

		Risk of bias domains								
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Overall	
Study	2. Dahlstedt et al. 1991	X	X	X	X	-	-	-	×	
	3. Kessler et al. 2008	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	
	4. Kovalak et al. 2017	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-	
Domains: D1: Bias due to confounding. D2: Bias due to selection of participants. D3: Bias in classification of interventions. D4: Bias due to deviations from intended interventions. D5: Bias due to missing data. D6: Bias in measurement of outcomes. D7: Bias in selection of the reported result.								rious		
Bias due to confounding										
Bias due to selection of participants										
Bias in classification of interventions										
Bias due to deviations from intended interventions										
	Bias due to r									
Bias in measurement of outcomes										
Bias in selection of the reported result										
	Overall	risk of bias						-		
			0%	25	5%	50%		75%	100%	
					Moderate risk	Serious ris	ik 📃 No info	rmation		

. . .


Supplemental Figure S1. ROBINS-I Summary




Supplemental Figure S2. ROBINS-I Knee laxity




Supplemental Figure S3. ROBINS-I IKDC Examination Score




Supplemental Figure S4. ROBINS-I Joint position sense




Supplemental Figure S5. ROBINS-I Lysholm score




Supplemental Figure S6. ROBINS-I Muscle strength




Supplemental Figure S7. ROBINS-I One-legged hop test



Supplemental Figure S8. ROBINS-I Proprioception




Supplemental Figure S9. ROBINS-I Radiological signs of osteoarthritis




Supplemental Figure S10. ROBINS-I SF-36 health profiles

		Risk of bias domains									
		D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	Overall		
Study	2. Dahlstedt et al. 1991	X	X	X	X	-	-	-	×		
	3. Kessler et al. 2008	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-		
	4. Kovalak et al. 2017	-	-	-	-	?	-	-	-		
Domains:JudgementD1: Bias due to confounding.D2: Bias due to selection of participants.SeriousD3: Bias in classification of interventions.ModerateD4: Bias due to deviations from intended interventions.ModerateD5: Bias due to missing data.No informationD6: Bias in measurement of outcomes.D7: Bias in selection of the reported result.											
	Bias due to o	confounding									
Bias due to selection of participants											
Bias in classification of interventions											
Bias due to deviations from intended interventions											
Bias due to missing data											
	Bias in measurement of										
Bias in selection of the reported result											
	Overall										
			0%	25	%	50%		75%	100%		
					Moderate risk	Serious ris	k 📃 No info	rmation			


Supplemental Figure S11. ROBINS-I Tegner score




Supplemental Figure S12. ROB2 Summary




Supplemental Figure S13. ROB2 Knee laxity




Supplemental Figure S14. ROB2 IKDC Evaluation Score




Supplemental Figure S15. ROB2 Lysholm score




Supplemental Figure S16. ROB2 Muscle strength



Supplemental Figure S17. ROB2 One-legged hop test



Supplemental Figure S18. ROB2 Radiological signs of osteoarthritis



Supplemental Figure S19. ROB2 Tegner score