Opposing USP19 splice variants in TGF-β signaling and TGF-β-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition of breast cancer cells

Jing Zhang^{1,2}, Maarten van Dinther^{1,2}, Midory Thorikay^{1,2}, Babak Mousavi Gourabi³, Boudewijn PT Kruithof^{2,4}, Peter ten Dijke^{1,2}*

¹Oncode Institute, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands.

²Cell Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands.

³Dept. of Anatomy and Embryology, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands.

⁴HARTZ, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands.

J.Zhang.MCB@lumc.nl; M.Thorikay@lumc.nl; M.A.H.van_Dinther@lumc.nl; babak@Sepanta.nl; b.p.t.kruithof@lumc.nl; P.ten_Dijke@lumc.nl;

*Correspondence:

Peter ten Dijke, Dept. of Cell Chemical Biology, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands. Email: <u>P.ten_Dijke@lumc.nl</u>; Tel.: +31-71-526-9271; Fax: +31-71-526-8270

Supplementary Figures

Fig. S1. Schematic diagram of all the reported USP19 splice isoforms.

Fig. S2. Sequence alignment of the C-termini of USP19-ER and USP19-CY splice isoforms.

Fig. S3. USP19-CY isoform promotes TGF-β signalling.

Fig. S4. USP19-ER isoform inhibits TGF-β signalling.

Fig. S5. The opposite effect of USP19-ER and USP19-CY on the TGF- β -induced EMT.

Fig. S6. USP19-ER binds to endogenous TβRI and decreases its expression on cell surface,

while USP19-CY promotes the stability of $T\beta RI$.

Fig. S7. USP19-CY is highly expressed in breast cancer tissues.

Fig. S8. Effect of various small molecule splicing modulators on mRNA expression levels of USP19, USP19-CY and USP19-ER in different cells.

Fig. S9. Herboxidiene regulates the mRNA splicing of USP19 by inhibiting USP19-CY and favouring USP19-ER isoform expression and inhibits TGF- β /SMAD signaling.

Fig. S10. The ELISA results of the rabbit blood serum with USP19-ER or USP19-CY specific antibodies.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Sequences of primers and plasmids.

 Table S2. Structures and roles of splicing modulators.



Fig. S1. Schematic diagram of all the reported USP19 splice isoforms. NCBI gene accession numbers of USP19 splice variants are indicated on the left. The mRNA encoding regions are indicated with boxes; black filled boxes correspond to coding exons that are shared among different splice variants, white boxes indicate the 5' untranslated region (UTR) on the left or 3' UTR on the right, the blue and red boxes correspond to the splice variants containing transmembrane region (red) or that lack that domain (blue)), respectively. Exon IV exists two variants, a short (15 amino acid deletion, indicated in light red-brown) and long variant (dark red-brown). Exon V also exists in two variants, a short (10 amino acid deletion, indicated in yellow) and a long variant (brown). Exon VI is either absent, or present in a short (50 amino acid deletion, indicated in light green). Exon VII (dark grey) and XI (light grey) both exist in a short or long variant (1 amino acid deletion for exon VII and a two amino acid deletion for exon XI), which are not individually indicated in the diagram. There is one transcript (NM_001400294.1) that has a 3 amino acid deletion in exon XIX (white).

The two red triangles indicate the splice variants used in this study: USP19-CY (NM_001199161.2); USP19-ER (NM_001199160.2).





calnexin (green) in U2OS cells transfected with FLAG-tagged USP19-CY-wt, USP19-CY-CA, USP19-ER-wt or USP19-ER-CS expression plasmids. Nuclei were counterstained with 4,6diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, blue). Images were captured with confocal microscopy. Scale bar = 5 μ m. (**D**) Analysis of USP19 activities in HEK293T cells transfected with pRK5 empty vector, wild type USP19-ER (ER-wt), USP19-ER enzyme inactive mutant (ER-CS), wild type USP19-CY (CY-wt) or USP19-CY enzyme inactive mutant (CY-CA) expression plasmids using TAMRA-ubiquitin-vinyl methyl ester (VME) probe assay.



