

Multimedia Appendix 11. Summary of evidence according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation tool.

Certainty assessment							No of patients		Effect	Certainty	Importance
No of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Intervention	Control	Absolute (95% CI)		

Cannabis use

3	Randomised trials	Serious ^a	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	1368	729	SMD 6.79 SD lower (9.59 lower to 4 higher)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate	CRITICAL
---	-------------------	----------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	------	------	-----	---	------------------	----------

CI: Confidence Interval; **SMD:** Standardised Mean Difference

Explanations

a. Baumgartner (2021): Significant differences between groups at baseline might suggest a problem with the randomization process. Tossmann (2011): Outcomes assessors were probably aware of the intervention assignment + No pre-specified analysis plan.