Supplementary File 14. User-Centered Design 11-item measure (UCD-11)

	Centered Design 11-item measure (UCD-11)	X7 /X1
Items	Explanations and examples	Yes/No
1. Were potential end users	Such steps could include various forms of user	Yes
(eg, patients, caregivers,	research, including formal or informal needs	
family and friends,	assessment, focus groups, surveys, contextual	
surrogates) involved in any	inquiry, ethnographic observation of existing	
steps to help understand	practices, literature review in which users were	
users (eg, who they are, in	involved in appraising and interpreting existing	
what context might they use	literature, development of user groups,	
the tool) and their needs?	personas, user profiles, tasks, or scenarios, or other activities	
2. Were potential end users	Such steps could include storyboarding,	Yes
involved in any steps of	reviewing the draft design or content before	
designing, developing,	starting to develop the tool, and designing,	
and/or refining a prototype?	developing, or refining a prototype	
3. Were potential end users	Such steps could include feasibility testing,	Yes
involved in any steps	usability testing with iterative prototypes, pilot	
intended to evaluate	testing, a randomized controlled trial of a final	
prototypes or a final version	version of the tool, or other activities	
of the tool?		
4. Were potential end users	For example, they might be asked to voice	Yes
asked their opinions of the	their opinions in a focus group, interview,	
tool in any way?	survey, or through other methods	
5. Were potential end users	For example, they might be observed in a	Yes
observed using the tool in	think-aloud study, cognitive interviews,	
any way?	through passive observation, logfiles, or other methods	
6. Did the development	The definition of a cycle is that the team	Yes
process have 3 or more	developed something and showed it to at least	
iterative cycles?	one person outside the team before making	
•	changes; each new cycle leads to a version of	
	the tool that has been revised in some small or	
	large way	
7. Were changes between	For example, the team might have explicitly	No
iterative cycles explicitly	reported them in a peer-reviewed paper or in a	
reported in any way?	technical report. In the case of rapid	
	prototyping, such reporting could be, for	
	example, a list of design decisions made and	
	the rationale for the decisions	
8. Were health professionals	Health professionals could be any relevant	Yes
asked their opinion of the	professionals, including physicians, nurses,	
tool at any point?	allied health providers, etc. These professionals	
	are not members of the research team. They	
	provide care to people who are likely users of	
	the tool. Asking for their opinion means simply	
	asking for feedback, in contrast to, for	
	example, observing their interaction with the	
	tool or assessing the impact of the tool on	
	health professionals' behavior	

9. Were health professionals consulted before the first prototype was developed?	Consulting before the first prototype means consulting prior to developing anything. This may include a variety of consultation methods	Yes
10. Were health professionals consulted between initial and final prototypes?	Consulting between initial and final prototypes means some initial design of the tool was already created when consulting with health professionals	Yes
11. Was an expert panel involved?	An expert panel is typically an advisory panel composed of experts in areas relevant to the tool if such experts are not already present on the research team (eg, plain language experts, accessibility experts, designers, engineers, industrial designers, digital security experts, etc). These experts may be health professionals but not health professionals would provide direct care to end users	Yes