Supplementary Material

S1 Additional Data

In addition to the data utilized in the main body of our paper, we employed several publicly available datasets in the supplementary material. These datasets 1478 can be accessed via the following websites or using the provided accession 1479numbers: (1) Stereo-seq data[48].

S2 Comparison baselines

Our experimental results demonstrate that our approach achieves very high 1484 accuracy. However, it is important to note that previous literature on these 1485methods has some limitations: 1486

1. Previous spatial transcriptomics analysis methods have not been able 1487 to enhance gene expression to single-cell resolution without using single-cell 1488 RNA-seq data. 1489

2. Certain deconvolution methods use public single-cell RNA-seq refer-1490 ences, including the Human Cell Atlas, the BRAIN Initiative Cell Census 1491 Network (BICCN), and the Human BioMolecular Atlas, to address the prob-1492 lem of low resolution in spatial transcriptomics. However, these methods are 1493 prone to incomplete identification of cell types due to batch effects and tissue 1494heterogeneity in samples. Additionally, the accuracy of deconvolution may be 1495impacted by the different perturbations that affect single-cell references and 1496 spatial transcriptomics. 1497

To overcome these limitations, we propose a novel framework called 1498 TransformerST, which utilizes a Transformer-based approach to associate the 1499heterogeneity of local gene expression properties and reveal the structural rela-1500tionships at nearly single-cell resolution. We have also included a table that 1501compares the features of various spatial transcriptomics analysis methods, 1502highlighting their respective strengths and limitations (Supplementary Table 15031). 1504

S3 Tissue identification with Stereo-seq technology

1509We use the proposed method to a Stereo-seq dataset derived from mouse olfac-1510tory bulb tissue. Nowadays, stereo-seq is one of the most promising new spatial 1511holography methods available. DNA nanosphere-patterned array chips provide 1512spatial resolution down to the subcellular level. The information in this study 1513was split down to a cellular level (14 m) for clarity. The rostral migratory 1514stream (RMS), granular cell layer (GCL), endothelial cell layer (GCL), internal 1515plexiform layer (IPL), filamentous cell layer (MCL), exterior plexiform layer 1516(EPL), and olfactory nerve layer were all labeled in DAPI-stained pictures of 1517

1518

1505

15061507

1508

1473

14741475

1476

1477

1480 1481

1482 1483

$520 \\ 521 \\ 522 \\ 522 \\ $	Methods	Objective	Super-resolution	Reference-free	Histology image
523 524	TransformerST	Clustering, super-resolution	Single-cell	Yes	Yes
525 526	SpaGCN	Clustering	Original	No	Yes
.527 .528	BayesSpace	Clustering, super-resolution	Multi-cellular	Yes	No
.529 530	CCST	Clustering	Original	No	No
531	STAGATE	Clustering	Original	No	No
532	DeepST	Clustering	Original	No	Yes
533 534	stLearn	Clustering	Original	No	No
535	STdeconvolve	Deconvolution	Multi-cellular	Yes	No
536					

1519 Supplementary Table 1 Comparison between TransformerST with baselines.

1537 the coronal mouse olfactory bulb by Fu et al. [48]. The results are shown in Sup-1538 plementary Supplementary Fig. 1. The results derived from TransformerST 1539align with the Allen Reference Atlas, as depicted in Supplementary Supple-1540mentary Fig. 1b, demonstrating the method's precision and consistency. In 1541Supplementary Supplementary Fig. 1c, TransformerST, utilizing the original 1542spot resolution, yielded results that closely mirrored those of the Allen Ref-1543erence Atlas. This showcases its capacity to capture intricate gene expression 1544patterns and cellular structures.

Furthermore, in Supplementary Supplementary Fig. 1d, TransformerST
Furthermore, in Supplementary Supplementary Fig. 1d, TransformerST
effectively reconstructed the original resolution of spatial transcriptomics data
using downsampled inputs. The outcomes closely resembled those in the Allen
Reference Atlas, underscoring TransformerST's robustness and flexibility in
managing various data resolutions and ensuring accurate results irrespective
of input quality.

1551The compatibility of TransformerST with the Allen Reference Atlas can 1552also be attributed to its sophisticated algorithms. These enable the precise 1553identification of spatial gene expression patterns and facilitate the extraction of 1554biologically significant information. Additionally, the method's robust feature 1555extraction and pattern recognition capabilities aid in effectively distinguishing 1556between different cell types, tissue structures, and gene expression patterns. 1557Moreover, TransformerST's versatility allows it to be applied across multi-1558ple platforms and datasets, maintaining consistent performance under a wide 1559array of experimental conditions. This adaptability ensures the method's reli-1560ability and relevance, further reinforcing its alignment with the established 1561Allen Reference Atlas.

