Title: Hypoxia-driven deSUMOylation of EXOSC10 promotes adaptive changes in the transcriptome profile

Chrysa Filippopoulou¹, Chairini C. Thomé², Sofia Perdikari³, Evgenia Ntini³, George **Simos1,4, Katherine E. Bohnsack2 and Georgia Chachami1,* , ***

1 Laboratory of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Thessaly, Biopolis 41500, Larissa, Greece

² Department of Molecular Biology, University Medical Center Göttingen, 37073, Germany ³ Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Foundation for Research and

Technology-Hellas (FORTH), Heraklion 70013, Greece.

4 Gerald Bronfman Department of Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

***To whom correspondence should be addressed: ghah@med.uth.gr**

Supplementary Table S1: List of the DNA oligonucleotides used in this study.

Supplementary Table S2: List of plasmids used in this study.

Supplementary Table S4: List of the antibodies used in immunoblotting and immunofluorescence experiments.

Supplementary Table S5: Sequences of primers used in qPCR for the amplification of the indicated genes.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure S1: Hypoxia does not affect the expression levels of EXOSC10 or other RNA exosome components/cofactors. A. HeLa cells were incubated in normoxia (-) or hypoxia (+) for 24 h and the endogenous expression levels of various RNA exosome components and cofactors were analyzed by immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. Beta-tubulin was used as a loading control. **B.** Quantification of endogenous EXOSC10 expression levels (normalized to tubulin signal). Values are the mean of three independent experiments and are shown as fold increase compared to normoxic control (grey) and as mean ± standard error. For comparisons between groups unpaired t-test was used (n.s.: not significant).

Supplementary Figure S2: Hypoxia-dependent redistribution of EXOSC10 from the nucleolus to the nucleoplasm. A-B. Indirect immunofluorescence analysis of HeLa cells incubated in normoxia or hypoxia for the indicated times, using antibodies against EXOSC10 and HIF-1 α (a marker for hypoxic conditions). B (low panel) Graph representing quantification of EXOSC10 IF signal in nucleoli and nucleoplasm from total of 100 cells for each timepoint expressed as percentage of the nuclear cell fluorescence, as mean standard error. For comparisons between two groups ANOVA was used **C**. Graph representing quantification of the NPM1 IF signal (see Fig 2B) (corrected cell fluorescence-CTCF) in, nucleoli and nucleoplasm, from a total of 50 cells for each condition (three independent experiments) expressed as percentage of the nuclear cell fluorescence, as mean \pm standard error. For

comparisons between two groups unpaired t-test was used (***: P<0.001, n.s.: not significant). DAPI was used for visualization of nuclear material. Scale bar represents 10 μm or 1 μm as indicated.

 \overline{A}

Supplementary Figure S3: A. Silencing of SENP1 or SENP2 does not promote SUMOylation of EXOSC10. HeLa cells were transfected with non-targeted siRNA (nt) or siRNAs against SENP1 (left) or SENP2 (right) and 24 h post-transfection, were incubated in normoxia (-) or hypoxia (+) for 24 h. Cell lysates were subjected to SUMO-1 IP. Inputs and eluates were analyzed by immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. Silencing of SENP2 was verified with Q-PCR using specific primers for SENP2 mRNA. In all cases, the SUMOylated version of EXOSC10 is indicated with an asterisk (*). SUMOylated RanGAP1

is shown with an arrow and was indicated as a marker for equal loading in INPUTS (A) or as a marker for equal precipitation by anti-SUMO1. **B. SENP3 modulates its phosphorylation status in hypoxia.** HeLa cells incubated in normoxia (-) or hypoxia (+) for 24 h. Cell lysates were subjected to SENP3-IP. An equivalent amount of lysate was also incubated with IgGbeads and served as negative IP control. Soluble extracts (INPUTS) or anti-SENP3 and IgG immunoprecipitates (ELUATE) were analyzed by immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. Beta-tubulin was used as loading control.

