## Supplementary Information

Biomimetic NIR-II fluorescent proteins created from chemogenic protein-seeking

dyes for multicolor deep-tissue bioimaging

Xu et al.



**Supplementary Fig. 1.** a <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra [<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.99 (s, 2H), 8.62 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 2H), 8.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.66 – 7.51 (m, 4H), 6.79 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 2H), 4.38 – 4.26 (m, 4H), 2.95 – 2.81 (m, 4H), 2.21 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 2.02 – 1.94 (m, 2H), 1.83 – 1.73 (m, 4H), 1.64 – 1.52 (m, 4H), 1.47 – 1.36 (m, 4H)] and **b** mass spectrometry of CO-1080 dye molecule. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 2.** a <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra [<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.54 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 2H), 8.24 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.55 – 7.47 (m, 4H), 6.72 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 2H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 2.86 – 2.83 (m, 4H), 1.95 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.35 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H)] and **b** mass spectrometry of Et-1080 dye molecule. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 3.** a <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra [<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.72 – 8.61 (m, 2H), 8.47 – 8.30 (m, 2H), 8.26 – 8.14 (m, 2H), 8.02 – 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.81 – 7.69 (m, 2H), 7.68 – 7.55 (m, 4H), 6.98 – 6.80 (m, 2H), 4.45 – 4.32 (m, 4H), 2.96 – 2.80 (m, 4H), 1.95 – 1.83 (m, 6H), 1.80 – 1.65 (m, 8H)] and **b** mass spectrometry of FD-1080 dye molecule. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 4. a** Photographs of CO-1080,  $\beta$ -LG@CO-1080, BSA@CO-1080, and HSA@CO-1080 in PBS. **b** The fluorescence intensity CO-1080,  $\beta$ -LG@CO-1080, BSA@CO-1080, and HSA@CO-1080 in PBS. **c** UV-absorption spectroscopy and **d** fluorescence spectroscopy of the  $\beta$ -LG@CO-1080, BSA@CO-1080, and HSA@CO-1080. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 5.** High-resolution mass spectrometry of **a**  $\beta$ -LG, **b**  $\beta$ -LG@CO-1080, **c** BSA, **d** BSA@CO-1080, **e** HSA, and **f** HSA@CO-1080.



**Supplementary Fig. 6. a** NIR-II fluorescence intensity (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10 independent samples per group), **b** electrophoresis analysis (n = 4 independent experiment), and **c** signal statistics of HSA@CO-1080 under different reaction temperatures. **d** NIR-II fluorescence intensity (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10 independent samples per group), **e** electrophoresis analysis (n = 4 independent experiment), and **f** signal statistics of HSA@CO-1080 under different reaction time. **g** NIR-II fluorescence intensity (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10 independent experiment), and **f** signal statistics of HSA@CO-1080 under different reaction time. **g** NIR-II fluorescence intensity (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10 independent samples per group), **h** electrophoresis analysis (n = 4 independent experiment), and **i** signal statistics of HSA@CO-1080 under different reaction time. **g** NIR-II fluorescence intensity (mean  $\pm$  sD, n = 10 independent samples per group), **h** electrophoresis analysis (n = 4 independent experiment), and **i** signal statistics of HSA@CO-1080 under different reaction time.

**Data note:** i a reaction temperature of 60°C enabled sufficient covalent binding and fluorescence enhancement, while higher temperature caused denaturation of the HSA shell and greatly attenuated the NIR-II brightness; ii the optimal reaction time was 2 hours, as longer reaction time affected the stability of NIR-II FPs and led to luminescence degradation; and iii a reaction ratio between HSA and CO-1080 over 1:1 produced unbound free dye.



