# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Roel van Klink
Last updated by author(s):	Aug 20, 2023

### **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

<.	トつ	1	ist	117	$\sim$
J	ιa	ı.	เอเ	. 14	LO

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

Some data were digitized using ImageJ software, and some were digitized using the MetaDigitise package for R. All data were processed in R between 2018 and 2023

Data analysis

We used R 4.2.2 for all data processing , and the INLA package for statistical analyses. Visualization was done using the GGplot2 package. We used hierarchical Bayesian modeling to estimate the temporal slope of the various biodiversity metrics (i.e. the explanatory variable w as 'Year'

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All Data as analyses in this paper are available as supplementary material, and the underlying raw data are available on Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity. The

Policy information about stu- and sexual orientation and ra	dies with human participants or human data. See also policy information about sex, gender (identity/presentation),			
Reporting on sex and gend				
Reporting on race, ethnicit other socially relevant groupings	zy, or NA			
Population characteristics	NA			
Recruitment	NA			
Ethics oversight	NA			
Note that full information on the	e approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.			
Field-specific	reporting that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.			
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences			
	t with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
-cological av	religione m. O. annima manantal salamana atudu da sign			
All studies must disclose on t	volutionary & environmental sciences study design these points even when the disclosure is negative.			
All studies must disclose on t				
All studies must disclose on t Study description  Research sample	these points even when the disclosure is negative.  We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly			
All studies must disclose on to Study description  Research sample  Sampling strategy	these points even when the disclosure is negative.  We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly accessible data.  Our aim was to evaluate as many datasets as were available under the following restrictions: We collected time series of insect/arachnid/Entognatha assemblages (at least all individuals of a family or higher taxonomic level, counted), assessed using consistent methodology with at least 9 years between the first and last sampling year, that were or could be made openly accessible. A low proportion of non-target taxa - other arthropods such as crustaceans and myriapods(<10%) was acceptable, whenever these could not be separated from the target taxa.) Our sample size was thus dependent on the amount of openly available. We used 106 datasets. The exact provenance of each study is detailed in Extended Data table 5.  The sample population is the world, but we are aware that our data are biased in various ways. Therefore, the data and analysis			
All studies must disclose on to Study description  Research sample  Sampling strategy  Data collection	these points even when the disclosure is negative.  We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly accessible data.  Our aim was to evaluate as many datasets as were available under the following restrictions: We collected time series of insect/ arachnid/Entognatha assemblages (at least all individuals of a family or higher taxonomic level, counted), assessed using consistent methodology with at least 9 years between the first and last sampling year, that were or could be made openly accessible. A low proportion of non-target taxa - other arthropods such as crustaceans and myriapods(<10%) was acceptable, whenever these could not be separated from the target taxa.) Our sample size was thus dependent on the amount of openly available. We used 106 datasets. The exact provenance of each study is detailed in Extended Data table 5.  The sample population is the world, but we are aware that our data are biased in various ways. Therefore, the data and analysis mostly represent those locations from which data are available. We show the biases in several sensitivity analyses  The sample size was determined by the availability of data. When necessary and possible, we used rarefaction methods to equalize sampling effort across years. Experimental treatments (where researchers actively manipulated environmental conditions) were			
Study description  Research sample  Sampling strategy  Data collection  Timing and spatial scale	these points even when the disclosure is negative.  We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly accessible data.  Our aim was to evaluate as many datasets as were available under the following restrictions: We collected time series of insect/ arachnid/Entognatha assemblages (at least all individuals of a family or higher taxonomic level, counted), assessed using consistent methodology with at least 9 years between the first and last sampling year, that were or could be made openly accessible. A low proportion of non-target taxa - other arthropods such as crustaceans and myriapods(<10%) was acceptable, whenever these could not be separated from the target taxa.) Our sample size was thus dependent on the amount of openly available. We used 106 datasets. The exact provenance of each study is detailed in Extended Data table 5.  The sample population is the world, but we are aware that our data are biased in various ways. Therefore, the data and analysis mostly represent those locations from which data are available. We show the biases in several sensitivity analyses  The sample size was determined by the availability of data. When necessary and possible, we used rarefaction methods to equalize sampling effort across years. Experimental treatments (where researchers actively manipulated environmental conditions) were excluded.  Standardized and non-standardized data searches were performed by Roel van Klink, Jonathan Chase and Konstantin Gongalsky. Dat were digitzied, extracted and standardized by Roel van Klink, Minghua Shen, Abdul Al-Hemiary and Nina Naderi. The collection of the			
