Long-term open-label vebicorvir for chronic HBV infection: Safety and off-treatment responses



Man-Fung Yuen,^{1,*} Scott Fung,² Xiaoli Ma,³ Tuan T. Nguyen,⁴ Tarek Hassanein,⁵ Hie-Won Hann,⁶ Magdy Elkhashab,⁷ Ronald G. Nahass,⁸ James S. Park,⁹ Ira M. Jacobson,¹⁰ Walid S. Ayoub,¹¹ Steven-Huy Han,¹² Edward J. Gane,¹³ Katie Zomorodi,¹⁴ Ran Yan,¹⁴ Julie Ma,^{14,#} Steven J. Knox,¹⁴ Luisa M. Stamm,^{14,#} Maurizio Bonacini,¹⁵ Frank Weilert,¹⁶ Alnoor Ramji,¹⁷ Michael Bennett,¹⁸ Natarajan Ravendhran,¹⁹ Sing Chan,²⁰ Douglas T. Dieterich,²¹ Paul Yien Kwo,²² Eugene R. Schiff,²³ Ho S. Bae,²⁴ Jacob Lalezari,²⁵ Kosh Agarwal,²⁶ Mark S. Sulkowski²⁷

¹Department of Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Liver Research, School of Clinical Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; ²Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ³Office of Xiaoli Ma, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁴T Nguyen Research and Education, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; ⁵Southern California Research Center, Coronado, CA, USA; ⁶Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁷Toronto Liver Centre, Toronto, Canada; ⁸ID Care, Hillsborough, NJ, USA; ⁹Northwell Health, Manhasset, NY, USA; ¹⁰NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ¹¹Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹²Pfleger Liver Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ¹³University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; ¹⁴Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA; ¹⁵Quest Clinical Research, San Francisco, CA, USA; ¹⁶Waikato Hospital, Hamilton, New Zealand; ¹⁷GastroIntestinal Research Institute, Vancouver, Canada; ¹⁸Medical Associates Research Group, San Diego, CA, USA; ¹⁹Gastrohealth, Catonsville, MD, USA; ²⁰Sing Chan MD, New York, NY, USA; ²¹Department of Medicine, Division of Liver Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY, USA; ²²Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, CA, USA; ²³Schiff Center for Liver Diseases, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA; ²⁴Asian Pacific Liver Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²⁵University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; ²⁶Institute of Liver Studies, King's College Hospital, London, UK; ²⁷Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

JHEP Reports 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100999

Background & Aims: The investigational first-generation core inhibitor vebicorvir (VBR) demonstrated safety and antiviral activity over 24 weeks in two phase IIa studies in patients with chronic HBV infection. In this long-term extension study, patients received open-label VBR with nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NrtIs).

Methods: Patients in this study (NCT03780543) previously received VBR + Nrtl or placebo + Nrtl in parent studies 201 (NCT03576066) or 202 (NCT03577171). After receiving VBR + Nrtl for \geq 52 weeks, stopping criteria (based on the treatment history and hepatitis B e antigen status in the parent studies) were applied, and patients either discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, discontinued VBR only, or continued both VBR + Nrtl. The primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of patients with HBV DNA <20 IU/ml at 24 weeks off treatment.

Results: Ninety-two patients entered the extension study and received VBR + Nrtl. Long-term VBR + Nrtl treatment led to continued suppression of HBV nucleic acids and, to a lesser extent, HBV antigens. Forty-three patients met criteria to discontinue VBR + Nrtl, with no patients achieving the primary endpoint; the majority of virologic rebound occurred \geq 4 weeks off treatment. Treatment was generally well tolerated, with few discontinuations due to adverse events (AEs). There were no deaths. Most AEs and laboratory abnormalities were related to elevations in alanine aminotransferase and occurred during the off-treatment or Nrtl-restart phases. No drug-drug interactions between VBR + Nrtl and no cases of treatment-emergent resistance among patients who adhered to treatment were observed.

Conclusions: Long-term VBR + NrtI was safe and resulted in continued reductions in HBV nucleic acids following completion of the 24-week parent studies. Following treatment discontinuation, virologic relapse was observed in all patients. This first-generation core inhibitor administered with NrtI for at least 52 weeks was not sufficient for HBV cure. **Clinical trial number:** NCT03780543.

Impact and implications: Approved treatments for chronic hepatitis B virus infection (cHBV) suppress viral replication, but viral rebound is almost always observed after treatment discontinuation, highlighting an unmet need for improved therapies

E-mail address: mfyuen@hku.hk (M.-F. Yuen).





EASL The Home of Hepatology

Keywords: Hepatitis; Core inhibitor; Antiviral; Off-treatment; Open-label; Nucleos(t) ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; Viral relapse; Hepatitis B virus.

Received 17 August 2023; received in revised form 18 December 2023; accepted 20 December 2023; available online 18 January 2024

[#] Former employees of Assembly Biosciences, Inc.

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Department of Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Liver Research, School of Clinical Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, The University of Hong Kong, 102 Pokfulam Road, Pok Fu Lam, Hong Kong 999077. Tel.: +852 2255 3994; Fax: +852 281 62863.

possible components of finite treatment regimens for patients with cHBV. It is possible that next-generation core inhibitors with enhanced potency may produce deeper and more durable antiviral activity than first-generation agents, including vebicorvir.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Chronic HBV infection (cHBV) represents a significant public health burden. Worldwide, ~296 million people have cHBV, and ~900,000 die annually from HBV-related causes, primarily from complications of cirrhosis and/or hepatocellular carcinoma.¹⁻³ Effective cHBV treatment is essential to reduce these risks. Current treatment includes finite injectable IFN α and chronic oral nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NrtIs). IFN $\alpha^{4,5}$ and NrtIs^{6,7} both demonstrate on-treatment antiviral activity, but durable off-treatment virologic responses are rare. For therapeutic regimens to achieve 'functional cure' (defined as sustained suppression of HBV DNA <lower limit of quantification [LLOQ] for \geq 6 months post-treatment and undetectable HBsAg with/ without HBsAg seroconversion),⁸ novel combination approaches incorporating mechanisms complementary to existing treatments are needed.

Vebicorvir (VBR) is an investigational, novel, pangenotypic, first-generation core inhibitor that inhibits HBV replication via mechanisms distinct from NrtIs: inhibition of pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) encapsidation, which prevents assembly and release of viral particles, and disruption of viral capsids, which prevents the formation of covalently closed circular (ccc)DNA. In phase IIa studies, when combined with NrtIs, VBR led to deeper reductions in HBV DNA and pgRNA vs. Nrtl monotherapy over 24 weeks of treatment in both virologically-suppressed (VS; study 201)⁹ and treatment-naive (TN; study 202)¹⁰ patients with cHBV. However, mean reductions in HBsAg in patients who received VBR + NrtI in studies 201 and 202 were not significantly different from patients who received placebo (PBO) + Nrtl. This report presents the results of an open-label, long-term extension in which patients who previously participated in study 201 or 202 received VBR + Nrtl for up to 148 weeks.

Patients and methods

Study population and design

Study 211 was a phase II, open-label, multicentre extension study (NCT03780543) evaluating the safety and efficacy of VBR + NrtI in patients with cHBV who had previously completed 24 weeks of treatment in either study 201 (NCT03576066)⁹ or 202 (NCT03577171).¹⁰ Patients were enrolled from 24 sites in the USA, Canada, Hong Kong, New Zealand, and the UK. Complete inclusion and exclusion criteria and details on treatment compliance are provided in the Supplementary materials.

All patients in study 211 received open-label 300 mg VBR (Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA), administered as three 100-mg tablets once daily along with standard-of-care Nrtl per the manufacturer's instructions. The VBR dose regimen was the same as that used in the parent studies, which was determined from the phase Ib study.¹¹ Most patients from study 201 took tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide as their Nrtl at baseline, whereas all patients from study 202 received entecavir (ETV) along with VBR or PBO.

Patients could be treated for up to 148 weeks. The actual duration of treatment for each patient in study 211 was based on their respective HBV treatment history (*i.e.* VS or TN) and HBeAg status (positive or negative) at baseline in their parent study, along with their individual virologic response in study 211 at Week (W) 52. Based on these factors, each patient was assigned to one of three protocol-specified treatment actions (TAs): discontinue both VBR + NrtI, discontinue VBR only and continue NrtI alone, or continue both VBR + NrtI (Table S1).

Individual safety and virologic responses in study 211 were influenced by patient characteristics at baseline in the parent studies and the respective treatments received—that is, VBR + NrtI or PBO + NrtI. Therefore, data from study 211 are reported according to HBeAg status and treatment assignment in the parent study. Additionally, study 211 data are reported from three treatment phases: 'on-treatment', during VBR + NrtI therapy; 'off-treatment', for patients who discontinued VBR + NrtI; and 'after NrtI restart', for patients requiring reintroduction of antivirals after stopping both VBR + NrtI (Table S2). The study design is shown in Fig. S1.

This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences International Ethical Guidelines, and applicable Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Investigative sites obtained written informed consent before patients were enrolled.

Endpoints

The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients with HBV DNA <20 IU/ml (LLOQ) at 24 weeks off treatment. Secondary endpoints included incidence of adverse events (AEs), premature discontinuations as a result of AEs, abnormal safety laboratory results, proportion of patients with abnormal alanine amino-transferase (ALT) at study 211 baseline who achieved normal ALT at end of treatment (EOT) and end of study (EOS), and incidence of viral rebound off treatment. A complete list of exploratory endpoints is included in the Supplementary material.

Safety assessments

Primary safety assessments included the number of AEs, defined as any untoward medical occurrence in a treated patient regardless of the causal relationship with treatment. The severity of each AE and laboratory abnormality was assessed by the investigator according to the Division of AIDS Toxicity Grading of Laboratory Abnormalities and Clinical AEs. Additional definitions of AEs are described in the Supplementary material. Full schedules of efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetic (PK) assessments are described in Tables S3–S6 and the Supplementary material.

Assays

Methodological details for measuring HBV DNA, HBV pgRNA, total nucleic acids (TNA; HBV DNA + pgRNA), and antigens are described in the Supplementary material.

To assess for potential HBV sequence changes associated with viral resistance or blunted treatment response, serum samples from patients with on-treatment viral rebound ($\geq 1 \log_{10}$ HBV DNA increase from the on-treatment nadir) were selected for sequencing of HBV core and polymerase/reverse transcriptase genes. Plasma samples quantifying VBR and NrtI concentrations were collected predose at W48 and analysed at the bioanalytical laboratory (Agilex, Thebarton, Australia) using validated methodologies.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics and demographics were summarised using the all-enrolled analysis set, which included all patients enrolled in study 211. Efficacy-related endpoints were assessed using the full analysis set, which included all patients who received any dose of study drug and had at least one postdose assessment for the endpoint of interest.

The safety population included all patients who received at least one dose of study drug. PK evaluation included all patients in the safety population who had available VBR or NrtI PK data. Sample size was not based on statistical considerations and consequently descriptive statistics are used throughout. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Patient disposition

Overall, 92/98 (94%) patients (69/73 [95%] from study 201; 23/25 [92%] from study 202) were enrolled between December 2018 and June 2019. Patient disposition is shown in Fig. 1. All enrolled patients received VBR + NrtI during the on-treatment phase. Forty-three of 69 (62%) patients from study 201 discontinued VBR + NrtI during study 211, with 18/69 (26%) discontinuing VBR and continuing NrtI. Of the 43 patients from study 201 who discontinued VBR + NrtI, 30/43 (70%) restarted NrtI after discontinuation, with nine of 43 (21%) remaining off treatment at EOS. No patients from study 202 discontinued both VBR + ETV, with six of 23 (26%) discontinuing VBR and continuing ETV. The duration of time patients spent in the on- and off-treatment phase is described in the Supplementary material.

Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

At study 211 baseline, overall mean age was 44 years, with 62/92 (67%) patients aged <50 years. Most patients were male (52/92; 57%) and Asian (80/92; 87%). Some baseline demographics varied according to the respective parent study, with greater proportions of patients originating from study 201 being older (mean age 46 vs. 36 years) and male (64% vs. 35%) vs. those from study 202 (Table S7). Additional baseline disease characteristics for patients in study 211 are shown in Table S8 and described in further detail in the Supplementary material.

Primary efficacy endpoint

Forty-three patients (20 HBeAg-positive, 23 HBeAg-negative) from study 201 met the protocol-specified TA criteria to discontinue VBR + Nrtl. Following discontinuation, all patients experienced virologic relapse with no patients achieving HBV DNA <LLOQ at 24 weeks off treatment (Fig. 2). Of the patients who were HBeAg-negative, 16/23 (70%) relapsed by the 4W follow-up visit, whereas seven of 23 (30%) relapsed by the 12W or 16W follow-up visits. Of the patients who were HBeAg-positive, 17/18 (94%) relapsed by the 4W follow-up visit (one patient had relapsed by the 12W follow-up visit). No patients from study 202 met the TA criteria to discontinue VBR + ETV.

Changes in HBV nucleic acids and antigens during the ontreatment phase

Among patients who were HBeAg-negative who received PBO + NrtI and VBR + NrtI in study 201, most had HBV DNA target not detected (TND) at on-treatment baseline and at EOT using the COBAS TaqMan assay (Table 1). With the Assembly assay, a greater percentage of patients with available samples had HBV DNA TND at on-treatment baseline, with all patients who were HBeAg-negative having HBV DNA TND at EOT. Approximately half of patients who were HBeAg-positive from study 201 who received PBO + NrtI and VBR + NrtI had HBV DNA TND at ontreatment baseline and 30-50% had HBV DNA TND at EOT by the COBAS TaqMan assay. When assessed by the Assembly assay, five of 16 (31%) and 18/27 (67%) patients who were HBeAgpositive who received PBO + NrtI and VBR + NrtI, respectively, during study 201 had HBV DNA TND at on-treatment baseline, with numerically more patients having HBV DNA TND at EOT in study 211. When assessed by the Assembly assay, no patients from study 202 had HBV DNA TND at on-treatment baseline, with none of five and one of eight (13%) patients who received PBO + NrtI and VBR + NrtI, respectively, during study 202 having HBV DNA TND at W2 in study 211. When assessed using the COBAS TaqMan assay, no patients from study 202 had HBV DNA TND at on-treatment baseline and at W2 in study 211. Per the COBAS TagMan assay, six of 23 (26%) patients from study 202 had HBV DNA TND at EOT (the percentage of patients was greater when assessed by the Assembly assay [eight of 13 (62%)]; Table 1). Because mean baseline levels of HBV DNA were greater in patients who received PBO + NrtI from study 202 vs. patients who received VBR + Nrtl (Table S8), there were greater mean reductions in HBV DNA at EOT among patients who received PBO + ETV in study 202 vs. patients who received VBR + ETV (Fig. 3A).

