Environ Health Perspect

DOI: 10.1289/EHP12548

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to <u>508 standards</u> due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact <u>ehp508@niehs.nih.gov</u>. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Effect of an Arsenic Mitigation Program on Arsenic Exposure in American Indian Communities: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial of the Community-Led Strong Heart Water Study Program

Christine Marie George, Tracy Zacher, Kelly Endres, Francine Richards, Lisa Bear Robe, David Harvey, Lyle G. Best, Reno Red Cloud, Annabelle Black Bear, Leslie Skinner, Christa Cuny, Ana Rule, Kellogg J. Schwab, Joel Gittelsohn, Ronald Alexander Glabonjat, Kathrin Schilling, Marcia O'Leary, Elizabeth D. Thomas, Jason Umans, Jianhui Zhu, Lawrence H. Moulton, and Ana Navas-Acien

Table of Contents

Urinary Arsenic Analysis Standard Operating Procedure

Table S1. Baseline participant characteristics overall and by household water arsenic ($\mu g/L$) quartile for the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial.

Table S2. Baseline participant characteristics overall and by urinary arsenic ($\mu g/g$ creatinine) quartile in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial.

Table S3. Regression of urinary total arsenic ($\mu g/g$ creatinine) at final follow-up visit comparing participants reporting exclusive arsenic-safe water use to participants reporting non-exclusive arsenic-safe water use (N=71) in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial.

Table S4. Water source used to prepare drink and food items for participants in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial.

Table S5. Water source used to prepare drink and food items by study arm (N=75) for participants in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial.

Additional File- Excel Document

Supplementary File 1

Urinary Arsenic Analysis Standard Operating Procedure

The following procedures were carried out with urine samples from the Strong Heart Water Study, urine certified reference materials (CRMs) PC-U-S1909, PC-U-S1910 and PC-U-S1911 (QMEQAS - Quebec Multielement External Quality Assessment Scheme, Canada), and urine standard reference materials SRM 2669 levels I and II (Arsenic Species in Frozen Human Urine; National Institute of Standards and Technology, US). Method blanks (n=18) were treated in the same way as urine samples.

An aliquot of urine (100 μ L) was transferred into an autosampler vial (PP, 700 μ L), 10 μ L of an aqueous hydrogen peroxide solution (30%, m/m) was added, and the mixture heated to 60 °C for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature, 390 μ L of mobile phase (see following paragraphs) were added and the sample was analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled to elemental detection using inductively coupled plasma triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (ICPMS/MS).

Separation of individual arsenic species was performed on an Agilent 1260 Infinity-II BioInert series system using a Hamilton PRP-X100 (250 x 4.1 mm, 10 μ m particles) analytical column under isocratic elution conditions applying an aqueous mobile phase containing 10 mM phosphate (ammonium phosphate dibasic; \geq 99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM nitrate (67-70% Optima, Fisher Scientific), and 0.5% vol acetonitrile (\geq 99.9%; Fisher Sci.) adjusted to pH 9.1 using aqueous ammonia solution (20-22%; Fisher Sci.). The mobile phase flow rate was set to 2 mL min⁻¹, the column was held at 30 °C during analysis, and the injection volume was 100 μ L.

Detection of individual arsenic species was performed on an Agilent 8900 series ICPMS/MS system in oxygen reaction mode after HPLC separation. A modified standard ICPMS/MS set-up was employed for all experiments, consisting of a Micro Mist (glass) nebulizer, a glass double pass spray chamber, platinum/nickel sampler and skimmer cones, and a quartz plasma torch with an inner diameter of 2.5 mm. The operating ICPMS/MS parameters were as follows: radiofrequency (RF) power: 1550 W; plasma gas: 15.0 L min⁻¹; auxiliary gas: 0.9 L min⁻¹; RF matching: 1.70 V; sampling depth: 8.0 mm; nebulizer gas flow rate: 0.90 L min⁻¹; makeup gas (argon) flow rate: 0.15 L min⁻¹; spray chamber temperature: 2 °C. Arsenic species were detected in oxygen reaction mode (O₂ flow rate: 20%) by monitoring the mass transitions m/z 75 \rightarrow 91 (⁷⁵As and ⁴⁰Ar³⁵Cl), m/z 77 \rightarrow 93 (⁷⁷Se and ⁴⁰Ar³⁷Cl, as a check for possible chloride interferences), m/z 82 \rightarrow 98 (⁸²Se, as a cross check for ⁷⁷Se), and m/z 53 \rightarrow 69 (⁴⁰Ar¹³C, monitoring carbon content in plasma) at dwell times of 300, 100, 100, and 10 ms, respectively.

