. Scale bars: 200  $\mu$ m. (B)<br>
MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells isolate Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br> **Elone regenerative capability**. (A) Longitudinal sections of the indrodited in the interdigital regions. Scale bars: 200 µm, (B)<br>
MSX1 Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong<br>bone regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13.5/E14.5/E16.5<br>stages. The expression of MSX1 was located Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong behall sequent were particle in the interdigital regions. Scale bars: 200 μm. (B) stages. The expression of MSX1 vas located in the inte Figure S1. Primary limb bud-derived MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibene regenerative capability. (A) Longitudinal sections of the hind limb at E13. Stages. The expression of MSX1 was located in the interdigital regio



Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) Immunostaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cell Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) Immunostaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cell Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) Immunostaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cell nesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>ing characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>cells from different developmental stages. The markers<br>: 25 µm (SOX9, COL II, RUNX2, and SP7) and 30 µm<br>). (B) Quantitative Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) Immunostaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cell Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) Immunostaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cell **Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone**<br>**regenerative capability**. (A) Immunostaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> exhibited strong bone<br>cochondral markers in the<br>nental stages. The markers<br>NX2, and SP7) and 30 µm<br>f osteochondral markers in<br>cells had the most efficient<br>ions of three independent<br>up, mean ± SD). Statistical<br>the two group Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) Immunostaining characterization of ostecchondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cell Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone<br>regenerative capability. (A) liminionstaining characterization of osteochondral markers in the<br>regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> ce **Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone regenerative capability.** (A) Immunostaining characterization of ostecohondral markers in the regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> ce Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells from different developmental stages. The markers in the regenerated bone-like tissues using **Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells from different developmental stages. The markers in the regenerated bone-like tissues usin** Figure S2. E10.5 limb bud MSX1<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal progenitors exhibited strong bone regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells from different developmental stages. The markers regenerated bone-like tissues using MSX1<sup>+</sup>





Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>tow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the  $E10.5$  MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal **Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell composition with strong bone regenerative capability.** (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor cells highly expressed mesenchymal **Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor cells highly expressed mesenchymal mak** ne key cell<br>
the progenitor<br>
divides the product of the product<br>
), LM cells<br>
), LM cells<br>
apability of<br>
sule of the<br>
Alcian blue<br>
esis. Scale<br>
of bono like  $(Lhx2^+ Lhx9^+ Dusp6^+ Shox2^+)$ . (E) Confirmation of the bone is identified MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>iow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor<br>ymal makers  $Prrx2$  and  $Twist1$ . (B) UMAP plots of mesenchymal<br>bsets (LPM and LM cells) for dow **Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor<br>cells highly expressed meschanyinal ma Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>(ww</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progention<br>cells highly expresed mesenchymal make Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>tow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell composition with strong bone regenerative capability.** (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitoral cells highly expressed mesenchymal **Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1°PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>cells highly expressed mesenentymal makers**  $P\eta rX^2$  **and**  $Twist1$ **. (B) UMAP plots of mesenchymal<br>cells exhibited the two major subsets Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>
Formposition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* pogenitor<br>
cells highly expressed mesenchymal m Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell composition with strong hone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor cells highly expresend meschrightal ma Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>tow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>
Formposition with strong phone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor<br>
cells highly expressed mesenchymal** Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>fow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>collopsition with strong bone regonerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenic<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal maker Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>tow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor<br>cells hightly expressed mesenchymal mak Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>tow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal make Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>(ow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> progenitor<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRAl<sup>ow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>composition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progenitor<br>cells hightly expressed mesenchymal mak Figure S4. ScRNA-seq analysis identified MSX1\*PDGFRA<sup>tow</sup> limb bud cells as the key cell<br>collposition with strong bone regenerative capability. (A) Most of the E10.5 MSX1\* progention<br>cells highly expressed mesenchymal mak **Expective the experiments (mean ± CDC)** Statistics: One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey by System (mean the statistic of the CD.5 MSX1° progenitor<br>cells highly expersed mesnchynal makers *Prrx2* and Twist<sup>1</sup>. (B) UMAP plots o cells highly expressed mesenchymal makers *Prrx*2 and *Twist1*. (B) UMAP plots of mesenchymal highly expressed mesenchymal makers *Prrx*2 and *Twist1*. (B) UMAP plots of mesenchymal Packing technical explicited the two maj



Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs. (A) UMAP plots of gene expression<br>profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the<br>expression of PDGFRA, TBX4, and SOX9, clust **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression<br>profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the<br>expression of PDGFRA, TBX4, and SOX9, clu Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs. (A) UMAP plots of gene expression<br>profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the<br>expression of PDGFRA, TBX4, and SOX9, clus Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs. (A) UMAP plots of gene expression<br>profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the<br>expression of *PDGFRA*, *TBX4*, and SOX9, c **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*, *TBX4*, and *SOX9* Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs. (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*, TBX4, and SOX9, clu **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*, *TBX4*, and SOX9 **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*, *TBX4*, and SOX9, **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression  $PDOFRRA$ ,  $TBXA$ , and  $SOX9$ , clu **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*, *TBX4*, and SOX9 **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*, TBX4, and SOX9, **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression profiles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the expression of *PDGFRA*,  $TBXA$ , and SOX9, **nalysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression in limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell clusters. Based on the BX4, and SOX9, clusters 0 and 3 were considered to be hindlimb cells then with the ide **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plots of gene expression expression expression of PDGFRA, TBX4, and SOX9, clusters 0 and 3 were considered to be hindlimb cells expression of PDGFRA, TBX4, an **Figure S5. ScRNA-seq analysis of 5 WPC human limbs.** (A) UMAP plopfelles for primary human limb bud cells at 5 WPC identified 16 cell c propression of *PDGFRA*, *TBX4*, and SOX9, clusters 0 and 3 were consider (red dashe



Figure S6. Generation of the  $MSX1P2A-tdTomato$  knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in<br>hPSCs. (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted<br>into the human  $MSX1$  loci by homolo **Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSXf^{P2A\cdot tdTomato}$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in hPSCs.** (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the human  $MSX1$  loci by h **Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSX1P2A\text{-}tdTomato}$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in<br>hPSCs. (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted<br>into the human**  $MSX1$  **loci by Figure S6. Generation of the** *MSX1<sup>P2A-tdTomato* **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in hPSCs.</mark> (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the human** *MSX1* **loci**</sup> **Figure S6. Generation of the** *MSX1P2A-teTomate* **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in hPSCs. (A)** Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the human *MSX1* loci **Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSXfP2A\cdot4dTomato$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in hPSCs.** (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the human  $MSX1$  loci by ho (tdTomato<sup>+</sup>) cells following different treatments with small molecules and growth factors under 2D **Generation of the**  $MSX1P2A\cdot dTomato$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in**) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted man  $MSX1$  loci by homologous recombination. (B) The **Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSX1P2A\cdot tdTomate$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in<br>
hPSCs. (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted<br>
into the human**  $MSX1$  **loci by h Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSXf^{P2A+dTomato}$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in**  $h$ **PSCs. (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the loci behind exon2 of h Figure S6. Generation of the** *MSX1P24-dTomalo* **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in**  $\mathsf{HPSCs}$ **. (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the human** *MSX1* **lo Figure S6. Generation of the** *MSX*<sup>FP2A-teTomato **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in <br><b>FIPSCs.** (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted<br>into the human *MSX1*</sup> **Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSXf^{PA-adj}$  and  $K$  mock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in  $h$ **PSCs.** (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the loci behind exo **Figure S6. Generation of the**  $MSXf^{P2A+dTomate}$  **knock-in cell line induction of LM-like cells in thPSCs.** (A) Schematic procedure of cell line construction. A P2A-tdTomato cassette was inserted into the human  $MSX7$  toci by h

### Supplemental Tables









Table S3. Primers of qRT-PCR used in this article were listed, related to Result and<br>Experimental procedures sections.

#### **Supplemental experimental procedures**

#### **Mouse strains and animal care**

All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University. All animals were maintained under standardized conditions with the temperature- and light-controlled (25 °C, 12 h light/dark cycle), in individually ventilated cages, and had free access to food and water. Knock-in C57BL6-*Msx1P2A-tdTomato* mice and *H11-ZsGreen* mice were custom-generated by Biocytogen, Inc. (Beijing). Mouse offspring from these strains were routinely genotyped using standard PCR protocols. C57BL6 wt mice and NOD-SCID mice were purchased from Gempharmatech Co., Ltd (Chengdu). C57BL6 wt mice were used as recipients for renal subcapsular and articular cartilage transplantation of mouse MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells, while NOD-SCID mice were used as recipients for articular cartilage transplantation of differentiated human LPM- and LMlike cells.