Changes in HBV pgRNA and TNA during the on-treatment phase are shown in Table 1. Because mean baseline levels of pgRNA were greater in patients who received PBO + Nrtl from study 201 vs. patients who received VBR + Nrtl, there were greater mean reductions in pgRNA levels among patients who received PBO + NrtI in study 201 at EOT vs. patients who received VBR + NrtI in study 201. These findings were also observed for TNA, as only patients who were HBeAg-positive showed a mean change from on-treatment baseline in TNA at EOT. Greater reductions in HBV pgRNA in patients who received VBR + ETV vs. patients who received PBO + ETV from study 202 led to different levels of HBV pgRNA between treatment groups at on-treatment baseline. Given this, greater mean reductions from on-treatment baseline in mean HBV pgRNA were observed among study 202 patients who had received PBO + ETV vs. VBR + ETV at EOT (Fig. 3B).

Minimal mean changes from on-treatment baseline at EOT in HBV antigens were observed (Table S9). At EOT, one patient (PBO + ETV) from study 202 achieved HBeAg seroconversion (defined as antigen loss with the appearance of antibodies), which was maintained through EOS. At EOS, two additional patients achieved HBeAg seroconversion (both received VBR + Nrtl in study 201). No patients achieved HBsAg seroconversion.

Research article



Fig. 1. Study disposition in patients from studies 201 and 202 taking VBR in study 211. Study 211 outcomes are based on the treatment history of the patients in parent studies 201 and 202. AE, adverse event; cHBV, chronic hepatitis B virus infection; DC, discontinued; EOS, end of study; ETV, entecavir; FU, follow-up; LTFU, lost to follow-up; NC, non-compliance; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; TA, treatment action; TN, treatment-naive; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed; WC, withdrew consent.

Changes in HBV nucleic acids and antigens in patients who discontinued both VBR + Nrtl during the off-treatment phase

Mean HBV DNA and TNA increases from off-treatment plase at the end of the off-treatment period were greater among patients who were HBeAg-positive vs. HBeAg-negative from study 201 (Table 2). The one patient who was HBeAg-positive from study 201 with pgRNA results available, who discontinued both VBR + NrtI, had a change from baseline to end of off-treatment phase of 4.7 log₁₀ U/ml. Individual patient HBV DNA levels by HBeAg status in the parent studies throughout the off-treatment phase are shown in Fig. 2. During the off-treatment phase, 17/20 (85%) patients who were HBeAg-positive and 16/23 (70%) patients who were HBeAg-negative relapsed with HBV DNA levels >2,000 IU/ml.

Mean antigen levels tended to be slightly greater at the end of the off-treatment period vs. off-treatment baseline in patients who discontinued both VBR + NrtI during the off-treatment phase (Table S10). Mean HBV DNA declined ~4 log₁₀ from baseline among patients who discontinued VBR + NrtI when they restarted NrtI (Table S11). Mean decreases in HBV antigens among patients who restarted NrtI after discontinuing VBR + NrtI were $\leq 1 \log_{10}$ for all antigens (Table S12). Among patients who discontinued VBR and continued NrtI/ETV during the offtreatment phase, the mean increase from baseline in HBV DNA

JHEP Reports



Fig. 2. Individual patient HBV DNA during the off-treatment phase in patients from study 211. HBV DNA levels in (A) patients who were HBeAg-positive and (B) patients who were HBeAg-negative during the off-treatment phase in study 211. Parent study designation as well as treatment received during the parent studies are shown. No patients remained <LLOQ of 20 IU/ml (1.3 log₁₀ IU/ml) off treatment. LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; PBO, placebo, VBR, vebicorvir.

Patients originating from study 201 (on-treatment phase)													
		VS HBeAg (-)			VS HBeAg (+)								
	PBO + Nrtl n = 10	VBR + NrtI n = 16	Total n = 26	PBO + NrtI n = 16	VBR + NrtI n = 27	Total n = 43							
HBV DNA TND													
Baseline*	6/10 (60)	13/16 (81)	19/26 (73)	8/15 (53)	16/27 (59)	24/43 (57)							
HBV DNA TND													
EOT*	8/10 (80)	13/16 (81)	21/26 (81)	8/16 (50)	8/27 (30)	16/43 (37)							
HBV DNA TND													
Baseline [†]	9/10 (90)	12/16 (75)	21/26 (81)	5/16 (31)	18/27 (67)	23/43 (53)							
HBV DNA TND													
EOT [†]	10/10 (100)	14/14 (100)	24/24 (100)	13/15 (87)	23/27 (85)	36/42 (86)							
HBV DNA change fi	om baseline, log ₁₀ IU/r	nl, mean (SD)											
EOT	-0.1 (0.14)	0.2 (0.81)	0.1 (0.64)	0.0 (0.23)	0.2 (0.61)	0.1 (0.51)							
HBV pgRNA change	from baseline, log ₁₀ U	/ml, mean (SD)											
EOT	0 (0.00)	0.1 (0.38)	0.1 (0.29)	-1.4 (1.02)	0 (0.47)	-0.5 (0.98)							
HBV TNA change fr	om baseline, log ₁₀ U/m	il, mean (SD) [‡]											
EOT	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	-1.2 (1.10)	0.0 (0.14)	-0.5 (0.91)							
	P	atients originating from	n study 202 (TN HBeAg	+; on-treatment phase)									

	PBO + ETV	VBR + ETV	Total
	n = 11	n = 12	N = 23
HBV DNA TND			
Baseline*	0	0	0
Week 2*	0	0	0
HBV DNA TND			
EOT*	3/11 (27)	3/12 (25)	6/23 (26)
HBV DNA TND			
Baseline [†]	ND [§]	ND [§]	ND [§]
Week 2 [†]	0¶	1/8 (13)	1/13 (8)
HBV DNA TND			
EOT [†]	5/5 (100)	3/8 (38)	8/13 (62)
HBV DNA change from baseline	, log ₁₀ IU/ml, mean (SD)		
EOT	-2.1 (1.08)	-0.8 (0.70)	-1.4 (1.09)
HBV pgRNA change from baseli	ne, log ₁₀ U/ml, mean (SD)		
EOT	-3.0 (1.38)	-0.6 (0.75)	-1.7 (1.60)

Data shown are n/N (%) unless otherwise stated.

EOT, end of treatment; ETV, entecavir; FAS, full analysis set; LOD, limit of detection; ND, not determined; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; TN, treatment-naive; TNA, total nucleic acids; TND, target not detected; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed. Assessed by COBAS TaqMan; LOD = 10 IU/ml.

Assessed by Assembly Biosciences, Inc. HBV DNA assay; LOD = 5 IU/ml.

ŧ TNA = HBV DNA + HBV pgRNA.

Patient HBV DNA TND levels were ND given that values were well above the LOD for the less sensitive COBAS assay.

Table 1. Observed changes in HBV DNA and pgRNA during the on-treatment phase in study 211 (FAS).

[¶] The denominator for patients originating from study 202 is 5 for PBO + ETV.

Research article





was ~1 log₁₀ IU/ml for patients from study 202, with no notable changes observed among patients from study 201. Mean increases from baseline in HBV pgRNA and TNA were numerically greater for patients from study 201 vs. 202. Mean HBV pgRNA and TNA increased from baseline during the off-treatment phase among patients who continued NrtI/ETV (Table S13; Fig. S2). When VBR was discontinued but NrtI/ETV was continued, there

was a rebound in mean pgRNA of ~2 log₁₀ U/ml, as early as the 4W follow-up visit, that persisted throughout EOS. Mean HBV DNA rebounded ~1 log₁₀ IU/ml in patients from study 202 but did not rebound in patients from study 201. No notable changes were observed in mean antigen levels from off-treatment baseline to last visit among patients who discontinued VBR and continued NrtI/ETV only (Table S14; Fig. S3).

	1 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					044 /	
Table 2. Observed	changes in HBV I	JNA and pgRNA (during the off-trea	atment phase in pat	lents from study	211 (FAS)

Patients originating from study 201 (off-treatment phase)												
	VS HBeAg (-) discontinue both n = 23	VS HBeAg (+) discontinue both n = 18										
HBV DNA baseline, log ₁₀ IU/ml	1.0 (0.11)	1.2 (0.16)										
Change from baseline at end of off-treatment	3.6 (2.41)	4.8 (2.55)										
HBV pgRNA baseline, log ₁₀ U/ml	NA	1.5 (ND)*										
Change from baseline at end of off-treatment	NA	4.7 (ND)*										
HBV TNA baseline, log ₁₀ U/ml	1.3 (0.00)	1.3 (0.06)										
Change from baseline at end of off-treatment	2.6 (2.29)	4.0 (2.51)										

Data shown are mean (SD).

Α

Β

FAS, full analysis set; NA, not applicable; ND, not determined; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; TNA, total nucleic acids; VS, virologically-suppressed.

* n = 1 with available pgRNA results.

Table 3.	Summary	of safety	by treatment	phase in	patients	from study	211	(SAS).
----------	---------	-----------	--------------	----------	----------	------------	-----	--------

Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 201 Total n = 23 n = 92 Cacho 1000 2000 2000 Patients originating from study 201 Total n = 23 n = 92 Cacho 2000 2000 2000 Cacho 2000 Patients originating from study 201 Total n = 80 n = 1000 2000 2000 2000 2000 Cacho 2000 Cacho Patients originating from study 201 Total 3 n = 18 N = 41 Total 7 (2000 2 (2000 2 (2000 2 (2000 Cacho <th cols<="" th=""><th colspan="13">On-treatment phase</th></th>	<th colspan="13">On-treatment phase</th>	On-treatment phase												
Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (.) VS HBeAg (.) Total TN HBeAg (.) Overall total TEAE 16 (62) 26 (60) 42 (61) 12 (52) 54 (58) Grade 1 9 (35) 11 (26) 20 (29) 6 (26) 26 (28) Grade 2 7 (27) 14 (33) 21 (30) 31(3) 24 (26) Grade 3 0 1 (2) 1 (1) 3 (13) 24 (26) TEAE related to study drug 3 (12) 5 (12) 8 (12) 2 (9) 10 (1) TEAE related to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 14 (4) 11 (1) TEAE leading to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total 7 (39) 17 (41) Crade 1 2 (9) 0 0 0 0 Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 5 (12) 8 (10)		Patients o	riginating from st	udy 201	Patients originating from study 202									
TAE 16 (62) 26 (60) 42 (61) 12 (52) 54 (59) Grade 1 9 (35) 11 (26) 20 (29) 6 (26) 26 (28) Grade 2 7 (27) 14 (33) 21 (30) 3 (13) 24 (26) Grade 2 7 (27) 14 (33) 21 (30) 3 (13) 24 (26) Grade 1 5 (12) 8 (12) 2 (9) 10 (11) 15 (31) 4 (4) TEAE leading to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 1 (4) 1 (1) TEAE leading to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 2 (9) 6 (14) Upper respiratory tract infection 3 (12) 6 (14) 9 (13) 1 (4) 10 (11) Nasopharyngtis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total m = 23 n = 18 N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 5 (12)	Patients reporting:	VS HBeAg (-) n = 26	VS HBeAg (+) n = 43	Total n = 69	TN HBeAg (+) n = 23	Overall total n = 92								
Grade 1 9 (35) 11 (26) 20 (29) 6 (26) 26 (26) Grade 2 7 (27) 14 (33) 21 (30) 3 (13) 24 (26) Grade 3 0 1 (2) 1 (1) 3 (13) 4 (4) TAE related to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 1 (4) 1 (1) TEAS found in 25% of the total patient population 0 0 0 2 (9) 6 (7) TRAis found in 25% of the total patient population 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Nasopharyngtis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Stringte 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Stringte 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Stringte 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total n = 23 n = 18 N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Grade 1 2 (9) </td <td>TEAE</td> <td>16 (62)</td> <td>26 (60)</td> <td>42 (61)</td> <td>12 (52)</td> <td>54 (59)</td>	TEAE	16 (62)	26 (60)	42 (61)	12 (52)	54 (59)								
Grade 2 7 (27) 14 (33) 21 (30) 3 (13) 24 (26) Grade 3 0 1 (2) 5 (12) 8 (12) 2 (9) 10 (11) TEAE related to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 2 (9) 2 (2) TEAE sound in 25% of the total patient population 0 0 0 2 (9) 6 (11) Nasopharyngtitis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) VS HBeAg (+) Total AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 2 (5) AE calced to study drug 0 0 2 (5) AE calce 3 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AE calce 4	Grade 1	9 (35)	11 (26)	20 (29)	6 (26)	26 (28)								
Grade 3 0 1 (2) 1 (1) 3 (13) 4 (4) TEAF related to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 1 (4) 1 (1) TEAF leaded to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 2 (9) 2 (2) TEAF loading to study drug discontinuation 3 (12) 6 (14) 9 (13) 1 (4) 10 (11) Nasopharyngtiis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 0 AE related to study drug 2 (9) 0 2 (5) 3 (12) AF related to study drug 2 (9)	Grade 2	7 (27)	14 (33)	21 (30)	3 (13)	24 (26)								
TEAE related to study drug 3 (12) 5 (12) 8 (12) 2 (9) 10 (11) TES AE 0 0 0 2 (9) 2 (2) TEAEs found in ≥5% of the total patient population	Grade 3	0	1 (2)	1(1)	3 (13)	4 (4)								
D O O 1 (4) 1 (1) TEXE 0 0 0 2 (9) 2 (2) TEXE found in 25% of the total patient population 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Nasopharyngitis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Discontinued bot VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued bot VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total n = 23 n = 18 N = 41 AE 10 (4) 7 (39) 17 (41) 5 (22) 3 (17) 5 (22) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) 0	TEAE related to study drug	3 (12)	5 (12)	8 (12)	2 (9)	10 (11)								
TAAE leading to study drug discontinuation 0 0 0 2 (9) 2 (2) TABE found in 25% of the total patient population 3 (12) 6 (14) 9 (13) 1 (4) 10 (11) Nasopharyngitis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 7 (3) 1 (4) 5 (5) All n = 18 n = 18 N = 41 A A A (10) 5 (12) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 3 (17) A (20) 0 0 0 0 A (10)	TE SAE	0	0	0	1 (4)	1 (1)								
TEAEs found in ≥5% of the total patient population 3 (12) 6 (14) 9 (13) 1 (4) 0 (1) Nasopharyngitis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total 1 (4) 5 (5) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 O 0 0 0 2 (5) AE found in ≥5% of the total patient population - - 2 (5) AIT increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (10) 3 (10) 3 (10) <	TEAE leading to study drug discontinuation	0	0	0	2 (9)	2 (2)								
Upper respiratory tract infection 3 (12) 6 (14) 9 (13) 1 (4) 10 (11) Nasopharyngitis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) N = 41 N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE sound in 52% of the total patient population ALT increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5)	TEAEs found in ≥5% of the total patient population	n												
Nasopharyngitis 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 2 (9) 6 (7) Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total n = 73 n = 18 N = 41 AE 1 (4) 2 (9) 3 (17) S (20) G (21) G (21) G (20) G (20) <thg (20)<="" th=""></thg>	Upper respiratory tract infection	3 (12)	6 (14)	9 (13)	1 (4)	10 (11)								
Fatigue 1 (4) 3 (7) 4 (6) 1 (4) 5 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Total Total N = 41 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) 5 (12) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 0 AIE related to study drug 0 0 0 0 0 AIS found in 25% of the total patient population AIS 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AIS increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) 2 (5) 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) 2 (5) 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) N = 30 AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30)	Nasopharyngitis	1 (4)	3 (7)	4 (6)	2 (9)	6(7)								
Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originating from study 201) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (-) Total AE N = 23 N = 41 AE N = 18 N = 41 Grade 1 2 (9) N = 18 N = 41 Grade 2 S (22) 3 (17) S (20) Grade 3 N = 10 0 0 Grade 1 S (20) O Colspan= 20 N = 16 N = 16	Fatigue	1 (4)	3 (7)	4 (6)	1 (4)	5 (5)								
Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) n = 23 n = 18 N = 41 AE N = 41 N = 41 N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 117 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE found in 25% of the total patient population 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AES found in 25% of the total patient population 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrti, then restarted Nrti (Nrti-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 7 (31) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) 0 (30) Grade 2 0 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) Gade 2 0 0 0 <	Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl (off-treatment p	hase, patients origin	ating from study 2	201)										
n = 23 n = 18 N = 41 AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE related to study drug 0 0 0 0 AE related to study drug 0 0 0 2 (5) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) D (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) D (43) 9 (30) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) D (5)	Patients reporting:	VS HBe	Ag (-)	VS HBeAg (+)		Total								
AE 10 (43) 7 (39) 17 (41) Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AEs found in 25% of the total patient population 6 (26) 5 (28) 111 (27) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 2 (5) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 1 3 (10) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 1 3 (10) Grade 1 3 (10) Grade 1 6 (3) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (13)<		1	n = 23	n = 18		N = 41								
Grade 1 2 (9) 3 (17) 5 (12) Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE found in ≥5% of the total patient population 2 (9) 0 2 (5) ALT increased 6 (26) 5 (28) 11 (27) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 2 (5) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total n = 16 n = 14 N = 30 Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE cold in ≥5% of the total patient population 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21)	AE	1	0 (43)	7 (39)		17 (41)								
Grade 2 5 (22) 3 (17) 8 (20) Grade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE related to study drug 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AEs found in 25% of the total patient population 6 (26) 5 (28) 11 (27) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Grade 1 Ntl encester encester encester encester encester encester encester encestere encester encester encester encester encester encester encesten	Grade 1		2 (9)	3 (17)		5 (12)								
Crade 3 3 (13) 1 (6) 4 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE related to study drug 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AEs found in ≥5% of the total patient population 2 (9) 0 2 (5) ALT increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 70 70 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total n = 16 n = 14 N = 30 AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) <	Grade 2		5 (22)	3 (17)		8 (20)								
AE related to study drug 0 0 0 SAE 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AEs found in 25% of the total patient population 6 (26) 5 (28) 11 (27) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 7 (5) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) 7 (5) AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE found in 25% of the total patient population 0 0 0 AE found in 25% of the total patient population 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) AE found in 25% of the total patient population 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) AE found in 25% of the total patient population 1 (17) 7 (17) 1 (17) AE found in 25% of the total patient population <td>Grade 3</td> <td></td> <td>3 (13)</td> <td>1 (6)</td> <td></td> <td>4 (10)</td>	Grade 3		3 (13)	1 (6)		4 (10)								
SAE 2 (9) 0 2 (5) AEs found in 25% of the total patient population 1	AE related to study drug		0	0		0								
AEs found in 25% of the total patient population 6 (26) 5 (28) 11 (27) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) 7 (5) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total n = 16 n = 14 N = 30 AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 0 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 AE sound in 25% of the total patient population ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 0 0 0 AE sound in 25% of the total patient population ALT increase	SAE		2 (9)	0		2 (5)								
ALT increased 6 (26) 5 (28) 11 (27) AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) Total Patients reporting: VS HBcAg (-) VS HBcAg (+) Total AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE 0 0 0 AE 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (7) AE 0 0 0 Grade 5 0 0 0 AE 13 (21) 5 (7) AE 0 0 0 AE 0 0 0 0	AEs found in ≥5% of the total patient population													
AST increased 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Headache 1 (4) 1 (6) 2 (5) Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) Total Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 SAE 0 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 1 (17) Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 18 n = 6 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	ALT increased		6 (26)	5 (28)		11 (27)								
Headache1 (4)1 (6)2 (5)Nausea2 (9)02 (5)Back pain2 (9)02 (5)Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201)Patients reporting:VS HBeAg (-)VS HBeAg (+)Totaln = 16n = 14N = 30AE3 (19)6 (43)9 (30)Grade 11 (6)2 (14)3 (10)Grade 203 (21)3 (10)Grade 42 (13)1 (7)3 (10)AE related to study drug000SAE000ALT increased2 (13)3 (21)5 (17)Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202Patients reporting:Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202AE01 (17)1 (17)	AST increased		2 (9)	0		2 (5)								
Nausea 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Notal N = 30 AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE found in 25% of the total patient population 0 0 0 0 ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 6 n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) 1 (17)	Headache		1 (4)	1 (6)		2 (5)								
Back pain 2 (9) 0 2 (5) Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201) VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) Total Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (-) VS HBeAg (+) N = 30 AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 0 AE found in 25% of the total patient population ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 18 n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	Nausea		2 (9)	0		2 (5)								
Discontinued both VBR + Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originating from study 201)Patients reporting:VS HBeAg (-) N = 30VS HBeAg (+) N = 30AE3 (19)6 (43)9 (30)Grade 11 (6)2 (14)3 (10)Grade 203 (21)3 (10)Grade 42 (13)1 (7)3 (10)AE related to study drug000SAE0000AE related to study drug000AE related to study drug000AE related to study drug000AE sfound in >5% of the total patient population ALT increased2 (13)3 (21)5 (17)Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18Patients originating from study 202 TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 6AE001 (17)	Back pain		2 (9)	0		2 (5)								
Patients reporting:VS HBeAg (-)VS HBeAg (+)Total $n = 16$ $n = 14$ $N = 30$ AE3 (19)6 (43)9 (30)Grade 11 (6)2 (14)3 (10)Grade 203 (21)3 (10)Grade 42 (13)1 (7)3 (10)Grade 42 (13)1 (7)3 (10)AE related to study drug000SAE0000ALT increased2 (13)3 (21)5 (17)Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202Patients reporting:VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only $n = 18$ TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only $n = 6$ AE001 (17)Grade 101 (17)	Discontinued both VBR + NrtI, then restarted N	rtl (Nrtl-restart pha	se, patients origina	ating from study 2	01)									
n = 16 n = 14 N = 30 AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 SAE 0 0 0 0 AEs found in \geq 5% of the total patient population 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 N E BeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18 n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) 1 (17)	Patients reporting:	VS HBe	Ag (-)	VS HBeAg (+)		Total								
AE 3 (19) 6 (43) 9 (30) Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 SAE 0 0 0 ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients originating from study 201 TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 18 n = 6 0 1 (17)		I	n = 16	n = 14		N = 30								
Grade 1 1 (6) 2 (14) 3 (10) Grade 2 0 3 (21) 3 (10) Grade 4 2 (13) 1 (7) 3 (10) AE related to study drug 0 0 0 SAE 0 0 0 ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 18 AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	AE		3 (19)	6 (43)		9 (30)								
Grade 203 (21)3 (10)Grade 42 (13)1 (7)3 (10)AE related to study drug000SAE000AEs found in \geq 5% of the total patient population ALT increased2 (13)3 (21)5 (17)Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202Patients reporting:VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 6AE01 (17)Grade 101 (17)	Grade 1		1 (6)	2 (14)		3 (10)								
Grade 4 $2(13)$ $1(7)$ $3(10)$ AE related to study drug000SAE000AEs found in $\geq 5\%$ of the total patient population ALT increased $2(13)$ $3(21)$ $5(17)$ Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202N HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only $n = 18$ $n = 6$ AE01(17)Grade 101(17)	Grade 2		0	3 (21)		3 (10)								
AE related to study drug000SAE000AEs found in \geq 5% of the total patient population ALT increased2 (13)3 (21)5 (17)Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)Patients originating from study 201 N HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18Patients originating from study 202 TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 6AE01 (17)Grade 101 (17)	Grade 4		2 (13)	1 (7)		3 (10)								
SAE000AEs found in \geq 5% of the total patient population ALT increased2 (13)3 (21)5 (17)Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 201Patients originating from study 202TN HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only $n = 18$ n = 6AE01 (17)Grade 101 (17)	AE related to study drug		0	0		0								
AEs found in \geq 5% of the total patient population 3 (21) 5 (17) ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only Patients originating from study 202 N HBeAg (-) continue TV only n = 18 n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	SAE		0	0		0								
ALT increased 2 (13) 3 (21) 5 (17) Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Mathematical Science NHBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18 TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	AEs found in \geq 5% of the total patient population													
Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase) Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	ALT increased		2 (13)	3 (21)		5 (17)								
Patients originating from study 201 Patients originating from study 202 Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18 TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)													
Patients reporting: VS HBeAg (+) continue Nrtl only n = 18 TN HBeAg (-) continue ETV only n = 6 AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)		Patients originating	from study 201		Patients originating	g from study 202								
n - to n - to AE 0 1 (17) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	Patients reporting:	VS HBeAg (+) cor	ntinue Nrtl only		TN HBeAg (-) co	ontinue ETV only								
пь 0 1 (1/) Grade 1 0 1 (17)	AE		11 - 10			1 (17)								
	Grade 1		0		I (I/) 1 (17)									

Data shown are n (%).

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ETV, entecavir; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; SAE, serious adverse event; SAS, safety analysis set; TE, treatment-emergent; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TN, treatment-naive; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Safety

Mean (SD) treatment duration during study 211 was 63.5 (17.5) weeks, with most patients (66/92; 72%) receiving study drugs between 48 and 72 weeks. Overall exposure ranged from 1.1 to 103.9 weeks.

A summary of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and AEs by treatment phase is reported in Table 3. During the on-treatment phase, 54/92 (59%) patients reported one or more TEAEs. One patient who was HBeAg-positive who received PBO + ETV in study 202 developed on-treatment serious AEs (SAEs) not related to study drug, and another patient (HBeAg-positive who received VBR + NrtI in study 202) developed TEAEs possibly related to study drug—see Supplementary material for more

information. No grade 4 TEAEs or deaths occurred during the ontreatment phase. The most common TEAEs were upper respiratory tract infection (10/92; 11%), nasopharyngitis (six of 92; 7%), and fatigue (five of 92; 5%), most of which were grade 1. No trends in the incidence of TEAEs related to treatment received or HBeAg status in the parent studies were noted. On-treatment rash occurred in nine of 69 (13%) patients from study 201 (all grade 1 with variable onset) and none in study 202. All but one case resolved before EOS, and none led to study drug discontinuation. No patients met the ALT flare criteria. Treatmentemergent laboratory abnormalities are summarised in Table S15.

During the off-treatment phase, there were no notable differences in safety between patients who were HBeAg-positive

or -negative from study 201 who discontinued both VBR + NrtI; 17/41 (41%) patients reported an AE (see Supplementary material for information surrounding two patients [both HBeAg-negative] who reported SAEs). No grade 4 AEs or deaths occurred in patients who discontinued both VBR + NrtI. The most common AEs were increases in ALT, increases in aspartate aminotransferase (AST), back pain, headache, and nausea. Two patients had a grade 3 laboratory abnormality (ALT and AST increase), and one had a grade 4 laboratory abnormality (ALT increase). During the NrtI-restart phase, most AEs and laboratory abnormalities were related to ALT and AST increases with no SAEs or deaths. Following Nrtl restart, ALT returned to prediscontinuation levels with no events of hepatic decompensation. After discontinuing both VBR + NrtI, 22 patients had increases in ALT: one grade 4, two grade 3, and 12 grade 2. Further detail on ALT elevations and normalisations is provided in the Supplementary material.

Emergence of resistance-associated variants

Nine patients experienced on-treatment viral rebound, and Sanger sequencing showed no core inhibitor binding pocket substitutions or NrtI resistance mutations for eight out of nine patients tested at all time points. One patient had a T109I resistance-associated substitution in the core gene. This patient (who received VBR + NrtI in study 201) had persistently low HBV DNA through on-treatment W32 and had the T109I mutation detected as a mixture as early as W4 during study 201. Five of the nine patients had virologic rebound in a setting of noncompliance with study drug, including the patient with T109I detected in the core gene.

Pharmacokinetics

Summary statistics for predose concentrations of VBR, ETV, and tenofovir are described in Table S16. In general, W48 predose VBR and NrtI concentrations were comparable with those observed in the parent studies, supporting the lack of a drug-drug interaction.¹²

Discussion

This study was designed to assess the safety and antiviral activity of long-term, open-label VBR + Nrtl in patients with cHBV. In patients originating from studies 201 and 202, long-term VBR + Nrtl led to further reductions in HBV DNA and pgRNA at EOT in study 211 and was generally well tolerated, with few discontinuations and no deaths. No resistance-associated substitutions were observed in patients who were adherent to study drug. PK data from this study are consistent with the parent studies and support a lack of drug-drug interactions between VBR and Nrtls following longer-term administration.