**Supplementary Fig. 7. a** Photograph and **b** fluorescence intensity of HSA@CO-1080 at different reaction concentrations (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10 independent samples per group). **c** Linearly fitting of HSA@CO-1080 brightness against reaction concentrations. **d-f** Brightness comparison of the HSA@CO-1080 before/after concentration, followed by further dilution (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 10 independent samples per group). **g** Electrophoresis analysis of HSA@CO-1080 under different reaction concentrations (n = 4 independent experiment). **h** Electrophoresis analysis of HSA@CO-1080 before/after concentration, followed by further dilution (n = 4 independent experiment). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Data Note:** As shown in Supplementary Fig. 7d-f, this approach effectively prevents intrinsic fluorescence quenching at high reaction concentrations, thereby improving the fluorescence intensity of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. Notably, the NIR-II brightness of HSA@CO-1080 FPs completely recovers after diluting the concentrated sample back to its original concentration. The gel electrophoresis data also verified the effectiveness of the ultrafiltration concentration strategy to enhance CO-1080 dye utilization and amplify the application potential of HSA@CO-1080 FPs in in vivo imaging (Supplementary Fig. 7g-h). Taken together, we established a specific preparation process for HSA@CO-1080 FPs as displayed in Supplementary Fig. 8a.



**Supplementary Fig. 8. a** Preparation flow chart of the HSA@CO-1080 probe. **b** Particle size of HSA and HSA@CO-1080 probe. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of **c** HSA and **d** HSA@CO-1080 probe. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 9.** Comparison of the penetration depth of the HSA@CO-1080 under 808 nm, 980 nm, and 1064 nm laser excitation (the same exposure time).



**Supplementary Fig. 10. a** Schematic of the HSA@CO-1080 penetration capability. **b-c** Comparison of the penetration ability of the HSA@CO-1080 at different imaging windows with 1064 nm laser excitation (normalized brightness under 3 mm thickness). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 11. a** Photostability of HSA@CO-1080 under 808 nm, 980 nm, and 1064 nm laser excitation (n = 3 independent samples per group). **b** Photostability of HSA@CO-1080 under different excitation power densities, including 5, 25, 45, 65, and 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (n = 3 independent samples per group). **c** Comparison of the photostability of ICG, IRDye 800CW, and HSA@CO-1080 (n = 3 independent samples per group). **d**-**e** Comparison of photobleaching resistance between ICG and HSA@CO-1080 under natural light (n = 5 independent samples per group). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Data Note:** The fluorescence intensity of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs remained almost unchanged under either continuous laser irradiation for 3 h or long-term inspection by excitation of different wavelengths (808 nm, 980 nm, and 1064 nm) and power densities (5~100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) (Supplementary Fig. 11a-c). HSA@CO-1080 photobleached significantly less than ICG (Supplementary Fig. 11d, e). After 48 hours of natural light exposure, HSA@CO-1080 fluorescence properties remained almost unchanged while ICG showed significant fluorescence attenuation.



**Supplementary Fig. 12.** Schematic of HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the Et-1080, CO-1080, and FD-1080 dye calculated by density functional theory. The HOMO and LUMO energy levels were plotted based on the optimized S0 and S1 geometries using Gaussian (m062x/6-31 g (d, p)).



**Supplementary Fig. 13. a** Photographs of HSA@Et-1080, HSA@CO-1080, and HSA@FD-1080 before and after the reaction. **b** UV-absorption spectroscopy and **e** fluorescence spectroscopy of the HSA and Et-1080 before and after reaction. **c** UV-absorption spectroscopy and **f** fluorescence spectroscopy of the HSA and CO-1080 before and after reaction. **d** UV-absorption spectroscopy and **g** fluorescence spectroscopy of the HSA and Et-1080 before and after reaction. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Data Note:** HSA and different dyes before the reaction (RT, direct mixing) were defined as non-covalent binding. HSA and different dyes after reaction (60°C, 2 h) were defined as covalent binding.