#### **Flow cytometry**

Primary mouse  $MSX1^+$  (tdTomato<sup>+</sup>) cells were sorted by BD FACS Aria II (BD Biosciences, USA). To identify the surface markers, compact bone derived-MSCs were stained with anti-CD29/CD105/CD44/CD45/SCA-1/CD11b (R&D systems, Cat# SC018), CD31 (Invitrogen, Cat# 11-031182), CD34 (Invitrogen, Cat# 11-5981-82) antibodies at  $4 \text{ }^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. After washing in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)  $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$ , secondary antibodies were incubated for 30 min at room temperature (except for CD31 and CD34 staining). These cells were suspended in FACS sorting buffer after washing them in PBS  $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$ .

 Dissociation of mouse hindlimb cells and the differentiated human day 8 cells was performed with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (ThermoFisher, Cat# 25200072) at 37 °C for 5 min. Then digestion was terminated by adding a complete medium (DMEM + 15% FBS (Gibco, Cat#  $10099-141$ ) + 1% Glutamax + 1% NEAA + 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol + 1% Pen-Strep (Gibco, Cat# 15140122)). After centrifuged at 450 g for 3 min and resuspended in PBS, the collected cells were stained with anti-PDGFRA (Biolegend, Cat#) 135907 (for mouse cells); Cat# 323512 (for human cells), 1: 100) antibodies on ice and then prepared in FACS sorting buffer  $(1 \times PBS$  with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# A9418-100G) for subsequent sorting. FlowJo (v10) software was used for analyzing the flow cytometry data.

#### **Renal subcapsular transplantation of mouse MSX1<sup>+</sup> hindlimb cells**

E10.5/E11.5/E13.5/E14.5/E16.5 *Msx1P2A-tdTomato* hindlimbs were dissected and transferred to PBS. After centrifuging and removing the supernatant, 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA was added to digest these limb buds into single cells. Following incubation at 37 °C for 5 min, digestion was terminated by adding the complete medium. After centrifugation at 450 g for 3 min, the collected cells were resuspended in the FACS sorting buffer. Flow cytometry was performed on BD FACS Aria II, with wt hindlimb cells being used as a negative control.  $1.5 \times 10^5$  sorted MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells at all stages were embedded in collagen I (Advanced BioMatrix, Cat# P5005) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h in the complete medium. Before transplantation, clumps of these  $MSX1^+$  cells were stripped from the collagen. Then 8-week C57BL6 wt mice were anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of Avertin (1.25 g 2, 2, 2-Tribromoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# T48402) + 2.5 mL 2-Methyl-2-butanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#  $721123$ ) + 97.5 mL UP H2O) and the skin was disinfected with 75% ethanol. After making a 0.5 cm longitudinal incision in the upper skin and muscle, the kidney was observed and a small incision was made near the kidney pole to separate the capsule from the renal parenchyma (Nakao et al., 2007). Then clumps of MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells were transplanted into the kidney capsule under a dissecting microscope. Finally, the mice were placed in sterile cages after the skin was closed with Michel's clamps. Three weeks posttransplantation, grafts were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4  $\rm{°C}$  for 24 h and then dehydrated in 30% sucrose at 4  $\rm{°C}$  for more than 48 h. Grafts were then sectioned under a -20  $\degree$ C condition to get frozen sections and stained by H&E (Solarbio, Cat# G1120-3), Masson (Solarbio,

Cat# G1346), alizarin red (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# A5533), and Alcian blue (Sigma, Cat# A3157) to demonstrate bone sand cartilage differentiation using manufacturer's protocol.

#### **Articular cartilage repair**

The method of isolating compact bone-derived MSCs has been described previously in detail (Zhu et al., 2010). Mouse  $MSX1^+$  cells,  $MSCs$ , and the differentiated human cells were embedded in collagen I and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C in the corresponding medium (mouse MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells were cultured in the complete medium, MSCs were cultured in  $\alpha$ -MEM (Gibco, Cat# C12571500BT) containing 10% FBS and 1% Pen-Strep, human day 8 cells were cultured in LM medium) for 24 h before transplantation. Cell aggregates needed to be dissected with part of collagen I before the defect sites were prepared.