Mean HBV DNA and pgRNA declined during the on-treatment period among patients receiving open-label VBR. Compared with study 211 baseline, there was an increase in the percentage of patients achieving HBV DNA TND at EOT. The observed ontreatment change in viral parameters varied and was influenced by the previous status of patients in the parent studies. Administration of VBR + NrtI resulted in slight numeric, but not clinically significant, decreases in HBV antigens. Additionally, neither HBsAg loss nor HBsAg seroconversion was observed in any patient, which likely correlates with intrahepatic viral persistence.¹³ Therefore, we conclude that long-term treatment with VBR + Nrtl does not result in functional cure.

Long-term administration of VBR + NrtI was well tolerated, with most TEAEs being grade 1/2 and no grade 4 TEAEs or deaths reported. The nature and frequency of the observed TEAEs were similar between patients who were HBeAg-positive and -negative and those who were TN or VS at the start of the parent studies. Most grade 3/4 AEs and laboratory abnormalities occurred in the off-treatment or NrtI-restart phases and were related to elevations in ALT following cessation of antiviral treatment. Among patients meeting predefined criteria for stopping antiviral therapy, discontinuation of VBR + NrtI was well tolerated, with no hepatic decompensation events and limited AEs and ALT elevations.

Although long-term treatment with VBR + NrtI provided deep reductions in HBV DNA and pgRNA at EOT, failure to maintain HBV DNA <LLOQ after cessation of all antiviral therapy points to the need for more potent and/or additional therapies and novel combinations to work toward finite treatment and functional cure. The failure thus far to achieve functional cure off treatment is likely because of the inability of current treatment regimens to interfere with cccDNA formation and maintenance. Although combination therapy with clinically approved NrtIs and IFN α results in greater HBsAg loss than either monotherapy, the fact that neither of these agents eliminates cccDNA means that offtreatment viral rebound is likely.¹⁴ Therefore, it will be of great importance for future agents to have greater efficacy against the cccDNA reservoir. Although first-generation core inhibitors, such as VBR, demonstrate trough plasma concentrations above the protein-adjusted EC₅₀ (paEC₅₀) values for HBV DNA and cccDNA formation, next-generation core inhibitors may have enhanced potency with paEC₅₀s multiple-fold (up to 900-fold higher vs. VBR) above that required for inhibition of capsid disassembly and prevention of cccDNA formation, often called 'secondary mechanisms' of core inhibitors (beyond their effects on capsid assembly).^{15–17} Future studies incorporating next-generation core inhibitors may show higher levels of antiviral activity than what was observed in this study.

An important caveat in this study is the treatment assignment and HBeAg status of patients in the parent studies. In general, patients who were HBeAg-negative and received VBR + NrtI in study 201 entered study 211 with low levels of viral parameters, which made assessing changes in these parameters in study 211 difficult. Conversely, patients who were HBeAg-positive from study 202 and received PBO + NrtI had high levels of viral parameters at study 211 baseline, resulting in viral changes that were more apparent with long-term VBR + NrtI. Furthermore, this study followed patients who discontinued all antiviral treatment (i.e. VBR + NrtI) for approximately 4–36 weeks. Given that levels of certain viral parameters, such as HBsAg, may take years to diminish under antiviral treatment,¹³ it is likely that this short period of follow-up did not capture potential longer-term declines in HBsAg in these patients. As no patients met the primary study endpoint, NrtIs were generally restarted, and consequently the period of follow-up when patients were not receiving any antiviral treatment was insufficient to determine if there were any continued declines in viral parameters. In summary, open-label, long-term, once-daily VBR + NrtI was safe and well tolerated, with few discontinuations and no deaths. Although deeper levels of viral suppression were observed with the VBR + NrtI combination, durable virologic outcomes were not observed in patients who met the criteria to discontinue antiviral treatment. VBR has been investigated further in two triplecombination, open-label, phase II studies – with both NrtI and IFN α , and with Nrtl and the investigational RNA inhibitor AB-729. VBR did not show superior efficacy in key viral parameters in these triple-combination studies *vs.* dual combinations without VBR – hence clinical development of VBR was discontinued.

Abbreviations

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; cccDNA, covalently closed circular DNA; cHBV, chronic hepatitis B virus infection; EOS, end of study; EOT, end of treatment; ETV, entecavir; IFNα, interferon alpha; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; paEC₅₀, protein-adjusted half-maximal effective concentration; PBO, placebo; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; PK, pharmacokinetics; SAE, serious adverse event; TA, treatment action; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TN, treatment-naive; TNA, total nucleic acids; TND, target not detected; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed; W, week.

Financial support

This study was sponsored and funded by Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA.

Conflicts of interest

M-FY reports being an advisor/consultant for AbbVie, AiCuris, Aligos Therapeutics, Antios Therapeutics, Arbutus Biopharma, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Assembly Biosciences, Clear B Therapeutics, Dicerna Pharmaceuticals, Finch Therapeutics, Fujirebio Incorporation, GlaxoSmithKline, Gilead Sciences, Immunocore, Janssen, Roche, Sysmex Corporation, Tune Therapeutics, Vir Biotechnology, and Visirna Therapeutics and receiving grant/research support from AbbVie, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Assembly Biosciences, Fujirebio Incorporation, Gilead Sciences, Immunocore, Roche, and Sysmex Corporation. SF reports receiving fees for speaking and teaching and/or serving on advisory committees for AbbVie, Assembly Biosciences, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, Lupin, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and Springbank Pharma. XM reports being a consultant and being on the speakers' bureau for Gilead Sciences. TTN reports receiving research grant support from Assembly Biosciences and Gilead Sciences. TH reports being on the advisory committee, review panel, or consulting for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Merck, and Organovo and receiving research support from AbbVie, Allergan, Assembly Biosciences, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CARA, Cytodyn, DURECT Corporation, Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Galectin Therapeutics, Gilead Sciences, Grifols, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Merck, Mirum, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Nucorion Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Salix Pharmaceuticals, Sonic Incytes, Terns Pharmaceuticals, and Valeant. H-WH reports serving on the National Advisory Board and receives research grant support from Gilead Sciences. ME reports receiving grants from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai, Gilead Sciences, and Roche and serving on advisory boards for AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, and Merck. RGN reports having served on advisory boards and as a speaker for Gilead Sciences, Janssen, and Merck and having conducted research for AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, and Merck. JSP reports receiving research grants from Assembly Biosciences and GlaxoSmithKline and consulting fees from Gilead Sciences. IMJ reports being a consultant or on advisory boards for AbbVie, Aligos Therapeutics, Arbutus Biopharma, Gilead Sciences, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, and Roche; having conducted research (all payments to institution) for Assembly Biosciences, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cymabay, Eli Lilly, Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Genfit, Gilead Sciences, Intercept, Janssen, Merck, and Novo Nordisk; receiving payment from the Chronic Liver Disease Foundation for manuscript preparation; and participating on a Data Monitoring Committee for Altimmune, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Galmed, GlaxoSmithKline, and Takeda. WSA reports being a member of the speaking bureau for Gilead Sciences and Intercept Pharmaceuticals and has received research grants from Genfit, GlaxoSmithKline, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Ipsen, Madrigal, Mirum, Pfizer, and Zydus. S-HH reports being a consultant and being on the speakers' bureau for Gilead Sciences. EJG reports serving on advisory boards for

AbbVie, Aligos Therapeutics, Assembly Biosciences, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Intellia, Janssen, Roche, Vir Biotechnology, and Virion and having served as a speaker for Abbott Diagnostics, AbbVie, and Gilead Sciences, KZ, RY, and SJK report being employees of and holding stock interest in Assembly Biosciences. JM and LMS report being former employees of and holding stock interest in Assembly Biosciences. MBo reports being a member of the speaking bureau for AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, and Intercept Pharmaceuticals and has received research support from Assembly Biosciences, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead Sciences, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Inventiva, and Viking Therapeutics. FW reports being a study investigator for AbbVie. AR reports receiving grant support, lecture fees, and advisory board fees from AbbVie, Celgene, Gilead Sciences, Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Merck, and Novartis. MBe reports having no conflicts of interest. NR reports advising, being on the speakers' bureau for, and receiving grants from AbbVie and Gilead Sciences; being on the speakers' bureau for Onyx and Salix; and having received grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Merck. SC reports receiving clinical trial-related payments from Assembly Biosciences. DTD reports being a consultant for Gilead Sciences and Intercept Pharmaceuticals. PYK reports being an advisor/consultant for AbbVie, Aligos Therapeutics, Antios Therapeutics, Enanta Pharmaceuticals, Gilead Sciences, and Janssen and receives grant/research supports from Altimmune, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Assembly Biosciences, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eiger Biopharmaceuticals, and Target Registries. ERS reports receiving research and grant support from Assembly Biosciences, Celgene, the University of Florida (TARGET), and Vir Biotechnology and receives royalties from the Schiff Diseases of the Liver, 12th edition. HSB reports having consultancy agreements with and receiving research support from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Gilead Sciences. JL reports having no conflicts of interest. KA reports being on the advisory board, a consultant, and a speaker for AbbVie, Aligos, Arbutus Biopharma, Assembly Biosciences, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Immunocore, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Shinogi, Sobi, and Vir Biotechnology and receiving grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, and Roche. MSS reports receiving grants from AbbVie, Assembly Biosciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, the National Institutes of Health, and Vir Biotechnology and receiving personal fees from AbbVie, Antios Therapeutics, Arbutus Biopharma, Atea Pharmaceuticals, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, F2G, Immunocore, Precision Biosciences, and Virion Therapeutics.

Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure forms for further details.

Authors' contributions

All authors meet authorship criteria set forth by the International Committee for Medical Journal Editors, have significantly contributed to and approved the final submitted version of the manuscript, and take responsibility for the integrity of the work. Study oversight: M-FY, SF, XM, TTN, TH, H-WH, ME, RGN, JSP, IMJ, WSA, S-HH, EJG, SJK, LMS, MBo, FW, AR, MBe, NR, SC, DTD, PYK, ERS, HSB, JL, KA, MSS. Experiments and procedures: M-FY, SF, XM, TTN, TH, H-WH, ME, RGN, JSP, IMJ, WSA, S-HH, EJG, RY, MBo, FW, AR, MBe, NR, SC, DTD, PYK, ERS, HSB, JL, KA, MSS. Data acquisition: M-FY, SF, XM, TTN, TH, H-WH, ME, RGN, JSP, IMJ, WSA, S-HH, EJG, RY, JM, MBo, FW, AR, MBe, NR, SC, DTD, PYK, ERS, HSB, JL, KA, MSS. Data analysis: M-FY, SF, IMJ, JM, SJK, LMS, JL, KA, MSS. Data interpretation: All authors. Critical revision of the manuscript: All authors.

Data availability statement

Data can be made available to researchers upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the patients, investigators, and site staff who participated in this study. Writing and editorial support were provided by Gregory Suess, PhD, CMPP of AlphaBioCom, a Red Nucleus company, and were funded by Assembly Biosciences, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/1 0.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100999.

References

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

- [1] EASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2017;67:370–398.
- [2] World Health Organization. Hepatitis B: key facts. 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-b. [Accessed 24 June 2022].
- [3] World Health Organization. Global progress report on HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmitted infections, 2021: accountability for the global health sector strategies 2016–2021: actions for impact. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
- [4] Lau GK, Piratvisuth T, Luo KX, et al. Peginterferon alfa-2a, lamivudine, and the combination for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2682–2695.
- [5] Janssen HL, van Zonneveld M, Senturk H, et al. Pegylated interferon alfa-2b alone or in combination with lamivudine for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B: a randomised trial. Lancet 2005;365:123–129.
- [6] Buti M, Gane E, Seto WK, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of patients with HBeAgnegative chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, doubleblind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;1:196–206.
- [7] Chan HL, Fung S, Seto WK, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;1:185–195.

- [8] Cornberg M, Lok AS, Terrault NA, et al. Guidance for design and endpoints of clinical trials in chronic hepatitis B – report from the 2019 EASL-AASLD HBV Treatment Endpoints Conference. Hepatology 2020;71(3):1070– 1092.
- [9] Yuen MF, Agarwal K, Ma X, et al. Safety and efficacy of vebicorvir in virologically suppressed patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2022;77:642–652.
- [10] Sulkowski MS, Agarwal K, Ma X, et al. Safety and efficacy of vebicorvir administered with entecavir in treatment-naïve patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2022;77:1265–1275.
- [11] Yuen MF, Agarwal K, Gane EJ, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetics, and antiviral effects of ABI-H0731, a hepatitis B virus core inhibitor: a randomised, placebo-controlled phase 1 trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;5:152–166.
- [12] Zomorodi K, Wang G, Knox SJ, et al. Evaluation of the drug-drug interaction profile of vebicorvir, a first-generation hepatitis B core inhibitor: findings from phase 1 and phase 2a studies. J Hepatol 2022;77. SAT-388.
- [13] Moini M, Fung S. HBsAg loss as a treatment endpoint for chronic HBV infection: HBV cure. Viruses 2022;14:657.
- [14] Marcellin P, Ahn SH, Ma X, et al. Combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and peginterferon α -2a increases loss of hepatitis B surface antigen in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 2016;150:134–144,e110.
- [15] Cai D, Evanchik M, Yan R, et al. Second generation hepatitis B virus core inhibitors ABI-H2158 and ABI-H3733 have enhanced potency and target coverage for both antiviral inhibition and covalently closed circular DNA establishment activities. J Hepatol 2021;75:S290.
- [16] Unchwaniwala N, Delaney W, Kitrinos K. ABI-4334, a novel inhibitor of hepatitis B virus core protein, promotes formation of empty capsids and prevents cccDNA formation by disruption of incoming capsids. J Hepatol 2022;77: SAT-383.
- [17] Shen M, Zong Z, Mohammed N, et al. Improving the pharmacokinetic profile of the hepatitis B virus core inhibitor ABI-H3733 following oral administration: results from new formulation activities. J Hepatol 2022;77: SAT-389.