External calibration was performed in matrix matched mixed arsenic species standards for arsenobetaine (AB), dimethylarsinic acid (DMA), methylarsonic acid (MA), and arsenate [As(V)] in concentration ranges of 0.1-10 µg As L⁻¹ (AB and DMA) and 0.02-2 µg As L⁻¹ [MA and As(V)]. The methods' detection limits (MDLs) were calculated individually for all four arsenic species using the formula: MDL = $3.33 \times$ standard deviation \times sample dilution factor (of 18 method blanks); the MDLs were 0.02 µg As L⁻¹ urine (AB, DMA, and MA) and 0.03 µg As L⁻¹ urine [As(V)]. The method's quantitation limits (MQLs) were calculated using the formula: MQL = $10 \times$ standard deviation \times sample dilution factor (of 18 method blanks); the MQLs were 0.05 µg As L⁻¹ urine (AB and MA), 0.06 µg As L⁻¹ urine (DMA), and 0.08 µg As L⁻¹ urine [As(V)].

Accuracy of the method for arsenic speciation analysis was determined based on the five urinary CRMs (n=12 each) and resulted in accuracies (mean \pm s.d.) of 101 \pm 12, 100 \pm 10, 93 \pm 9, and 98 \pm 16% for AB, DMA, MA, and As(V), respectively. Intraday and interday coefficients of variation (CV) were calculated based on the five certified reference urines (n=12 each) and resulted mean intraday CVs of 1.7, 1.9, 2.5, and 2.1%; and interday CVs of 2.9, 3.2, 4.0, and 3.8% for AB, DMA, MA, and As(V), respectively.

	Quartile 1	Quartile 2	Quartile 3	Quartile 4
Household water arsenic (µg/L)	6.04-11.85	11.85-16.00	16.00-21.75	21.75-210
Participants	21	20	22	21
Households	13	13	14	10
Age, years	52 (18)	51 (19)	55 (18)	59 (22)
12-17	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	3 (14%)
<u>≥</u> 18	20 (95%)	18 (90%)	22 (100%)	18 (86%)
Female	8 (38%)	8 (40%)	10 (45%)	13 (62%)
Education				
High school or less	9 (43%)	13 (65%)	15 (68%)	10 (48%)
More than high school	12 (57%)	7 (35%)	7 (32%)	11 (52%)
Ever smoked	17 (81%)	15 (75%)	21 (95%)	16 (76%)
BMI	30 (7)	32 (13)	28 (4)	29 (8)
Overweight (BMI ≥25)	15 (71%)	13 (65%)	18 (82%)	13 (62%)
Obesity (BMI ≥30)	10 (48%)	8 (40%)	7 (32%)	7 (33%)
Hypertension*	11 (52%)	8 (40%)	11 (50%)	11 (52%)
Systolic blood pressure	128 (23)	128 (18)	129 (19)	131 (16)
Diastolic blood pressure	75 (13)	78 (15)	79 (11)	76 (10)
Percent water use outside home	30.2 ± 38.9	33.6 ± 34.4	28.9 ± 37.7	14.1 ± 21.1
Mean ± SD (min-max)	(0-100)	(0-100)	(0-100)	(0-70)

Supplementary Table S1. Baseline participant characteristics overall and by household water arsenic ($\mu g/L$) quartile for the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial.