Fig. S3. USP19-CY isoform promotes TGF-β signalling. (**A**) qRT–PCR analysis of the *USP19, USP19-CY* and *USP19-ER* gene expression levels in MDA-MB-231 cells stably expressing USP19-CY-wt and USP19-CY-CA. The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (technical replicates). (**B**) Quantification of the p-SMAD2 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells that were infected with empty vector (pRK5), USP19-CY-wt or USP19-CY-CA expression plasmids with TGF-β (2.5 ng/mL) treatment for 1 h. Results were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). ***, P < 0.001,

based on unpaired Student's t test. (C) Immunoblotting analysis of the p-SMAD2, total (t)-SMAD2 and total USP19 levels in HEK293T cells that were transfected with pLV-EV, CY-wt or CY-CA plasmids after stimulation of vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. GAPDH, loading control. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of the USP19, USP19-CY and USP19-ER mRNA expression levels in MDA-MB-231 cells without or with shRNA-mediated knock down of USP19-CY (sh-CY). The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (technical replicates). (E) Quantification of the p-SMAD2 expression in USP19-CY-depleted MDA-MB-231 cells with TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) treatment for 1 h. Results were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). ***, P < 0.001, based on unpaired Student's t test. Expression levels of the USP19, USP19-CY and USP19-ER mRNA in pLKO-EV control or USP19-CY-deficient MCF10A-Ras cells (F) or A549-VIM-RFP cells (G). The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (technical replicates). Western blotting analysis of the p-SMAD2, t-SMAD2 and USP19 levels in MCF10A-Ras cells (H) or A549-VIM-RFP cells (I) without or with shRNA-mediated knockdown of USP19-CY (sh-CY) treated with vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. GAPDH, loading control. qRT-PCR analysis of the TGF-β target genes, i.e., SMAD7, CCN2 and SERPINE1, in USP19-CY-depleted MCF10A-Ras cells (J) or A549-VIM-RFP cells (K) in the presence of vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 6 h. Results were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). *, P \leq 0.05, **, P < 0.01, based on unpaired Student's t test.



Fig. S4. USP19-ER isoform inhibits TGF-β signalling. (**A**) qRT–PCR analysis of the *USP19*, *USP19-CY* and *USP19-ER* mRNA expression levels in MDA-MB-231 cells stably expressed USP19-ER-wt and USP19-ER-CS. The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (technical replicates). (**B**) Quantification of the p-SMAD2 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells that were infected with pLV-EV, ER-wt and ER-CS with TGF-β (2.5 ng/mL) treatment for 1 h. Results were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). *, P ≤ 0.05, based on unpaired Student's t test. (**C**) Western blotting analysis of the p-SMAD2 and total USP19 levels in HEK293T cells that were transfected with pRK5, ER-wt or ER-CS expression plasmids after stimulation of vehicle control or TGF-β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. GAPDH, loading control. (**D**) qRT–PCR analysis of the *USP19-ER* mRNA expression levels in MDA-MB-231 cells without

or with shRNA-mediated knock down of USP19-ER (sh-ER). The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (technical replicates). (E) Quantification of the p-SMAD2 expression in USP19-ER-depleted MDA-MB-231 cells with TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) treatment for 1 h. Results were normalized to GAPDH expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). **, P < 0.01, based on unpaired Student's t test. (F) qRT–PCR analysis of the *USP19, USP19-CY* and *USP19-ER* mRNA expression levels in A549-VIM-RFP cells with pLKO-EV and sh-ER. The data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (technical replicates). (G) Immunoblotting of the p-SMAD2 and USP19 levels in A549-VIM-RFP cells without or with sh-ER treated with vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. GAPDH, loading control. (H) mRNA expression levels of TGF- β target genes, i.e., *CCN2, SERPINE1* and *SMAD7* in pLKO-EV control or USP19-ER-deficient A549-VIM-RFP cells treated with vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 6 h. Results were normalized to *GAPDH* mRNA expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). *, P ≤ 0.05, based on unpaired Student's t test.