B

Supplementary Figure S4: Purification and in vitro exoribonuclease assays of recombinant EXOSC10 forms. A. Purified GST-tagged EXOSC10 WT and mutants D313A/K583R/K168R/K201R and GST-USP36 proteins (1-420 and 421-800 forms) were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining. Position of MW is shown on the left. Full length purified proteins are shown with an arrowhead. **B.** *In vitro* exoribonuclease assays were performed using GST-tagged EXOSC10 WT, K583R or catalytically inactive D313A and [³²P]-labelled RNA (U32). Samples taken after the indicated times were separated

on a denaturing (8 M urea) 12 % polyacrylamide gel and exposed to a phosphorimager screen before labelled RNAs and RNA fragments were visualized using a phosphorimager.

Supplementary Figure S5: Pre-rRNA processing is not affected by deSUMOylation of EXOSC10. A. The major pre-rRNA intermediates present in human cells are depicted schematically. Rectangles depict the mature 18S, 5.8S and 28S rRNAs and lines represent the internal transcribed spacer (ITS1 and ITS2) and the external transcribe spacer (5' ETS and 3' ETS). Colored lines indicate the base-pairing sites of probes used for northern blotting and the pre-rRNA intermediates detected. **B.** Quantification of the northern blot signals from Fig. 6C corresponding to the pre-rRNA intermediates in HeLa exposed to normoxia and hypoxia for 24 h and 48 h. The levels of pre-rRNA intermediates were obtained from three independent experiments, and the signals were quantified relative to the loading controls and shown as mean ± standard deviation. **C.** Total RNA extracted from stably transfected HeLa FlpIn cell lines expressing either the FLAG tag (-), or FLAG-EXOSC10 WT or FLAG-EXOSC10 K583R, in the presence of non-targeted siRNA (-) or a pool of siRNAs against EXOSC10 were analyzed by northern blotting as indicated in Fig. 6D. The levels of pre-rRNA intermediates detected with the probes $3'18S - 5'1TS1$ (green), ITS2 (blue), and $3'5.8S - 5'1TS2$ (orange) in three

B

A

independent experiments were quantified relative to the loading controls and are shown as mean ± standard deviation. For high-molecular-weight pre-rRNAs (green and blue), mitochondrial 16S rRNA was used as loading control. For low-molecular-weight pre-rRNAs (orange), mitochondrial tRNAPhe was used as loading control.

Supplementary Figure S6: A. Upper: Venn diagramm showing overlap between de-regulated genes of hypoxia versus normoxia comparison of RNA-seq data ($log_2 FC < -1$) >1 , p adj $<$ 0.05) with previously MS data (Chachami et al 2019, $log2$ FC < -0.5 | >0.5), down: Venn diagramm showing overlap between up-regulated genes of hypoxia versus normoxia comparison of RNA-seq data (log2 $FC > 1$, p adj < 0.05) with previously MS (log2 $FC >$ 0.5). **B.** Dotplot highlighting Top5 GO-pathways enriched in HeLa cells using the gene lists generated by comparison of current RNA-seq data (up-regulated genes of hypoxia versus normoxia comparison, $log2 FC > 1$, p adj < 0.05) with previously published MS (Chachami et al 2019), up-regulated targets of hypoxia versus normoxia comparison, $log2 FC > 0.5$.

References

- 1. Sloan, K.E., et al., *Both endonucleolytic and exonucleolytic cleavage mediate ITS1 removal during human ribosomal RNA processing.* J Cell Biol, 2013. **200**(5): p. 577- 88.
- 2. Tafforeau, L., et al., *The complexity of human ribosome biogenesis revealed by systematic nucleolar screening of Pre-rRNA processing factors.* Mol Cell, 2013. **51**(4): p. 539-51.
- 3. Elbashir, S.M., et al., *Duplexes of 21-nucleotide RNAs mediate RNA interference in cultured mammalian cells.* Nature, 2001. **411**(6836): p. 494-8.
- 4. Huang, C., et al., *SENP3 is responsible for HIF-1 transactivation under mild oxidative stress via p300 de-SUMOylation.* EMBO J, 2009. **28**(18): p. 2748-62.
- 5. Lyberopoulou, A., et al., *MgcRacGAP interacts with HIF-1alpha and regulates its transcriptional activity.* Cell Physiol Biochem, 2007. **20**(6): p. 995-1006.
- 6. Chen, Y., et al., *The ubiquitin-specific protease USP36 SUMOylates EXOSC10 and promotes the nucleolar RNA exosome function in rRNA processing.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2023.