 8 weeks old C57BL6 male mice were used in the surgery to make cartilage lesions. The transplantation protocols had been described previously in detail (Fitzgerald, Rich, et al. 2008). Briefly, the mice were anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of Avertin, the hair was clipped over the right knee, then the skin was disinfected, and the animals were placed under a dissecting microscope. A small (0.5-1 cm) skin incision was made above the patella, then the joint capsule was opened, and the patella was luxated laterally to expose the trochlear groove articular surface. For the full-thickness lesion, a circular 0.8 mm defect was conducted in the cartilage with a 23G needle using a circular motion until the subchondral bone was reached (or blood appeared flowing removal of the needle). The cell aggregate was then removed into the cartilage defect. The joint capsule was closed with absorbable 8-0 suture and the skin was closed with a 4-0 suture. The mice were allowed to recover in clean, corncob-lined boxes. There was no evidence of mice lameness or systemic effects for the duration of the experiment. Three or eight weeks later, the transplanted femurs were isolated, and histological analysis was followed.

#### **Immunostaining**

Before staining, frozen sections  $(6 \mu m)$  of renal transplantation were washed in PBS gently for 3 min. After being treated with antigen retrieval solution (Solarbio, Cat# C1035), permeabilization was conducted with  $0.3\%$ PBST (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) (except for membrane proteins), followed by blocking with 5% BSA. Then sections were stained with anti-SOX9 (HUABIO, Cat# ET1611-56, 1: 200), COL II (Invitrogen, Cat# MA5-12789, 1: 200), RUNX2 (HUABIO, Cat# ET1612-47, 1: 200), SP7 (Abcam, Cat# ab209484, 1:200), NESTIN (HUABIO, Cat# R1510-19, 1: 200), S100β (HUABIO, Cat# ET1610-3, 1: 200), CD31 (Invitrogen, Cat# 11-0311-82, 1: 200), CD34 (HUABIO, Cat# ET606-11, 1: 200), SCA-1 (Invitrogen, Cat# 11-5981-82, 1: 100) antibodies at 4  $\degree$ C overnight. After

washing in PBS  $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$ , secondary antibodies were incubated for 1 h at room temperature (except for the direct staining of SCA-1 and CD31 staining). Slides were mounted with DAPI (ZSGB-BIO, Cat# ZLI-9557) when secondary antibodies had been cleaned with PBS  $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$ .

Paraffin sections  $(5 \mu m)$  of articular repair samples were first dewaxed in xylene for 20 min, then rehydrated with 100%, 95%, 80%, 70%, and 50% alcohol gradients (each for 5 min) and immersed in PBS for 3 min. Later, these slides were treated with an antigen retrieval solution of pepsin (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# R2283) and incubated at  $37 \text{ °C}$  for  $30 \text{ min}$ . Next, endogenous peroxidase was removed by  $3\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 15 min. When sections had been washed with PBS  $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$ , slides were stained with anti-COL II antibody at 4 ℃ overnight. A secondary antibody (ZSGB-BIO, Cat# ZLI-9018) was added to the slides and incubated at room temperature for 1 h when the primary antibody had been removed by PBS  $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$ . After cleaning the secondary antibody, DAB and hematoxylin staining were followed. At last, the sections were dehydrated with 80%, 95%, 100% alcohol, and xylene successively, then sealed with Mounting Medium. Alcian blue staining was also required on the rehydrated slides. Images were acquired with Leica TCS SP5II, Olympus VS200, and Wisleap WS-10 and analyzed by Image J software.

#### **Single-cell preparation and scRNA sequencing of E10.5 MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells**

About 20 hindlimb buds were dissected from E10.5 *Msx1P2A-tdTomato* mice. These limb buds were dissociated into single cells first and resuspended in PBS with  $1\%$  BSA. The sorted MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells were both counted and adjusted to the concentration of about  $1 \times 10^6$ /mL. Then the suspension was centrifuged at 550 g for 5 min at 4 °C and repeated twice. Cells were counted and cell viability was confirmed by Countess II Automated Cell Counter (Thermo Fisher, Cat# AMQAX1000). Samples were then used for single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) with the 10x Genomics system (Library preparation and sequencing were performed by Berry Genomics Inc, Beijing).

#### **Processing of scRNA-seq raw sequencing data**

The CellRanger software was obtained from the 10x Genomics website (https://www.10xgenomics.com/software). Alignment, filtering, barcode counting, and UMI counting were performed with the cell ranger count module to generate a feature-barcode matrix. scRNA-seq data of E10.5  $MSX1<sup>+</sup>$  mesenchymal progenitors has been submitted to the GEO database (GEO: GSE232586).