Fig. S5. The opposite effect of USP19-ER and USP19-CY on the TGF-β-induced EMT. Quantification of the EMT marker protein levels in A549-VIM-RFP cells with or without sh-ER lentivirus (**A**) or with or without sh-CY (**B**) treated with TGF-β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. Results were normalized to Tubulin and GAPDH expression levels, respectively, and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). *, P ≤ 0.05, **, P < 0.01, based on unpaired Student's t test. (**C**) Western blotting analysis of the epithelial marker E-cadherin, mesenchymal markers N-cadherin and vimentin in MCF10A-Ras cells without (pLKO-EV) or with USP19-CY knockdown that were treated with vehicle control or TGF-β (2.5 ng/mL) for 2 d. GAPDH, loading control. (**D**) Immunoblotting analysis of the USP19-CY and total USP19 protein expression levels in mCherry-labelled MDA-MB-231 cells infected with pLKO-EV and sh-CY lentivirus. GAPDH, loading control. (**E**) Schematic representation of a 4-day-old zebrafish *fli*:GFP Casper embryo and the Duct of Cuvier (Doc) injection site



Fig. S6. USP19-ER binds to endogenous T β RI and decreases its expression on cell surface, while USP19-CY promotes the stability of T β RI. (A) Immunoprecipitation (IP) and immunoblot analysis of the interaction between USP19-ER and endogenous T β RI in MDA-MB-231 cells overexpressed with FLAG-USP19-ER-wt or FLAG-USP19-ER-CS. (B) MDA-MB-231 cell surface proteins without or with USP19-ER knockdown were biotinylated for 40 min at 4 °C. The biotinylated cell surface proteins were precipitated with streptavidin beads and analysed by anti-T β RI immunoblotting. (C) Immunoblotting analysis of the endogenous T β RI expression level in MDA-MB-231 cells infected with pLKO-EV or sh-CY after treatment with cycloheximide (CHX; 50 µg/mL) for the indicated times. Vinculin: loading control. (D) Quantification of the endogenous T β RI expression level in MDA-MB-231 cells in the pLKO-EV and sh-CY groups after treatment with CHX. The data were normalized to the t=0 controls and expressed as the mean \pm SD of two biological independent experiments. *, P ≤ 0.05, based on two-way ANOVA.



Fig. S7. USP19-CY is highly expressed in breast cancer tissues. (A) Immunofluorescence USP19-CY staining in empty vector (pRK5) or USP19-CY-wt expression plasmid transfected HEK293T cell line; plugs were formalin fixed, embedded in paraffin and sectioned. (B) Quantification of the precent USP19-CY expression in breast cancer adjacent tissues and different stages of cancer tissues. Adjacent tissues, n=45; adenocarcinoma (stage IIA), n=61; adenocarcinoma (stage IIB), n=37; adenocarcinoma (stage IIIA), n=16; adenocarcinoma (stage IIB), n=10; *, P \leq 0.05, **, P < 0.01, ****, P < 0.0001, based on unpaired Student's t test.



Fig. S8. Effect of various small molecule splicing modulators on mRNA expression levels of USP19, USP19-CY and USP19-ER in different cells. (A) A549-VIM-RFP cells (B) HEK293T cells (C) MDA-MB-231 cells (D) MCF10A-Ras cells. The different compound concentrations with which cells were treated for 24 h are indicated.



Fig. S9. Herboxidiene regulates the mRNA splicing of USP19 by inhibiting USP19-CY and favouring USP19-ER isoform expression and inhibits TGF- β /SMAD signaling. (A) The viability of A549-VIM-RFP cells with pLV-EV or USP19-CY-wt overexpression constructs was determined using MTS cell viability assay after 0.2 or 1 μ M herboxidiene treatment for 24 h. (B) Quantification of the p-SMAD2 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells stably infected with pLV-EV and USP19-CY-wt that were pretreated with 1 μ M herboxidiene (Herbo) for 24 h and then combined with TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. Results were normalized to t-SMAD2 expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). *, P \leq 0.05, **, P < 0.01, based on unpaired Student's t test. (C) MDA-MB-231 cells stably infected with pLV-EV or USP19-CY-wt were pre-treated with 1 μ M T025 for 24 h and then combined with vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h, followed by immunoblotting analysis of the p-SMAD2, t-SMAD2, USP19-CY with short exposure time (exp.) and long

exposure time and USP19 expression levels. GAPDH: loading control. (**D**) Quantification of the p-SMAD2 expression in MDA-MB-231 cells stably infected with pLV-EV and USP19-CY-wt that were pre-treated with 1 μ M T025 for 24 h and then combined with TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) for 1 h. Results were normalized to t-SMAD2 expression levels and expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). **, P < 0.01, based on unpaired Student's t test. (**E**) HEK293T cells transfected with pRK5 or USP19-CY-wt were pre-treated with 1 μ M T025 for 24 h and then combined with vehicle control or TGF- β (2.5 ng/mL) overnight, followed by the analysis of CAGA₁₂-luciferase transcriptional responses. The data were expressed as the mean \pm SD, n=3 (biological replicates). **, P < 0.01, ***, P < 0.001, based on unpaired Student's t test. test.



