nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Reinier Boon
Last updated by author(s):	Apr 12, 2024

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

C.			
Sta	4 T I	らた	ורכ

For	all statistical a	nalyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed	
	The exact	t sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statem	ent on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statis	stical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided non tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A descrip	tion of all covariates tested
	A descrip	tion of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full des	cription of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) ation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null h	hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted ues as exact values whenever suitable.
\boxtimes	For Bayes	sian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
\boxtimes	For hiera	rchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes	Estimate:	s of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	ı	Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.
So	ftware an	nd code
Poli	cy information	about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Da	ata collection	Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to collect the data in this study, specifying the version used OR state that no software was used.
Da	ata analysis	GraphPad Prism 7
		g custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files)

and sexual orientat		vith <u>human participants or human data</u> . See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation),</u> thnicity and racism.			
Reporting on sex	and gender	N/A			
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings		N/A			
Population characteristics		N/A			
Recruitment		N/A			
Ethics oversight		N/A			
Note that full informa	ation on the appr	oval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.			
Field-spe	ecific re	porting			
Please select the or	ne below that is	s the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.			
Life sciences	В	ehavioural & social sciences			
For a reference copy of t	the document with	all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>			
Life scier	nces stu	udy design			
All studies must dis	close on these	points even when the disclosure is negative.			
Sample size	Describe how sample size was determined, detailing any statistical methods used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient.				
	Describe any data exclusions. If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, describe the exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.				
Data exclusions					
Data exclusions Replication	rationale behind				
	Describe the me OR if there are of Describe how so	d them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this			
Replication	Describe the me OR if there are of were controlled	d them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. amples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates			
Replication Randomization	Describe the me OR if there are of were controlled	d them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. amples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates OR if this is not relevant to your study, explain why. er the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible,			
Replication Randomization Blinding	Describe the me OR if there are of Describe how so were controlled Describe wheth describe why Or	d them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. amples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates I OR if this is not relevant to your study, explain why. er the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible, R explain why blinding was not relevant to your study.			
Replication Randomization Blinding Reportin We require informati	Describe the me OR if there are of the were controlled Describe wheth describe why Or on from authors:	d them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. amples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates OR if this is not relevant to your study, explain why. er the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible,			
Replication Randomization Blinding Reportin We require information system or method list	Describe the me OR if there are a were controlled Describe wheth describe why Or on from authors at the discrete with the describe why or on from authors at the discrete which the describe why or on from authors at the discrete which the discrete which was a second with the discrete which was a second with the discrete which was a second with the discrete with the discrete was a second with the discrete was a second with the discrete with the discrete was a second with the discrete was a se	easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. Imples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates on the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible, Rexplain why blinding was not relevant to your study. Decific materials, systems and methods about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.			
Replication Randomization Blinding Reportin We require informati	Describe the me OR if there are of Describe how so were controlled. Describe wheth describe why Or Describe w	easures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. Imples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates on the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible, Rexplain why blinding was not relevant to your study. Decific materials, systems and methods about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.			
Replication Randomization Blinding Reportin We require informatisystem or method list Materials & ex	Describe the me OR if there are of were controlled Describe wheth describe why Or on from authors and is relevant to the study	dethem, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Peasures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. Pamples/organisms/participants were allocated into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates and one of this is not relevant to your study, explain why. Per the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible, Rexplain why blinding was not relevant to your study. Pecific materials, systems and methods about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.			
Replication Randomization Blinding Reportin We require informatisystem or method list Materials & ex n/a Involved in the Antibodies Eukaryotic	Describe the me OR if there are of were controlled Describe wheth describe why Or on from authors at the described why or on from a the described wh	dethem, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established. Peasures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings. If all attempts at replication were successful, confirm this any findings that were not replicated or cannot be reproduced, note this and describe why. Per produced into experimental groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates along if this is not relevant to your study, explain why. Per the investigators were blinded to group allocation during data collection and/or analysis. If blinding was not possible, and the explain why blinding was not relevant to your study. Pecific materials, systems and methods about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response. Methods Na			