⁺Values given as n (% of total) or mean (standard deviation)

*Based on average systolic bp >130 or diastolic >80 during 3 consecutive readings

	Quartile 1	Quartile 2	Quartile 3	Quartile 4
Urinary arsenic range	0.21 - 7.48	7.48 - 13.42	13.42-24.39	24.39-136.42
Participants	20	21	20	23
Households	16	17	18	16
Age, years	47 (21)	48 (19)	56 (15)	64 (17)
12-17	3 (15%)	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	1 (4%)
<u>≥</u> 18	17 (85%)	20 (95%)	19 (95%)	22 (96%)
Female	10 (50%)	10 (48%)	9 (45%)	10 (44%)
Education				
High school or less	13 (65%)	11 (52%)	12 (60%)	11 (49%)
More than high school	7 (35%)	10 (48%)	8 (40%)	12 (52%)
Ever smoked	14 (70%)	18 (86%)	18 (90%)	19 (83%)
BMI	33 (12)	29 (6)	29 (9)	28 (6)
Overweight (BMI ≥25)	15 (75%)	16 (76%)	13 (65%)	15 (55%)
Obesity (BMI≥30)	10 (50%)	8 (38%)	8 (40%)	6 (26%)
Hypertension*	12 (60%)	9 (43%)	8 (40%)	12 (52%)
Systolic blood pressure	130 (20)	124 (18)	128 (20)	133 (18)
Diastolic blood pressure	79 (13)	77 (14)	74 (13)	77 (9)
Percent water use outside home				
Mean ± SD (min-max)	$39.4 \pm 41.6 \ (0-100)$	$30.2 \pm 30.3 \ (0-100)$	$18.6 \pm 34.0 \ (0-100)$	19.3 ± 27.8 (0-100)
Household water arsenic [§] (μ g/L)	16.2 (6.9)	15.4 (6.6)	14.8 (5.2)	32.9 (47.9)

Supplementary Table S2. Baseline participant characteristics overall and by urinary arsenic ($\mu g/g$ creatinine) quartile in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial

⁺Values given as n (% of total) or mean (standard deviation)

*Based on average systolic bp >130 or diastolic >80 during 3 consecutive readings

[§]Household water arsenic reported at the household level

Supplementary Table S3. Regression of urinary total arsenic (μ g/g creatinine) at final follow-up visit comparing participants reporting exclusive arsenic-safe water use to participants reporting non-exclusive arsenic-safe water use (N=71) in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial

	Exclusive Use		N	on-exclusive Use	Adjusted Linear Regression [†]			
		Urinary Arsenic GM (range)	Urinary Arser GM (range)					
Exclusive arsenic-safe water use	Ν	Givi (Lange)	Ν	Givi (l'ange)	GM ratio	95% CI	p-value	
Cooking	37	5.68	34	9.35	0.31	(0.11, 0.85)	0.024	
Drinking	32	5.58	39	8.89	0.30	(0.11, 0.81)	0.017	
Cooking and drinking	29	5.64	42	8.54	0.34	(0.12, 0.95)	0.039	

Arsenic-safe source options included use of the point of use (POU) arsenic filter faucet, bottled water, or the municipal water system, and arsenic-unsafe sources included use of the kitchen faucet, bathroom faucet, and refrigerator filter or icemaker (if not reported to be connected to the POU arsenic filter faucet). Urinary arsenic (μ g/g creatinine) was defined as the sum of inorganic arsenic (iAs), monomethylarsonic acid (MMA), and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA) measurements, with geometric means reported. GM indicates geometric mean. N indicates number of participants. CI indicates confidence interval. Participants without urinary arsenic data or arsenic-safe water use data excluded. Regression analyses were performed using generalized estimating equations to account for clustering at the household-level using an exchangable correlation. Urinary arsenic is log-transformed for analysis. †Regression adjusted for sociodemographic factors (sex and age) and lifestyle factors (ever smoking and baseline BMI).