Journal of Hepatology, Volume 6

Supplemental information

Long-term open-label vebicorvir for chronic HBV infection: Safety and off-treatment responses

Man-Fung Yuen, Scott Fung, Xiaoli Ma, Tuan T. Nguyen, Tarek Hassanein, Hie-Won Hann, Magdy Elkhashab, Ronald G. Nahass, James S. Park, Ira M. Jacobson, Walid S. Ayoub, Steven-Huy Han, Edward J. Gane, Katie Zomorodi, Ran Yan, Julie Ma, Steven J. Knox, Luisa M. Stamm, Maurizio Bonacini, Frank Weilert, Alnoor Ramji, Michael Bennett, Natarajan Ravendhran, Sing Chan, Douglas T. Dieterich, Paul Yien Kwo, Eugene R. Schiff, Ho S. Bae, Jacob Lalezari, Kosh Agarwal, and Mark S. Sulkowski

Long-term open-label vebicorvir for chronic HBV infection: Safety and off-treatment responses

Man-Fung Yuen, Scott Fung, Xiaoli Ma, Tuan T. Nguyen, Tarek Hassanein, Hie-Won
Hann, Magdy Elkhashab, Ronald G. Nahass, James S. Park, Ira M. Jacobson, Walid S.
Ayoub, Steven-Huy Han, Edward J. Gane, Katie Zomorodi, Ran Yan, Julie Ma, Steven
J. Knox, Luisa M. Stamm, Maurizio Bonacini, Frank Weilert, Alnoor Ramji, Michael
Bennett, Natarajan Ravendhran, Sing Chan, Douglas T. Dieterich, Paul Yien Kwo,
Eugene R. Schiff, Ho S. Bae, Jacob Lalezari, Kosh Agarwal, Mark S. Sulkowski

Table of contents	
Supplementary methods	2
Supplementary results	9
Supplementary figures and tables	16
Supplementary references	64

Supplementary methods

Inclusion criteria

Patients who met the following inclusion criteria were eligible for enrolment:

1. Willing and able to provide informed consent.

2. Previously enrolled in a study of vebicorvir (VBR) and completed the treatment period, with demonstrated compliance in the opinion of the investigator.

3. For female patients, agreed to use an effective birth control method for the duration of the study and follow-up, were surgically sterile for at least 6 months, or were at least 2 years postmenopausal with serum follicle-stimulating hormone levels consistent with a postmenopausal status. Effective birth control methods included male or female condom (could not be used together due to increased risk of breakage), vasectomy, intrauterine device (IUD), diaphragm, or cervical cap. Female patients of childbearing potential were required to have a negative pregnancy test.

4. All heterosexually active male patients agreed to use an effective birth control method for the duration of the study and follow-up. Effective birth control methods included male or female condom (could not be used together due to increased risk of breakage), vasectomy, hormone-based contraception (only female partner of a male patient), IUD, diaphragm, or cervical cap.

5. Agreed to adhere to lifestyle considerations including abstaining from alcohol abuse (defined as alcohol consumption exceeding 2 standard drinks per day on average [1 standard drink=10 grams of alcohol]); the use of illicit, herbal or other substances; and unnecessary over-the-counter medications throughout study duration.

6. Were in good general health except for chronic HBV infection (cHBV).

7. Had the ability to take oral medication and were willing to adhere to the Study 211 regimen in the opinion of the investigator.

Exclusion criteria

Patients who met any of the following exclusion criteria were not eligible for enrolment: 1. Had evidence of resistance-associated variants or lack of compliance on a previous study of VBR.

2. Had treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) or laboratory abnormalities deemed clinically significant and possibly or probably related to drug while on a previous study of VBR that in the opinion of the investigator or the Sponsor made the patient unsuitable for Study 211.

3. Had current clinically significant cardiac or pulmonary disease; chronic or recurrent renal or urinary tract disease; liver disease other than HBV; endocrine disorder; autoimmune disorder; diabetes mellitus requiring treatment with insulin or hypoglycaemic agents; neuromuscular, musculoskeletal, or mucocutaneous conditions requiring frequent treatment; seizure disorders requiring treatment; or other medical conditions requiring frequent medical management or pharmacologic or surgical treatment that in the opinion of the investigator or the Sponsor made the patient unsuitable for the study.

4. Females who were lactating or pregnant or wished to become pregnant within the duration of Study 211.

Treatment compliance

Patients were asked to return used study drug bottles and any unused study drug at study visits. To monitor compliance, study sites conducted tablet counts on these returned bottles. Patients who forgot to return bottles were asked to return them at the next study visit.

In cases where the patients forgot to take the study drug at the scheduled time on a given day, they were instructed to take the day's dose as long as it was within 8 hours of their scheduled dose of the day. These patients were instructed not to "catch up" and take twice the dose on the following day. If a patient reported having missed 2 or more consecutive doses, or multiple missed single doses, then the medical monitor was contacted before any further action was taken. These missed doses were to be recorded in the source documents. If a patient demonstrated continued noncompliance with study drug dosing, despite educational efforts, the investigator would contact the medical monitor to discuss discontinuation of the patient from the study.

Exploratory endpoints

- Mean change from Baseline in log₁₀ serum HBeAg
- Mean change from Baseline in log₁₀ serum HBsAg
- Incidence of patients with loss or change in log₁₀ HBsAg or log₁₀ HBeAg (<0.5, ≥0.5 to 1.0, or >1.0 in viral antigens) at end of treatment (EOT) and end of follow-up
- Incidence of patients with HBsAg seroconversion (loss of HBsAg and appearance of HBsAg antibody) or HBeAg seroconversion (loss of HBeAg and appearance of HBeAg antibody)

- Incidence of patients with detectable HBV DNA by PCR at Baseline whose HBV DNA becomes target not detected (TND)
- Quantitative changes from Baseline in viral RNA on treatment and through end of follow-up
- Quantitative changes in serum hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg) levels on treatment and through end of follow-up
- Incidence of HBsAg or HBeAg seroconversion in patients up to 3 years off therapy
- Incidence of patients requiring retreatment following DNA undetected through 3 years off therapy
- Incidence of patients with emergence of HBV resistance-associated variants
- If differences are seen in outcomes/adverse events (AEs) between racial or ethnic groups: pharmacogenomic correlations with clinical outcomes in patients who have provided an optional informed consent and sample in Study 201 or 202
- Quantitative levels of VBR and nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor (Nrtl) in plasma

Definition of AEs

Serious adverse events (SAEs) were defined as any events considered life threatening or that resulted in death, inpatient hospitalisation (or prolongation of existing hospitalisation), or persistent disability/incapacity. Rash and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) flare were AEs of special interest. ALT flares were defined as ALT >2× Baseline and ≥10× the upper limit of normal (ULN; defined by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases [AASLD]) or ALT >2× the on-treatment nadir and ≥10× ULN. TEAEs were any AEs with an onset date on or after the study drug start date and no later than 28 days after permanent discontinuation of study drug. Clinical and laboratory AEs were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (version 21.0).

Virologic assay methodology

HBV DNA was measured by COBAS TagMan Version 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany; lower limit of quantification [LLOQ]=20 IU/mL; limit of detection [LOD]=10 IU/mL) and by a semi-quantitative gel-based assay developed by Assembly Biosciences, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA, USA; LOD=5 IU/mL). Two quantitative pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) assays developed by Assembly Biosciences, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA, USA) were utilised, one for use in samples with high levels of HBV DNA from Study 202 (LLOQ=135 U/mL) and one for samples with low levels of HBV DNA from Study 201 (LLOQ=35 U/mL). Total nucleic acids (composite HBV) DNA+pgRNA) were assessed by a novel assay developed by Assembly Biosciences, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA, USA; LLOQ=20 U/mL). The novel assays developed by Assembly Biosciences, Inc. have been described previously.¹ HBeAg (LLOQ=0.11 IU/mL) and HBsAg (LLOQ=0.05 IU/mL) were quantified using the Architect i2000SR assays (Abbott Diagnostics, Lake Forest, IL, USA). Quantification of HBcrAg (LLOQ=1 kU/mL) was performed using the Lumipulse G assay (Fujirebio, Malvern, PA, USA). All viral parameters were assessed at Covance Central Laboratory Services (now LabCorp, multiple locations) with the exception of HBcrAg levels, which were measured at the University of Hong Kong. ALT was assessed against normal ranges set by Covance

Central Laboratory Services (ULN of 34 U/L for females and 43 U/L for males) and AASLD guidelines (ULN of 25 U/L for females and 33 U/L for males).²

Three-year off-treatment follow-up

All patients who discontinued both VBR+NrtI were followed for up to 3 years from the date of treatment discontinuation to assess the durability of virologic response. Patients had an unscheduled visit to notify them of the treatment action (TA) to be implemented, at which point each individual patient's visit schedule was reset; patients then returned to the clinic for follow-up every 4 weeks for visits at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 weeks posttreatment discontinuation, then every 8 weeks for visits at 32, 40, and 48 weeks posttreatment discontinuation, and then every 12 weeks until completion of the 3-year follow-up. Additional unscheduled visits were performed at the investigator's discretion. Following completion of the visit 3 years after VBR+NrtI discontinuation, patients exited the study and were placed under the routine care of their respective physicians.

Twelve-week follow-up on Nrtl alone

All patients who discontinued VBR only and continued Nrtl alone were followed for 12 weeks from the date of VBR discontinuation. Patients then had an unscheduled visit to notify them of the TA to be implemented, at which point each individual patient's visit schedule was reset; patients then returned to the clinic for follow-up visits at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after discontinuation of VBR. Additional unscheduled visits were performed at the investigator's discretion. Following completion of the follow-up visit 12

weeks after discontinuation of VBR, patients exited the study and were placed under the routine care of their respective physicians.

Continuation of treatment with VBR+NrtI

All patients who continued VBR+NrtI beyond Week 52 returned to the clinic for visits every 4 weeks until Week 148. Patients were then notified of their TA by phone and would continue their planned study visit schedule. At Week 148, patients were evaluated for virologic response and either discontinued both VBR+NrtI and were followed for up to 3 years, or discontinued VBR only, continued NrtI alone, and were followed for 12 weeks.

Criteria to restart Nrtl following discontinuation of both VBR+Nrtl

Patients who discontinued both VBR+Nrtl were followed to assess the durability of virologic response. The investigator used clinical judgement to determine when to restart Nrtl. However, Nrtl therapy was reintroduced if any of the following criteria listed below were met:

- ALT >10× ULN
- Direct bilirubin >2.0× ULN
- International Normalised Ratio (INR) >1.5
- ALT >3× ULN and HBV DNA >100,000 IU/mL
- ALT >ULN and HBV DNA >2000 IU/mL on 3 consecutive visits at least 1 month apart
- Any clinical decompensation, regardless of HBV DNA level

Physician or patient's decision

If any of these criteria were met, then patients could have an unscheduled visit to notify them to restart NrtI. Patients' visit schedules were then reset upon restarting NrtI; patients returned for follow-up visits at 4, 8, and 12 weeks after restarting NrtI and would then complete participation in the study.

Supplementary results

Duration of time patients had on and off treatment

The mean (SD) duration of the on-treatment phase for patients from Study 201 (57.6 [12.9] weeks) was lower than that of patients from Study 202 (81.0 [18.2] weeks). In patients from Study 201 who discontinued VBR+Nrtl, the mean (SD) duration of the off-treatment phase was similar between HBeAg-positive (20.9 [8.82] weeks) and - negative patients (20.6 [11.0] weeks) and was of similar duration for the Nrtl-restart phase (13.4 [2.46] and 14.2 [4.20] weeks, respectively). Patients who discontinued VBR and continued on Nrtl/entecavir (ETV) also had a similar duration of off-treatment phase.

Description of Baseline disease characteristics for Study 211

Mean duration of HBV infection and number of years on current Nrtl treatment were longer among patients from Study 201 than among Study 202 patients (treatment duration for Study 202 patients at Study 211 Baseline was 24 weeks). As expected, mean Baseline HBV DNA measured by COBAS TaqMan among patients from Study 201 were mostly TND. A higher percentage of Study 201 HBeAg-negative patients had HBV DNA TND at Study 211 Baseline than did HBeAg-positive patients. Mean Baseline HBV DNA was not different between treatment groups among patients from Study 201. Study 211 Baseline characteristics from Study 202 showed mean HBV DNA was greater among placebo (PBO)+ETV than among VBR+ETV patients. No Study 202 patients had HBV DNA TND at Study 211 Baseline (**Table S7**).

Mean HBV pgRNA at Study 211 Baseline was higher among Study 201 HBeAgpositive patients than among negative patients. HBeAg-positive patients who received PBO+NrtI in Study 201 had greater mean pgRNA at Baseline versus patients who received VBR+NrtI. Mean HBV pgRNA at Study 211 Baseline among HBeAg-positive patients from Study 202 was numerically greater in patients who received PBO+ETV versus VBR+ETV. No HBeAg-positive patients from Study 202 had HBV pgRNA <LLOQ at Study 211 Baseline (**Table S7**).

Mean levels of HBV antigens were lower among patients from Study 201 versus patients from Study 202 at Study 211 Baseline. Mean levels of HBV antigens at Study 211 Baseline were similar between patients who had received VBR+Nrtl/ETV and PBO+Nrtl/ETV in the parent studies. Mean ALT levels were lower among patients from Study 201 versus Study 202 at Study 211 Baseline, with ALT levels being slightly higher among HBeAg-positive versus -negative patients from Study 201. Patients who received PBO+ETV in Study 202 had higher mean ALT levels at Study 211 Baseline versus patients who received VBR+ETV (**Table S7**). Of the 4 patients from Study 201 with abnormal ALT at Baseline, 2 had ALT ≤ULN at EOT, and 1 had ALT ≤ULN at end of study (EOS). Of the 7 patients from Study 202 with abnormal ALT at Baseline, 5 had ALT ≤ULN at EOT and at EOS (data not shown).

Narratives for SAEs and AEs leading to discontinuation of treatment

On-treatment phase

A 33-year-old Asian male patient who was treatment-naïve with HBeAg-positive cHBV infection was initially enrolled in Parent Study 202 and randomised to receive placebo PBO+ETV. The patient completed 24 weeks of blinded treatment in Study 202 and was enrolled in Study 211 to receive open-label VBR+ETV. The patient's medical history included abdominal tenderness, and the patient reported a Grade 1 AE of stress in Study 202, which was ongoing at Baseline of Study 211. No concomitant medications were reported. During Study 211, the patient reported AEs of Grade 1 conjunctivitis (Study Days 52–60) and Grade 1 insomnia (Study Days 162–215). On Study Day 253, the patient presented to the emergency room agitated, distressed over his social situation, and speaking about wanting to kill himself, though later he reported that he was misunderstood, as English is not his native language. A Grade 2 AE of anxiety, a Grade 1 AE of palpitations, and a Grade 3 SAE of suicidal ideation were reported. The patient was hospitalised and discontinued VBR on Study Day 255. The patient's mood improved, and he denied further suicidal ideation. He was discharged on Study Day 258, and the SAE of suicidal ideation was resolved. The investigator considered all AEs not related to study drug.