**Supplementary Fig. 14. a** UV-absorption spectra and **d** fluorescence spectra of Et-1080 in DMSO solution, Et-1080 in PBS solution, and HSA@Et-1080. **b** UV-absorption spectra and **e** fluorescence spectra of CO-1080 in DMSO solution, CO-1080 in PBS solution, and HSA@CO-1080. **c** UV-absorption spectra and **f** fluorescence spectra of FD-1080 in DMSO solution, FD-1080 in PBS solution, and HSA@FD-1080. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 15.** Schematic of the structure and properties of CO-1080 dyes under different reaction conditions. Protein structures were generated by the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 16.** UV-absorption spectroscopy of **a** HSA@Et-1080, **b** HSA@CO-1080, and **c** HSA@FD-1080 at different gradient concentrations. **d-e** Comparison of molar extinction coefficients of HSA@Et-1080, HSA@CO-1080, and HSA@FD-1080. UV-absorption spectroscopy of **f** HSA@Et-1080, **g** HSA@CO-1080, and **h** HSA@FD-1080 at different gradient absorption. **i-j** Comparison of quantum yields of HSA@Et-1080, HSA@CO-1080, and HSA@Et-1080, HSA@CO-1080, and HSA@Et-1080, HSA@CO-1080, and HSA@FD-1080. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 17. UV-absorption spectra of a IR-26 in dichloroethane (DCE), b CO-1080 in DMSO, and c HSA@CO-1080 at different gradient absorption. d-e Comparison of slope of IR-26 in dichloroethane (DCE), CO-1080 in DMSO, and HSA@CO-1080. f Quantum yields of CO-1080 in DMSO and HSA@CO-1080 (NIR-II, > 1100 nm). The quantum yield of IR-26 in DCE is 0.5% as a reference. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

| Supplementary Table 1. Summary of optical properties of NIR-II FPs |                          |                         |                      |   |         |                 |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|---------|-----------------|
| Dye  | λ <sub>abs</sub><br>(nm) | λ <sub>em</sub><br>(nm) | Stokes shift<br>(nm) | ε <sub>max</sub> (M <sup>-</sup><br><sup>1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | Slope   | Brightness      |
| HSA@Et-<br>1080  | 1030                     | 1039                    | 9                    | 22483   | 0.89 QY | 20009.87 QY     |
| HSA@CO-<br>1080  | 1040                     | 1054                    | 14                   | 102300  | 1.00 QY | 102300.00<br>QY |
| HSA@FD-<br>1080  | 1044                     | 1055                    | 11                   | 36276   | 0.70 QY | 25393.20 QY     |
|  |                          |                         |                      |   |         |                 |

---



**Supplementary Fig. 18.** Kinetic binding assay of **a** Et-1080, **b** CO-1080, and **c** FD-1080 with HSA using bio-layer interferometry technique. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

| Supplementary Table 2. K <sub>D</sub> , K <sub>on</sub> and K <sub>off</sub> for Et-1080 | , CO-1080 | , and FD-1080 | with HSA |
|--|-----------|---------------|----------|
|--|-----------|---------------|----------|

| Protein | Dye     | <i>К<sub>D</sub></i> (nM) | Kon      | K <sub>off</sub> |
|---------|---------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|
|         | Et-1080 | 14.5                      | 1.04E+03 | 1.52E-02         |
| HSA     | CO-1080 | 2.13                      | 1.09E+04 | 2.32E-02         |
|         | FD-1080 | 82.6                      | 3.04E+03 | 2.51E-01         |

**K**<sub>D</sub>: binding affinity; **K**<sub>on</sub>: binding constant; **K**<sub>off</sub>: dissociation constant



**Supplementary Fig. 19.** High-resolution mass spectrometry of **a** HSA, **b** HSA@Et-1080, **c** HSA@CO-1080, and **d** HSA@FD-1080.



**Supplementary Fig. 20.** High-resolution mass spectrometry of **a** DI, **b** DI@Et-1080, **c** DI@CO-1080, and **d** DI@FD-1080.



**Supplementary Fig. 21.** High-resolution mass spectrometry of **a** DII, **b** DII@Et-1080, **c** DII@CO-1080, and **d** DII@FD-1080.



**Supplementary Fig. 22.** High-resolution mass spectrometry of **a** DIII, **b** DIII@Et-1080, **c** DIII@CO-1080, and **d** DIII@FD-1080.