Supplementary Table S4. Water source used to prepare drink and food items for participants in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial

	Ove	rall Study	y Popula	tion wit	h Baseline an	d Follow-	up Visits				
	Baseline ($N = 75$)					Follow-up ($N = 71$)					
	Arsenic-safe Arsenic-unsafe source source				Arser	Arsenic-unsafe source					
	Bottled water	Kitchen faucet	Other‡		Arsenic filter faucet	Bottled water	Municipal water	=	Other‡		
Consumption of items prepared using water†	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	Total n*	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	Total n*	
Drink Items											
Any Drink Item	17 (13)	73 (55)	8 (6)	75	72 (51)	8 (6)	4 (3)	28 (20)	10(7)	71	
Ice	13 (6)	62 (29)	9 (4)	47	62 (33)	2(1)	4 (2)	26 (14)	13 (7)	53	
Tea/Coffee	20 (13)	78 (50)	3 (2)	64	72 (48)	7 (5)	2(1)	22 (15)	1(1)	67	
Juices	18 (9)	78 (40)	2(1)	51	75 (38)	8 (4)	0 (0)	18 (9)	4 (2)	51	
Powdered milk	15 (2)	85 (11)	8(1)	13	60 (9)	13 (2)	20 (3)	33 (5)	0 (0)	15	
Food Items											
Any Food Item	16 (12)	76 (57)	1(1)	75	78 (55)	5 (4)	4 (3)	25 (18)	0 (0)	71	
Soup	15 (10)	83 (55)	2 (1)	66	79 (50)	5 (3)	5 (3)	22 (14)	0 (0)	63	
Baked goods	17 (11)	81 (52)	2(1)	64	85 (50)	5 (3)	5 (3)	19 (11)	0 (0)	59	
Pasta	15 (10)	85 (55)	2 (1)	65	76 (53)	6 (4)	4 (3)	23 (16)	0 (0)	70	
Rice	16 (9)	83 (48)	0 (0)	57	82 (55)	3 (2)	5 (3)	19 (13)	0 (0)	67	
Gravy	19 (11)	81 (47)	2 (1)	58	77 (48)	6 (4)	5 (3)	21 (13)	0 (0)	62	

[†]Detailed item descriptions: (1) ice (e.g. homemade ice), (2) juices (e.g. fruit punch, lemonade, Kool-Aid soup), (3) soup or stew (e.g. homemade or powdered), (4) baked goods (e.g. bread, muffins, pancakes, cake, cookies, waffles), (5) pasta (e.g. pasta, grains, or boiled vegetables), (6) rice (e.g. rice made with water), and (7) gravy (e.g. gravy made with water). [‡]Other category includes refrigerator filter, icemaker and bathroom faucet. *Multiple sources possible per participant. n indicates number of participants. Total for specific items does not include individuals who reported no consumption of the specific item. 4 participants at follow-up did not provide water use information. 2 households were connected to the municipal water system prior to final follow-up.

Supplementary Table S5. Water source used to prepare drink and food items by study arm (N=75) for participants in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial

mHealth & filter arm with baseline and follow-up visits (N = 47)												
	Baseline					Follow-up						
	Arsenic-safe source					Arsenic-safe source				Arsenic-unsafe source		
	Bottled water	Kitchen faucet	Other‡		Arsenic filter faucet	Bottled water	Municiple water	Kitchen faucet	Other‡			
Consumption of items prepared using water†	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	Total n*	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	Total n*		
Drink Items												
Any Drink Item	13 (6)	87 (40)	4 (2)	46	68 (30)	7 (3)	7 (3)	27 (12)	9 (4)	44		
Powdered milk	20 (2)	90 (9)	0 (0)	10	63 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	38 (3)	0 (0)	8		
Tea/Coffee	15 (6)	93 (37)	0 (0)	40	68 (28)	5 (2)	7 (3)	24 (10)	0 (0)	41		
Juices	15 (5)	88 (29)	0 (0)	33	70 (21)	7 (2)	3 (1)	20 (6)	3 (1)	30		
Ice	7 (2)	68 (21)	7 (2)	31	59 (20)	0 (0)	6 (2)	21 (7)	12 (4)	34		
Food Items												
Any Food Item	13 (6)	87 (40)	0 (0)	46	71 (31)	2(1)	7 (3)	25 (11)	0 (0)	44		
Baked goods	16 (7)	86 (38)	0 (0)	44	74 (26)	3 (1)	9 (3)	17(6)	0 (0)	35		
Gravy	15 (6)	87 (34)	0 (0)	39	74 (29)	3 (1)	8 (3)	21 (8)	0 (0)	39		
Pasta	14 (6)	90 (38)	0 (0)	42	74 (32)	2(1)	7 (3)	21 (9)	0 (0)	43		
Rice	14 (5)	89 (33)	0 (0)	37	76 (32)	2 (1)	7 (3)	19 (8)	0 (0)	42		
Soup	10 (4)	93 (39)	0 (0)	42	74 (28)	3 (1)	8 (3)	24 (9)	0 (0)	38		

Supplementary Table S5 cont. Water source used to prepare drink and food items by study arm (N=75) for participants in the Strong Heart Water Study randomized controlled trial

Intensive arm with baseline and follow-up visits (N = 28)										
					Follow-up					
	Arsenic-safe source	Arsenic- soui			Arsen	Arsenic-unsafe source				
Consumption of	Bottled water	Kitchen faucet	Other‡		Arsenic filter faucet	Bottled water	Municiple water	Kitchen faucet	Other‡	
items prepared using water†	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	Total n*	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	% (n)	Total n*
Drink Items										
Any Drink Item	25 (7)	54 (15)	14 (4)	28	78 (21)	11 (3)	0 (0)	30 (8)	11 (3)	27
Powdered milk	0 (0)	67 (2)	33 (1)	3	57 (4)	29 (2)	0 (0)	29 (2)	0 (0)	7
Tea/Coffee	29 (7)	54 (13)	8 (2)	24	77 (20)	12 (3)	0 (0)	19 (5)	4(1)	26
Juices	18 (4)	39 (11)	4(1)	28	81 (17)	10 (2)	0 (0)	14 (3)	5 (1)	21
Ice	25 (4)	50 (8)	13 (2)	16	68 (13)	5(1)	0 (0)	37 (7)	16 (3)	19
Food Items										
Any Food Item	21 (6)	61 (17)	4(1)	28	85 (23)	11 (3)	0 (0)	26 (7)	0 (0)	27
Baked goods	20 (4)	70 (14)	5(1)	20	86 (21)	8 (2)	0 (0)	21 (5)	0 (0)	24
Gravy	26 (5)	68 (13)	5(1)	19	82 (19)	13 (3)	0 (0)	22 (5)	0 (0)	23
Pasta	17 (4)	74 (17)	4(1)	23	78 (21)	11 (3)	0 (0)	26 (7)	0 (0)	27
Rice	20 (4)	75 (15)	0 (0)	20	92 (23)	4(1)	0 (0)	20 (5)	0 (0)	25
Soup	25 (6)	67 (16)	4 (1)	24	88 (22)	8 (2)	0 (0)	20 (5)	0 (0)	25

[†]Detailed item descriptions: (1) ice (e.g. homemade ice), (2) juices (e.g. fruit punch, lemonade, Kool-Aid soup), (3) soup or stew (e.g. homemade or powdered), (4) baked goods (e.g. bread, muffins, pancakes, cake, cookies, waffles), (5) pasta (e.g. pasta, grains, or boiled vegetables), (6) rice (e.g. rice made with water), and (7) gravy (e.g. gravy made with water). [‡]Other category includes refrigerator filter, icemaker and bathroom faucet. *Multiple sources possible per participant. n indicates number of participants. Total for specific items does not include individuals who reported no consumption of the specific item. 4 participants at follow-up did not provide water use information. One participant with water usage information did not provide urinary arsenic information. 2 households were connected to the municiple water system prior to final follow-up.