## **Reduction, clustering, and identification of differentially expressed genes in E10.5 mouse limb**

The feature-barcode matrix was subsequently processed using R and

Seurat v4.2 package. We discarded cells that have unique features of fewer than 2200 and have counts of fewer than 6000. Subsequently, low-quality genes were identified as being expressed in less than 3 cells. The gene expression levels for each cell were normalized by the total expression, multiplied by a default size factor of 10,000, and log-transformed. Cell cycle effects were regressed with Seurat's function "ScaleData" using cell cycle markers. The dimensionality of the data was reduced by principal component analysis (PCA) (17 components) first and then data were clustered and visualized with UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) on the 17 principal components (resolution  $= 0.7$ ). Finally, the 7 cell clusters  $(LM, LPM, AER, SMCs, MCs, VECs, and Others)$  were then identified through cell-cluster-specific gene markers.

#### **LPM and LM pseudotime analysis of mouse and human limb bud**

Pseudotemporal ordering of LPM and LM cells was done with Monocle 3 v1.2.9. The data was further processed using UMAP with default parameters. A cluster graph was then created and partitioned to deduce disconnected trajectories. Subsequently, a principal graph in the lowdimensional space was generated and the pseudotime was calculated as the geodesic distance.

#### **ScRNA-seq analysis of human 5 WPC limb**

The processing of scRNA-seq raw sequencing data was consistent with mouse E10.5 data. The feature-barcode matrix was subsequently processed using R and Seurat v4.2 package.

 We discarded cells that had unique features of fewer than 1,000 and had mitochondrial count percentages of more than 10%, low-quality genes were identified as being expressed in less than 3 cells. The gene expression levels for each cell were normalized by the total expression, multiplied by a default size factor of 10,000, and log-transformed. Cell cycle effects were regressed with Seurat's function "ScaleData" using cell cycle markers. Human 5 WPC limb scRNA-seq data of cs13 and cs15 (He et al., 2021) were integrated into a whole dataset according to Seurat's function "RunCCA". The dimensionality of the data was reduced by principal component analysis (PCA) (30 components) first and then data were clustered and visualized with UMAP on the 30 principal components (resolution  $= 0.4$ ). Then we identified hindlimbs and separated LPM, and LM for downstream pseudotime analysis. AER cells were also characterized for Cellchat analysis.

#### **Transcriptome analysis by RNA sequencing**

RNA sequencing was conducted to investigate the gene expression profiles of the differentiated human day 8 MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>low</sup> and  $MSX1+PDGFRA+$  cells for FGF8+10 and Mock groups (n = 2), and 150 base pair paired-end reads were generated. The adaptors and low-quality reads from the raw reads of each sample were trimmed to obtain clean reads. The clean reads were mapped against the human genome (GRCh38) using HISAT2 v2.1.0. The expression level of each gene was quantified guided by reference annotation (GRCh38.104) using feature Counts v1.6.4. The PCA was analyzed and visualized by DESeq2 packages. RNA-seq data have been submitted to the GEO database (GEO: GSE232586).

#### **Cell culture of hPSCs**

Before the thawing, culture, and passaging of hPSCs, cell culture plates were coated with Matrigel (Corning, Cat# 354230) with a concentration of 1 mg/12mL in DMEM/F12 (Gibco, Cat# C11330500) at 37 °C for at least 1 h. Then the H1-hPSCs with clumps state were cultured and maintained in Pluripotency Growth Master 1 medium (PGM1, CELLAPY, Cat# CA1007500) in an incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. When attaining subconfluency, the cells were dissociated into small clumps with TrypLE (Gibco, Cat#  $12605028$ ) and suspended in a PGM1 medium containing 10  $\mu$ M Y27632 (MCE, Cat# HY10583). Y27632 was added to the PGM1 medium on the day of cell thawing and passage, and the fresh medium without Y27632 was replaced the next day. The medium was changed every day.