Animals and of Clinical data
Clinical data
Dual use rese

Dual use research of concern

Antibodies

Antibodies used

anti-PNUTS (R&D Systems, AF21581), anti-CD31 (Invitrogen, 37-0700), anti-VE-Cadherin (Sigma-Aldrich, V1514), anti-MYC (CST, 9402), anti-phospho-MYC-T58 (abcam, ab185655), anti-tubulin (Thermo Fisher, RB-9281-P), anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, A-5441), anti-CD31 (BD Biosciences 550389), anti-VE-Cadherin (CST 2500XP), anti-CD31 antibody (Dianova, DIA-310)

Validation

anti-PNUTS (R&D Systems, AF21581): validated by manufacturer by WB of cell lysates; Boon et al, Nature, 2013; and experimental validation by WB (band disappears after siPNUTS treatment in Fig 1 and appears after PNUTS-overexpression experiments in Fig 4). anti-CD31 (Invitrogen, 37-0700): validated by manufacturer by immunofluorescence and WB of HUVECs lysates.

anti-VE-Cadherin (Sigma-Aldrich, V1514): validated by manufacturer by WB of HUVECs lysates and immunohistochemistry in frozen sections of human tonsil

anti-MYC (CST, 9402); validated by manufacturer by Western blot analysis of extracts from HeLa cells 48 hours following mock transfection, transfection with nonspecific (control) siRNA or transfection with c-Myc siRNA

anti-phospho-MYC-T58 (abcam, ab185655): validated by manufacturer by WB analysis of HeLa cell lysate treated with 200nM

Calyculin A and 1uM Okadaic Acid for 60 minutes. anti-tubulin (Thermo Fisher, RB-9281-P): validated by manufacturer by WB analysis of human Cell-18 lysates

anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, A-5441): validated by manufacturer by WB using cultured human or chicken fibroblast cell extracts anti-CD31 (BD Biosciences 550389): validated by manufacturer by immunohistochemistry of frozen sections of normal human thymus, then visualized with Biotin Goat Anti-Mouse Ig.

anti-VE-Cadherin (CST 2500XP): validated by manufacturer by immunofluorescent analysis of HUVECs and HeLa cells anti-CD31 antibody (Dianova, DIA-310): validated by manufacturer by immunohistochemistry of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded mouse tissue sections.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s) Primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from Lonza.

Authentication Cells were tested after purchase for expression of endothelial markers by qPCR and immunofluorescence and for angiogenic

sprouting capability

Mycoplasma contamination Cells were tested for mycoplasma contamination every two weeks.

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Male c57Bl/6j mice, age 12-80 weeks, strain Cdh5-CreERT2xPNUTSfl/fl or PNUTSfl/fl Laboratory animals

N/A Wild animals

Reporting on sex Male mice were used. No conclusions can be made regarding potential sex-specific phenotypes.

Field-collected samples N/A

Ethics oversight All mice experiments were carried out in accordance with the principles of laboratory animal care as well as according to the German and Dutch national laws. The studies have been approved by the local ethical committees and performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

 $\boxed{\hspace{-0.5cm}\bigvee}$ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology	M	et	ho	dol	log\
-------------	---	----	----	-----	------

Transfected HUVECs were detached with Accutase and washed in cold incubation buffer (0.1% BSA in PBS). Cells were Sample preparation blocked (5% BSA in PBS) for 30 min on ice and subsequently incubated with fluorophore-labeled antibodies for 30 min on ice.

Instrument FACSCalibur™ device (BD Biosciences)

Software BD FACSDiva™ software and FlowJo

Cell population abundance Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.

Describe the gating strategy used for all relevant experiments, specifying the preliminary FSC/SSC gates of the starting cell Gating strategy

population, indicating where boundaries between "positive" and "negative" staining cell populations are defined. Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.