In conclusion, the concordance between the results obtained from Trans In conclusion, the concordance between the results obtained from Trans formerST and the Allen Reference Atlas can be attributed to the method's

1590

1591 1592



precision, consistency, adaptability, and its ability to effectively manage various1593data resolutions. These attributes position TransformerST as a valuable tool in1594spatial transcriptomics analysis, offering researchers reliable and biologically1595pertinent insights.1596

We applied the proposed methods to a segment of mouse lung tissue 1597 profiled by Stereo-seq and found that the spatial regions identified by the 1598method showed strong concordance with the patterns of the original image 1599(Supplementary Supplementary Fig. 2). This underscores the effectiveness of 1600 our approach in accurately delineating tissue structures and gene expression 1601 patterns, a critical aspect for understanding the complex biological processes 1602 within the sample. The method's ability to yield results consistent with expert 1603annotations underscores its potential as a valuable tool for spatial transcrip-1604 tomics analysis across diverse tissue types and experimental conditions. We 1605also showcase the results of the BayesSpace method in Supplementary Sup-1606 plementary Fig. 2d, which uses the original data for tissue type clustering. 1607 Our simulation outcome aligns with the results of BayesSpace. Importantly, 1608 our simulation results for super-resolution with downsampled data are capa-1609ble of producing more refined patterns that closely mirror the original image. 1610



1628 Supplementary Figure 2 <code>TransformerST</code> improves the identification of known tissue 1629 structures in the mouse lung tissue

1630

1631 This further attests to the effectiveness of our proposed approach in handling 1632 various resolutions and providing detailed spatial transcriptomics analysis.

1633 For both datasets, our proposed method produced visually superior tissue 1634 identification and super-resolution results when compared to other methods. 1635 In the two figures, 'TransformerST' denotes the clustering result at the origi-1636 nal resolution, while 'Super-resolution' refers to the super-resolution outcome 1637 achieved by TransformerST. BayesSpace denotes the result achieved when data 1638 at the original resolution is employed for tissue type clustering.

1639

¹⁶⁴⁰ S4 Enhanced Gene Expression Prediction at ¹⁶⁴¹ Sub-cellular Resolution

1643Enhanced Gene expression prediction at sub-cellular resolution in 1644breast cancer data HER2+. To evaluate the tissue identification and super-1645resolution performance in predicting gene expression at sub-cellular resolution 1646using histology images, we employed the leave-one-out method (36 fold) using 1647HER2+ breast cancer data, which includes 36 tissue sections from 8 patients. 1648 This approach underscores the effectiveness in gene expression prediction and 1649super-resolution. For the leave-one-out evaluation, 32 sections were utilized to 1650train the tissue identification and super-resolution model, with the remaining 1651sections reserved for evaluation. The results of this approach are represented 1652as TransformerST. We also assessed the super-resolution performance at the 1653sub-cellular level, referred to as Super-resolution.

¹⁶⁵⁴ Manual annotation of three tissue sections was included for evaluating ¹⁶⁵⁵ clustering accuracy [49]. We compared our proposed method, TransformerST, ¹⁶⁵⁶

1657

 $1658 \\ 1659 \\ 1660 \\ 1661 \\ 1662 \\ 1663 \\ 1664$

1665

1666

1667

1668

Methods	HVG	
TransformerST	0.226	
BLEEP	0.168	
TCGN	0.151	

Supplementary Table 2 Average correlation of predicted expression for top 50 most highly variable genes (HVG) compared to ground truth expressions on held out dataset

with TCGN [37] and BLEEP [36] for gene expression prediction using three tissue sections, as detailed in Supplementary Fig. 3. TCGN and BLEEP, in comparison, demonstrated less effective gene prediction performance. The leave-one-out evaluation showed a higher correlation with biological interpretation.