Study description  Research sample  Sampling strategy  Data collection  Timing and spatial scale  Data exclusions	We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly accessible data.  Our aim was to evaluate as many datasets as were available under the following restrictions: We collected time series of insect/arachnid/Entognatha assemblages (at least all individuals of a family or higher taxonomic level, counted), assessed using consistent methodology with at least 9 years between the first and last sampling year, that were or could be made openly accessible. A low proportion of non-target taxa - other arthropods such as crustaceans and myriapods(<10%) was acceptable, whenever these could not be separated from the target taxa.) Our sample size was thus dependent on the amount of openly available. We used 106 datasets. The exact provenance of each study is detailed in Extended Data table 5.  The sample population is the world, but we are aware that our data are biased in various ways. Therefore, the data and analysis mostly represent those locations from which data are available. We show the biases in several sensitivity analyses  The sample size was determined by the availability of data. When necessary and possible, we used rarefaction methods to equalize sampling effort across years. Experimental treatments (where researchers actively manipulated environmental conditions) were excluded.  Standardized and non-standardized data searches were performed by Roel van Klink, Jonathan Chase and Konstantin Gongalsky. Dat were digitzied, extracted and standardized by Roel van Klink, Minghua Shen, Abdul Al-Hemiary and Nina Naderi. The collection of the original data involved numerous data collectors and data analysts.  Data searches were performed from 2018 - 2021, but the collated data go back to 1951, Data from around the world were included,			
Study description  Research sample  Sampling strategy  Data collection  Timing and spatial scale  Data exclusions  Reproducibility	We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly accessible data.  Our aim was to evaluate as many datasets as were available under the following restrictions: We collected time series of insect/ arachnid/Entognatha assemblages (at least all individuals of a family or higher taxonomic level, counted), assessed using consistent methodology with at least 9 years between the first and last sampling year, that were or could be made openly accessible. A low proportion of non-target taxa - other arthropods such as crustaceans and myriapods(<10%) was acceptable, whenever these could not be separated from the target taxa.) Our sample size was thus dependent on the amount of openly available. We used 106 datasets. The exact provenance of each study is detailed in Extended Data table 5.  The sample population is the world, but we are aware that our data are biased in various ways. Therefore, the data and analysis mostly represent those locations from which data are available. We show the biases in several sensitivity analyses  The sample size was determined by the availability of data. When necessary and possible, we used rarefaction methods to equalize sampling effort across years. Experimental treatments (where researchers actively manipulated environmental conditions) were excluded.  Standardized and non-standardized data searches were performed by Roel van Klink, Jonathan Chase and Konstantin Gongalsky. Dat were digitized, extracted and standardized by Roel van Klink, Minghua Shen, Abdul Al-Hemiary and Nina Naderi. The collection of the original data involved numerous data collectors and data analysts.  Data searches were performed from 2018 - 2021, but the collated data go back to 1951, Data from around the world were included, but there is a bias towards Europe and North America (detailed in the paper)			
All studies must disclose on the Study description  Research sample  Sampling strategy  Data collection  Timing and spatial scale  Data exclusions  Reproducibility	We evaluated the biodiversity change of assemblages of terrestrial insects, arachnids and Entognatha (springtails and allies) over time to understand the patterns underlying insect declines. We performed this study by means of synthesis of existing and openly accessible data.  Our aim was to evaluate as many datasets as were available under the following restrictions: We collected time series of insect/arachnid/Entognatha assemblages (at least all individuals of a family or higher taxonomic level, counted), assessed using consistent methodology with at least 9 years between the first and last sampling year, that were or could be made openly accessible. A low proportion of non-target taxa - other arthropods such as crustaceans and myriapods(<10%) was acceptable, whenever these could not be separated from the target taxa.) Our sample size was thus dependent on the amount of openly available. We used 106 datasets. The exact provenance of each study is detailed in Extended Data table 5.  The sample population is the world, but we are aware that our data are biased in various ways. Therefore, the data and analysis mostly represent those locations from which data are available. We show the biases in several sensitivity analyses  The sample size was determined by the availability of data. When necessary and possible, we used rarefaction methods to equalize sampling effort across years. Experimental treatments (where researchers actively manipulated environmental conditions) were excluded.  Standardized and non-standardized data searches were performed by Roel van Klink, Jonathan Chase and Konstantin Gongalsky. Dat were digitzied, extracted and standardized by Roel van Klink, Minghua Shen, Abdul Al-Hemiary and Nina Naderi. The collection of the original data involved numerous data collectors and data analysts.  Data searches were performed from 2018 - 2021, but the collated data go back to 1951, Data from around the world were included, but there is a bias towards Europe and North America (detailed in the paper)  No da			

code used for analysis is available at Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8369189

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems	Methods	
n/a Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
Antibodies	ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging	
Animals and other organisms	·	
Clinical data		
Dual use research of concern		
•		