Off-treatment phase

Patient 1

A 46-year-old White male who was virologically-suppressed (VS) with HBeAgnegative cHBV infection was initially enrolled in Parent Study 201 and was randomised to receive VBR and continue Nrtl (ETV). The patient completed 24 weeks of blinded treatment in Study 201 and was enrolled in Study 211 to receive open-label VBR+Nrtl. The patient's medical history included Barrett oesophagus, hiatal hernia, oesophagitis, and oesophageal reflux. No concomitant medications were reported. The patient met the TA criteria to discontinue treatment, and both VBR and ETV were discontinued on Study Day 426. On Study Day 563 (137 days after discontinuation of study drug), the patient was brought to the emergency room by friends who stated that the patient was confused. The evaluating physician believed the patient may have had a seizure and was in a post-ictal state. The patient was admitted to the hospital for further evaluation, during which he was treated with lorazepam and levetiracetam for the suspicion of seizure. The results from a magnetic resonance imaging scan and electroencephalogram were normal. He was found to be in atrial fibrillation, for which he received aspirin. The patient reported back pain. Chest X-ray, electrocardiogram, and echocardiogram were normal. The patient was discharged from the hospital in stable condition. A Grade 3 SAE of seizure, a Grade 2 AE of atrial fibrillation, and a Grade 2 AE of back pain were resolved. The investigator considered all AEs not related to study drug.

Patient 2

A 55-year-old Black or African American female who was VS with HBeAgnegative cHBV infection initially enrolled in Study 201 and was randomised to receive PBO and continue Nrtl (tenofovir alafenamide fumarate [TAF]). The patient completed

24 weeks of blinded treatment in Study 201 and was enrolled in Study 211 to receive open-label VBR+Nrtl. The patient's medical history included obesity, hypertension, coronary artery disease with prior stenting, insomnia, and depression. No concomitant medications were reported.

On Study Day 255, the patient switched Nrtl from TAF to ETV due to insurance issues. On Study Day 280, the patient experienced Grade 1 AEs of toothache and tooth infection, for which she received amoxicillin and ibuprofen. On Study Day 311, the patient experienced Grade 1 papular rash, possibly related to study drug, for which she received hydrocortisone cream. The patient met the TA criteria to discontinue treatment, and both VBR+Nrtl were discontinued on Study Day 427.

On Study Day 512 (85 days after discontinuation of study drug), the patient experienced a Grade 3 SAE of procedural haemorrhage. The day prior, the patient had undergone plastic surgery, including abdominoplasty, liposuction, and breast augmentation. The patient was being discharged from the centre, and upon standing to get into the car, the patient felt dizzy and passed out. The patient was brought back upstairs to the clinic, where she passed out again; she was subsequently kept at the medical centre overnight for observation. While at the medical centre, the patient complained of persistent dizziness and decided to go to the emergency room. Upon her admission to the emergency room, the patient's haemoglobin level was 5.3 g/dL (reference range: 12.0–16.0 g/dL), her platelet count was 137 k/mcL (reference range: 140–400 k/mcL), and her INR was 1.1 (reference range: 0.8–1.2). The patient was found to have had acute blood loss in the left anterior abdominal wall as a complication of abdominoplasty. The patient was admitted and received 2.5 units of packed red blood

cells via transfusion. A Grade 3 AE of procedural pain was also reported. No additional surgery was performed. On Study Day 516, the patient's haemoglobin level was 7.9 g/dL; the patient was discharged, and the AEs were considered resolved. The investigator considered the AEs of procedural haemorrhage and procedural pain not related to study drug.

Details surrounding on-treatment AEs of ALT elevation

During the on-treatment phase, 3 patients reported Grade 3 AEs of ALT increase and laboratory abnormalities of elevated ALT. In these cases, ALT elevations were associated with aspartate aminotransferase elevations, and there were no graded abnormalities in total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, albumin, and INR and no signs of hepatic decompensation. No patients met the criteria for ALT flare. One patient discontinued VBR due to the AE of ALT increase and recovered soon after. The other 2 patients with elevated ALT on treatment are described below.

A 36-year-old Black or African American female patient with HBeAg-positive cHBV treated with PBO+ETV in Parent Study 202 enrolled in Study 211 to receive VBR+ETV. The patient had a Grade 3 AE of ALT increase reported on Study Day 8 and a Grade 3 laboratory abnormality of elevated ALT (199 U/L). Levels of ALT peaked on Study Day 22 (296 U/L) and then improved on treatment, and the AE was resolved on Study Day 43. From Study Day 1 to 57, HBV DNA decreased from 3.72 log₁₀ IU/mL to <LLOQ, and HBsAg decreased from 4.63 log₁₀ IU/mL to 3.06 log₁₀ IU/mL. Per the investigator, the elevated ALT occurred in the setting of increased alcohol use and was not considered related to study drug.

Another patient was a 35-year-old Asian male with HBeAg-positive cHBV treated with PBO+NrtI in Parent Study 201 who enrolled in Study 211 to receive VBR+NrtI. On treatment, the patient had a Grade 2 AE of ALT increase reported on Study Day 393 and a Grade 2 laboratory abnormality of elevated ALT (184 U/L). The patient met the TA criteria to discontinue treatment, and both VBR and NrtI were discontinued on Study Day 420. On Study Day 421, Grade 3 elevated ALT (271 U/L) was observed, and a Grade 3 AE of ALT increase was reported. Off treatment, ALT levels remained stably elevated, HBV DNA increased to 5.95 log₁₀ IU/mL, and the patient met laboratory criteria to restart NrtI on Study Day 491 (71 days after stopping treatment). At the last observation on Study Day 575 (84 after NrtI restart), HBV DNA had decreased to 40 IU/mL (1.6 log₁₀ IU/mL), and the ALT level was 111 U/mL (Grade 2). At the end of the study, the Grade 3 AE of ALT increase was considered resolved and the Grade 2 AE of ALT increase was ongoing; the investigator considered both AEs not related to study drug.





Fig. S1. Study 211 design.

The duration of treatment for each patient was based on the respective HBV treatment history (virologically-suppressed in Study 201 or treatment-naïve in Study 202), hepatitis B e antigen status (positive or negative) at Baseline in the parent study, and their individual virologic response in Study 211. Based on these factors, each patient was evaluated for virologic response and assigned to one of the following treatment actions: discontinue both VBR+Nrtl, discontinue VBR only and continue Nrtl alone, or continue both VBR+Nrtl for up to 148 weeks.

BL, Baseline; ETV, entecavir; HBV, hepatitis B virus; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; SOC, standard-of-care; VBR, vebicorvir; Wk, week.





Mean log₁₀ levels of HBV DNA and HBV pgRNA in **(A)** patients from Study 201 and **(B)** patients from Study 202 who discontinued VBR and remained on Nrtl.

FU, follow-up; HBV, hepatitis B virus; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; SE, standard error; VBR, vebicorvir.



Fig. S3. HBV viral antigens in patients from Study 211 who discontinued VBR and remained on Nrtl.

Mean log₁₀ levels of HBV viral antigens in **(A)** patients from Study 201 and **(B)** patients from Study 202 who discontinued VBR and remained on Nrtl.

FU, follow-up; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; SE, standard error; VBR, vebicorvir. Table S1. Decision criteria and treatment actions for Study 211 patients previously enrolled in Studies 201 and202.

Parent	Treatment	HBeAg	Study 211	Decision criteria	Treatment actions
study	history ^a	statusª	visit (week)		
201	VS	-	52 ^b	Both VBR+NrtI stopped in all patients	Discontinue both VBR+NrtI and enter long-term, off-treatment follow-up for up to 3 years
201	VS	÷	52 ^b	If HBV TNA was <20 IU/mL and HBeAg ≤5 IU/mL for ≥7 consecutive visits ^c If HBV TNA was not <20 IU/mL and HBeAg ≤5 IU/mL for ≥7 consecutive visits ^c	Discontinue both VBR+NrtI and enter long-term, off-treatment follow-up for up to 3 years Discontinue VBR only and continue NrtI alone; enter follow-up on NrtI alone for 12 weeks
202	TN	+	52 ^b	If ≥2.5 log₁₀ reduction in HBV pgRNA from Baseline in the	Continue both VBR+ETV for additional 96 weeks (ie, to Week 148)

		parent study or achieved HBV	
		pgRNA <lloq< td=""><td></td></lloq<>	
		If <2.5 log ₁₀ reduction in	Discontinue VBR only and continue ETV
		pgRNA from Baseline in the	
		parent study or did not	alone; enter follow-up on ETV alone for
		achieve HBV pgRNA <lloq< td=""><td>up to 12 weeks</td></lloq<>	up to 12 weeks
		If HBV TNA <20 IU/mL and	Discontinue both VBR+ETV and enter
		HBeAg ≤5 IU/mL for ≥7	long-term, off-treatment follow-up for up
	148	consecutive visits ^c	to 3 years
		If HBV TNA was not <20	Discontinue VBR only and continue ETV
		IU/mL and HBeAg ≤5 IU/mL	alone; enter follow-up on ETV alone for
		for ≥7 consecutive visits ^c	up to 12 weeks

^aTreatment history and HBeAg status at Baseline in the parent studies (Study 201 or 202). ^bPatients without virologic assessment at Week 52 were evaluated at the next study visit. ^cConsecutive visits were determined from the last time point at which values were available for all parameters.

ETV, entecavir; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; TN, treatment-naïve; TNA, total nucleic acids; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Parent study					Stuc	dy 201 (NC	T0357	6066)								
Parent study population					Vir	ologically s	suppres	sed								
Parent study HBeAg status			Posi	tive					Neg	ative						
Parent study treatment		VBR+Nrtl PBO+Nrtl VBR+Nrtl PBO+Nrtl On- Off- Nrtl- On- Off- Nrtl- On- Off- Nrtl-														
Data reporting	On-	Off-	On-	Off-	Nrtl-											
period	Rx ^a	Rx⁵	restart ^c	Rx ^a	Rx⁵	restart ^c	restart ^c Rx ^a Rx ^b restart ^c Rx ^a Rx ^b restart ^c									
Parent study					Stuc	dy 202 (NC	ICT03577171)									
Parent study population						Treatmen	t-naïve									
Parent study HBeAg status						Positi	ive									
Parent study treatment			VBR+	ETV					РВО	+ETV						
Data reporting period	On	-Rxª	Off-F	₹x ^b	Nrtl-	restart ^c	On	-Rxª	Off-F	₹x ^b	Nrtl-	-restart ^c				

 Table S2. Treatment groups from parent studies reported in Study 211.

^aData reported from the first dose of study drug to the last dose of study drug in Study 211. ^bData reported after the last dose of study drug in Study 211. ^cData reported from the time NrtI was restarted after VBR+NrtI were discontinued. ETV, entecavir; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; Rx, treatment; VBR, vebicorvir.

Table S3. Schedule of efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetic assessments for on-treatment patients through Week

100.

Assessment	0	2	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48	52	56 ª	60	64	68	72	76	80	84	88	92	96	10 0
Full physical examinations	Х							Х							Х						Х						Х
Vital signs	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Concomitant medications ^b and AE review	х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	x	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х
Dermatologic assessment	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
HBV DNA and pgRNA	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

HBsAg,

HBeAg, and	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
HBcrAg ^c																											
Chemistry,																											
haematology,	x	x	Y	x	x	Y	x	Y	Y	x	x	Y	Y	x	Y	x	Y	x	x	x	x	Y	Y	x	x	Y	Y
and	~	~	Λ	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	Λ	Λ	Λ	~	~	~	Λ	~	~	~	~	~	~	Λ	~	~	~
coagulation																											
PK sample														Х													

^aWhen the primary endpoint was assessed. ^bAll concomitant medications were required to be recorded in the designated electronic case report form from the date informed consent was obtained to 30 days following the last dose of all study drug(s), with the exception of concomitant medications used for treatment of HBV in patients who restarted HBV therapy, which were collected through the end of follow-up. ^cHBcrAg was included as a biomarker and tested at every visit. HBeAg and HBsAg were tested at every visit except for Week 2.

AE, adverse event; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; PK, pharmacokinetic.
	Study week											
Assessment	104	108	112	116	120	124	128	132	136	140	144	148
Full physical						x						x
examinations						Λ						~
Vital signs	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Concomitant												
medications ^a	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
and AE review												
Dermatologic	X	x	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
assessment	λ	Χ	Χ	Λ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Λ	Χ	Λ	Χ
HBV DNA and	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
pgRNA	~	A	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
HBsAg, HBeAg,	Y	Y	Y	x	Y	x	x	x	Y	Y	x	Y
and HBcrAg	~	Λ	~	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ	Λ

 Table S4. Schedule of efficacy and safety assessments for on-treatment patients from Weeks 104 to 148.

Chemistry,												
haematology,	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
and coagulation												

^aAll concomitant medications were required to be recorded in the designated electronic case report form from the date informed consent was obtained to 30 days following the last dose of all study drug(s), with the exception of concomitant medications used for treatment of HBV in patients who restarted HBV therapy, which were collected through the end of follow-up.

AE, adverse event; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA.

 Table S5. Schedule of efficacy and safety assessments for long-term, off-treatment patients who discontinue

 both VBR+Nrtl.

				Posttrea	atment follo	ow-up ^a : 3-y	ear follow-u	up	
									Q3
Accessment		8 \M/kc	12 M/kc	20 M/kc	24 \M/kc	22 M/kc		48 \M/ke	months
Assessment	4 0065	O VVKS	12 0085	20 VVKS	24 VVKS	32 VVK5	40 0085	40 0085	(Months
									15–36)
Full physical	х								
examinations									
Vital signs	Х								
Concomitant									
medications ^b	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
and AE review									
Symptom-									
derived		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
physical exam									

HBV DNA and	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
pgRNA									
HBsAg,									
HBeAg, and	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
HBcrAg									
Chemistry,									
haematology,	x								
and	Χ								
coagulation									
Liver panel		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

^aPatients with a posttreatment ALT elevation >2× ULN or HBV DNA >2000 IU/mL were asked to return to the clinic every 2 weeks for an unscheduled visit to monitor liver function and viral load until the patient's lab values resolved or the patient was required to restart NrtI therapy. ^bAny concomitant medications were recorded in the designated electronic case report form from the date informed consent was obtained to 30 days following the last dose of all study drug(s), with the exception of concomitant medications used for treatment of HBV in patients who restarted HBV therapy, which were collected through end of follow-up.

AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; Q3 months, every 3 months; ULN, upper limit of normal; VBR, vebicorvir; Wk, week.

Posttreatment follow-up: 12-week follow-up Follow-up 3ª/EOS Assessment Follow-up 1^a Follow-up 2^a Full physical Х examinations Х Vital signs Concomitant Х medications^b Х Х and AE review Symptom-Х derived physical Х exam HBV DNA and Х Х Х pgRNA

Table S6. Schedule of efficacy and safety assessments for patients who either prematurely discontinued the study, stopped VBR only and continued Nrtl alone, or restarted Nrtl after discontinuation of both VBR+Nrtl.

HBsAg, HBeAg,	Y	Y	Y
and HBcrAg	~	~	X
Chemistry,			
haematology,	Х		
and coagulation			
Liver panel		Х	Х

^aThe follow-up 1, 2, and 3 visits occurred 4, 8, and 12 weeks, respectively, after the patient discontinued VBR and continued Nrtl, restarted Nrtl, or was prematurely terminated from the study. Additional (unscheduled) follow-up visits occurred as clinically indicated. ^bAny concomitant medications were recorded in the designated electronic case report form from the date informed consent was obtained to 30 days following the last dose of all study drug(s), with the exception of concomitant medications used for treatment of HBV in patients who restarted HBV therapy, which were collected through end of follow-up.

AE, adverse event; EOS, end of study; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; VBR, vebicorvir.

				Patients	
	Patients	originating from St	udy 201	originating from	
				Study 202	
Characteristic	VS HBeAg (–)	VS HBeAg (+)	Total	TN HBeAg (+)	Overall total
Characteristic	n=26	n=43	n=69	n=23	n=92
Age, years	49 (35, 65)	45 (21, 67)	46 (21, 67)	36 (21, 67)	44 (21, 67)
<50 years, n (%)	14 (54)	29 (67)	43 (62)	19 (83)	62 (67)
Sex , male, n (%)	16 (62)	28 (65)	44 (64)	8 (35)	52 (57)
Race, n (%)					
Asian	20 (77)	38 (88)	58 (84)	22 (96)	80 (87)
Black	3 (12)	1 (2)	4 (6)	1 (4)	5 (5)

 Table S7. Baseline demographics for patients in Study 211, all-enrolled analysis set.

Native Hawaiian					
or other Pacific	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
Islander					
White	2 (8)	2 (5)	4 (6)	0	4 (4)
Other	1 (4)	1 (2)	2 (3)	0	2 (2)
BMI, kg/m ²	24.4 (18.7, 29.9)	24.0 (18.5, 33.8)	24.1 (18.5, 33.8)	23.5 (17.3, 32.7)	24.0 (17.3, 33.8)

Data shown are mean (minimum, maximum) unless indicated otherwise.

BMI, body mass index; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; TN, treatment-naïve; VS, virologically-suppressed.

 Table S8. Baseline disease characteristics for patients in Study 211, all-enrolled analysis set.

		Detion		Patients originating from						
		Patien	ts originati	ing from Stu	ay 201			Study 202		
	١	/S HBeAg (–)	١	/S HBeAg (+)	TN HBeAg (+)			
		n=26 n=43					n=23			
Characteristic	PBO+Nrtl	VBR+Nrtl	Total	PBO+Nrtl	VBR+Nrtl	Total	PBO+ETV	VBR+ETV	Total	
Characteristic	n=10	n=16	n=26	n=16	n=27	n=43	n=11	n=12	n=23	
Years positive	20.9	14.8	17.1	11.1	12.2	11.8	11.5	10.2	10.8	
for HBV	(8.1)	(12.1)	(11.0)	(6.1)	(8.7)	(7.8)	(9.7)	(8.2)	(8.8)	
Nrtl, n (%)										
ETV	2 (20)	3 (19)	5 (19)	1 (6)	3 (11)	4 (9)	11 (100)	12 (100)	23 (100)	
ETV/TDF	0	0	0	0	1 (4)	1 (2)	0	0	0	
TAF	5 (50)	6 (38)	11 (42)	5 (31)	8 (30)	13 (30)	0	0	0	

TDF	3 (30)	7 (44)	10 (38)	10 (63)	15 (56)	25 (58)	0	0	0
Years on current Nrtl treatment	6.8 (6.0)	3.3 (3.6)	4.7 (4.9)	3.8 (3.0)	5.2 (3.8)	4.7 (3.5)	0.5 (0.01)	0.5 (0.00)	0.5 (0.01)
HBV DNA, log10	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	3.8	2.2	2.9
IU/mL ^a	(0.17)	(0.19)	(0.18)	(0.23)	(0.16)	(0.19)	(1.38)	(0.86)	(1.37)
TND, n (%)	6 (60)	13 (81)	19 (73)	8 (50)	16 (59)	24 (56)	0	0	0
TND at Week 2, n (%)	_	—	_	—	—	_	0	0	0
HBV DNA									
TND, n (%) ^b	9 (90)	12 (75)	21 (81)	5 (31)	18 (67)	23 (53)	ND°	ND°	NDc
TND at Week 2, n (%) ^d	_	_	_	_	_	_	0	1 (13)	1 (8)

HBV pgRNA, log₁₀ U/mL ^e	1.5 (0.00)	1.5 (0.03)	1.5 (0.02)	3.3 (1.53)	1.9 (0.63)	2.4 (1.24)	6.7 (1.59)	4.6 (1.19)	5.6 (1.76)
<lloq, (%)<="" n="" td=""><td>10 (100)</td><td>15 (94)</td><td>25 (96)</td><td>3 (19)</td><td>16 (59)</td><td>19 (44)</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></lloq,>	10 (100)	15 (94)	25 (96)	3 (19)	16 (59)	19 (44)	0	0	0
HBV TNA, l og ₁₀ U/mL ^f	1.3 (0.00)	1.3 (0.00)	1.3 (0.00)	2.7 (1.42)	1.4 (0.36)	1.9 (1.12)	ND ^g	ND ^g	ND ^g
<lloq, (%)<="" n="" td=""><td>10 (100)</td><td>12 (75)</td><td>22 (85)</td><td>4 (25)</td><td>17 (63)</td><td>21 (49)</td><td>ND^g</td><td>ND^g</td><td>NDg</td></lloq,>	10 (100)	12 (75)	22 (85)	4 (25)	17 (63)	21 (49)	ND ^g	ND ^g	NDg
HBeAg, log ₁₀	-1.0	-1.00	-1.0	0.5	0.4	0.4	2.0	2.1	2.1
IU/mL ^h	(0.04)	(0.00)	(0.02)	(1.00)	(0.91)	(0.93)	(1.44)	(1.09)	(1.24)
<lloq, (%)<="" n="" td=""><td>9 (90)</td><td>16 (100)</td><td>25 (96)</td><td>0</td><td>1 (4)</td><td>1 (2)</td><td>1 (9)</td><td>0</td><td>1 (4)</td></lloq,>	9 (90)	16 (100)	25 (96)	0	1 (4)	1 (2)	1 (9)	0	1 (4)
HBcrAg, log ₁₀	0.6	0.4	0.5	2.9	2.8	2.8	5.0	5.0	5.0
kU/mL ⁱ	(0.56)	(0.60)	(0.58)	(0.94)	(0.87)	(0.89)	(1.18)	(1.02)	(1.07)
<lloq, (%)<="" n="" td=""><td>3 (30)</td><td>7 (44)</td><td>10 (38)</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></lloq,>	3 (30)	7 (44)	10 (38)	0	0	0	0	0	0

HBsAg, log ₁₀	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
IU/mL ^j	(0.64)	(0.55)	(0.59)	(0.54)	(0.37)	(0.43)	(0.50)	(0.49)	(0.49)
<lloq, (%)<="" n="" td=""><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></lloq,>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HBeAb, negative, n (%)	1 (10)	1 (6)	2 (8)	13 (81)	24 (89)	37 (86)	10 (91)	12 (100)	22 (96)
HBsAb, negative, n (%)	10 (100)	16 (100)	26 (100)	16 (100)	27 (100)	43 (100)	9 (82)	8 (67)	17 (74)
ALT, U/L ^k	21 (12.2)	21 (7.0)	21 (9.2)	24 (14.8)	27 (29.4)	26 (24.8)	48 (30.9)	19 (7.1)	33 (26.0)
<uln, (%)<sup="" n="">k</uln,>	1 (10)	1 (6)	2 (8)	1 (6)	1 (4)	2 (5)	6 (55)	1 (8)	7 (30)

Data shown are mean (SD) unless indicated otherwise.

^aMeasured by COBAS TaqMan/central lab (LLOQ=20 IU/mL and LOD=10 IU/mL). ^bAssembly Biosciences, Inc. HBV DNA assay (LOD=5 IU/mL). ^cPatient HBV DNA TND levels were ND given that values were well above the LOD for the less sensitive COBAS assay. ^dDenominators for patients originating from Study 202 are 5, 8, and 13 for PBO+ETV, VBR+ETV, and Total, respectively. ^eAssembly Biosciences, Inc. HBV pgRNA assay (LLOQ=35 U/mL for VS patients and 135 U/mL

for TN patients). ^fHBV TNA composite LLOQ=20 U/mL. ^gND since all patients were HBV pgRNA positive. ^hMeasured by COBAS TaqMan/central lab (LLOQ=0.11 IU/mL). ⁱMeasured by Fujirebio Lumipulse G (at the University Hong Kong lab; LLOQ=1 kU/mL). ^jMeasured by COBAS TaqMan/central lab (LLOQ=0.05 IU/mL. ^kALT ULN is 34 U/L for females and 43 U/L for males [Covance]).

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ETV, entecavir; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAb, HBeAg antibody; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAb, HBsAg antibody; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; LOD, limit of detection; ND, not determined; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; SD, standard deviation; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TN, treatment-naïve; TNA, total nucleic acids; TND, target not detected; ULN, upper limit of normal; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Patients originating from Study 201 (on-treatment phase)										
		VS HBeAg (–)			VS HBeAg (+)					
	PBO+Nrtl	VBR+Nrtl	Total	PBO+Nrtl	VBR+Nrtl	Total				
	n=10	n=16	n=26	n=16	n=27	n=43				
HBeAg change										
from Baseline,										
log ₁₀ IU/mL										
EOT	NDª	ND ^a	ND ^a	-0.1 (0.43)	-0.1 (0.29)	-0.1 (0.35)				
Change >1 log ₁₀				0	0	0				
IU/mL, n (%)	NU			U	0	U				
Seroconversion,				0	0	0				
n (%) ^ь				U	0	U				
HBsAg change										

Table S9. Observed changes in HBV antigens during the on-treatment phase in patients from Study 211 (FAS).

from Baseline,

log₁₀ IU/mL

EOT	0 (0.16)	-0.1 (0.15)	-0.1 (0.16)	0 (0.05)	-0.1 (0.07)	-0.1 (0.07)
Change >1 log ₁₀	0	0	0	0	0	0
IU/mL, n (%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Seroconversion,	0	0	0	0	0	0
n (%) ^c	0	0	0	0	0	0
HBcrAg change						
from Baseline,						
log ₁₀ kU/mL						
						()
EOT	–0.1 (0.14)	0.0 (0.41)	0.0 (0.32)	–0.2 (0.21)	-0.1 (0.24)	-0.2 (0.23)
EOT	-0.1 (0.14) Patients orig	0.0 (0.41) inating from St	0.0 (0.32) audy 202 (TN HE	-0.2 (0.21) SeAg +; on-treat	–0.1 (0.24)	-0.2 (0.23)
EOT	–0.1 (0.14) Patients orig	0.0 (0.41) inating from St D+ETV	0.0 (0.32) udy 202 (TN HB	–0.2 (0.21) seAg +; on-treat	–0.1 (0.24)	–0.2 (0.23) Total
EOT	–0.1 (0.14) Patients orig PBC	0.0 (0.41) inating from St D+ETV =11	0.0 (0.32) udy 202 (TN HE	–0.2 (0.21) SeAg +; on-treat SR+ETV n=12	–0.1 (0.24)	–0.2 (0.23) Total n=23
EOT HBeAg change	–0.1 (0.14) Patients orig PB(0.0 (0.41) inating from St D+ETV =11	0.0 (0.32) udy 202 (TN HB VB	–0.2 (0.21) SeAg +; on-treat SR+ETV n=12	–0.1 (0.24)	–0.2 (0.23) Total n=23
EOT HBeAg change from Baseline,	–0.1 (0.14) Patients orig PB(0.0 (0.41) inating from St D+ETV I=11	0.0 (0.32) audy 202 (TN HB VB	–0.2 (0.21) SeAg +; on-treat SR+ETV n=12	–0.1 (0.24)	–0.2 (0.23) Total n=23
EOT HBeAg change from Baseline, log ₁₀ IU/mL	–0.1 (0.14) Patients orig PB(0.0 (0.41) inating from St D+ETV	0.0 (0.32) udy 202 (TN HB VB	–0.2 (0.21) SeAg +; on-treat SR+ETV n=12	–0.1 (0.24)	–0.2 (0.23) Total n=23

Change >1 log ₁₀	1 (0)	1 (9)	2 (0)	
IU/mL, n (%)	1 (9)	1 (6)	2 (9)	
Seroconversion,	4 (0)	0	4 (4)	
n (%) ^b	1 (9)	U	1 (4)	
HBsAg change				
from Baseline,				
log₁₀ IU/mL				
EOT	-0.6 (1.01)	-0.2 (0.24)	-0.4 (0.73)	
Change >1 log ₁₀	1 (0)	0	1 (4)	
IU/mL, n (%)	1 (9)	0	1 (4)	
Seroconversion,	0	0	0	
n (%) ^c	0	0	0	
HBcrAg change				
from Baseline,				
log₁₀ kU/mL				
EOT	-0.9 (1.12)	-0.5 (0.67)	-0.7 (0.91)	

Data shown are mean (SD) unless otherwise stated. ^aND as all patients were HBeAg-negative. ^bHBeAg seroconversion was defined as loss of HBeAg and appearance of HBeAb. ^cHBsAg seroconversion was defined as loss of HBsAg and appearance of HBsAb.