#### **Generation of human** *MSX1P2A-tdTomato* **reporter cell line**

The P2A-tdTomato-Loxp-PGK-Puro-Loxp cassette was inserted into the site before the stop codon of human *MSX1* by homology-directed repair (HDR) to achieve bicistronic expression of *MSX1* and tdTomato. The *MSX1* 5′ arm (642 bp) and 3' arm (503 bp) were amplified using PCR. P2AtdTomato-Loxp-PGK-Puro-Loxp cassette, synthesized by BGI Genomics Co., Ltd, together with 5' arm and 3' arm were cloned to PUC19 plasmid to form the donor plasmid. The designed guide RNAs (gRNA, 5'- GCATGTACCACCTGACATAG-3') were combined with the *MSX1* stop codon and its 17 bp sequence of the 5' arm. These gRNA oligos were annealed into double-stranded and cloned into PX330 vector expressing Cas9 cassette, and then PX330-*MSX1*gRNA-Cas9 plasmid was constructed. 1 μg linearized donor plasmid with 1 μg PX330-*MSX1*gRNA-Cas9 plasmid were co-transfected into  $1 \times 10^5$  H1-hPSCs by Lipofectamine stem reagent (ThermoFisher, Cat# STEM00015) using manufacturer's protocol. Puromycin screening was performed two days after transfection, and the screening lasted until no cell death was observed. When the confluency reached 80%, puromycin-resistant cells were cultured in 96 well plates to isolate the monoclonal cells and then expanded in 24-well plates or 12-well plates. To identify the genotypes of these monoclonal cell populations, the genome of screened cells was extracted using a Genomic Extraction kit (TIANGEN, Cat# DP304-03). The genome was subsequently identified by PCR and sequencing. Three monoclonal cell lines were administered genotypic identification and one of them was stained by anti-OCT4 (Abcam, Cat# ab19857) and SOX2 (Abcam, Cat# ab97959) antibodies. It was proved that these cells maintained pluripotency during the process of cell line construction. Primers used in this section were listed in Table S2.

#### **Differentiation of LPM and LM-like cells**

The *MSX1P2A-tdTomato*-hPSCs were digested by Accutase (STEM CELL, Cat# 07920) for 4 min into single cells. After counting, cells were suspended with PGM1 medium containing Y27632 and seeded in a new Matrigel-coated culture dish with a density of  $1 \times 10^4$  cells per square centimeter. The medium containing Y27632 was removed and replaced with PGM1 medium the next day. The cells were washed with a wash medium (DMEM/F12 containing 3 mg/mL BSA and 1% Pen-Strep) to remove the residual PGM1 medium. Then cells were washed with CDMi basic medium (50% F12 (Gibco, Cat# 31765-035) + 50% IMDM (Gibco, Cat# 31980-030) + 1 mg/mL Poly(vinyl alcohol) (Sigma, Cat# P8136) + 450 μM Monothioglycerol (Sigma, Cat# M6145) + 0.7 μg/mL Insulin (Solarbio, Cat#  $18830$ ) + 15 μg/mL transferrin (Sigma, Cat# T0665) + 1% Pen-Strep  $+ 1\%$  Lipids concentrate (Gibco, Cat# 11905-031)). The MPS medium (CDMi medium + 50 ng/mL Activin A (Solarbio, Cat#  $P00101$ ) + 6 μM CHIR99021 (MCE, Cat# HY-10182A) + 40 ng/mL BMP4 (R&D systems, Cat# 314-BP/CF) + 10 ng/mL FGF2 (Peprotech, Cat#  $100-18B$ )) was added at day 0. On day 1, the MPS medium was replaced by LPM medium (CDMi medium + 60 ng/mL BMP4 + 1  $\mu$ M CHIR99021). This process lasted for 3 days. The day 4 LPM was in an immature state and needed to continue differentiation in the LM medium (CDMi medium + 500 ng/mL FGF8 (MCE, Cat# HY-P70533) + 500 ng/mL FGF10 (MCE, Cat# HY-P4088). When the day 4 cells had been digested with TryPLE for 2 min, the wash medium was added to terminate the digestion. After centrifugation at 450 g for 3 min, the cells were resuspended in the LM medium and inoculated into Poly (2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (Sigma, Cat# P3932)-treated low-adhesion U-bottom 96-well plates with  $2.5 \times 10^5$ cells per well.

 To investigate the efficiency of different growth factors in promoting cell maturation to LM, CHIR99021 (6 μM) and FGF2 (500 ng/mL) were also added to the CDMi medium before inoculation, as CDMi medium was set as Mock control. Cells were centrifuged 300 g for 6 min and incubated at 37 ℃ for 24 h to promote pellet formation. On day 5 of collection, the pellets were placed on a low-adhesion 60 mm plate treated with Poly(2 hydroxyethyl methacrylate) and cultured for 3 days using the LM medium.