**Supplementary Fig. 23. a** Reaction diagram of R-1080 and cysteine (Cys). **b** The mass spectrometry of the Et-1080 and Et-1080@Cys. **c** The mass spectrometry of the CO-1080 and CO-1080@Cys. **d** The mass spectrometry of the FD-1080 and FD-1080@Cys. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Data note:** It should be emphasized that the success of the above displacement reaction heavily relied on the base catalyst and an overdose of L-cysteine molecules (10:1 reaction ratio) addition.

| Chymotrypsin   |
|--|
| DAHKSEVAHR FKDLGEENFK ALVLIAFAQY LQQCPFEDHV KLVNEVTEFA KTCVADESAE NCDKSLHTLF<br>GDKLCTVATL RETYGEMADC CAKQEPERNE CFLQHKDDNP NLPRLVRPEV DVMCTAFHDN EETFLKKYLY<br>EIARRHPYFY APELLFFAKR YKAAFTECCQ AADKAACLLP KLDELRDEGK ASSAKQRLKC ASLQKFGERA<br>FKAWAVARLS QRFPKAEFAE VSKLVTDLTK VHTECCHGDL LECADDRADL AKVICENQDS ISSKLKECCE<br>KPLLEKSHCI AEVENDEMPA DLPSLAADFV ESKDVCKNYA EAKDVFLGMF LYEYARRHPD YSVVLLLRLA<br>KTYETTLEKC CAAADPHECY AKVODEFKPL VEEPQNLIKQ NCELFEQLGE YKFQNALLVR YTKKVPQVST<br>PTLVEVSRNL GKVGSKCCKH PEAKRMPCAE DYLSVVLNQL CVLHEKTPVS DRVTKCCTES LVNRRPCFSA<br>LEVDETYVPK EFNAETFTH ADICTLSEKE RQIKKQTALV ELVKHKPKAT KEQLKAVMDD FAAFVEKCCK<br>ADDKETCFAE EGKKLVAASQ AALGL |
| Trypsin  |
| DAHKSEVAHR FKDLGEENFK ALVLIAFAQY LQQCPFEDHV KLVNEVTEFA KTCVADESAE NCDKSLHTLF<br>GDKLCTVATL RETYGEMADC CAKQEPERNE CFLQHKDDNP NLPRLVRPEV DVMCTAFHDN EETFLKKYLY<br>EIARRHPYFY APELLFFAKR YKAAFTECCQ AADKAACLLP KLDELRDEGK ASSAKQRLKC ASLQKFGERA<br>FKAWAVARLS QRFPKAEFAE VSKLVTDLTK VHTECCHGDL LECADDRADL AKYICENQDS ISSKLKECCE<br>KPLLEKSHCI AEVENDEMPA DLPSLAADFV ESKDVCKNYA EAKDVFLGMF LYEYARRHPD YSVVLLLRLA<br>KTYETTLEKC CAAADPHECY AKVFDEFKPL VEEPQNLIKQ NCELFEQLGE YKFQNALLVR YTKKVPQVST<br>PTLVEVSRNL GKVGSKCCKH PEAKRMPCAE DYLSVVLNQL CVLHEKTPVS DRVTKCCTES LVNRRPCFSA<br>LEVDETYVPK EFNAETFTH ADICTLSEKE RQIKKQTALV ELVKHKPKAT KEQLKAVMDD FAAFVEKCCK<br>ADDKETCFAE EGKKLVAASQ AALGL |

Supplementary Fig. 24. Digestion enzymes and their targeting cleavage sites on HSA.



**Supplementary Fig. 25. a** Total ion flow chromatogram after trypsin enzyme digestion of HSA and HSA@CO-1080. **b** Total ion flow chromatogram after chymotrypsin enzyme digestion of HSA and HSA@CO-1080.



**Supplementary Fig. 26. a** The secondary mass spectra of the sequence of CCTESLVNR (Cys477). **b** The secondary mass spectra of the sequence of EKCCAAADPHECY (Cys361). **c** The secondary mass spectra of the sequence of VNRRPCF (Cys487). **d** The secondary mass spectra of the sequence of TYETTLEKCCAAADPHECYAK (Cys389).



**Supplementary Fig. 27.** Distribution of cysteine sites recognized by proteomics. Protein structures were generated by the Protein Data Bank (PDB).



**Supplementary Fig. 28.** Schematic of protein-ligand interaction at different time points during the whole kinetic simulation process.



**Supplementary Fig. 29.** Comparison of the morphology of the ligand in the protein cavity at different time points in the kinetic simulation with that under glide and covalent docking simulations.



