Targeted gene/fragment	Primer name	Primer sequence (5'-3')		
Partial sequence of	SCBV-AF5603	GAAGAGYGGSTTTCATCAAGT		
SCBV genome	SCBV-AR1002	CTCCGCTTCAGGTATTCCW		
ScbZIP72	ScbZIP72-F	ATGGACGAGCTGCTCCGGAGCATC		
	ScbZIP72-R	TCAAGATAATATACAGGGGTC		
ABERI	AT-ABER1-F	ATGGATGGTAGTATGAATTTGG		
	AT-ABER1-R	TCACCAAGGTCCCGACTCTGTCCT		
Gus	qGUS-F	AGCGTTGAACTGCGTGAT		
	qGUS-R	TTGCCAGAGGTGCGGATT		
1 atim	qactin-F	TGTTCCCATCAGAACCGTGA		
Aclin	qactin-R	CACCTGTCTTTGGGTCAACAA		
Sab71D71	qScbZIP72-F	CAGGCTTATACAATGGAGTTAG		
SCULIF / 2	qScbZIP72-R	AACAGTTACCTCGTTCTTCT		
GAPDH	qGAPDH-F	CACGGCCACTGGA AGCA		
	qGAPDH-R	TCCTCAGGGTTCCTGATGCC		
1+DD201 promotor	PAtRD29A-F	AGATCATACCTATTAGAACGATT		
AIND29A promoter	PAtRD29A-F	TCCAATAGAAGTAATCAAACCCT		
SoDD201 momentan	PScRD29A-F	CAGTGAAACAAACAGGGCCACA		
SCRD29A promoter	PScRD29A-R	CGCCGTCGACGTCGACGCGAAC		

**Supplementary Table 1**. List of primers used in this study for gene/fragment cloning and qPCR assay.



**Supplementary Figure 1**. Phylogenetic tree constructed with the sequence of a 3.0 kb partial genomic fragment of 17 SCBV isolates using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method. This fragment contained a partial gene coding for the RT/RNase H and the entire promoter region. Isolates in red were sequenced in this study and the other isolates were retrieved from GenBank: SCBIMV-QLD (NC\_003031), SCBV-BRU (JN377537), SCBV-BT (JN377536), SCBV-BO91 (JN377533), SCBV-BB (JN377535), SCBV-Iscam (JN377534), SCBGDV-Batavia (FJ439817), SCBGAV-R570 (FJ824813), and SCBGAV-B51129 (FJ824814). Isolate ComYMV (NC 001343) was used as outgroup. Bootstrap values (1,000 replicates) are indicated at tree nodes and values < 60% were collapsed. Scale bar is in number of substitutions per nucleotide. Classification of SCBV genotypes was performed according to Janiga et al. (2023).



**Supplementary Figure 2.** Transient expression of *EYFP* reporter gene driven by eight SCBV promoters in onion epidermal cells, *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts, and young sugarcane leaf tissue. Scale bar represents 100 µm for onion cells, 25 µm for *Arabidopsis* protoplasts, and 250 µm for sugarcane leaf tissue. Ubi1 and CaMV 35S were used as positive controls.



**Supplementary Figure 3.** Transcriptional expression of the *GUS* gene driven by five different promoters (P<sub>SCBV-YZ2060</sub>, P<sub>ScRD29A</sub>, P<sub>AtRD29A</sub>, CaMV 35S, and Ubi1) in wild-type *Arabidopsis* protoplasts based on a RT-qPCR assay. (a) Comparison of expression levels of the *GUS* gene driven by five different promoters after treatment of protoplasts with 0 or 10  $\mu$ M ABA. Values are the means ( $\pm$  standard errors) of three biological replicates at 8 h post treatment. For each promoter, values that are significantly different at *P* = 0.01 (Student's T-test) are indicated by two asterisks. (b) Comparison of expression levels of the *GUS* gene driven by three different promoters (P<sub>SCBV-YZ2060</sub>, P<sub>ScRD29A</sub>, P<sub>AtRD29A</sub>) after treatment of protoplasts with 10  $\mu$ M ABA. Relative gene expression of each promoter was determined versus their respective 0  $\mu$ M ABA control. Values are the means ( $\pm$  standard errors) of three biological real treatment. Values that are significantly different at *P* = 0.05 and *P* = 0.01 (Student's T-test) are indicated by one and two asterisks, respectively.