#### **qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cells using Trizol reagent (ThermoFisher, Cat# 15596026) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNAs were prepared using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Biomedical Technology, Cat# RR047A). The cDNAs were then used as templates for qPCR analysis with gene-specific primers. The qPCR was performed using a CFX384 real-time PCR system (BIO-RAD, USA). The cycle parameters were as follows: denaturation at 95 °C for 10 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. The expression level of each gene was calculated using the 2ΔΔCt method. Primers used in qRT-PCR were listed in Table S3.

#### **Osteogenic and chondrogenic differentiation assays**

 $5 \times 10^4$ cells of the E10.5 subsets (MSX1<sup>+</sup>PDGFRA<sup>high</sup>,  $Msx1+PDGFRA^{medium}$ , and  $MSX1+PDGFRA^{low}$  cells) or the isolated MSCs cells were added into a 24-well plate to perform the osteogenic differentiation, the corresponding differentiation protocol has been described previously (Zhu et al., 2010). For the sorted human cells,  $2.5 \times$ 105 digested cells were cultured in a hole of 24-well plates. The osteogenesis process was performed in the osteogenic medium ( $\alpha$ -MEM +  $10\%$  FBS + 100 nM dexamethasone (MCE, Cat# HY-14648) + 50  $\mu$ g/mL L-ascorbate acid 2-phosphate (Sigma, Cat# A8960) + 10 mM  $\beta$ glycerophosphate (Millipore, Cat#  $35675-50$ GM) + 1% Pen-Strep) for three weeks (medium was changed every 3 days) and identified by alizarin red staining.

The chondrogenic differentiation of  $1 \times 10^5$  isolated primary MSX1<sup>+</sup> cells and MSCs was performed by micromass methods (ten Berge et al., 2008) and lasted for 3 weeks (the medium was changed every 3 days). The chondrogenic medium contained high glucose DMEM (Gibco, Cat# C11995500BT) supplemented with 10 ng/mL TGFβ3 (Peprotech, Cat# 100-36E), 30 ng/mL BMP4, 100nM dexamethasone, 50 μg/mL L-ascorbic acid-2-phosphate, 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco, Cat# P5280), 40  $\mu$ g/mL L-proline (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# P0380) and  $1 \times$  ITS cell culture supplement (Thermofisher, Cat# 41400045). For the chondrogenesis of differentiated human cells,  $1 \times 10^5$  cells were added into a sterile well of PCR 8-tube with culture medium (CDMi medium + 10 nM dexamethasone  $+ 30$  ng/mL BMP4  $+ 50$  µg/mL L-ascorbate acid  $+ 10$  ng/mL TGF $\beta$ 3  $+ 1$ mM sodium pyruvate + 40 μg/mL proline +  $1 \times$  ITS). Four weeks later, these chondrogenic spheres were fixed in 4% PFA at 4 °C for 24 h and then dehydrated in 30% sucrose at 4 °C overnight. Alcian blue staining would be conducted to identify the chondrocytes.

#### **Supplemental References**

Fitzgerald, J., Rich, C., Burkhardt, D., Allen, J., Herzka, A.S., and Little,

C.B. (2008). Evidence for articular cartilage regeneration in MRL/MpJ mice. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 16, 1319-1326.

10.1016/j.joca.2008.03.014.

He, J., Yan, J., Wang, J., Zhao, L., Xin, Q., Zeng, Y., Sun, Y., Zhang, H., Bai, Z., Li, Z., et al. (2021). Dissecting human embryonic skeletal stem cell ontogeny by single-cell transcriptomic and functional analyses. Cell Res 31, 742-757. 10.1038/s41422-021-00467-z.

Nakao, K., Morita, R., Saji, Y., Ishida, K., Tomita, Y., Ogawa, M., Saitoh, M., Tomooka, Y., and Tsuji, T. (2007). The development of a bioengineered organ germ method. Nat Methods 4, 227-230. 10.1038/nmeth1012.

ten Berge, D., Brugmann, S.A., Helms, J.A., and Nusse, R. (2008). Wnt and FGF signals interact to coordinate growth with cell fate specification during limb development. Development 135, 3247-3257. 10.1242/dev.023176.

Zhu, H., Guo, Z.K., Jiang, X.X., Li, H., Wang, X.Y., Yao, H.Y., Zhang, Y., and Mao, N. (2010). A protocol for isolation and culture of mesenchymal stem cells from mouse compact bone. Nat Protoc 5, 550-560. 10.1038/nprot.2009.238