1669TransformerST markedly improved clustering accuracy (ARI) for the eval-1670 uated sections out of the 32 samples, significantly outperforming TCGN and 1671 BLEEP. This advancement in clustering accuracy by TransformerST is evident 1672in the results for sample B1 (Supplementary Fig. 3a), where TransformerST 1673achieved the highest clustering accuracy (ARI of 0.308 for TransformerST com-1674pared to lower ARIs for TCGN and BLEEP). Similarly, Supplementary Fig. 16753b displays the superiority of TransformerST (ARI=0.325) over TCGN and 1676 BLEEP. These results might be due to substantial gene expression differences 1677among patients, which led to the leave-one-out evaluation by TransformerST 1678attaining superior tissue identification performance, as shown in Supplemen-1679tary Fig. 3). Supplementary Table 2 displays the performance comparison of 1680BLEEP, TCGN, and TransformerST in predicting the top 50 most highly 1681variable genes (HVG). In this assessment, the expression profiles predicted by 1682TransformerST showed the strongest correlation with the actual data among 1683all gene sets. 1684

The enhanced single-cell resolution results further demonstrate TransformerST could predict the biological meaningful patterns as in the manual annotations. While it is hard to estimate the ARI for the super-resolution result, the study is visually consistent with the manual annotations by pathologists in the spatial domain (Supplementary Fig. 3). 1084 1685 1686 1687 1688 1689

S5 Meta Gene and SVGs Analysis with DLPFC and IDC Samples

To further demonstrate that TransformerST could explore the biological rel-1694evance, we detected the spatial variable genes and meta genes for LIBD 1695human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) data and IDC sample. As 1696shown in Supplementary Fig. 4a, SVGs and their corresponding meta gene 1697 show similar spatial patterns for human DLPFC samples at spot resolution. 1698 For example, TMSB10 is enriched in cluster 0 of tissue sample 151508. The 1699combination of meta genes (TMSB10+MBP-MT-CO2) shows the strength-1700ened spatial patterns in the neighboring regions. GFAP is enriched in cluster 1701

1701

1690

1691

1692 1693 1703~2 of tissue sample 151508, its corresponding meta gene is GFAP+SNORC-1704 TMSB10+CDT3-MBP, which is also spatially correlated with the SVGs of 1705 cluster 2 in the histology image.

As illustrated in Supplementary Fig. 4b, boxplots display Moran's I and 1707 Geary's C values for spatially variable genes (SVGs) identified by Transform-1708 erST, SpatialPCA [38], and Vesalius[39], offering a comparative analysis of 1709 the performance of these methods in detecting spatial patterns in brain tissue 1710 slice 151508. Notably, the Moran's I and Geary's C values for SVGs detected 1711 by SpatialPCA and Vesalius are lower than those identified by Transform-1712 erST, indicating a weaker presence of spatial patterns in their results. The 1713 experimental results with different tissue samples and different cluster domains 1714 demonstrate TransformerST could mark specific gene-expressed regions for 1715 different cluster domains.

To illustrate how TransformerST works for different tissue samples, We 1717 detected the spatial variable genes and meta gene for IDC samples at nearly 1718 single-cell resolution. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 4c, TransformerST 1719 detected single SVGs (ACADSB) for cluster 2. Its corresponding meta gene was 1720 defined as ACADSB+NME2-MUC1+ATP5MPL-CD74+LAPTM4B-CRIP1. 1721 TransformerST detected DEGS1 SVG for cluster 3, which accords with 1722 its meta gene DEGS1+RPS18-CXCL14+AGR2-MGP+CSTA-NEAT1 visu-1723 ally. TTLL12 is enriched in cluster 4 with its corresponding meta gene as 1724 TTLL12+HMGN2-MALAT1+KRT8-SLC9A3R1.

The detection outcomes for metagenes and SVGs demonstrate that Trans-1726 formerST can effectively identify heterogeneity among spatial domains and 1727 predict boundaries not recognized by current state-of-the-art methods. These 1728 findings highlight TransformerST's ability to better uncover spatial patterns 1729 utilizing a graph transformer network. The detection outcomes for metagenes 1730 and SVGs showcase that TransformerST not only effectively identifies het-1731 erogeneity among spatial domains, but also accurately predicts boundaries 1732 that remain undetected by current state-of-the-art methods. These findings 1733 not only highlight TransformerST's superior performance in uncovering spa-1734 tial patterns but also emphasize its ability to leverage a graph transformer 1735 network for a more comprehensive understanding of spatial transcriptomics 1736 data. Consequently, TransformerST emerges as a valuable tool for researchers 1737 aiming to analyze complex spatial relationships within biological samples. 1738

1739 S6 Tissue identification and super-resolution in 1740 breast cancer block at nearly single-cell 1742 resolution with Xenium technology.

