

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Effect of biological variability and feedback type on performance and operant strategy (moderate threshold). Values represent overall mean \pm SE. Tukey HSD was used for pair-wise comparisons (\leftrightarrow) between conditions of high and low variability (*HV*, *LV*) and different feedback types (*Kp*, *Kr*, *KpKr*).

Statistical significance (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$)

	Performance		Strategy	
Variability Feedback	<i>LV</i>	<i>HV</i>	<i>LV</i>	<i>HV</i>
<i>Kp</i>	0.677±0.006	0.796±0.006	14.847±0.977	10.038±0.882
<i>Kr</i>	0.658±0.006	0.784±0.006	10.052±0.959	11.808±1.137
<i>KpKr</i>	0.652±0.005	0.746±0.008	11.898±1.283	10.651±1.563
<i>Kp ↔ Kr</i>	0.019±0.0011(*)	0.012±0.001(ns)	4.795±0.093(****)	1.771±0.090(ns)
<i>Kr ↔ KpKr</i>	0.028±0.001(ns)	0.048±0.001(****)	2.502±0.130(ns)	1.389±0.165(ns)
<i>Kp ↔ KpKr</i>	0.011±0.001(**)	0.038±0.002(****)	2.324±0.152(ns)	0.063±0.184(ns)