**Supplementary Fig. 30.** Protein-ligand interaction during the whole kinetic simulation.



**Supplementary Fig. 31. a** Blood safety of ICG, CO-1080, and HSA@CO-1080 probes with different concentrations (n = 3 independent samples per group). Cytotoxicity of CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 probes with different concentrations on **b** the fibroblast cell (L929) and **c** the breast cancer cell (4T1) (n = 3 independent samples per group). **d** Blood routine indexes of mice after tail vein injection of the HSA@CO-1080 probes for 1 day (n = 4 independent samples per group). **e** Hepatic and **f** renal function indexes of mice after tail vein indexes of the HSA@CO-1080 probes for 14 days (n = 4 independent samples per group). **b** Hepatic and **i** renal function indexes of mice after tail vein injection of the HSA@CO-1080 probes for 14 days (n = 4 independent samples per group). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 32.** Metabolic behavior of mice injected with **a** CO-1080 and **b** HSA@CO-1080 probes through the tail vein (200  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Fluorescence signal statistics of mice injected with **c** CO-1080 and **d** HSA@CO-1080 probes through the tail vein. Scale bar = 1 cm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 33. a** NIR-II lymphography of the CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different laser excitation (600  $\mu$ M, 25  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). **b** NIR-II lymphography of the CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different sub-NIR-II windows (600  $\mu$ M, 25  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Scale bar = 1 cm.



**Supplementary Fig. 34. a** NIR-II lymphography of the ICG and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different laser excitations (n = 3 independent mice). **b** Comparison of NIR-II lymph node brightness between ICG and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different laser excitations. **c-d** Images of NIR-II lymph nodes at different time points after intradermal injection of ICG and HSA@CO-1080 FPs into soles of the feet (n = 3 independent mice). **e-f** NIR-II lymph node signal statistics at different time points after intradermal injection of ICG and HSA@CO-1080 FPs into the soles of the feet (PLN: popliteal lymph node, SLN: sacral lymph node). All images were collected above 1200 nm (600  $\mu$ M, 25  $\mu$ L). Scale bar = 1 cm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 35. a** NIR-II angiographic images of CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different imaging windows (600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Comparison of NIR-II angiographic signal between CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under **b** > 1100 nm, **c** > 1200 nm, **d** > 1300 nm, **e** > 1400 nm, and **f** > 1500 nm sub-NIR-II windows. Scale bar = 1 cm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 36. a, c, e** NIR-II angiographic images of the CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different laser excitation (n = 3 independent mice). **b, d, f** Comparison of NIR-II angiographic signal of the CO-1080 and HSA@CO-1080 FPs under different laser excitations. All images were collected above 1200 nm (600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L). Scale bar = 1 cm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 37. a** NIR-II angiography in the short term after intravenous injection of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. **b** Image and **c** fluorescence signal statistics of arteries and veins after intravenous injection of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. **d** Arterial image and **e** fluorescence signal statistics in the short term after intravenous injection of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. All images were collected above 1200 nm (600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Scale bar = 1 cm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 38. a** Angiographic schematic of different animals, including mouse, rat, and rabbit. **b** NIR-II angiography images of different animals after intravenous injection of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs, including mouse, rat, and rabbit. Statistics of blood vessel signal in **c** mouse, **d** rat, and **e** rabbit after intravenous injection of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. All images were collected above 1200 nm (600  $\mu$ M, n = 3 independent experiment). Scale bar = 1 cm. Some schematic diagrams were designed using BioRender software. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 39.** The blood half-life of HSA@CO-1080 FPs (600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 40.** Time window for angiography of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs (600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Scale bar = 1 cm.



**Supplementary Fig. 41. a** Repeating angiography images of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs (600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). **b-d** Fluorescence signal statistics of repeating angiography for the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. Scale bar = 1 cm. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 42.** Schematic of a modified perforator flap model (DCI: deep circumflex iliac artery, PIC: posterior intercostal artery, TD: thoracodorsal artery, and IGA: inferior gluteal artery). The mouse scheme was designed using BioRender software.



**Supplementary Fig. 43.** NIR-II images of the modified perforator flap at different times after intravenous injection of the HSA@CO-1080 FPs. All images were collected above 1200 nm (600  $\mu$ M, 1 mL, n = 3 independent rats). Scale bar = 1 cm.