EOT, end of treatment; ETV, entecavir; FAS, full analysis set; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAb, antibody to HBeAg; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAb; antibody to HBsAg; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; ND, not determinable; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PBO, placebo; SD, standard deviation; TN, treatment-naïve; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed.

	Patients originating from Study 201 (off-treatment phase)			
	VS HBeAg (–) discontinue both	VS HBeAg (+) discontinue both		
	n=23	n=18		
HBeAg Baseline,	-10(004)	-0.2 (0.51)		
log ₁₀ IU/mL				
Change from				
Baseline at end	0.3 (0.96)	1.5 (1.88)		
of off-treatment				
HBsAg Baseline,	3 1 (0 61)	3 5 (0 40)		
log ₁₀ IU/mL	0.1 (0.01)	0.0 (0.40)		
Change from				
Baseline at end	0.2 (0.71)	0.3 (0.66)		
of off-treatment				
HBcrAg Baseline,	0.4 (0.58)	2 2 (0 47)		
log₁₀ kU/mL	0(0.00)	(0)		

 Table S10. Observed changes in HBV antigens during the off-treatment phase in patients from Study 211 (FAS).

Change from		
Baseline at end	1.1 (1.59)	1.7 (1.96)
of off-treatment		
Data shown are mean (SD).		

FAS, full analysis set; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; SD, standard deviation; VS, virologically-suppressed.

	Patients originating from Study 201 (Nrtl restart)				
	VS HBeAg (–), discontinued both and VS HBeAg (+), discontinued both and resta				
	restarted Nrtl	Nrtl			
	n=16	n=14			
HBV DNA					
Baseline, log ₁₀	5.7 (2.13)	6.8 (2.32)			
IU/mL					
Change from					
Baseline at end	4.2 (4.92)	2.0.(4.40)			
of Nrtl-restart	-4.3 (1.63)	-3.9 (1.10)			
phase					

Table S11. Observed changes in HBV DNA during the Nrtl-restart phase in patients from Study 211 (FAS).

Data shown are mean (SD).

FAS, full analysis set; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase

inhibitor; SD, standard deviation; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Patients originating from Study 201 (Nrtl restart)				
	VS HBeAg (–), discontinued both and VS HBeAg (+), discontinued both and			
	restarted Nrtl	Nrtl		
	n=16	n=14		
HBeAg Baseline,	_0.6 (1.17)	1.8 (1.60)		
log ₁₀ IU/mL	-0.0 (1.17)	1.0 (1.00)		
Change from				
Baseline at end	0.2 (0.91)	0.8 (1.10)		
of Nrtl-restart	-0.3 (0.01)	-0.0 (1.19)		
phase				
HBsAg Baseline,	3 5 (0 58)	4 0 (0 72)		
log₁₀ IU/mL	3.3 (0.38)	4.0 (0.72)		
Change from	0 5 (0 78)	0 (0 56)		
Baseline at end	-0.5 (0.78)	0 (0.50)		

 Table S12. Observed changes in HBV antigens during the Nrtl-restart phase in patients from Study 211 (FAS).

of Nrtl-restart

phase

HBcrAg Baseline,	24 (183)	4 6 (1 85)
log ₁₀ kU/mL	2.1 (1.00)	1.0 (1.00)
Change from		
Baseline at end	_1 0 (0 78)	_0.8 (1.16)
of NrtI-restart	-1.0 (0.70)	-0.0 (1.10)
phase		

Data shown are mean (SD).

FAS, full analysis set; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; SD, standard deviation; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Table S13. Observed changes in HBV nucleic acids in patients who continued Nrtl/ETV during the off-treatment phase in patients from Study 211 (FAS).

	Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)			
	Patients originating from Study 201	Patients originating from Study 202		
	VS HBeAg (+), continued Nrtl only	TN HBeAg (+), continued ETV only		
	n=18	n=6		
HBV DNA				
Baseline, log ₁₀	1.2 (0.16)	1.9 (1.37)		
IU/mL				
Change from				
Baseline at end	0.4 (0.47)	4.0.(4.00)		
of off-treatment	-0.1 (0.17)	1.3 (1.86)		
phase				
HBV pgRNA				
Baseline, log ₁₀	2.7 (0.71)	5.3 (1.53)		
U/mL				

Change from		
Baseline at end	2 3 (0 78)	1 5 (1 11)
of off-treatment	2.0 (0.10)	
phase		
HBV TNA		
Baseline, log ₁₀	1.7 (0.55)	3.3 (1.02)
U/mL ^a		
Change from		
Baseline at end	2.0 (0.98)	1 / (1 33)
of off-treatment	2.0 (0.30)	1.4 (1.00)
phase		

Data shown are mean (SD). ^aTNA=HBV DNA+HBV pgRNA.

ETV, entecavir; FAS, full analysis set; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; Nrtl, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; pgRNA, pregenomic RNA; SD, standard deviation; TN, treatment-naïve; TNA, total nucleic acids; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Table S14. Observed changes in HBV antigens in patients who continued Nrtl/ETV during the off-treatment phase in patients from Study 211 (FAS).

	Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)			
	Patients originating from Study 201	Patients originating from Study 202		
	VS HBeAg (+), continued Nrtl only	TN HBeAg (+), continued ETV only		
	n=18	n=6		
HBeAg Baseline,	0 0 (0 77)	2 2 (1 19)		
log ₁₀ IU/mL	0.9 (0.77)	2.3 (1.10)		
Change from				
Baseline at end	0.1 (0.20)	0.0 (0.11)		
of off-treatment	-0.1 (0.30)	0.0 (0.11)		
phase				
HBsAg Baseline,	2 5 (0 44)	4.4 (0.50)		
log₁₀ U/mL	5.5 (0.44)	4.4 (0.30)		
Change from	0 (0 00)	0 (0.06)		
Baseline at end	0 (0.09)	0 (0.06)		

of off-treatment

phase

log ₁₀ U/mL Change from Baseline at end of off-treatment D (0.22) D (0.22) D (0.27)	HBcrAg Baseline,	3 2 (0 78)	50(118)
Change from Baseline at end 0 (0.22) 0.1 (0.27) of off-treatment	log ₁₀ U/mL	5.2 (0.76)	5.0 (1.10)
Baseline at end 0 (0.22) 0.1 (0.27) of off-treatment	Change from		
of off-treatment	Baseline at end	0 (0 22)	0 1 (0 27)
where	of off-treatment	0 (0.22)	0.1 (0.27)
pnase	phase		

Data shown are mean (SD).

ETV, entecavir; FAS, full analysis set; HBcrAg, hepatitis B core-related antigen; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg,

hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; SD, standard

deviation; TN, treatment-naïve; VS, virologically-suppressed.

On-treatment phase						
				Patients		
	Patients	Patients originating from Study 201 originating from				
				Study 202		
Patients	VS HBeAg (–)	VS HBeAg (+)	Total	TN HBeAg (+)	Overall total	
reporting:	n=26	n=43	n=69	n=23	N=92	
Any grade	20 (77)	32 (74)	52 (75)	20 (87)	72 (78)	
Grade 1	14 (54)	22 (51)	36 (52)	12 (52)	48 (52)	
Grade 2	5 (19)	6 (14)	11 (16)	6 (26)	17 (18)	
Grade 3	1 (4)	4 (9)	5 (7)	2 (9)	7 (8)	
Glucose						
increased						
Grade 1	6 (23)	13 (30)	19 (28)	7 (30)	26 (28)	
Grade 2	4 (15)	1 (2)	5 (7)	1 (4)	6 (7)	

 Table S15. Summary of laboratory abnormalities dependent on TA for patients in Study 211 (SAS).

Amylase					
increased					
Grade 1	2 (8)	10 (23)	12 (17)	5 (22)	17 (18)
Grade 2	1 (4)	0	1 (1)	3 (13)	4 (4)
Grade 3	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
AST increased					
Grade 1	1 (4)	6 (14)	7 (10)	0	7 (8)
Grade 2	0	2 (5)	2 (3)	2 (9)	4 (4)
Grade 3	1 (4)	0	1 (1)	1 (4)	2 (2)
ALT increased					
Grade 1	3 (12)	4 (9)	7 (10)	1 (4)	8 (9)
Grade 2	1 (4)	0	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
Grade 3	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	2 (9)	3 (3)
Creatinine					
increased					
Grade 1	6 (23)	3 (7)	9 (13)	1 (4)	10 (11)

Grade 2	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
Glucose					
decreased					
Grade 1	4 (15)	0	4 (6)	4 (17)	8 (9)
Grade 2	0	2 (5)	2 (3)	0	2 (2)
Urate					
increased					
Grade 1	5 (19)	3 (7)	8 (12)	2 (9)	10 (11)
Total bilirubin					
increased					
Grade 1	2 (8)	0	2 (3)	2 (9)	4 (4)
Grade 2	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
Lipase					
increased					
Grade 1	0	3 (7)	3 (4)	0	3 (3)
Grade 2	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)

Sodium					
increased					
Grade 1	0	3 (7)	3 (4)	1 (4)	4 (4)
Bicarbonate					
decreased					
Grade 1	0	0	0	1 (4)	1 (1)
Grade 2	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	1 (4)	2 (2)
Sodium					
decreased					
Grade 1	0	2 (5)	2 (3)	1 (4)	3 (3)
Haemoglobin					
decreased					
Grade 1	0	2 (5)	2 (3)	2 (9)	4 (4)
Platelets					
decreased					
Grade 1	0	2 (5)	2 (3)	0	2 (2)

Grade 2	0	0	0	1 (4)	1 (1)
Prothrombin intl					
normalised ratio					
increased					
Grade 1	1 (4)	1 (2)	2 (3)	0	2 (2)
Grade 2	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
Grade 3	0	1 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)

Discontinued both VBR+Nrtl (off-treatment phase, patients originally from Study 201)			
Patients	VS HBeAg (–)	VS HBeAg (+)	Total
reporting:	n=23	n=18	N=40
Any grade	14 (64)	14 (78)	28 (70)
Grade 1	6 (27)	3 (17)	9 (23)
Grade 2	7 (32)	9 (50)	16 (40)
Grade 3	0	2 (11)	2 (5)
Grade 4	1 (5)	0	1 (3)

ALT increased

Grade 1	4 (18)	3 (17)	7 (18)
Grade 2	6 (27)	6 (33)	12 (30)
Grade 3	0	2 (11)	2 (5)
Grade 4	1 (5)	0	1 (3)
AST increased			
Grade 1	6 (27)	4 (22)	10 (25)
Grade 2	2 (9)	6 (33)	8 (20)
Grade 3	1 (5)	0	1 (3)
Glucose			
increased			
Grade 1	3 (15)	4 (25)	7 (19)
Grade 2	1 (5)	0	1 (3)
Amylase			
increased			
Grade 1	1 (6)	3 (20)	4 (13)
Urate increased			

Grade 1	3 (15)	0	3 (8)	
Discontinued both VBR+Nrtl, then restarted Nrtl (Nrtl-restart phase, patients originally from Study 201)				
Patients	VS HBeAg (–)	VS HBeAg (+)	Total	
reporting:	n=16	n=14	N=30	
Any grade	14 (88)	13 (93)	27 (90)	
Grade 1	6 (38)	4 (29)	10 (33)	
Grade 2	4 (25)	5 (36)	9 (30)	
Grade 3	2 (13)	2 (14)	4 (13)	
Grade 4	2 (13)	2 (14)	4 (13)	
ALT increased				
Grade 1	3 (19)	4 (29)	7 (23)	
Grade 2	4 (25)	4 (29)	8 (27)	
Grade 3	1 (6)	2 (14)	3 (10)	
Grade 4	2 (13)	2 (14)	4 (13)	
AST increased				
Grade 1	3 (19)	5 (36)	8 (27)	

Grade 2	1 (6)	3 (21)	4 (13)
Grade 3	3 (19)	1 (7)	4 (13)
Grade 4	0	1 (7)	1 (3)
Glucose			
increased			
Grade 1	2 (13)	3 (23)	5 (18)
Grade 3	1 (7)	0	1 (4)
Amylase			
increased			
Grade 1	3 (21)	1 (8)	4 (15)
Bilirubin			
increased			
Grade 1	1 (6)	2 (14)	3 (10)
Urate			
increased			
Grade 1	1 (7)	2 (15)	3 (11)

	Continued Nrtl/ETV (off-treatment phase)			
	Patients originating from Study 201	Patients originating from Study 202		
Patients	VS HBeAg (+)	TN HBeAg (–)		
reporting	continued Nrtl only	continued ETV only		
reporting.	n=18	n=6		
Any grade	2 (11)	1 (17)		
Grade 1	2 (11)	1 (17)		
Urate				
increased				
Grade 1	0	1 (25) ^a		
Total bilirubin				
increased				
Grade 1	1 (6)	0		
Lipase				
increased				
Grade 1	1 (8) ^b	0		

Data shown are n (%). ^an=4. ^bn=13.
ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ETV, entecavir; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; intl, international; NrtI, nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; SAS, safety analysis set; TA, treatment action; TN, treatment-naïve; VBR, vebicorvir; VS, virologically-suppressed.

Table S16. Summary statistics of plasma concentration (ng/mL) for VBR, ETV, and TFV (PK analysis set) in patients from Study 211.

	VBR Wk 48 predose	ETV Wk 48 predose	TFV (TAF) Wk 48	TFV (TDF) Wk 48
			predose	predose
N	64	27	15	21
Mean	1560	0.962	20.8	113
SD	679	2.01	15.1	106
% CV	43.7	209.3	72.5	93.1
Median	1640	0.494	15.7	81.7
Minimum	0	0.128	10.9	16.0
Maximum	3160	10.8	71.1	424

CV, coefficient of variation; ETV, entecavir; PK, pharmacokinetic; SD, standard deviation; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide;

TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TFV, tenofovir; VBR, vebicorvir; Wk, week

References

[1] Yan R, Cai D, Ouyang L, et al. Development of a sensitive, multi-assay platform to monitor low levels of HBV DNA and pgRNA in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. J Virol Methods 2023;311:114640.

[2] Terrault NA, Lok AS, McMahon BJ, et al. Update on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: AASLD 2018 hepatitis B guidance. Hepatology 2018;67:1560-1599.