Fig. S10. The ELISA results of the rabbit blood serum with USP19-ER or USP19-CY specific antibodies. The optical density (OD) at 492 nm in the preimmune serum (PPI) or large bleed (GP) with (A) USP19-ER or (B) USP19-CY of the rabbit #1 and rabbit #2.

 Table S1. Sequences of primers and plasmids.

qRT-PCR Primers					
Species	Gene name	Forward (5' to 3')	Reverse (5' to 3')		
Human	GAPDH	TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC	GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG		
Human	SERPINE1	CACAAATCAGACGGCAGCACT	CATCGGGCGTGGTGAACTC		
Human	SMAD7	TCCAGATGCTGTGCCTTCC	GTCCGAATTGAGCTGTCCG		
Human	CCN2	TTGCGAAGCTGACCTGGAAGAGAA	AGCTCGGTATGTCTTCATGCTGGT		
Human	CDH1	CAGCCGCTTTCAGATTTTCAT	CCCGGTATCTTCCCCGC		
Human	CDH2	CAGACCGACCCAAACAGCAAC	GCAGCAACAGTAAGGACAAACATC		
Human	SNAI1	ACCACTATGCCGCGCTCTT	GGTCGTAGGGCTGCTGGAA		
Human	USP19	TCCGGGACTTCTTCCATGAC	GACGCCCACCAGTCCCTAGT		
Human	USP19-ER	ACGTGGCCCTACCACACCAGATGAG	CTTTGGTGGCCCTCGTGCTCAA		
Human	USP19-CY	AGCCCCCACCTACAGCAACA	AGCTCCTTGCCGCTTCTCCT		
PCR primers					
Species	Name	Forward (5' to 3')	Reverse (5' to 3')		
Human	USP19-CY-CA	CAATTTAGGCAACACCGCCTTCATGAACAGCGTC	GACGCTGTTCATGAAGGCGGTGTTGCCTAAATTG		
shRNAs		Target sequences (5' to 3')			
Human	USP19-ER	GGCCATGCCTGCCTTTGTTGT			
Human	USP19-CY	GCGTGATTTGATTCTGTTGTA			

Name		Structure	Target/Mechanism of
		05	Action
			SRPIN340 inhibits the
			phosphorylation of the
SRPIN340			serine-arginine protein
			kinase (SRPK) to interfere
		CHa	splicing [1]
		H ₂ CO N	rbosphorylation of soring
TG003		CH ₃	arginine (SR) protein CLK
			kinase 1.24 [2]
		ÇI	Indisulam inhibits the G1/S
			transition and recruits the
	N	O H	splicing factor RBM39 to the
Indisulam		Q Q H ₂ N ^{-S} O	E3 ligase substrate receptor
			DCAF15, resulting in altered
			RNA splicing and cell death
			[3,4]
		H O	An inhibitor of protein
			arginine methyltransferase 5
GSK3326595			(PRMT5), which mediates
0010020070			methylation of the
			spliceosome is a key event in
			spliceosome assembly [5,6]
			An inhibitor of Cdc2-like
T025	HN	HN	kinases (CLKs) that
1025		N	facilitate exon recognition in
		«N N N	the splicing machinery [7]
		. Н	
			A mixed lineage kinase
			(MLK) inhibitor to suppress
URMC-099			cell proliferation and
		HN HN	migration [8]
		N /	0
			Herboxidiene noncovalently
			binds SF3B1, a core
Herboxidiene		ŌН ^в Ó	component of spliceosome,
		[≞] OH	and alters the confirmation of
		Ö	SF3B1 to disrupt splicing [9]
		_₽ __ 6	An inhibitor that targets the
Sudemycin			U2 snRNP component SF3B,
D6		, ∧ ∧ × ×	and modulates alternative
		∼~~~ °	spiicing [10]

Table S2. Structures and functions of splicing modulators.