**Supplementary Fig. 44.** Schematic of blood route reconstruction after operation of modified perforator flap model. Scale bar = 1 cm. Schematic diagrams were designed using BioRender software.



**Supplementary Fig. 45.** Photographs and NIR-II images of rats at different time points after the operation of the modified perforator flap model (600  $\mu$ M, 1 mL, n = 3 independent rats). Scale bar = 1 cm. Schematic diagrams were designed using BioRender software.



**Supplementary Fig. 46.** Construction schematic of biomimetic NIR-I/II fluorescent proteins (FPs). Protein structures were generated by the Protein Data Bank (PDB).



**Supplementary Fig. 47. a** Fluorescence image and SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis of HSA@IR-808,  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780, HSA@CO-1080, and DIII@CO-1080 fluorescent proteins under different laser excitations (n = 4 independent experiment). **b** Fluorescence intensity of HSA@IR-808,  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780, HSA@CO-1080, and DIII@CO-1080 fluorescent proteins under different laser excitations (n = 5 independent samples per group). **c** SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis of NIR-I fluorescent proteins (HSA@IR-808 and  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780) and NIR-II fluorescent proteins (HSA@CO-1080) under 808 nm and 1064 nm laser excitation, respectively (n = 4 independent experiment). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



**Supplementary Fig. 48. a** Schematic of different NIR-I/II fluorescent protein structures, including HSA@IR-808,  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780, HSA@CO-1080, and DIII@CO-1080. **b** UV-absorption spectra of the HSA@IR-808,  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780, HSA@CO-1080, and DIII@CO-1080. Fluorescence spectra of the HSA@IR-808,  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780, HSA@CO-1080, and DIII@CO-1080 under **c** 808 nm, **d** 980 nm, and **e** 1064 nm laser excitations, respectively. Protein structures were generated by the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 49.** Co-localization bioimaging of lymph nodes with HSA@IR-808 FPs (intradermal tail injection) and HSA@CO-1080 FPs (intradermal footpad injection) under 808 nm and 1064 nm laser excitations, respectively. All images were collected above 1200 nm (600  $\mu$ M, 25  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice). Scale bar = 1 cm. The mouse scheme was designed using BioRender software.

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 50.** NIR-II lymphography and angiography with HSA@IR-808 FPs (intradermal footpad injection, 600  $\mu$ M, 25  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice) and HSA@CO-1080 FPs (intravenous injection, 600  $\mu$ M, 200  $\mu$ L, n = 3 independent mice) under 808 nm and 1064 nm laser excitations, respectively. All images were collected above 1200 nm. Scale bar = 1 cm. The mouse scheme was designed using BioRender software.

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 51. a** Schematic of different NIR-I/II fluorescent probes, including HSA@Cy5, β-LG@IR-780, RENPs (NaYbF4:Ce, Er@NaYF4:Gd, Yb@PAA), and HSA@CO-1080. **b** Fluorescence images and **c** fluorescence intensity of the HSA@Cy5, β-LG@IR-780, RENPs (NaYbF4:Ce, Er@NaYF4:Gd, Yb@PAA), and HSA@CO-1080 under different laser excitations (including 660, 808, 980, and 1064 nm) (mean ± SD, n = 3 independent samples per group). **d** Fluorescence spectra of the HSA@Cy5, β-LG@IR-780, RENPs (NaYbF4:Ce, Er@NaYF4:Gd, Yb@PAA), and HSA@CO-1080 under different laser excitation (680, 808 nm, 980 nm, and 1064 nm). **e** UV-absorption spectra of the HSA@Cy5, β-LG@IR-780, RENPs (NaYbF4:Ce, Fr@NaYF4:Gd, Yb@PAA), and HSA@CO-1080. Protein structures were generated by the Protein Data Bank (PDB). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Data Note:** the imaging concentration of the RENPs probe was 250 mg/ml (> 1500 nm collection), the imaging concentration of the HSA@Cy5 probe was 10  $\mu$ M (> 700 nm collection), and the imaging concentration of the  $\beta$ -LG@IR-780 and HSA@CO-1080 probe was 10  $\mu$ M (> 1200 nm collection).