1743

1743 We introduce the cutting-edge Xenium In Situ technology, which has a wide 1744 We introduce the cutting-edge Xenium In Situ technology, which has a wide 1745 field of view and the unique ability to combine gene expression and histo-1746 logical pictures (H&E and IF staining) in a single tissue segment. Using this 1747 method, Chromium scFFPE-seq data were generated from 2 x 25 μm FFPE 1748 sections obtained from a breast cancer block (stage II-B, ER+/PR-/HER2+). These sections subjected to scFFPE-seq were situated across the tissue sections 1749 employed for Visium and Xenium procedures. 1750

The Visium CytAssist and Xenium platforms were employed to analyze 17515 μm tissue sections adjacent to those used for scFFPE-seq. Prior to imag-1752ing, sections subjected to Visium were deparaffinized and stained with H&E. 1753The glass slides containing tissue sections were then processed using a Visium 1754CytAssist instrument to transfer analytes to Visium slides. Using the same 1755probe set as in scFFPE-seq, 18,536 genes targeted by 54,018 probes were quan-1756 tified for sections subjected to Visium. The median number of genes in the 1757 Visium data set was 5.712, and dimensionality reduction revealed 17 spatial 1758clusters (the same number of clusters as the scFFPE-seq data). The molecular 1759subtypes of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) (hence referred to as DCIS #11760 and DCIS #2) and invasive tumors were each identified as separate clusters 1761by scFFPE-seq, and Visium was able to determine their exact locations. 1762

We use the following pipeline to show the performance of the proposed 1763method. Firstly, we choose the region of interest using the Visium image. 1764Then we obtain the corresponding higher-resolution image and gene expression 1765using Xenium technology. We perform cell segmentation. To allocate mRNAs 1766 to cells, facilitating subsequent analysis and integration with Chromium and 1767 Visium data, the spatial boundaries between cells and mRNA transcripts need 1768 to be determined. Initially, DAPI images were employed to identify nuclei 1769using a neural network. Subsequently, each nucleus was expanded outward up 1770to a maximum distance of 15 μm or until encountering the border of another 1771cell. With the original Visium image, we perform cell-type clustering. Next, 1772we employ the proposed method to perform super-resolution, utilizing the 1773clustering and cell segmentation outcomes. The processed steps are shown in 1774Supplementary Fig. 5a. 1775

As shown in Supplementary Fig. 5b, similar to Xenium, the proposed 1776method is able to pinpoint precisely where adipocyte markers are located, 1777 but it shows much more detail in cases when adipocyte transcripts escape the 1778 boundaries of the cells. The examination of TransformerST and BayesSpace 1779demonstrates that the methods can be replicated with high precision. Notably, 1780strong correlations were observed between the quantities of transcripts and the 1781proportions of cell types in these replicates, further validating the effectiveness 1782and reproducibility of the approaches. 1783



1832 Supplementary Figure 3 Super-resolved gene expression prediction with breast cancer 1833 data. The first column displays the histology image accompanied by pathologists' annotations, in which the red lines represent invasive cancer, green lines signify breast glands, yellow 1834 lines indicate immune infiltration and blue lines denote connective tissue. a, Tissue type 1835 assignments and nearly single cell super-resolution using B1 section. b, Tissue type assign-1836 ments and nearly single cell super-resolution using E1 section. *TransformerST* refers to the clustering results obtained from TransformerST. Super-resolution, on the other hand, represents the ability of the method to achieve sub-cellular resolution performance in enhancing 1838 the spatial transcriptomics data.

- 1839
- 1840



(GFAP, GFAP+SNORC-TMSB10+CDT3-MBP) in brain tissue slice 151508 at spot resolu-
tion. b, Boxplots of Moran's I and Geary's C values for SVGs detected by TransformerST,
SpatialPCA, and Vesalius using brain tissue slice 151508. c, SVGs and corresponding meta
gene for cluster 2 (ACADSB, ACADSB+NME2-MUC1+ATP5MPL-CD74+LAPTM4B-
CRIP1), cluster 3 (DEGS1, DEGS1+RPS18-CXCL14+AGR2-MGP+CSTA-NEAT1), and
cluster 4 (TTLL12, TTLL12+HMGN2-MALAT1+KRT8-SLC9A3R1) in IDC sample at
nearly single cell resolution. Pathologist annotated different regions in different colors (IC
outlined in red, carcinoma in yellow, benign hyperplasia in green, unclassified tumor in grey).1880
1881
1881
1881
1882
1883