1	AAGAACCAGT	ACTAATGTGT	GCATGCAGGA	AACCGGCGGT	TCTCTTCACC	TCAGGAACAA
61	GGAACAATCC	AAGCCGGAAG	TTCTACAAAT	GTGTGGCAAA	TCAATGCCAT	TGCTGGTACT
121	GGAAGGATCT	CATTGAAGCT	TACGTCCAAG	ATCGCATTGA	AGAGTTCATG	GTCGACAACT
181	TCGACAGTAA	AATGAACATA	TCAGAAGCTT	CAACAAGTCA	AGCCAAGCCG	GAGATTGAAG
241	AAGATCCGTT	AGAAAATCTT	CGATCAAGCG	TCATTGATAG	GCCAAGGCCT	AGCGATGAAC
301	ATTTCAAGCC	AGGGTATGAA	TATCCTCAGT	GTCCGGAGTA	CGTTCAAGAA	GAACTCGCCA
361	ATAGGTTAAT	GACCTATGAA	GAATACCTCA	AGATGATTCA	AAGTGAAGAG	CACCTCCATC
421	AGCAAAACTC	TTTGCAGAAG	ATTGCTGAAG	ATTATCCAAG	CCCACCATGG	GGAGAACTGG
481	ACCTCTATTG	CCATGAAGAC	CCAGACTTGG	TGTACGAAGA	CGCCCGCACA	GAAGATCTGC
541	TCGACCTTGA	AGACGTCATC	GATGACATCA	GAAGCTGAAG	AAACGTCACT	GCTGACCTCA
601	AGACGCATCA	AGCGGAGCGT	GAAGGACCCA	TTCAGTGGAC	CTCACCACTG	AAGAAGAATC
661	TCAACTTTCG	GCGCAATAAT	GCGTTAGGTG	TGCCCGGCAC	CATGTTCGGT	GCGATGTATC
721	GAGTCTGTCG	GTTGTACGTG	TCCAGCACCT	TTGTTCGGTG	CGTGTCCTTT	TCGGGCATCT
781	GTGCCCATCT	TCCTTTGTCG	GCCACGTTGC	CTTTGCTTAG	CCCTTACGCG	AAGCATAGCG
841	CTCGGCCCTG	GTGTGCCCTC	TGCCTATATA	AGGCATGGAT	GTAAGGCTCT	TACACTCATC
901	GGTAGTTCAC	CACATGAGTA	TTTGAGCTTG	TTTC		

**Supplementary Figure 4.** Sequence analysis of promoter  $P_{SCBV-YZ2060}$ . The two putative transcriptional start sites, TSS1 and TSS2, are marked in red and blue bold letters, respectively. The two TATA-boxes (TATAAAT and ATATAA) are boxed in red rectangles. The two putative ABRE *cis*-acting regulatory elements (ABRE-2 and ABRE-1) are underlined in orange and green, respectively.



**Supplementary Figure 5.** Identification of  $P_{SCBV-YZ2060}$ :GUS transgenic sugarcane lines. (a) PCR identification of transformed sugarcane. Lanes 1-21, transgenic sugarcane lines; M = DL2000 DNA Marker; PC = positive control ( $P_{SCBV-YZ2060}$ :GUS plasmid); NC = negative control (wild-type sugarcane, cultivar ROC22). A positive amplification resulted in production of a band of about 1805 bp. (b) Identification of transformed sugarcane with the PAT/bar strip test; *bar*-positive test lines are indicated by a red arrow. The first 21 strips from the left are transformed sugarcane lines; strip 22 = positive control and strip 23 = negative control. (c) and (d) RT-qPCR of *GUS* gene and GUS fluorometric assay, respectively. The first 21 columns from the left are transformed sugarcane lines. NC = negative control. Each column represents the mean value (± standard error) of three technological replicates.



**Supplementary Figure 6.** GUS protein activity in root, stem, and leaf tissues of sugarcane transformed with  $P_{SCBV-YZ2060}$ :GUS. Values are the means (± standard errors) of four sugarcane transgenic lines and three technological replicates. Mean values with the same letter are not significantly different at P = 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range test.



Supplementary Figure 7. Expression of gene *ScbZIP72* in roots, stem, and leaf tissues of sugarcane transformed with promoter vector  $P_{SCBV-YZ2060}$ :GUS and subjected to 25% PEG6000 and 10 µM ABA treatments. Control = no treatment. Values are the means (± standard errors) of six transgenic lines and three technological replicates. For each tissue, mean values with the same letter are not significantly different at *P* = 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple range test.



**Supplementary Figure 8.** Uncropped EMSA image showing that transcription factor ScbZIP72 targets directly ABRE motif sL by binding to this motif. This EMSA image was obtained as described in the materials and methods section. The dotted rectangle indicates the part of the image used in the corresponding panel of Fig. 7c.



**Supplementary Figure 9.** Uncropped gel image showing that positive transformed sugarcane are identified by PCR. This gel image was obtained as described in the materials and methods section. The dotted rectangle indicates the part of the image used in the corresponding panel of Supplementary Fig. 5a.

## References

Janiga, P. K., Nithya, K., & Viswanathan, R. Dynamics of genetic diversity among Indian sugarcane bacilliform virus species and implications of associated recombination events in the virus, *Sugar Tech* **25**, 705–716 (2023).