References

1. Zhou Z, Fu XD (2013) Regulation of splicing by SR proteins and SR protein-specific kinases. Chromosoma 122 (3):191-207. doi:10.1007/s00412-013-0407-z 2. Muraki M, Ohkawara B, Hosoya T, Onogi H, Koizumi J, Koizumi T, Sumi K, Yomoda J, Murray MV, Kimura H, Furuichi K, Shibuya H, Krainer AR, Suzuki M, Hagiwara M (2004) Manipulation of alternative splicing by a newly developed inhibitor of Clks. J Biol Chem 279 (23):24246-24254. doi:10.1074/jbc.M314298200

3. Ting TC, Goralski M, Klein K, Wang B, Kim J, Xie Y, Nijhawan D (2019) Aryl Sulfonamides Degrade RBM39 and RBM23 by Recruitment to CRL4-DCAF15. Cell Rep 29 (6):1499-1510 e1496. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.09.079

4. van Kesteren C, Zandvliet AS, Karlsson MO, Mathot RA, Punt CJ, Armand JP, Raymond E, Huitema AD, Dittrich C, Dumez H, Roche HH, Droz JP, Ravic M, Yule SM, Wanders J, Beijnen JH, Fumoleau P, Schellens JH (2005) Semi-physiological model describing the hematological toxicity of the anticancer agent indisulam. Invest New Drugs 23 (3):225-234. doi:10.1007/s10637-005-6730-3

5. Bezzi M, Teo SX, Muller J, Mok WC, Sahu SK, Vardy LA, Bonday ZQ, Guccione E (2013) Regulation of constitutive and alternative splicing by PRMT5 reveals a role for Mdm4 pre-mRNA in sensing defects in the spliceosomal machinery. Genes Dev 27 (17):1903-1916. doi:10.1101/gad.219899.113

6. Gerhart SV, Kellner WA, Thompson C, Pappalardi MB, Zhang XP, Montes de Oca R, Penebre E, Duncan K, Boriack-Sjodin A, Le B, Majer C, McCabe MT, Carpenter C, Johnson N, Kruger RG, Barbash O (2018) Activation of the p53-MDM4 regulatory axis defines the anti-tumour response to PRMT5 inhibition through its role in regulating cellular splicing. Sci Rep 8 (1):9711. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-28002-y

7. Iwai K, Yaguchi M, Nishimura K, Yamamoto Y, Tamura T, Nakata D, Dairiki R, Kawakita Y, Mizojiri R, Ito Y, Asano M, Maezaki H, Nakayama Y, Kaishima M, Hayashi K, Teratani M, Miyakawa S, Iwatani M, Miyamoto M, Klein MG, Lane W, Snell G, Tjhen R, He X, Pulukuri S, Nomura T (2018) Anti-tumor efficacy of a novel CLK inhibitor via targeting RNA splicing and MYC-dependent vulnerability. EMBO Mol Med 10 (6). doi:10.15252/emmm.201708289

8. Rhoo KH, Granger M, Sur J, Feng C, Gelbard HA, Dewhurst S, Polesskaya O (2014) Pharmacologic inhibition of MLK3 kinase activity blocks the in vitro migratory capacity of breast cancer cells but has no effect on breast cancer brain metastasis in a mouse xenograft model. PLoS One 9 (9):e108487. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108487

9. Hasegawa M, Miura T, Kuzuya K, Inoue A, Won Ki S, Horinouchi S, Yoshida T, Kunoh T, Koseki K, Mino K, Sasaki R, Yoshida M, Mizukami T (2011) Identification of SAP155 as the target of GEX1A (Herboxidiene), an antitumor natural product. ACS Chem Biol 6 (3):229-233. doi:10.1021/cb100248e 10. Fan L, Lagisetti C, Edwards CC, Webb TR, Potter PM (2011) Sudemycins, novel small molecule analogues of FR901464, induce alternative gene splicing. ACS Chem Biol 6 (6):582-589. doi:10.1021/cb100356k